2020

Whole grain consumption and human health: an umbrella review of observational studies

Maria Tieri

Francesca Ghelfi

Marilena Vitale

Claudia Vetrani

Stefano Marventano

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/smhpapers1>

Publication Details Citation

Tieri, M., Ghelfi, F., Vitale, M., Vetrani, C., Marventano, S., Lafranconi, A., Godos, J., Titta, L., Gambera, A., Alonzo, E., Sciacca, S., Riccardi, G., Buscemi, S., Del Rio, D., Ray, S., Galvano, F., Beck, E. J., & Grosso, G. (2020). Whole grain consumption and human health: an umbrella review of observational studies. Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health - Papers: Part B. Retrieved from <https://ro.uow.edu.au/smhpapers1/1538>

Whole grain consumption and human health: an umbrella review of observational studies

Abstract

Whole grains have been associated with a number of health benefits. We systematically reviewed existing meta-analyses of observational studies and evaluated the level of evidence for their putative effects based on pre-selected criteria. Of the 23 included studies, we found convincing evidence of an inverse association between whole grain consumption and risk of type-2 diabetes and colorectal cancer; possible evidence of decreased risk of colon cancer and cardiovascular mortality with increased whole grain intake, as well as increased risk of prostate cancer. Limited or insufficient evidence was available for all other outcomes investigated. Overall findings are encouraging for a positive effect of whole grain consumption on certain diseases, especially highly prevalent metabolic diseases, however, uncertainty of some negative associations deserves further attention.

Keywords

observational, review, umbrella, studies, health:, human, whole, grain, consumption

Publication Details

Tieri, M., Ghelfi, F., Vitale, M., Vetrani, C., Marventano, S., Lafranconi, A., Godos, J., Titta, L., Gambera, A., Alonzo, E., Sciacca, S., Riccardi, G., Buscemi, S., Del Rio, D., Ray, S., Galvano, F., Beck, E. & Grosso, G. (2020). Whole grain consumption and human health: an umbrella review of observational studies. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 71 (6), 668-677.

Authors

Maria Tieri, Francesca Ghelfi, Marilena Vitale, Claudia Vetrani, Stefano Marventano, Alessandra Lafranconi, Justyna Godos, Lucilla Titta, Angelo Gambera, Elena Alonzo, Salvatore Sciacca, Gabriele Riccardi, Silvio Buscemi, Daniele Del Rio, Sumantra Ray, Fabio Galvano, Eleanor J. Beck, and Giuseppe Grosso

Whole grain consumption and human health: an umbrella review of observational studies

Maria Tieri¹, Francesca Ghelfi^{2,3}, Marilena Vitale⁴, Claudia Vetrani⁴, Stefano Marventano⁵, Alessandra Lafranconi^{6,7}, Justyna Godos⁸, Lucilla Titta¹, Angelo Gambera⁹, Elena Alonzo¹⁰, Salvatore Sciacca¹¹, Gabriele Riccardi⁴, Silvio Buscemi¹², Daniele Del Rio^{13,14,3}, Sumantra Ray^{3,15,16,17}, Fabio Galvano¹⁸, Eleanor Beck^{19*}, Giuseppe Grosso^{18,3*}

¹ SmartFood Program, Department of Experimental Oncology, IEO, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Milan, Italy;

² Fondazione De Marchi-Department of Pediatrics, IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy;

³ NNEdPro Global Centre for Nutrition and Health, St John's Innovation Centre, Cambridge, United Kingdom;

⁴ Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University, Naples, Italy;

⁵ Rimini Women's Health, Childhood and Adolescent Department, AUSL Romagna, Rimini, Italy;

⁶ University of Milano – Bicocca, Milan, Italy;

⁷ Care and Public Health Research Institute, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands;

⁸ Oasi Research Institute – IRCCS, Troina, Italy;

⁹ Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Policlinico-Vittorio Emanuele, Catania, Italy;

¹⁰ Food and Nutrition Security and Public Health Service, ASP Catania, Catania, Italy;

¹¹ Integrated Cancer Registry of Catania-Messina-Siracusa-Enna, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Policlinico-Vittorio Emanuele, Catania, Italy;

¹² Biomedical Department of Internal and Specialist Medicine (DIBIMIS), University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy;

¹³ School of Advanced Studies on Food and Nutrition, University of Parma, Parma, Italy;

¹⁴ Human Nutrition Unit, Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma, Parma, Italy.

¹⁵ Wolfson College at the University of Cambridge, United Kingdom;

¹⁶ Nutrition Innovation Centre for Food and Health at Ulster University, United Kingdom;

¹⁷ Medical Research Council (MRC) Human Nutrition Research Unit, Cambridge, United Kingdom;

¹⁸ Department of Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences, University of Catania, Catania, Italy;

¹⁹ School of Medicine, University of Wollongong, Northfields Avenue, Wollongong, Australia.

*Equal contribution

35

36 Corresponding author: Giuseppe Grosso, Department of Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences,
37 University of Catania, Via Santa Sofia 97, 95123 Catania, Italy (email: giuseppe.grosso@unict.it;
38 Phone/Fax +39 0954781187).

39 **Whole grain consumption and human health: an umbrella review of**
40 **observational studies**

41

42 **Keywords:** whole grain; fiber; evidence; prospective; cohort; meta-analysis; umbrella review

43

44 **Abstract**

45 Whole grains have been associated with a number of health benefits. We systematically reviewed
46 existing meta-analyses of observational studies and evaluated the level of evidence for their putative
47 effects based on pre-selected criteria. Of the 23 included studies, we found convincing evidence of
48 an inverse association between whole grain consumption and risk of type-2 diabetes and colorectal
49 cancer; possible evidence of decreased risk of colon cancer and cardiovascular mortality with
50 increased whole grain intake, as well as increased risk of prostate cancer. Limited or insufficient
51 evidence was available for all other outcomes investigated. Overall findings are encouraging for a
52 positive effect of whole grain consumption on certain diseases, especially highly prevalent
53 metabolic diseases, however, uncertainty of some negative associations deserves further attention.

54 **Introduction**

55 Whole grains have been defined as “the intact ground, cracked or flaked kernel after the removal of
56 inedible parts such as hull and husk, where the principal anatomical components (the starchy
57 endosperm, germ and bran) are present in the same relative proportions as they exist in the intact
58 kernel and allowing for very small losses during preparation” (Ross et al. 2017). Consumption of
59 whole grain ingredients (hereafter referred to as whole grains) has been associated with several
60 benefits on human health (Calinoiu and Vodnar 2018). For example, epidemiological evidence
61 identifies increased intake of whole grains is associated with decreased mortality from
62 cardiovascular disease (CVD) (Reynolds et al. 2019). In addition, there is significant evidence that a
63 diet high in whole grains is beneficial for the prevention and treatment of type II diabetes mellitus
64 (T2DM) (Della Pepa et al. 2018). Given the metabolic basis of such conditions, high rates of
65 obesity globally (Collaboration 2017), may be a mediating factor for many chronic degenerative
66 non-communicable diseases (Zhu and Sang 2017). Evidence suggests a potential role of whole
67 grains in helping maintaining a healthy body weight and reducing risk of obesity, further
68 reinforcing a role for whole grains in a healthful diet (Koh-Banerjee et al. 2004, Kristensen et al.
69 2012).

