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Honeycomb-like pure sulfur architectures were synthesized by a cooperative self-assembly strategy, in which a soft template is used to form the porous structure. Their electrochemical performance is significantly improved comparing with the commercial sulfur powder and the as-prepared sulfur without honeycomb morphology. There has been no report on using soft template to prepare honeycomb-like sulfur particles.

Clean and efficient energy storage devices are in high demand due to the limited global energy supply, environmental pollution, and the increasing consumption of energy.1, 2 The rechargeable lithium/sulfur battery has attracted significant attention due to its high theoretical specific capacity and power density.3-6. Another advantage is that sulfur is abundant in nature, inexpensive and non-toxic.9

In spite of these considerable advantages, the fabrication of lithium/sulfur batteries encounters a number of challenges. Sulfur is a highly electrically insulating material, which leads to poor electrochemical accessibility and low utilization of the sulfur in the electrode. The polysulfide anions which are formed as the reaction intermediates are highly soluble in the organic electrolyte solvent, which results in low active material utilization, low coulombic efficiency, and short cycle life of the sulfur electrode.10, 11 In order to successfully operate the lithium/sulfur battery, the elemental sulfur must be well combined with a strong adsorbent to construct a composite cathode12-15, so as to reduce the diffusion of lithium polysulfides into the electrolyte.16-19 

The most promising adsorption agents for the Li/S battery are porous materials such as porous carbon5, 12, 15, 20 with which porous structured sulfur-carbon composites are prepared by coating elemental sulfur on the surface of the porous carbon materials. The battery performance of these sulfur-carbon composites has shown significant improvement over elemental sulfur, as the porous structure has the following positive effects towards improving the electrochemical performance21: (1) the porous structure absorbs the soluble lithium polysulfides formed during discharge and reduces the dissolution of the active materials into the electrolyte; (2) the porous structure increases the contact surface area between the sulfur and the carbon black, which increases the conductivity of the electrode; (3) the porous structure electrode has a faster charge transfer process, and thus the electrochemical kinetics of the porous sulfur – porous additive electrode is improved in rechargeable lithium batteries. The previously reported methods used for preparation of sulfur – porous additive composites are quite complicated, however, as well as being time-consuming, costly, not environmentally friendly, and difficult to scale up.

It is therefore promising to try to synthesize pure sulfur with porous structure for Li/S batteries. In this study, the sulfur particles with honeycomb morphology were prepared via a cooperative self-assembly process, while sodium dodecyl benzene sulphonate (SDBS) was used as a soft template to form the porous structure. This method is simple, easily scaled up, and has low energy consumption, as shown in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information. SDBS is an excellent soft template to form porous structures.22 As shown in Figure 1, the structure of SDBS in aqueous solution can easily take on different forms, responding to the concentration of SDBS (C_{SDBS}) and the concentration of salt (C_{salt}) in the solution.23 When the concentration of SDBS is appropriate, SDBS prefers to form spherical micelles, which are essential to form a porous morphology, and this can be further confirmed by Table S2 and Figure S2. Moreover, SDBS is a non-toxic, biodegradable, and environmentally friendly surfactant. In addition, SDBS is soluble and can be removed after the reaction by using distilled water. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, there has been no report on using this method to prepare honeycomb-like sulfur particles. We believe that this method can provide useful guidance for producing high surface area sulfur for various applications, such as in chemical fertilizers, the pharmaceutical industry, the rubber and fibre industries, bioleaching processes, anti-microbial agents, insecticides, fumigants, etc.23

Figure 1. Different types of SDBS structure in aqueous solution.
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Figure 2. Mechanism of the synthesis of the honeycomb like sulfur particles.

The mechanism of the pore formation is shown in Figure 2. First, after dropping H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$ solution into Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ and SDBS solution, the SDBS lamellar micelles are transformed to spherical micelles as the concentrations of SDBS and salt decrease. At the same time, the sulfur starts to precipitate. Thus, cooperative self-assembly occurs between the sulfur and the SDBS spherical micelles. Then, many more sulfur particles are produced, resulting in further condensation. Secondly, after adding a large amount of H$_2$O into the above solution, the SDBS spherical micelles break, and the SDBS is removed. The sulfur particles with porous honeycomb-like structure are then finally obtained. Fig. 3(a) shows the XRD pattern of the prepared sulfur particles. The diffraction peaks can be indexed to the structure of space group Fd$ar{3}m$ (ICPDS No. 00-008-0247). Fig. 3(b) shows a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) image of the as-prepared sulfur particles with SDBS. It is clear that the morphology is a porous, honeycomb like structure. Fig. 3(c) shows that the sulfur particles without any pores are agglomerated when SDBS is absent. Thus, the SDBS is a critical factor for obtaining the porous sulfur particles. The comparative experiments with different starting concentrations of SDBS were carried out, and it was found that the optimum starting concentration of SDBS was 2.4 mM as shown in Table S1 and Fig. S2. The SEM image of the commercial sulfur powder in Fig. 3(d) shows that the commercial S particles have big particle size and smooth surfaces.

