



UNIVERSITY  
OF WOLLONGONG  
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong  
Research Online

---

Faculty of Social Sciences - Papers

Faculty of Social Sciences

---

2012

# Preschool programs for the general population

Edward Melhuish

*University of Wollongong*, [melhuish@uow.edu.au](mailto:melhuish@uow.edu.au)

Jacqueline Barnes

*University of London*

---

## Publication Details

Melhuish, E. & Barnes, J. (2012). Preschool programs for the general population. In R. E. Tremblay, M. Boivin & R. D. Peters (Eds.), *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* (pp. 1-7). Montreal, Canada: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library:  
[research-pubs@uow.edu.au](mailto:research-pubs@uow.edu.au)

---

# Preschool programs for the general population

## **Abstract**

There are several small-scale randomized controlled trial (RCT) studies in the United States documenting the benefits of curriculum-led experimental preschool programs and "pre-kindergarten" education for long-term educational, occupational and social outcomes for disadvantaged children. In addition a larger-scale quasi-experimental study in Chicago found similar benefits up to age 28 of sustained, publicly-funded early education to subsequent education, socio-economic status, health and crime for a disadvantaged population. Such programs are cost-effective with disadvantaged groups, at risk for poor outcomes, in that the savings outweigh any costs. Besides benefits for disadvantaged groups, there is strong evidence that preschool education, whether or not a specialized program or routine provision, is beneficial for the general population. Studies of population-representative samples in the U.S. find benefits for school readiness of prekindergarten experiences, with greater if preschool started between 2 and 3 years of age. Similar evidence also occurs outside the U.S. and effects are long-term (e.g., preschool prior to compulsory education at age 5 in a population sample was associated with increased qualifications, employment and earnings up to age 33). In France, preschool (*école maternelle*) is a universal, free, education program with access from age 3. During the 1960s and 1970s large-scale expansion in France led to the enrollment of 3-year-olds increasing from 35% to 90% and of 4-year-olds from 60% to 100%. Based on state-collected data of representative samples there were sizable and persistent effects indicating that preschool helps children succeed in school and obtain higher wages in the labor market. Preschool also appeared to reduce socioeconomic inequalities as children from less advantaged backgrounds benefitted more than the more advantaged. Likewise in Switzerland the impact of preschool expansion was associated with improved intergenerational educational mobility with children from disadvantaged backgrounds benefiting most. Further evidence comes from the expansion of preschool education for 3 to 6 year olds in Norway during the 1970s, where examining differential implementation of preschool by municipalities and population education and employment data, it was found that preschool participation was associated with strong benefits for later educational and labor market outcomes across the population.

## **Keywords**

population, programs, preschool, general

## **Disciplines**

Education | Social and Behavioral Sciences

## **Publication Details**

Melhuish, E. & Barnes, J. (2012). Preschool programs for the general population. In R. E. Tremblay, M. Boivin & R. D. Peters (Eds.), *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* (pp. 1-7). Montreal, Canada: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development.

## Preschool Programs for the General Population

*EDWARD MELHUIISH, PhD*

*JACQUELINE BARNES, PhD*

*Institute for the Study of Children, Families & Social Issues,  
Birkbeck, University of London, UNITED KINGDOM*

*(Published online December 2012)*

### **Topic**

[Preschool programs](#)

### **Introduction and Subject**

There are several small-scale randomized controlled trial (RCT) studies in the United States documenting the benefits of curriculum-led experimental preschool programs and “pre-kindergarten” education for long-term educational, occupational and social outcomes for disadvantaged children.<sup>1</sup> In addition a larger-scale quasi-experimental study<sup>2</sup> in Chicago found similar benefits up to age 28 of sustained, publicly-funded early education to subsequent education, socio-economic status, health and crime for a disadvantaged population. Such programs are cost-effective with disadvantaged groups, at risk for poor outcomes, in that the savings outweigh any costs.<sup>3</sup>

Besides benefits for disadvantaged groups, there is strong evidence that preschool education, whether or not a specialized program or routine provision, is beneficial for the general population. Studies of population-representative samples in the U.S. find benefits for school readiness of prekindergarten experiences,<sup>4,5</sup> with greater if preschool started between 2 and 3 years of age.<sup>6</sup> Similar evidence also occurs outside the U.S.<sup>7,8</sup> and effects are long-term (e.g., preschool prior to compulsory education at age 5 in a population sample was associated with increased qualifications, employment and earnings up to age 33).<sup>9</sup> In France, preschool (école maternelle) is a universal, free, education program with access from age 3. During the 1960s and 1970s large-scale expansion in France led to the enrollment of 3-year-olds increasing from 35% to 90% and of 4-year-olds from 60% to 100%. Based on state-collected data of representative samples there were sizable and persistent effects indicating that preschool helps children succeed in school and obtain higher wages in the labor market. Preschool also appeared to reduce socioeconomic inequalities as children from less advantaged backgrounds benefitted more than the more advantaged.<sup>10</sup> Likewise in Switzerland the impact of preschool expansion was associated with improved intergenerational educational mobility with children from disadvantaged backgrounds benefiting most.<sup>11</sup> Further evidence comes from the expansion of preschool education for 3 to 6 year olds in Norway during the 1970s, where examining differential implementation of preschool by municipalities and population education and employment data, it was found that preschool participation