70
71 Whole grains are high in dietary fiber, which is overwhelmingly linked with positive health
72 outcomes. However, in addition to fiber, whole grains contain vitamins, minerals, and
73 phytochemicals with antioxidant properties, all of which may contribute to health benefits of whole
74 grains (Zhu and Sang 2017). Somewhat disappointingly, despite all evidence, intake of whole
75 grains globally is lower than general recommendations (Barrett, Amoutzopoulos, et al. 2020,
76 Barrett, Batterham, et al. 2020, Galea et al. 2017, Kissock et al. 2020, Mann et al. 2015, McGill et
77 al. 2015). A recent review of global morbidity and mortality data in 195 countries identified poor
78 whole grain intake secondary only to high sodium intake as a key risk for mortality associated with
79 chronic disease. With respect to morbidity, low whole grain intake was associated with the highest
80 number of disability adjusted life years (Collaborators 2019).

81
82 Therefore, overall, there is general agreement that consumption of whole grains might lead to
83 prevention of several non-communicable diseases (NCDs). Surprisingly, evidence from prospective
84 cohort studies is sometimes mixed, as some individual reports showed no significant or even
85 contrasting results. Thus, the aim of the present study was to systematically review current evidence
86 on whole grain consumption and various health outcomes provided from meta-analyses of

observational studies. This may further identify health outcomes associated with whole grain consumption but also inform where research into specific conditions is lacking.

Methods

Study selection

We performed a systematic review of existing meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies on whole grain consumption and various health outcomes in Medline and Embase electronic databases until January 2017. The search strategy included: [(whole grain OR whole grains OR fiber) AND (meta-analysis OR meta-analyzed OR pooled analysis OR systematic review)] with Title/Abstract restriction. Only meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies on whole grain consumption as the variable of exposure were included for evaluation. Meta-analyses of RCTs with outcomes of intermediary biomarkers of disease (i.e., blood lipids, blood pressure, etc.) or intermediary clinical conditions (i.e., variation in body weight/BMI, etc.), and systematic reviews without quantitative evaluation of the association between exposure and outcome were not included for evaluation. Hand searching of reference lists was also undertaken. Any discrepancy on the inclusion/exclusion decision was solved through discussion.

Data extraction

From each meta-analysis included, the following information was extracted: name of the first author and year of publication, outcome, number of studies included in the meta-analysis, study design of included studies (i.e., case-control/cross-sectional and prospective), total number of population, number of cases, type of exposure, measure of exposure [including highest versus lowest (reference) category of exposure or dose-response incremental servings per day (linear)], effect sizes [risk ratio (RR), odds ratio (OR), or hazard ratio (HR)].

Data evaluation and evidence synthesis

Where more than one meta-analysis was conducted on the same outcome, including the same study design, and the same population group, the concordance for the main outcome of interest, including direction and magnitude (overlapping confidence interval) of the association was evaluated. For further analyses, the most recent/exhaustive study was considered. The pooled analyses of the highest versus the lowest (reference) category of exposure and dose-response analyses were evaluated. Direction and magnitude of the association, heterogeneity (I^2) of results, and subgroup/stratified analyses for potential confounding factors were considered to have indication of level of evidence. Criteria used for evidence categorization were modified from the Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation (Degrees of evidence by the Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation.

121 http://www.who.int/nutrition/topics/5_population_nutrient/en/#diet5.1.2 Accessed November
122 2015) (Table 1). Briefly, the relation between exposure and outcomes was categorized as following:
123 suggestive/limited/contrasting evidence, when there was availability of solely **meta-analyses** of
124 case-control studies, limited prospective cohort studies included in **meta-analyses** ($n < 3$), or evident
125 contrasting results from meta-analyses with the same level of evidence; possible evidence, when
126 there was availability of meta-analyses with lack of information on/significant heterogeneity (I^2
127 $> 50\%$) or identification of potential confounding factors (i.e., different findings in subgroups);
128 probable association, when there was availability of meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies
129 with no heterogeneity, no potential confounding factors identified, and eventual disagreement of
130 results over time reasonably explained (and evidence of dose-response relation further
131 investigated); convincing association, when there was concordance between meta-analyses of RCTs
132 and observational studies. **Lack of fulfillment of the previous criteria was considered as insufficient**
133 **evidence.**

134

135 **Results**

136 *Study selection*

137 Of 407 articles identified through the database search, 315 and 39 articles were excluded based on
138 title and abstract evaluation, respectively (Figure 1). Fifty-three articles were further investigated
139 for eligibility. **The exclusion list included 31 meta-analyses of RCT ($n = 4$), systematic reviews or**
140 **narrative reviews without quantitative evaluation of the association between exposure and outcome**
141 **($n = 7$), pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies ($n = 2$), and investigation of different**
142 **exposures ($n = 18$).** Additionally, one article was retrieved through hand searching of reference
143 lists. Thus, a total number of 23 studies on whole grain consumption and various health outcomes
144 was selected for evaluation (Anderson et al. 2000, Aune et al. 2012, Aune et al. 2011, Aune et al.
145 2016, Aune et al. 2013, Chen, Tong, et al. 2016, Chen, Huang, et al. 2016, de Munter et al. 2007,
146 Fang et al. 2015, Hajishafiee et al. 2016, Jacobs et al. 1998, Lei et al. 2016, Li et al. 2016, Liu and
147 Lin 2014, Ma et al. 2016, Mellen et al. 2008, Schulze et al. 2007, Schwedhelm et al. 2016, Tang et
148 al. 2015, Wang et al. 2015, Wei et al. 2016, Ye et al. 2012, Zong et al. 2016).

149

150 *Characteristics of the studies included for evaluation*

151 The main characteristics of the studies included for evaluation, including the risk estimates for the
152 highest *versus* the lowest category of whole grain consumption are reported for 13 unique outcomes
153 of seven non-overlapping meta-analyses in Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 1 (Aune, et al. 2011,
154 Aune, et al. 2016, Aune, et al. 2013, Chen, et al. 2016, Fang, et al. 2015, Liu and Lin 2014, Wang,

et al. 2015). These included three or more prospective cohort studies and risk estimates for increasing consumption (linear) of whole grains evaluated in four non-overlapping meta-analyses. Studies on T2DM, CVD and coronary heart disease (CHD) risk and mortality, colorectal (more specifically, colon) cancer, and all-cause mortality showed significant decreased risk associated with higher whole grain consumption, with generally no evidence of heterogeneity (except for all-cause and cancer mortality). No significant associations were found for risk of rectal and thyroid cancer, while an increased risk of prostate cancer with no evidence of heterogeneity among studies was reported. These results were mostly consistent when considering a continuous linear increasing intake of whole grains (Supplementary Table 1). When controlling for potential confounding factors, results were relatively consistent, except in relation to CHD and stroke risk, which was observed only among women but not men (Supplementary Table 2). When controlling for stability of findings over time, all previous studies reported consistent results (Supplementary Table 3). Only one study on pancreatic cancer risk (Lei, et al. 2016) was conducted on a limited number of prospective cohort studies (<3) and case-control studies, reporting an inverse association with whole grain consumption with no evidence of heterogeneity.

