



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

2017

Improved social interaction, recognition and working memory with cannabidiol treatment in a prenatal infection (poly I:C) rat model

Ashleigh L. Osborne

University of Wollongong, alo649@uowmail.edu.au

Nadia Solowij

University of Wollongong, nadia@uow.edu.au

Ilijana Babic

University of Wollongong, ib171@uowmail.edu.au

Xu-Feng Huang

University of Wollongong, xhuang@uow.edu.au

Katrina Weston-Green

University of Wollongong, kweston@uow.edu.au

Publication Details

Osborne, A. L., Solowij, N., Babic, I., Huang, X. & Weston-Green, K. (2017). Improved social interaction, recognition and working memory with cannabidiol treatment in a prenatal infection (poly I:C) rat model. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 42 1447-1457.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Improved social interaction, recognition and working memory with cannabidiol treatment in a prenatal infection (poly I:C) rat model

Abstract

Neuropsychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia are associated with cognitive impairment, including learning, memory and attention deficits. Antipsychotic drugs are limited in their efficacy to improve cognition; therefore, new therapeutic agents are required. Cannabidiol (CBD), the non-intoxicating component of cannabis, has anti-inflammatory, neuroprotective and antipsychotic-like properties; however, its ability to improve the cognitive deficits of schizophrenia remains unclear. Using a prenatal infection model, we examined the effect of chronic CBD treatment on cognition and social interaction. Time-mated pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats ($n=16$) were administered polyinosinic-polycytidilic acid (poly I:C) (POLY; 4 mg/kg) or saline (CONT) at gestation day 15. Male offspring (PN56) were injected twice daily with 10 mg/kg CBD (CONT+CBD, POLY+CBD; $n=12$ per group) or vehicle (VEH; CONT+VEH, POLY+VEH; $n=12$ per group) for 3 weeks. Body weight, food and water intake was measured weekly. The Novel Object Recognition and rewarded T-maze alternation tests assessed recognition and working memory, respectively, and the social interaction test assessed sociability. POLY+VEH offspring exhibited impaired recognition and working memory, and reduced social interaction compared to CONT+VEH offspring (pvsPOLY +VEH), did not affect total body weight gain, food or water intake, and had no effect in control animals (all $p>0.05$). In conclusion, chronic CBD administration can attenuate the social interaction and cognitive deficits induced by prenatal poly I:C infection. These novel findings present interesting implications for potential use of CBD in treating the cognitive deficits and social withdrawal of schizophrenia.

Keywords

interaction, improved, recognition, working, memory, social, cannabidiol, model, treatment, prenatal, infection, (poly, i:c), rat

Disciplines

Medicine and Health Sciences

Publication Details

Osborne, A. L., Solowij, N., Babic, I., Huang, X. & Weston-Green, K. (2017). Improved social interaction, recognition and working memory with cannabidiol treatment in a prenatal infection (poly I:C) rat model. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 42 1447-1457.

1 Title: Improved social interaction, recognition and working memory with cannabidiol
2 treatment in a prenatal infection (poly I:C) rat model.

3

4 Ashleigh L. Osborne (BMHlthSc Hons)^{1,4}, Nadia Solowij (PhD)², Ilijana Babic
5 (BMHlthSc Hons)^{1,3,4}, Xu-Feng Huang (DSc, PhD, MBBS)^{1,4}, Katrina Weston-Green
6 (PhD)^{1,4*}

7

8 ¹Centre for Translational Neuroscience, School of Medicine, Faculty of Science,
9 Medicine and Health, and Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute, University
10 of Wollongong, NSW, 2522, Australia

11 ²School of Psychology, Faculty of Social Sciences and Illawarra Health and Medical
12 Research Institute, University of Wollongong, NSW, 2522, Australia

13 ³Illawarra Shoalhaven Local Health District, Wollongong, NSW, 2522, Australia

14 ⁴Centre for Medical and Molecular Biosciences, Faculty of Science, Medicine and
15 Health, University of Wollongong, NSW, 2522, Australia

16

17 *Corresponding Author:

