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Problem

Driving in any situation where the available sight distance is less than that appropriate for the travel speed of the vehicle will naturally present a problem when a hazard occurs. A motorist is often forced to take some emergency action to avoid a potential accident.

In most situations on the road there are many visual cues to give motorists an indication of an appropriate travel speed as well as conferring a perception of the speed actually being travelled. These may take the form of longitudinal lines on the road, warning signs or the general roadside landscaping.

However, in fog these cues cease to be visible and motorists commonly drive at speeds well in excess of the available stopping distance, frequently unaware they are doing so having lost the cues that help create the perception of speed. This problem is obviously
accentuated on higher speed roads such as divided carriageways where the only cues are
to tell drivers they are on a high speed road and no apparent threats are available to
prompt a lowering of speed.

To address this there have been many attempts to provide Driver Aid Systems to warn
motorists of the hazards they face. One such system was provided on the Waterfall -
Bulli section of the F6 in 1974. However the continued occurrences of multiple
vehicle accidents culminating in a 66 vehicle event in 1986 suggested that the system
may no longer be as effective as was originally intended. (No research was ever
undertaken to assess whether the system actually met its objectives although this was
unlikely, given the nature of the fog in the Bulli Tops area, the way the system operated
and the fact a number of features of the original proposal were never actually installed).

**Proposed Solution**

Rather than simply replace a system which was no longer maintainable or visible in bad
fog conditions with a similar system, a research project was proposed to examine
changes in technology which had become available since the 70s and to determine
whether these could be used to develop a workable system.

The new solution proposed a dynamic, site independent system which could monitor
both the fog and the motorists and provide real-time advice to the motorist if vehicle
speed was inappropriate to the conditions.
Research

Before developing a new system based purely on the theory, a trial site was selected and research undertaken to assess the effectiveness of the arrangement outlined.

Over a three year period studies were undertaken on the characteristics of vehicles when travelling in various fog visibilities ranging from 250 m to under 50 m.

The research was undertaken in three discrete stages:

- **April - December, 1992**, characteristics of vehicles unaffected by any sign display

- **January - April, 1993**, evaluation of the 1974 sign system modified to provide some limited dynamic information

- **May, 1993 - December, 1994**, evaluation of an improved sign arrangement with full dynamic information provided on fog and speed where appropriate.

The results showed that an independent dynamic sign display could be used which would be effective in modifying vehicle speed characteristics, particularly at times when the speeds were highest without the use of such a sign.

Outcome

As a result of the results of this research project, the RTA proceeded with the design and construction of a new Driver Aid System for the F6 Waterfall-Bulli Freeway. The work involved a $3.5m contract with Telstra and was commissioned in 1996.
A number of factors in the design were directly attributable to the findings of the research including:

- the use of dynamic independent sites
- incorporation into each site of fog detection equipment, speed detection and warning signs capable of displaying appropriate warning messages for individual motorists relative to the situation
- location of the appropriate areas for the system to be located
- use of flashing warning lights in association with each sign display
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