170

171 *Summary of evidence*

172 A detailed evaluation of parameters investigated to assess the strength of the evidence on whole
173 grain consumption and various health outcomes is reported in Supplementary Table 4. There is a
174 convincing evidence of an inverse association between whole grain consumption and risk of T2DM
175 and colorectal cancer; possible evidence of decreased risk of colon cancer and CVD and CHD
176 mortality with increased consumption of whole grains; as well as increased risk of prostate cancer.
177 Limited or insufficient evidence has been reported for all other outcomes investigated (Table 1).

178

179 **Discussion**

180 In this umbrella review, we investigated the evidence from existing meta-analyses on whole grain
181 consumption and varied health outcomes. Overall, the strongest evidence was a convincing
182 association with decreased risk of colorectal cancer and T2DM with higher compared to lower
183 dietary intake of whole grains. Moreover, a possible decreased risk of colon cancer, fatal CHD and
184 CVD mortality was also observed, together with a possible increased risk of prostate cancer. These
185 latter associations lacked information on potential confounding factors, resulting in a weaker level
186 of evidence compared to colorectal cancer and T2DM.

187

188 The level of evidence on the potential protective effect of whole grain consumption on colorectal
189 cancer risk found in our review is in line with the conclusions of the World Cancer Research Fund's
190 (WCRF) 2017 Colorectal report (WCRF/AICR 2018b). Our combined meta-analyses identified a
191 high level of evidence due to consistency of results and no potential confounding factors among the
192 studies investigated. Moreover, separate analyses reviewing the results by cancer site, showed that
193 the evidence of inverse association is only significant for cancer within the colon.

194
195 There are plausible mechanisms operating in humans for a protective role of whole grains in colon
196 cancer. In general, the benefits of whole grains towards cancer risk are thought to be mainly related
197 to the content of fiber, which may reduce the risk through different mechanisms. These include a
198 shorter transit time of the feces, resulting in a lower exposure of colonocytes to carcinogens, the
199 modulation of the composition and function of gut microbiota and the prevention of insulin
200 resistance (Bultman 2017, Slavin 2000). Specifically, dietary fiber may enhance the growth of non-
201 pathogenic gut bacteria (namely lactic acid producing bacteria, such as Bifidobacterium) with
202 increased production of lactic acid or short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), including butyrate, acetate
203 and propionate (Gong et al. 2018). In normal colon cells, butyrate is a growth factor and a nutrient,
204 but it has been hypothesized that it may exert epigenetic effects leading to the hyperacetylation of
205 histones. This subsequently compensates for an imbalance of histone acetylation, which can lead to
206 transcriptional dysregulation and influencing the genes that are involved in the control of cell-cycle
207 progression, differentiation, apoptosis and cancer development (Scharlau et al. 2009). Whole grains
208 are also a rich source of various bioactive compounds, including vitamin E, selenium, copper, zinc,
209 phytoestrogens and phenolic compounds, which may exert beneficial effects above those of cereal
210 fiber (Song et al. 2015, Webb and McCullough 2005). Whole grains may also protect against colon
211 cancer by regulating glycemic response (Sieri et al. 2017). Lastly, an indirect mechanism of
212 protection may depend on lower risk of obesity associated with higher consumption of whole grain,
213 which is considered a convincing risk factor for several cancers, including colon cancer
214 (WCRF/AICR 2018a).

215
216 Among other cancer outcomes, we found that whole grains were associated with higher risk of
217 prostate cancer. In the latest WCRF's prostate report (WCRF/AICR 2018c), updated to 2014,
218 cereals (grains) and their products, dietary fiber have been included among dietary exposure with
219 "limited-no evidence" for their effects toward prostate cancer risk. Possible reasons for such
220 contrasting results include a number of limitations or bias in the individual studies included in the
221 meta-analyses. One such limitation is the use of varied and potentially inappropriate definitions of

222 whole grains in certain studies. For example, studies within the meta-analysis of Wang (2015)
223 included work which did not differentiate between whole and refined grains adequately (Lewis et
224 al. 2009) or provided lists of foods contributing to whole grains (Drake et al. 2012, Nimptsch et al.
225 2011) but no set definitions of these foods to provide comparisons to other studies. In addition to
226 these technical difficulties, there has been a change over time of incident cases of prostate cancer
227 due to use of PSA as screening tool, which might have been more common among more health-
228 conscious men consuming higher amount of whole grains (Drake, et al. 2012, Nimptsch, et al.
229 2011). Considering these or other unidentified limitations, further prospective cohort studies
230 accounting for such confounding factors and effect modifiers are warranted in order to collect a
231 stronger rationale to explain this controversial association.

232
233 Consistent with other work, we found a convincing inverse association between whole grain
234 consumption and T2DM. Several international scientific bodies, such as American Diabetes
235 Association and Diabetes UK, recommend inclusion of whole grains within a healthy diet for
236 prevention or management of diabetes. Inclusion of whole grains with an emphasis on a diet with
237 low glycemic load is encouraged (American Diabetes 2018, group 2018). In both prospective
238 studies and RCTs, higher intakes of whole grains or total dietary fiber are associated with reduced
239 incidence and mortality from several NCDs, including T2DM. The dose-response evidence
240 indicating that the relationships could be causal (Reynolds, et al. 2019). For example, in a meta-
241 analysis of RCTs, it emerged that the consumption of whole grains improves acute postprandial
242 glucose and insulin homeostasis compared to similar refined foods in healthy subjects (Marventano
243 et al. 2017). Whole grains products have high concentration of fibers, in particular the insoluble
244 fraction, while some products derived from barley and oats are also sources of soluble β -glucans.
245 Insoluble dietary fibers have been shown to improved whole-body insulin resistance after short-
246 term and prolonged cereal fiber intake (Weickert and Pfeiffer 2018). The dietary fiber component of
247 whole grains has been shown to result in decreased blood glucose excursions and attenuated insulin
248 responses, resulting in an improved insulin sensitivity (Liese et al. 2003). Specifically, cereal β -
249 glucans show a dose response to attenuate blood glucose excursions (Bao et al. 2014). For all fibers,
250 this may be due to delayed gastric emptying, which slows glucose release in circulation, through a
251 delayed or decreased intestinal absorption (Lattimer and Haub 2010).

252
253 However, the mechanisms behind insoluble fiber are thought to be more peripheral and not limited
254 to nutrient absorption. For instance, whole grain intake is also associated with lower inflammatory
255 markers in both women and men with T2DM (Qi et al. 2005, Qi et al. 2006). Higher concentrations

256 of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as C-reactive protein and adiponectin, may increase T2DM
257 risk (Li et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2013). Another possible mechanism for the beneficial effects of
258 whole grains include the fermentation of fiber and resistant starch by microbiota in the large
259 intestine with the production of SCFAs, which have been linked to secretion of gut hormones,
260 glucose and lipid metabolism, therefore with implications for insulin sensitivity and glucose
261 homeostasis (Bach Knudsen 2015). Finally, whole grain consumption has also been considered as a
262 dietary behavior inversely associated with long-term weight gain, which in turn is related to risk of
263 developing insulin resistance and T2DM (Mozaffarian et al. 2011).