was associated with strong benefits for later educational and labor market outcomes across the population.<sup>12</sup>

### **Recent Research Results**

A meta-analysis of 125 preschool studies concluded that preschool was associated with substantial effects for both cognitive and socio-emotional outcomes often through to adulthood. Preschool programs with a greater emphasis on educational experiences appeared to have larger effect sizes.<sup>13</sup> Most of the research on early childhood education and care (ECEC) has occurred in developed countries. However some research has focused on the potential for ECEC to improve general population outcomes for developing countries. For example, preschool was found to boost primary school achievement in Bangladesh,<sup>14</sup> with similar results reported in a review of studies from ten countries.<sup>15</sup> With the expansion of preschool provision in Uruguay comparisons were possible of a) siblings with and without preschool and b) regions varying in preschool expansion. The study revealed clear benefits in terms of academic achievement from preschool up to secondary school.<sup>16</sup> Similar analyses in Argentina found that one year of preschool was associated with primary school attainment increases by a moderate but important degree.<sup>17</sup> A review of the available evidence<sup>18</sup> concluded that increasing preschool enrolment was amongst the most effective ways of improving child outcomes and would have substantial benefits with a very favourable benefit-to-cost ratio.

Critically in the experimental intervention studies<sup>19,3,2</sup> the quality of the preschool was high. General population studies from the U.S.<sup>20</sup> and England<sup>7,8</sup> provide more variability in quality and indicate that the quality of universal preschool is critical for longer-term beneficial effects. In England, controlling for background influences, the comparison of high-quality preschool with no preschool on age 11 outcomes, where quality was measured by standardized observations, revealed effects that were moderate for literacy and substantial for numeracy and that were important for later educational progress.<sup>8</sup> Low-quality preschool had no beneficial effect. Preschool effects deriving from, on average, 18 months of preschool were similar to those for six years of primary school. The beneficial effects of preschool education on educational achievement and social development have been found up to age 14 in this English study.<sup>21,22</sup> Similar results were obtained in a parallel study conducted in Northern Ireland; children who had attended high quality preschool were 2.4 times more likely to attain the highest grade in national assessments at age 11 in English, and 3.4 times more likely in mathematics, than children without preschool.<sup>23</sup>

The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) examined educational attainment data for 65 countries, finding that literacy at age 15 was strongly associated with preschool participation in countries where a large proportion of the population use preschool, where preschool is for more months, and where there were measures to maintain the quality of preschool. They concluded that widening access to preschool can improve performance and equity by reducing socioeconomic disparities, if extending coverage does not compromise quality.<sup>24</sup>

Some studies have looked in more detail about the specific skills that are related to experiencing more, high-quality preschool. Preschool experiences can improve children's longer-term executive functioning,<sup>25</sup> linked to enhanced cognitive and social-emotional outcomes. Similar long-term effects can be seen for specific areas of educational attainment such as mathematics,<sup>26,7,23</sup> scientific thinking<sup>27</sup> and literacy.<sup>8,23</sup>

### **Determining Causality**

Randomized controlled trial designs are generally not feasible with preschool provision for the general population, and non-experimental designs are the norm. Hence it is possible that the associations found between preschool experience and development, reflect selection effects. These issues have been discussed extensively,<sup>28</sup> and while it remains possible that unmeasured variables are the basis of a selection effect (omitted variable bias) the interpretation that associations are the result of casual effects of preschool experiences is strengthened by the inclusion of statistical control for many possible basis-for-selection covariates, reflecting child, family and sometimes neighbourhood characteristics, as for example in the EPPE (effective provision of preschool education) study.<sup>7,8</sup>

Another approach to this problem is the use of change models. If differences exist prior to preschool experience this would support the selection effect interpretation; conversely if developmental differences emerge after preschool this supports a casual interpretation. As preschool experience has been found to be not only associated with post-preschool development, but also with enhanced progress over the preschool period,<sup>29</sup> this further supports a casual interpretation. Another strategy is the regression-discontinuity design. Comparing “young” kindergarten children who had just completed preschool to “old” preschool children just beginning preschool, the results clearly indicated preschool effects upon school readiness test scores.<sup>30</sup>