The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) tests have shown that the specific surface area of the commercial sulfur powder is 0.2023 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, whereas the specific surface area of the as-prepared honeycomb-like sulfur is 1.0990 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, which is about 5 times higher than that of the commercial sulfur powder.

The electrochemical performances of the honeycomb-like sulfur and the commercial sulfur particles were investigated. See the Supporting Information for details of the electrode and cell fabrication. Typical cyclic voltammograms (CV) of the porous sulfur electrode are shown in Fig. 4(a). In the first cycle, there are two main reduction peaks at around 2.4 and 1.8 V, and a small and broad peak near 2.1 V is also observed. The peak near 2.4 V corresponds to the reduction of elemental sulfur to higher-order lithium polysulfides (Li$_2$S$_n$, n $\geq$ 8). The peak near 1.8 V can be assigned to the reduction from polysulfides to Li$_2$S. The small and broad peak near 2.1 V is related to the reduction of higher-order lithium polysulfides (Li$_2$S$_x$) to form lower-order lithium polysulfides, such as Li$_2$S$_6$ and Li$_2$S$_4$.

Figure 3. (a) XRD pattern of the sulphur particles; (b) FESEM image of as-prepared honeycomb-like sulfur made with SDBS at high magnification; (c) FESEM image of as-prepared sulfur particles without SDBS; (d) FESEM image of commercial sulfur particles.
In order to verify that the porous structure is responsible for the good performance of the Li/S cell, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out on the commercial Sulfur, as-prepared Sulfur (C(SDBS)=0 mM) and honeycomb-like Sulfur electrodes after 5 cycles (Fig. 4(c)). The cell containing honeycomb-like Sulfur shows smaller charge-transfer resistance than the cell with as-prepared Sulfur (C(SDBS)=0 mM) electrode and especially the cell with commercial S electrode. Thus, the electrochemical kinetics of the honeycomb-like sulfur has been improved in rechargeable lithium batteries.

Figure 4 (d) shows the FESEM image of the honeycomb-like sulfur electrode after 50 cycles. It is clearly that the honeycomb morphology has maintained in the electrode film during cycling. A comparison of the rate capability between the honeycomb-like sulfur electrode and the commercial sulfur electrode is shown in Figure S4. And the effect of the electrolyte has also been discussed in Figure S5.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 4.** (a) Cyclic voltammograms for the first 4 cycles of the honeycomb-like sulfur electrode; (b) cycling performances of the honeycomb-like sulfur electrode, as-prepared sulfur (C(SDBS)=0 mM) electrode and the commercial sulfur electrode; (c) impedance plots for the honeycomb-like sulfur electrode, as-prepared sulfur (C(SDBS)=0 mM) electrode and the commercial sulfur electrode; (d) FESEM image of the honeycomb-like sulfur electrode after 50 cycles.

In summary, sulfur particles with honeycomb-like morphology were prepared via a cooperative self-assembly process. The batteries fabricated from the as-prepared honeycomb-like sulfur cathode without any extra adsorption additives show significantly improved electrochemical performance compared with the batteries using commercial sulfur powder and even the as-prepared sulfur without honeycomb-like morphology. The cells with the honeycomb-like sulfur electrode retained a reversible discharge capacity higher than 650 mAh g⁻¹ for 50 cycles, while the discharge capacity of the commercial sulfur electrode was about 200 mAh g⁻¹. Moreover, the honeycomb morphology is stable and can be maintained during cycling.

Financial support provided by an Australian Research Council (ARC) Linkage Project (LP100100802) and industry partner PT Nipress TbK is gratefully acknowledged. Many thanks also go to Dr. Tania Silver for critical reading of the manuscript and valuable remarks. The first author also would like to thank the University of Wollongong as sponsor of the University Postgraduate Award and the International Postgraduate Tuition Award.

**Notes and references**

High Performance Pure Sulfur Honeycomb-like Architectures Synthesized by Cooperative Self-assembly Strategy for the Lithium/Sulfur Battery

Xin Liang,* Mohammad Kaiser,* Konstantin Konstantinov,* Richard Tandiono,* Zhaoxiang Wang, Hua-Kun Liu,* Shi-Xue Dou* and Jiazhao Wang,*

*a Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials, University of Wollongong, NSW 2519, Australia. Fax: +61 2 4221 5731; Tel: +61 2 4298 1478; E-mail: jiazhao@uow.edu.au
b PT NIPRESS Tbk, Jl.Raya Narogong KM. 26 Cileungsi, Bogor 16820 Indonesia
c Laboratory for Solid State Ionics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, PO Box 603, Beijing 100190, P. R. China

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)
1. Experimental details:

Materials:

Sodium thiosulphate (Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$), oxalic acid (H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$), sulfur (S), and SDBS were all purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Australia). All the chemicals were used as received without any further purification.