264

265 In our umbrella review we also observed a possible decreased risk of fatal CHD and CVD mortality
266 for higher intake of whole grains. CVD risk in general, including CHD risk, may be significantly
267 influenced by modifying a number of risk factors, such as high blood pressure, elevated blood lipids
268 and excess of body weight, through diet and lifestyle changes (Eckel et al. 2014, Piepoli et al.
269 2016). Once again, the strongest evidence for their potential beneficial effects relies on their content
270 in dietary fiber (Reynolds, et al. 2019). In 2013, the “AHA/ACC Guideline on Lifestyle
271 Management to Reduce Cardiovascular Risk” emphasized the role of whole grain consumption to
272 lower blood pressure and LDL-cholesterol (Eckel, et al. 2014). Similarly, the ESC Guidelines on
273 CVD prevention, encourage intake of whole grain products as one important dietary goal to reduce
274 CVD risk contributing to the suggested fiber intake of 30-45 g per day for CVD prevention (Piepoli,
275 et al. 2016). While the mechanism is not fully elucidated, it has been shown that a high fiber intake
276 reduces postprandial glucose responses after carbohydrate-rich meals and lowers total cholesterol
277 and LDL-cholesterol levels (Piepoli, et al. 2016). Although is often not possible to distinguish
278 between the effect of the different type of whole grains in the investigated studies, it is known that
279 the intake of barley and oat β -glucan, is effective in reducing LDL-cholesterol and non-HDL-
280 cholesterol, thus contributing in the reduction of CVD risk factors (Ho et al. 2016, Li, et al. 2016,
281 Whitehead et al. 2014). The significant evidence means that in 2010, the European Food Safety
282 Authority (EFSA) concluded that a cause and effect relationship has been established between the
283 consumption of oat β -glucan and lowering of blood LDL-cholesterol concentrations following at
284 least 3 g of oat β -glucan per day (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products 2010). Cholesterol-lowering
285 effects of oat β -glucan may depend on the increased viscosity in the small intestine that reduces the
286 reabsorption of bile acids, increases the synthesis of bile acids from cholesterol, and reduces
287 circulating LDL-cholesterol concentrations (Henrion et al. 2019). The effect is proportional to
288 viscosity of the β -glucan and this typically decreases with significant processing (Wolever et al.
289 2010), further substantiating the importance of the whole grain rather than refined alternatives of

290 grains. Some clinical studies also reported a potential influence of whole grain in ameliorating
291 blood pressure, but further studies are needed to confirm such effect (Saltzman et al. 2001, Tighe et
292 al. 2010).

293 The present study has some limitations that should be addressed. The results shown in this report
294 share the common issues of the original meta-analyses included through the systematic search, such
295 as (i) lack of homogeneity in measurement methods (for example food frequency questionnaires vs.
296 dietary recalls for collection of dietary data), (ii) disagreement in quantification of a serving of
297 whole grains among studies, (iii) lack of information regarding type of whole grains (i.e. wheat, oat,
298 rye etc. as whole grain ingredients alone or incorporated into grain-based products). Furthermore,
299 whole grain consumption is generally a health-conscious choice, which tends to cluster with lower
300 prevalence of smoking, higher physical activity levels, lower fat and higher fiber intakes (Harland
301 and Garton 2008). Thus, uncontrolled or residual confounding cannot be excluded. Finally, the
302 definition of **whole grains or whole grain foods** is not univocal, thus the original papers may incur
303 in misclassification and overall heterogeneity of exposure. It has been suggested that for future
304 whole grain studies, grams of whole grain on a dry weight basis must be calculated and that use of
305 whole approximations based on whole grain food definitions or “serves” of whole grains are not
306 suitable (Ross et al. 2015).

307 In conclusion, dietary intake of whole grains has been shown to provide substantial benefits toward
308 human health. The findings are quite consistent and there is evidence for assuming causation, at
309 least for colorectal cancer and T2DM, for which we observed a convincing level of evidence. The
310 contributions of whole grains in increasing daily fiber intake seem to be crucial in explaining the
311 biological mechanisms underpinning these associations. Further research where weak associations
312 of whole grain intake with health outcomes are noted, require further investigation and a critical
313 aspect in this work may be careful adherence to recommendations for reporting of whole grain
314 definitions and quantification of intake.

315 **Acknowledgments**

316 No funding.

317

318 **Declaration of interests**

319 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

320 **References**

- 321 American Diabetes A. 2018. 4. Lifestyle Management: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018.
322 Diabetes Care. Jan;41:S38-S50. Epub 2017/12/10.
- 323 Anderson JW, Hanna TJ, Peng X, Kryscio RJ. 2000. Whole grain foods and heart disease risk. J Am
324 Coll Nutr. Jun;19:291S-299S.
- 325 Aune D, Chan DS, Greenwood DC, Vieira AR, Rosenblatt DA, Vieira R, Norat T. 2012. Dietary fiber
326 and breast cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Ann Oncol.
327 Jun;23:1394-1402.
- 328 Aune D, Chan DS, Lau R, Vieira R, Greenwood DC, Kampman E, Norat T. 2011. Dietary fibre, whole
329 grains, and risk of colorectal cancer: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of
330 prospective studies. BMJ. Nov 10;343:d6617.
- 331 Aune D, Keum N, Giovannucci E, Fadnes LT, Boffetta P, Greenwood DC, Tonstad S, Vatten LJ, Riboli
332 E, Norat T. 2016. Whole grain consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease, cancer, and all
333 cause and cause specific mortality: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of
334 prospective studies. BMJ. Jun 14;353:i2716.
- 335 Aune D, Norat T, Romundstad P, Vatten LJ. 2013. Whole grain and refined grain consumption and
336 the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cohort
337 studies. Eur J Epidemiol. Nov;28:845-858.
- 338 Bach Knudsen KE. 2015. Microbial degradation of whole-grain complex carbohydrates and impact
339 on short-chain fatty acids and health. Adv Nutr. Mar;6:206-213. Epub 2015/03/15.
- 340 Bao L, Cai X, Xu M, Li Y. 2014. Effect of oat intake on glycaemic control and insulin sensitivity: a
341 meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Br J Nutr. Aug 14;112:457-466.
- 342 Barrett EM, Amoutzopoulos B, Batterham MJ, Ray S, Beck EJ. 2020. Whole grain intake compared
343 with cereal fibre intake in association to cardiovascular disease risk factors – a cross sectional
344 analysis of the National Diet and Nutrition Survey (UK). Public Health Nutr.(ahead of print).
- 345 Barrett EM, Batterham MJ, Beck EJ. 2020. Whole grain and cereal fibre intake in the Australian
346 Health Survey (AHS) - associations to cardiovascular disease risk factors. Public Health Nutr.(ahead
347 of print).
- 348 Bultman SJ. 2017. Interplay between diet, gut microbiota, epigenetic events, and colorectal
349 cancer. Mol Nutr Food Res. Jan;61. Epub 2016/05/04.
- 350 Calinoiu LF, Vodnar DC. 2018. Whole Grains and Phenolic Acids: A Review on Bioactivity,
351 Functionality, Health Benefits and Bioavailability. Nutrients. Nov 1;10.