Other evidence supportive of a casual interpretation of preschool effects comes from a study of twins.<sup>31</sup> Longitudinal data from a nationally-representative sample over 600 monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs shows the contributions of genes, shared environment and non-shared environment to cognitive development for children varying in preschool experience. Attending preschool was associated with reductions in shared environmental influences on academic skills at kindergarten entry and was prospectively associated with reduced family-level influences on academic skills. Before preschool the contribution of shared environment influences on cognition was similar for preschool and non-preschool groups but after preschool, shared environment influences were 43-47% of variance for the preschool group, while for the non-preschool group they were 72-83% of the variance.

In summary the evidence overwhelmingly supports a causal interpretation of the long-term effects of preschool education.

### **Implications for Policy**

Such evidence has fueled an increasing interest in the universal provision of preschool education as a means of advancing the school readiness and later attainment of children

and their subsequent social, economic and occupational success.<sup>3,32</sup> Indeed some argue that preschool experience is critical for children's future competence, coping skills, health, success in the labor market, and consequently the social and economic health of the nation.<sup>33</sup> In a technologically sophisticated world a population's educational attainment is likely to be increasingly important for a nation's economic development, as argued by the US Federal Reserve chair, "Research increasingly has shown the benefits of early childhood education and efforts to promote the lifelong acquisition of skills for both individuals and the economy as a whole. The payoffs of early childhood programs can be especially high."<sup>34</sup> Thus preschool education is not only an intervention for disadvantaged groups and a means of advancing educational and social development for all, but also it becomes part of the infrastructure for economic development. Some countries (e.g., China) appear to have taken this perspective on board in their focused development of preschool provision.<sup>35</sup>

## REFERENCES

1. Schweinhart LJ. Preschool programs for children in disadvantaged families. Melhuish E, topic ed. In: Tremblay RE, Boivin M, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development and Strategic Knowledge Cluster on Early Child Development; 2012:1-5. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/SchweinhartANGxp2.pdf>. Accessed November 20, 2012.
2. Reynolds, A.J., Temple, J.A., Ou, S., Arteaga, I.A., & White, B. (2011). School-Based Early Childhood Education and Age-28 Well-Being: Effects by Timing, Dosage, and Subgroups. *Science*, 333, 360-364.
3. Heckman, J.J. (2006). Skill formation and the economics of investing in disadvantaged children. *Science*, 132, 1900-1902.
4. Gormley, W., Phillips, D., & Gayer, T. (2008). Preschool programs can boost school readiness. *Science*, 320, 1723-1724.
5. Magnuson, K., Meyers, M., Ruhm, C., & Waldfogel, J. (2004). Inequality in preschool education and school readiness. *American Educational Research Journal*, 41, 115-157.
6. Loeb, S., Bridges, M., Bassok, D., Fuller, B., & Rumberger, R.W. (2007). How much is too much? The influence of pre-school centers on children's social and cognitive development. *Economics of Education Review*, 26, 52-66.
7. Melhuish, E.C., Sylva, K., Sammons, P., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Phan, M. & Malin, A. (2008a). *Preschool influences on mathematics achievement*. *Science*, 321, 1161-1162.
8. Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., and Hunt, S. (2008b). *Influences on Children's Attainment and Progress in Key Stage 2: Cognitive outcomes in Year 6*. London: DCSF.
9. Goodman, A. & Sianesi, B. (2005). Early education and children's outcomes: How long do the impacts last? *Fiscal Studies*, 26, 513-548.