Preparation of honeycomb-like sulfur:

Firstly, 3.60 g H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$ was dissolved in 250 mL distilled water at room temperature under vigorous stirring. Subsequently, 3.16 g Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ was also dissolved in 250 mL distilled water, and then 0.21 g SDBS was added and stirred vigorously. Afterwards, the H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$ solution was dropped into the Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ and SDBS solution within 20 minutes. After that, 30 minutes were allowed for the completion of the reaction under vigorous stirring. Then, over 500 mL distilled water was added into the solution. A light yellow powder was obtained after centrifuging. The light yellow powder was then dried in a vacuum oven at 45 °C for 24 hours after washing several times with distilled water and acetone. For comparison, sulfur particles without pores were prepared following a similar procedure in the absence of SDBS.

Physical Characterization:

The structure of the sulfur particles was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a GBC MMA X-ray generator and diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å), employing a scanning rate of 5°/min in the 2θ range from 10° to 70°. The morphology of the sulfur powder was investigated by field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM; JEOL JSM-7500FA). The specific surface area of the powders was examined by gas sorption analysis using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller method (Quanta Chrome Nova 1000).

Electrochemical measurements:

The working electrodes were prepared by mixing 50 wt. % as-synthesized sulfur powder with 40 wt. % carbon black and 10 wt. % polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) binder in
N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) solvent. The well-mixed slurry was tape-cast onto a sheet of aluminium foil substrates. The commercial sulfur was treated in the same way for comparison. The coated electrodes were dried in a vacuum oven at 45 °C for 48 h and then pressed. Subsequently, the electrodes were cut to a $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$ size. The loading weight of the active material is around 1 mg/ cm$^2$. 1 M lithium bistri fluoromethanesulfonamide (LiTFSI) in poly (ethylene glycol) dimethyl ether 500 (PEGDME 500) was used as electrolyte. 0.1 M LiNO$_3$ salt was used as an electrolyte additive. To compare the effect of the electrolyte, another electrolyte was also prepared, which is 1 mol/L lithium bistri fluoromethanesulfonamide (LiTFSI) in 1,3-Dioxolane(DOL) / 1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME) (1 : 1 by volume) with 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ LiNO$_3$ as an additive. CR2032 coin cells were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box. Charge-discharge testing was carried out with a LAND battery test system at a current density of 50 mA g$^{-1}$ with a voltage range of 1.5–3.0 V. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and AC impedance measurements were performed using a Biologic VMP-3 Multichannel electrochemistry workstation at a scanning rate of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$ and a frequency range of 1 00 KHz - 0.01 Hz, respectively.
2. Supplemental Table and Figures

![Figure S1. Details of the synthesis.](image)

Figure S1 shows the details of the synthesis. Solution A is 250 mL aqueous solution with 2.4 mM SDBS and 10 mM Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$, while solution B is 250 mL distilled water with 40 mM H$_2$C$_2$O$_4$. In solution A, the concentration of SDBS is much higher than 1.2 mM, and the concentration of salt (Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$) is also quite high, thus the SDBS prefers to form lamellar micelles. After dropping solution B into solution A, solution C was produced, which is 500 mL distilled water with 1.2 mM SDBS and 5 mM Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$. As both the concentrations of SDBS and salt decrease, the SDBS lamellar micelles were transformed to spherical micelles which will form when the concentration of SDBS is equal or only a little bit higher than 1.2 mM and the concentration of salt (Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$) is low. At the same time, the sulfur starts to precipitate. Thus, cooperative self-assembly occurs between the sulfur and the SDBS spherical micelles. Then, many more sulfur particles are produced, resulting in further condensation. Secondly, after adding a large amount of H$_2$O into solution C, the SDBS spherical micelles break because of the low concentration of SDBS and salt, and then the SDBS is removed. Finally, the sulfur particles with porous honeycomb-like structure are
obtained.

Table S1 Parameters of the comparative experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions (Figures in Fig.S2)</th>
<th>Starting concentration of SDBS in solution A</th>
<th>Final concentration of SDBS in solution C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Fig. S2a)</td>
<td>4.8 mM</td>
<td>2.4 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (Fig. S2b)</td>
<td>2.4 mM</td>
<td>1.2 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Fig. S2c)</td>
<td>1.2 mM</td>
<td>0.6 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (Fig. S2d)</td>
<td>0.6 mM</td>
<td>0.3 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The comparative experiments with different starting concentrations of SDBS were carried out. As shown in table S1, there are four different starting concentrations of SDBS were applied, 4.8 mM, 2.4 mM, 1.2 mM and 0.6 mM respectively. After adding 250 mL 40 mM H₂C₂O₄ solution (solution B) into solution A, the concentrations of SDBS in solution C have reduced to 2.4 mM, 1.2 mM, 0.6 mM, 0.3 mM respectively.
Figure S2. FESEM images of the as-prepared sulfur with different starting concentrations of SDBS: (a) 4.8 mM, (b) 2.4 mM, (c) 1.2 mM, (d) 0.6 mM.