352 Chen GC, Tong X, Xu JY, Han SF, Wan ZX, Qin JB, Qin LQ. 2016. Whole-grain intake and total,
 353 cardiovascular, and cancer mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective
 354 studies. *Am J Clin Nutr.* Jul;104:164-172.

355 Chen J, Huang Q, Shi W, Yang L, Chen J, Lan Q. 2016. Meta-Analysis of the Association Between
 356 Whole and Refined Grain Consumption and Stroke Risk Based on Prospective Cohort Studies. *Asia*
 357 *Pac J Public Health.* Oct;28:563-575.

358 Collaboration NCDRF. 2017. Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and
 359 obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in
 360 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet.* Dec 16;390:2627-2642.

361 Collaborators GBDD. 2019. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990-2017: a systematic
 362 analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet.* May 11;393:1958-1972.

363 de Munter JS, Hu FB, Spiegelman D, Franz M, van Dam RM. 2007. Whole grain, bran, and germ
 364 intake and risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective cohort study and systematic review. *PLoS Med.*
 365 Aug;4:e261.

366 Degrees of evidence by the Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation.
 367 http://www.who.int/nutrition/topics/5_population_nutrient/en/-diet5.1.2 Accessed November
 368 2015.

369 Della Pepa G, Vetrani C, Vitale M, Riccardi G. 2018. Wholegrain Intake and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes:
 370 Evidence from Epidemiological and Intervention Studies. *Nutrients.* Sep 12;10.

371 Drake I, Sonestedt E, Gullberg B, Ahlgren G, Bjartell A, Wallstrom P, Wirfalt E. 2012. Dietary intakes
 372 of carbohydrates in relation to prostate cancer risk: a prospective study in the Malmo Diet and
 373 Cancer cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr.* Dec;96:1409-1418. Epub 2012/11/09.

374 Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, de Jesus JM, Houston Miller N, Hubbard VS, Lee IM, Lichtenstein AH,
 375 Loria CM, Millen BE, et al. 2014. 2013 AHA/ACC guideline on lifestyle management to reduce
 376 cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association
 377 Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* Jul 1;63:2960-2984. Epub 2013/11/19.

378 EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products NaAN. 2010. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health
 379 claim related to oat beta-glucan and lowering blood cholesterol and reduced risk of (coronary)
 380 heart disease pursuant to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No1924/2006. *EFSA Journal.*8.

381 Fang L, Li W, Zhang W, Wang Y, Fu S. 2015. Association between whole grain intake and stroke
 382 risk: evidence from a meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Exp Med.*8:16978-16983.

383 Galea LM, Beck EJ, Probst YC, Cashman CJ. 2017. Whole grain intake of Australians estimated from
384 a cross-sectional analysis of dietary intake data from the 2011-13 Australian Health Survey. *Public*
385 *Health Nutr.* Aug;20:2166-2172.

386 Gong L, Cao W, Chi H, Wang J, Zhang H, Liu J, Sun B. 2018. Whole cereal grains and potential
387 health effects: Involvement of the gut microbiota. *Food Res Int.* Jan;103:84-102.

388 group DUnw. 2018. Evidence-based nutrition guidelines for the prevention and management of
389 diabetes.

390 Hajishafiee M, Saneei P, Benisi-Kohansal S, Esmailzadeh A. 2016. Cereal fibre intake and risk of
391 mortality from all causes, CVD, cancer and inflammatory diseases: a systematic review and meta-
392 analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Br J Nutr.* Jul;116:343-352.

393 Harland JI, Garton LE. 2008. Whole-grain intake as a marker of healthy body weight and adiposity.
394 *Public Health Nutr.* Jun;11:554-563.

395 Henrion M, Francey C, Le KA, Lamothe L. 2019. Cereal B-Glucans: The Impact of Processing and
396 How It Affects Physiological Responses. *Nutrients.* Jul 26;11.

397 Ho HV, Sievenpiper JL, Zurbau A, Blanco Mejia S, Jovanovski E, Au-Yeung F, Jenkins AL, Vuksan V.
398 2016. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of the effect of barley
399 beta-glucan on LDL-C, non-HDL-C and apoB for cardiovascular disease risk reduction(i-iv). *Eur J Clin*
400 *Nutr.* Nov;70:1239-1245. Epub 2016/11/03.

401 Jacobs DR, Jr., Marquart L, Slavin J, Kushi LH. 1998. Whole-grain intake and cancer: an expanded
402 review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Cancer.*30:85-96.

403 Kissonock K, Neale EP, Beck EJ. 2020. The relevance of whole grain food definitions in estimation of
404 whole grain intake: a secondary analysis of the National Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey
405 2011-12. *Public Health Nutr.*(ahead of print).

406 Koh-Banerjee P, Franz M, Sampson L, Liu S, Jacobs DR, Jr., Spiegelman D, Willett W, Rimm E. 2004.
407 Changes in whole-grain, bran, and cereal fiber consumption in relation to 8-y weight gain among
408 men. *Am J Clin Nutr.* Nov;80:1237-1245.

409 Kristensen M, Toubro S, Jensen MG, Ross AB, Riboldi G, Petronio M, Bugel S, Tetens I, Astrup A.
410 2012. Whole grain compared with refined wheat decreases the percentage of body fat following a
411 12-week, energy-restricted dietary intervention in postmenopausal women. *J Nutr.* Apr;142:710-
412 716.

413 Lattimer JM, Haub MD. 2010. Effects of dietary fiber and its components on metabolic health.
414 *Nutrients.* Dec;2:1266-1289. Epub 2012/01/19.

415 Lei Q, Zheng H, Bi J, Wang X, Jiang T, Gao X, Tian F, Xu M, Wu C, Zhang L, et al. 2016. Whole Grain
 416 Intake Reduces Pancreatic Cancer Risk: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *Medicine*
 417 (Baltimore). Mar;95:e2747.

418 Lewis JE, Soler-Vila H, Clark PE, Kresty LA, Allen GO, Hu JJ. 2009. Intake of plant foods and
 419 associated nutrients in prostate cancer risk. *Nutr Cancer*.61:216-224.

420 Li B, Zhang G, Tan M, Zhao L, Jin L, Tang X, Jiang G, Zhong K. 2016. Consumption of whole grains in
 421 relation to mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: Dose-response meta-
 422 analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Aug;95:e4229.

423 Li S, Shin HJ, Ding EL, van Dam RM. 2009. Adiponectin levels and risk of type 2 diabetes: a
 424 systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. Jul 8;302:179-188. Epub 2009/07/09.