10. Dumas, C., Lefranc, A., (2010). *Early schooling and later outcomes: Evidence from preschool extension in France*. Thema Working Paper n°2010-07. Université de Cergy Pontoise, France, 2010.
11. Bauer, P. C., & Riphahn, R. T. (2009). Age at school entry and intergenerational educational mobility. *Economics Letters*, 103, 87-90.
12. Havnes, T. & Mogstad, M. (2011). No Child Left Behind: Subsidized Child Care and Children's Long-Run Outcomes. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 3(2): 97–129.
13. Camilli, G., Vargas, S., Ryan, S., & Barnett, W.S. (2010). Meta-analysis of the effects of early education interventions on cognitive and social development. *Teachers College Record*, 112(3), 579-620.
14. Aboud, F. E., (2006). Evaluation of an early childhood pre-school in rural Bangladesh. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 21, 46-60.
15. Montie, J. E., Xiang, Z., & Schweinhart, L. J. (2006). Preschool experience in 10 countries: cognitive and language performance at age 7. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 21, 313-331.
16. Berlinski, S., & Galiani, S., Manacorda, M. (2008). Giving children a better start: Preschool attendance and school-age profiles. *Journal of Public Economics*, 92, 1416-1440.
17. Berlinski, S., Galiani, S., Gertler, P. (2009). The effect of pre-primary education on primary school performance. *Journal of Public Economics*, 93, 219-234.
18. Engle, P. L., Black, M. M., Behrman, J. R., Cabral de Mello, M., Gertler, P. J., Kapiriri, L., et al. (2007). Strategies to avoid the loss of developmental potential in more than 200 million children in the developing world. *Lancet*, 369, 229-242.
19. Barnett, W. S. (1995). Long Term Effects of Early Childhood Programmes on Cognitive and School Outcomes. *The Future of Children: Long Term Outcomes of Early Childhood Programmes*, 5(3), 94 -114.
20. Vandell, D. L., Belsky, J., Burchinall, M., Steinberg, L., & Vandergrift, N. (2010). Do Effects of Early Child Care Extend to Age 15 Years? Results From the NICHD Study of Early Child Care and Youth Development. *Child Development*, 81, 737-756.
21. Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Toth, K., Draghici, D., & Smees, R. (2012). Effective Pre-School, Primary and Secondary Education Project (EPPSE 3-14) - Influences on students' attainment and progress in Key Stage 3: Academic outcomes in English, maths and science in Year 9. London: DfE.
22. Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Draghici, D., Smees, R., & Toth, K. (2012). Effective Pre-School, Primary and Secondary Education Project (EPPSE 3-14) - Influences on students' development in Key Stage 3: Social-behavioural outcomes in Year 9. London: DfE..
23. Melhuish, E., Quinn, L., Sylva, K., Sammons, P., Siraj-Blatchford, I., and Taggart, B. (2010). *Pre-school Experience and Key Stage 2 performance in English and Mathematics*. Belfast: Dept for Education, Northern Ireland..
24. OECD (2011). *Pisa in Focus 2011/1: Does participation in pre-primary education translate into better learning outcomes at school?.* Paris: OECD.

## PRESCHOOL PROGRAMS

25. Diamond, A., & Lee, K. (2011). Interventions shown to aid executive function development in children 4 to 12 years old. *Science*, 333, 959-964.
26. Clement, D.H., & sarama, J. (2011). Early childhood mathematics intervention. *Science*, 333, 968-970.
27. Klahr, D., Zimmerman, C., & Jirout, J. (2011). Educational interventions to advance children's scientific thinking. *Science*, 333, 971-975.
28. Duncan, G.J., & Gibson-Davis, C.M. (2006). Connecting child-care quality to child outcomes: Drawing policy lessons from non-experimental data. *Evaluation Review*, 30, 611-630.
29. Sammons, P., Elliot, K., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E.C., Siraj-Blatchford, I., & Taggart, B. (2004). The impact of pre-school on young children's cognitive attainments at entry to reception. *British Educational Research Journal*, 30, 691-712.
30. Gormley, W.T., Gayer, T., Phillips, D., & Dawson, B. (2005). The effects of universal pre-k on cognitive development. *Developmental Psychology*, 41, 872-884.
31. Tucker-Drob, E. M. (2012). Preschools reduce early academic achievement gaps: A longitudinal twin approach. *Psychological Science*, 23, 310-319.
32. E. Zigler, W. Gilliam, S. Jones, A Vision for Universal Preschool Education Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2006.
33. McCain, N., Mustard, J.F., & McCuaig, K. (2011). *Early Years Study 3: Making decisions, taking action*. Toronto, ON: McCain Family Foundation.
34. Bernanke, B.S. (2011). *Challenges for State and Local Governments, Speech*, New York, 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2011.
35. Shenglan, L. (2006). Reformation and development of kindergarten care and education in the people's republic of china since the 1990s. In E.C. Melhuish, K. Petrogiannis (Eds.) *Early childhood care and education: International perspectives on policy and research*, (pp. 151-166). Routledge: London.

To cite this document:

Melhuish E, Barnes J. Preschool programs for the general population. Melhuish E, topic ed. In: Tremblay RE, Boivin M, Peters RDeV, eds. *Encyclopedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development and Strategic Knowledge Cluster on Early Child Development; 2012:1-7. Available at: <http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/Melhuish-BarnesANGxp1.pdf>. Accessed [insert date].

***This article is produced by:***



STRATEGIC KNOWLEDGE  
CLUSTER ON EARLY

*child development*

***with support from:***



Margaret & Wallace McCain  
Family Foundation