Fig. S2 presents the relative FESEM images of the obtained sulfur particles in the comparison experiments. It is shown in Fig. S2a that there is not any porous structure of the sulfur particles can be observed when the start concentration of the SDBS is 4.8 mM. Because after adding solution B into solution A, the concentration of SDBS reduced to 2.4 mM, thus during all the reaction, the concentration of SDBS is much higher than 1.2 mM, which is the critical micelle concentration of SDBS to form spherical micelles. The second condition was using 2.4 mM as the starting concentration of SDBS, after dropping solution B into solution A, the concentration of SDBS decreased to 1.2 mM, and has been kept for 30 mins. Thus, the cooperative self-assembly occurs between the sulfur and the SDBS spherical micelles. Fig S2b shows that honeycomb-like porous sulfur was obtained. In condition 3, the starting concentration of SDBS is 1.2 mM, so in solution A SDBS exists as spherical micelles, thus, a little amount precipitated sulfur can cooperative self-assemble with the
spherical SDBS micelles at the very beginning of the reaction after adding solution B. That’s why there some pores have been observed in Fig. S2c. In the fourth condition, 0.6mM was used as the starting concentration of SDBS, after the reaction, the final concentration of SDBS is only 0.3 mM, the concentration of SDBS is much lower than the critical micelle concentration of SDBS (1.2 mM). thus there is no spherical micelles exist during all the reaction progress, therefore the FESEM images of the obtained sulfur in Fig S2d shows no pores either. Based on the discussion above, it was found that the optimum starting concentration of SDBS was 2.4 mM.
Figure S3. The charge/discharge profiles of the selected cycles of the honeycomb-like cathode.

The charge-discharge profiles in Fig. S4 are assigned to the two-step reaction of sulfur with lithium, a typical process in Li/S batteries. The charge and discharge potential values of the upper and lower plateaus are stabilized at approximately 2.5 and 2.27 V, and 2.44 and 1.97 V, respectively.
A comparison of the rate capability between the honeycomb-like sulfur electrode and the commercial sulfur electrode is shown in Fig. S4. The discharge capacity of the honeycomb-like sulfur electrode decreases gradually as the current density is increased from 50 to 1600 mA g$^{-1}$, and then it recovers most of its original capacity when the current density is reduced back to 50 mA g$^{-1}$. After 25 cycles, its discharge capability can still reach 900 mAh g$^{-1}$. In contrast, the rate capability of the commercial sulfur electrode is very bad. When the current density rises to 1600 mA g$^{-1}$, the retained discharge capacity of the commercial sulfur electrode is only about 20 mAh g$^{-1}$. 

Figure S4. The rate capabilities of the honeycomb-like sulfur electrode and the commercial sulfur electrode
Figure S5. The rate capabilities of honeycomb-like sulfur electrode in PEGDME 500 and DOL/DME(1/1).

It is well known that compared to PEGDME 500 solvent, 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) have good ionic conductivity and low viscosity which is essential to enhance the high rate capabilities[1, 2]. Thereby, in order to optimize the high rate behaviour of the honeycomb-like sulfur cathode, 1 mol/L lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) in a mixed solvent of 1,3-Dioxolane(DOL) / 1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME) (1 : 1 by volume) with 0.1 mol L⁻¹ LiNO₃ as an additive was used as electrolyte to test the rate capabilities of the honeycomb-like sulfur cathode as well. As shown in Figure S5, when the current densities are lower than 400 mA g⁻¹, the honeycomb-like sulfur cathode in the electrolyte with a mixed solvent of 1,3-Dioxolane(DOL)/1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME) (1 : 1 by volume) shows lower capacities than in the electrolyte with solvent of PEGDME due to high solubility of polysulfides in DOL/DME. But when current densities are higher than 400 mA g⁻¹, the honeycomb-like sulfur cathode in the electrolyte with a mixed solvent of 1,3-Dioxolane(DOL)/1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME) (1 : 1 by volume) presents much higher capacities than in the electrolyte with solvent of PEGDME. In addition, when the current density is increasing, the improvement becomes much obvious. Specifically, the capacities has been improved 140mAh g⁻¹ at 800 mA g⁻¹ and 250 mAh g⁻¹ at 1600 mA g⁻¹. It further confirmed that the high-rate performance depends on the ion diffusion speed and the electronic conductivity of the electrolyte solvent.