425 Liese AD, Roach AK, Sparks KC, Marquart L, D'Agostino RB, Jr., Mayer-Davis EJ. 2003. Whole-grain
 426 intake and insulin sensitivity: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Am J Clin Nutr*.
 427 Nov;78:965-971. Epub 2003/11/05.

428 Liu ZT, Lin AH. 2014. Dietary factors and thyroid cancer risk: a meta-analysis of observational
 429 studies. *Nutr Cancer*.66:1165-1178.

430 Ma X, Tang WG, Yang Y, Zhang QL, Zheng JL, Xiang YB. 2016. Association between whole grain
 431 intake and all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Oncotarget*. Sep 20;7:61996-
 432 62005.

433 Mann KD, Pearce MS, McKeivith B, Thielecke F, Seal CJ. 2015. Low whole grain intake in the UK:
 434 results from the National Diet and Nutrition Survey rolling programme 2008-11. *Br J Nutr*. May
 435 28;113:1643-1651.

436 Marventano S, Vetrani C, Vitale M, Godos J, Riccardi G, Grosso G. 2017. Whole Grain Intake and
 437 Glycaemic Control in Healthy Subjects: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized
 438 Controlled Trials. *Nutrients*. Jul 19;9. Epub 2017/07/30.

439 McGill CR, Fulgoni VL, 3rd, Devareddy L. 2015. Ten-year trends in fiber and whole grain intakes and
 440 food sources for the United States population: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
 441 2001-2010. *Nutrients*. Feb 9;7:1119-1130.

442 Mellen PB, Walsh TF, Herrington DM. 2008. Whole grain intake and cardiovascular disease: a
 443 meta-analysis. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. May;18:283-290.

444 Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. 2011. Changes in diet and lifestyle and long-
 445 term weight gain in women and men. *N Engl J Med*. Jun 23;364:2392-2404. Epub 2011/06/24.

446 Nimptsch K, Kenfield S, Jensen MK, Stampfer MJ, Franz M, Sampson L, Brand-Miller JC, Willett WC,
 447 Giovannucci E. 2011. Dietary glycemic index, glycemic load, insulin index, fiber and whole-grain
 448 intake in relation to risk of prostate cancer. *Cancer Causes Control*. Jan;22:51-61. Epub
 449 2010/11/12.

450 Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, Catapano AL, Cooney MT, Corra U, Cosyns B,
 451 Deaton C, et al. 2016. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical
 452 practice: The Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on
 453 Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10
 454 societies and by invited experts) Developed with the special contribution of the European
 455 Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). *Eur Heart J*. Aug 1;37:2315-
 456 2381. Epub 2016/05/26.

457 Qi L, Rimm E, Liu S, Rifai N, Hu FB. 2005. Dietary glycemic index, glycemic load, cereal fiber, and
 458 plasma adiponectin concentration in diabetic men. *Diabetes Care*. May;28:1022-1028. Epub
 459 2005/04/28.

460 Qi L, van Dam RM, Liu S, Franz M, Mantzoros C, Hu FB. 2006. Whole-grain, bran, and cereal fiber
 461 intakes and markers of systemic inflammation in diabetic women. *Diabetes Care*. Feb;29:207-211.
 462 Epub 2006/01/31.

463 Reynolds A, Mann J, Cummings J, Winter N, Mete E, Te Morenga L. 2019. Carbohydrate quality and
 464 human health: a series of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Lancet*. Feb 2;393:434-445.

465 Ross AB, Kristensen M, Seal CJ, Jacques P, McKeown NM. 2015. Recommendations for reporting
 466 whole-grain intake in observational and intervention studies. *Am J Clin Nutr*. May;101:903-907.

467 Ross AB, van der Kamp JW, King R, Le KA, Mejbourn H, Seal CJ, Thielecke F, Healthgrain F. 2017.
 468 Perspective: A Definition for Whole-Grain Food Products-Recommendations from the Healthgrain
 469 Forum. *Adv Nutr*. Jul;8:525-531.

470 Saltzman E, Das SK, Lichtenstein AH, Dallal GE, Corrales A, Schaefer EJ, Greenberg AS, Roberts SB.
 471 2001. An oat-containing hypocaloric diet reduces systolic blood pressure and improves lipid profile
 472 beyond effects of weight loss in men and women. *J Nutr*. May;131:1465-1470. Epub 2001/05/08.

473 Scharlau D, Borowicki A, Habermann N, Hofmann T, Klenow S, Miene C, Munjal U, Stein K, Gleis M.
 474 2009. Mechanisms of primary cancer prevention by butyrate and other products formed during
 475 gut flora-mediated fermentation of dietary fibre. *Mutat Res*. Jul-Aug;682:39-53. Epub 2009/04/23.

476 Schulze MB, Schulz M, Heidemann C, Schienkiewitz A, Hoffmann K, Boeing H. 2007. Fiber and
 477 magnesium intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study and meta-analysis. Arch
 478 Intern Med. May 14;167:956-965.

479 Schwedhelm C, Boeing H, Hoffmann G, Aleksandrova K, Schwingshackl L. 2016. Effect of diet on
 480 mortality and cancer recurrence among cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
 481 cohort studies. Nutr Rev. Dec;74:737-748.

482 Sieri S, Agnoli C, Pala V, Grioni S, Brighenti F, Pellegrini N, Masala G, Palli D, Mattiello A, Panico S,
 483 et al. 2017. Dietary glycemic index, glycemic load, and cancer risk: results from the EPIC-Italy
 484 study. Sci Rep. Aug 29;7:9757. Epub 2017/08/31.

485 Slavin JL. 2000. Mechanisms for the impact of whole grain foods on cancer risk. J Am Coll Nutr.
 486 Jun;19:300S-307S. Epub 2000/06/30.

487 Song M, Garrett WS, Chan AT. 2015. Nutrients, foods, and colorectal cancer prevention.
 488 Gastroenterology. May;148:1244-1260 e1216. Epub 2015/01/13.

489 Tang G, Wang D, Long J, Yang F, Si L. 2015. Meta-analysis of the association between whole grain
 490 intake and coronary heart disease risk. Am J Cardiol. Mar 1;115:625-629.

491 Tighe P, Duthie G, Vaughan N, Brittenden J, Simpson WG, Duthie S, Mutch W, Wahle K, Horgan G,
 492 Thies F. 2010. Effect of increased consumption of whole-grain foods on blood pressure and other
 493 cardiovascular risk markers in healthy middle-aged persons: a randomized controlled trial. Am J
 494 Clin Nutr. Oct;92:733-740. Epub 2010/08/06.

495 Wang RJ, Tang JE, Chen Y, Gao JG. 2015. Dietary fiber, whole grains, carbohydrate, glycemic index,
 496 and glycemic load in relation to risk of prostate cancer. Onco Targets Ther.8:2415-2426.

497 Wang X, Bao W, Liu J, Ouyang YY, Wang D, Rong S, Xiao X, Shan ZL, Zhang Y, Yao P, et al. 2013.
 498 Inflammatory markers and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
 499 Diabetes Care. Jan;36:166-175. Epub 2012/12/25.

500 WCRF/AICR. 2018a. Continuous Update Project Expert Report 2018. Body fatness and weight gain
 501 and the risk of cancer.

502 WCRF/AICR. 2018b. Continuous Update Project Expert Report 2018. Diet, nutrition, physical
 503 activity and colorectal cancer

504 WCRF/AICR. 2018c. Continuous Update Project Expert Report 2018. Diet, nutrition, physical
 505 activity and prostate cancer.

506 Webb AL, McCullough ML. 2005. Dietary lignans: potential role in cancer prevention. Nutr
 507 Cancer.51:117-131. Epub 2005/04/30.

508 Wei H, Gao Z, Liang R, Li Z, Hao H, Liu X. 2016. Whole-grain consumption and the risk of all-cause,
509 CVD and cancer mortality: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Br J Nutr.* Aug;116:514-
510 525.

511 Weickert MO, Pfeiffer AFH. 2018. Impact of Dietary Fiber Consumption on Insulin Resistance and
512 the Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes. *J Nutr.* Jan 1;148:7-12. Epub 2018/01/30.

513 Whitehead A, Beck EJ, Tosh S, Wolever TM. 2014. Cholesterol-lowering effects of oat beta-glucan:
514 a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr.* Dec;100:1413-1421.

515 Wolever TM, Tosh SM, Gibbs AL, Brand-Miller J, Duncan AM, Hart V, Lamarche B, Thomson BA,
516 Duss R, Wood PJ. 2010. Physicochemical properties of oat beta-glucan influence its ability to
517 reduce serum LDL cholesterol in humans: a randomized clinical trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* Oct;92:723-
518 732.

519 Ye EQ, Chacko SA, Chou EL, Kugizaki M, Liu S. 2012. Greater whole-grain intake is associated with
520 lower risk of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and weight gain. *J Nutr.* Jul;142:1304-1313.

521 Zhu Y, Sang S. 2017. Phytochemicals in whole grain wheat and their health-promoting effects. *Mol*
522 *Nutr Food Res.* Jul;61.

523 Zong G, Gao A, Hu FB, Sun Q. 2016. Whole Grain Intake and Mortality From All Causes,
524 Cardiovascular Disease, and Cancer: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *Circulation.*
525 Jun 14;133:2370-2380.

526

527

528 **Figure legend**

529 Figure 1. Flow chart of study selection.

530

531 Figure 2. Summary results from meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies on whole grain
532 consumption on various health outcomes included in umbrella review.

533

534 **Supplementary material**

535 Supplementary Table 1. Summary results from meta-analyses investigating continuous linear
536 exposure to whole grain consumption and health outcomes.

537

538 Supplementary Table 2. Significance and direction of results from selected meta-analyses on whole
539 grain consumption and health outcomes.

540

541 Supplementary Table 3. Characteristics and main findings of meta-analyses of cohort studies
542 (highest vs. lowest category of exposure) on whole grain consumption on overlapping outcomes
543 over time.

544

545 Supplementary Table 4. Variables investigated to address the strength of evidence from selected
546 meta-analyses on whole grain consumption and health outcomes.

547 Table 1. Level of evidence for the association between dairy (total and individual foods)
 548 consumption and health outcomes.

Level of evidence*	Criteria§	Whole grains
Convincing	Meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies with evidence of dose-response relation, no heterogeneity, no potential confounding factors identified, and eventual disagreement of results over time reasonably explained [otherwise declassified as possible].	Association with decreased risk of cancer (colorectal), T2DM.
Probable	Meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies with no heterogeneity, no potential confounding factors identified, and eventual disagreement of results over time reasonably explained [otherwise declassified as possible].	None.
Possible	Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies with no heterogeneity and lack of information on potential confounding factors.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association with decreased risk of cancer (colon), CHD (fatal), mortality (CVD) • Association with increased risk of cancer (prostate).
Limited	Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies with presence of significant heterogeneity ($I^2 > 50\%$) or identification of potential confounding factors (i.e., different findings in subgroups).	Association with decreased risk of mortality (cancer), CHD (any)#, mortality (all-cause), stroke (total)#
Insufficient	Meta-analysis of case-control studies, limited prospective cohort studies included in meta-analyses (n <3), or evident contrasting results from meta-analyses with the same level of evidence.	Association with decreased odds of adenoma (colorectal), cancer (pancreas).
No evidence	Meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies with evidence of dose-response relation, no heterogeneity, no potential confounding factors identified, and eventual disagreement of results over time reasonably explained [otherwise declassified as possible].	No association with risk of cancer (rectum), stroke (fatal).
*all the associations should be biologically plausible; potential confounding factors should be taken into account. § modified from the Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation # presence of potential confounding factors		

Whole grain consumption and human health: an umbrella review of observational studies

Maria Tieri¹, Francesca Ghelfi^{2,3}, Marilena Vitale⁴, Claudia Vetrani⁴, Stefano Marventano⁵, Alessandra Lafranconi^{6,7}, Justyna Godos⁸, Lucilla Titta¹, Angelo Gambera⁹, Elena Alonzo¹⁰, Salvatore Sciacca¹¹, Gabriele Riccardi⁴, Silvio Buscemi¹², Daniele Del Rio^{13,14,3}, Sumantra Ray^{3,15,16,17}, Fabio Galvano¹⁸, Eleanor Beck^{19*}, Giuseppe Grosso^{18,3*}

¹ SmartFood Program, Department of Experimental Oncology, IEO, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Milan, Italy;

² Fondazione De Marchi-Department of Pediatrics, IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy;

³ NNEdPro Global Centre for Nutrition and Health, St John's Innovation Centre, Cambridge, United Kingdom;

⁴ Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University, Naples, Italy;

⁵ Rimini Women's Health, Childhood and Adolescent Department, AUSL Romagna, Rimini, Italy;

⁶ University of Milano – Bicocca, Milan, Italy;

⁷ Care and Public Health Research Institute, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands;

⁸ Oasi Research Institute – IRCCS, Troina, Italy;

⁹ Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Policlinico-Vittorio Emanuele, Catania, Italy;

¹⁰ Food and Nutrition Security and Public Health Service, ASP Catania, Catania, Italy;

¹¹ Integrated Cancer Registry of Catania-Messina-Siracusa-Enna, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Policlinico-Vittorio Emanuele, Catania, Italy;

¹² Biomedical Department of Internal and Specialist Medicine (DIBIMIS), University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy;

¹³ School of Advanced Studies on Food and Nutrition, University of Parma, Parma, Italy;

¹⁴ Human Nutrition Unit, Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma, Parma, Italy.

¹⁵ Wolfson College at the University of Cambridge, United Kingdom;

¹⁶ Nutrition Innovation Centre for Food and Health at Ulster University, United Kingdom;

¹⁷ Medical Research Council (MRC) Human Nutrition Research Unit, Cambridge, United Kingdom;

¹⁸ Department of Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences, University of Catania, Catania, Italy;

¹⁹ School of Medicine, University of Wollongong, Northfields Avenue, Wollongong, Australia.

*Equal contribution

Corresponding author: Giuseppe Grosso, Department of Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences,
University of Catania, Via Santa Sofia 97, 95123 Catania, Italy (email: giuseppe.grosso@unict.it;
Phone/Fax +39 0954781187).

Supplementary Table 1. Summary results from meta-analyses investigating continuous linear exposure to whole grain consumption and health outcomes.

Outcome	Number of Studies	Exposure (increment)	RR (95% CI)	I2	Reference
Cancer (colon)	6	90 g/d	0.86 (0.79, 0.94)	0%	Aune et al. 2011
Cancer (colorectal)	6	90 g/d	0.83 (0.78, 0.89)	18%	Aune et al. 2011
Cancer (rectal)	6	90 g/d	0.80 (0.56, 1.14)	91%	Aune et al. 2011
T2DM	10	90 g/d	0.68 (0.58, 0.81)	82%	Aune et al. 2013
CHD	7	90 g/d	0.81 (0.75, 0.87)	9%	Aune et al. 2016
Mortality (all-cause)	10	50 g/d	0.78 (0.67, 0.91)	94%	Chen et al. 2016
Mortality (cancer)	6	50 g/d	0.82 (0.69, 0.96)	85%	Chen et al. 2016
Mortality (CVD)	10	50 g/d	0.70 (0.61, 0.79)	65%	Chen et al. 2016
CHD (mortality)	5	50 g/d	0.68 (0.55, 0.84)	61%	Chen et al. 2016
Stroke (mortality)	3	50 g/d	0.93 (0.54, 1.62)	61%	Chen et al. 2016

Abbreviations: CHD (coronary heart disease); CVD (cardiovascular disease); d (day); g (gram); RR (risk ratio); T2DM (type 2 diabetes).

Supplementary Table 2. Significance and direction of results from selected meta-analyses on whole grain consumption and health outcomes.

Outcome	Main results	Adjustment				Subgroups					Reference
		BMI	Alcohol	Education	Smoking	Men	Women	US	Europe	Asia	
Cancer (colon)	S-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Aune et al. 2011
Cancer (colorectal)	S-	S-	S-	NA	S-	S-	S-	S-	S-	0 studies	Aune et al. 2011
Cancer (prostate)	S+	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NS	NS	0 studies	Wang et al. 2015
Cancer (rectum)	NS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Aune et al. 2011
Cancer (thyroid)	NS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Liu et al. 2014
CHD	S-	S-	S-	S-	S-	NS	S-	S-	S-	NS	Aune et al. 2016
CHD (mortality)	S-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Chen et al. 2016
Mortality (all-cause)	S-	NA	S-	S-	NA	NS	S-	S-	S-	0 studies	Chen et al. 2016
Mortality (cancer)	S-	NA	S-	S-	NA	NS	NS	S-	S-	0 studies	Chen et al. 2016
Mortality (CVD)	S-	NA	S-	S-	NA	S-	S-	S-	S-	0 studies	Chen et al. 2016
Stroke (mortality)	NS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Chen et al. 2016
Stroke (total)	S-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NS	S-	S-	NS	0 studies	Fang et al. 2015
T2DM	S-	S-	S-	NA	S-	S-	S-	S-	S-	0 studies	Aune et al. 2013

Abbreviations: BMI (body mass index); CHD (coronary heart disease); CVD (cardiovascular disease); NA (not applicable); NS (not significant); S (significant); T2DM (type 2 diabetes).

Symbols “+” and “-” denote direction of the association.

Supplementary Table 3. Characteristics and main findings of meta-analyses of cohort studies (highest vs. lowest category of exposure) on whole grain consumption on overlapping outcomes over time.

Outcome	Number Of Studies	RR	I2	Reference
All-cause mortality	10	0.87 (0.84, 0.90)	67%	Wei et al. 2016
All-cause mortality	11	0.82 (0.78, 0.87)	NA	Ma et al. 2016
All-cause mortality	9	0.82 (0.77, 0.88)	83%	Aune et al. 2016
All-cause mortality	12	0.83 (0.80, 0.88)	71%	Chen et al. 2016b
Cancer mortality	7	0.89 (0.82, 0.96)	63%	Wei et al. 2016
Cancer mortality	6	0.89 (0.82, 0.96)	72%	Aune et al. 2016
Cancer mortality	2	0.85 (0.81, 0.89)	0%	Hajishafiee et al. 2016
Cancer mortality	8	0.89 (0.84, 0.95)	54%	Chen et al. 2016
CHD	15	0.77 (0.73, 0.82)	0%	Tang et al. 2015
CHD	7	0.79 (0.73, 0.86)	0%	Aune et al. 2016
CHD mortality	4	0.74 (0.64, 0.84)	NA	Anderson et al. 2000
CHD mortality	2	0.65 (0.52, 0.83)	33%	Aune et al. 2016
IHD mortality	7	0.75 (0.69, 0.83)	0%	Chen et al. 2016
CVD mortality	8	0.81 (0.74, 0.89)	57%	Wei et al. 2016
CVD mortality	7	0.81 (0.75, 0.87)	37%	Aune et al. 2016
CVD mortality	12	0.82 (0.78, 0.85)	0%	Chen et al. 2016
Stroke mortality	3	0.86 (0.74, 0.99)	34%	Aune et al. 2016
Stroke mortality	4	0.96 (0.75, 1.22)	40%	Chen et al. 2016
T2D risk	9	0.67 (0.62, 0.72)	0%	Schulze et al. 2007
T2D risk	10	0.74 (0.71, 0.78)	0%	Aune et al. 2013

Abbreviations: CHD (coronary heart disease); CVD (cardiovascular disease); IHD (ischemic heart disease); T2DM (type 2 diabetes).

Supplementary Table 4. Variables investigated to address the strength of evidence from selected meta-analyses on whole grain consumption and health outcomes.

Outcome	Heterogeneity	Dose-response analysis	Agreement over time	Potential confounding	Reference
Cancer (colon)	Ø	⊕	○	○	Aune et al. 2011
Cancer (colorectal)	Ø	⊕	○	Ø	Aune et al. 2011
Cancer (prostate)	Ø	○	○	○	Wang et al. 2015
Cancer (rectum)	⊕	⊕	○	○	Aune et al. 2011
Cancer (thyroid)	Ø	○	⊕	○	Liu et al. 2014
CHD	Ø	⊕	○	⊕	Aune et al. 2016
CHD (mortality)	Ø	⊕	⊕	○	Chen et al. 2016
Mortality (all-cause)	Ø	⊕	⊕	⊕	Chen et al. 2016
Mortality (cancer)	⊕	⊕	⊕	Ø	Chen et al. 2016
Mortality (CVD)	Ø	⊕	⊕	○	Chen et al. 2016
Stroke (mortality)	Ø	⊕	Ø	○	Chen et al. 2016
Stroke (total)	Ø	⊕	○	⊕	Fang et al. 2015
T2DM	Ø	⊕	○	Ø	Aune et al. 2013

Abbreviations: CHD (coronary heart disease); CVD (cardiovascular disease); T2DM (type 2 diabetes).

○ (denotes lacking information); Ø (denotes “no”); ⊕ (denotes “yes”).