18 Dr Katrina Weston-Green

19 School of Medicine

20 Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

21 University of Wollongong

22 Northfields Avenue, Wollongong, NSW 2522 Australia

23 Tel: +61 2 4252 8506 E: katrina_green@uow.edu.au

24

25 Running Title: Cannabidiol improves poly I:C-induced behavioural deficits.

26 **Abstract**

27 Neuropsychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia are associated with cognitive
28 impairment, including learning, memory and attention deficits. Antipsychotic drugs are
29 limited in their efficacy to improve cognition; therefore, new therapeutic agents are
30 required. Cannabidiol (CBD), the non-intoxicating component of cannabis, has anti-
31 inflammatory, neuroprotective and antipsychotic-like properties, however, its ability to
32 improve the cognitive deficits of schizophrenia remains unclear. Using a prenatal
33 infection model, we examined the effect of chronic CBD treatment on cognition and
34 social interaction. Time-mated pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats (n=16) were administered
35 poly I:C (POLY; 4 mg/kg) or saline (CONT) at gestation day 15. Male offspring (PN56)
36 were injected twice daily with 10 mg/kg CBD (CONT+CBD, POLY+CBD;
37 n=12/group) or vehicle (VEH; CONT+VEH, POLY+VEH; n=12/group) for 3 weeks.
38 Body weight, food and water intake was measured weekly. The Novel Object
39 Recognition and rewarded T-maze alternation tests assessed recognition and working
40 memory, respectively, and the social interaction test assessed sociability. POLY+VEH
41 offspring exhibited impaired recognition and working memory and reduced social
42 interaction compared to CONT+VEH offspring ($p < 0.01$). CBD treatment significantly
43 improved recognition, working memory and social interaction deficits in the poly I:C
44 model ($p < 0.01$ vs. POLY+VEH), did not affect total body weight gain, food or water
45 intake, and had no effect in control animals (all $p > 0.05$). In conclusion, chronic CBD
46 administration can attenuate the social interaction and cognitive deficits induced by
47 prenatal poly I:C infection. These novel findings present interesting implications for
48 potential use of CBD in treating the cognitive deficits and social withdrawal of
49 schizophrenia.

50 **INTRODUCTION**

51 Evidence suggests that exposure to a prenatal infection during the first or second
52 trimester of pregnancy can disrupt neurodevelopment, increasing the risk of developing
53 neuropsychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia (Meyer, 2013). Prenatal infection with
54 polyinosinic-polycytidilic acid (poly I:C), a synthetic double-stranded RNA virus, is a
55 well-documented rodent model of schizophrenia-like phenotypes (Meyer, 2014; Meyer
56 and Feldon, 2012). Following administration to the pregnant dam, poly I:C binds to the
57 toll-like receptor 3 and initiates a maternal immune response that raises pro-
58 inflammatory cytokines in the placenta, amniotic fluid and foetal brain (Meyer, 2013;
59 Meyer *et al*, 2009). Neuro-inflammation in the foetal brain activates microglia, causing
60 white matter injury and neuronal apoptosis (Meyer, 2013). Consequently, behavioural
61 (e.g. deficits in cognition, social interaction and sensorimotor gating), neurochemical
62 (e.g. striatal dopaminergic hyperfunction) and structural (e.g. reduced hippocampal and
63 prefrontal cortical volumes) brain changes are observed in poly I:C offspring, which
64 reflect observations in schizophrenia patients (as reviewed in Meyer, (2014)). These
65 alterations emerge in the offspring during early adulthood, reflecting the delayed onset
66 of schizophrenia symptoms observed in the clinic (Meyer, 2013). Moreover, some of
67 the behavioural and structural changes induced by poly I:C can be reversed with
68 clozapine and risperidone administration (Piontkewitz *et al*, 2009, 2011). Therefore, the
69 poly I:C model shows construct, face and predictive validity, making it a useful tool to
70 investigate pharmacological interventions for the treatment of schizophrenia (Meyer,
71 2014).

72
73 Cognitive dysfunction, including impairments to memory, attention and executive
74 functioning, is experienced by 75-85% of people with schizophrenia (Barch and Ceaser,

75 2012). Cognitive deficits often precede the onset of other symptoms and are associated
76 with poor medication compliance and a higher tendency for relapse in first episode
77 psychosis (Meyer *et al*, 2011b). In fact, cognitive deficits are thought to be a better
78 prognostic indicator in patients than other symptom domains, as earlier disease onset
79 correlates with the severity of cognitive dysfunction (Gray and Roth, 2007) and
80 cognitive dysfunction predicts clinical course and future functional outcomes (Green,
81 2006). The negative symptoms, which include alogia (lack of speech), avolition (lack of
82 motivation), anhedonia (inability to feel pleasure) and social withdrawal, are frequently
83 associated with disturbances to psychosocial functioning, relationships, employment
84 and overall quality of life (Lindenmayer *et al*, 2013). While the positive symptoms of
85 schizophrenia (hallucinations and delusions) are usually controlled by antipsychotic
86 drug (APD) treatment, the drugs have minimal efficacy to improve the cognitive
87 symptoms (Gray and Roth, 2007) and one-third of patients who experience negative
88 symptoms are APD-resistant (Lindenmayer *et al*, 2013). Furthermore, APDs can cause
89 side effects, particularly motor and metabolic (obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus)
90 disturbances (Weston-Green *et al*, 2013). Therefore, there is a requirement to improve
91 the pharmacological treatment of schizophrenia.

92
93 A growing body of evidence demonstrates that cannabidiol (CBD), a component of
94 *Cannabis sativa*, prevents hallucinations and cognitive impairment induced by cannabis
95 and delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ 9-THC) administration (reviewed in Schubart *et al*,
96 (2014)). Studies reported that CBD limited the positive and negative schizophrenia-like
97 phenotypes in the MK-801 model, suggesting that CBD has antipsychotic potential
98 (reviewed in Schubart *et al*, (2014)). These findings translate to the clinic, where CBD
99 treatment improved positive and negative symptoms in schizophrenia patients,

100 performing comparably to a current APD, amisulpride, with fewer side effects (Leweke
101 *et al*, 2012). A number of preclinical models of cognitive impairment suggest that CBD
102 improves learning and memory (as reviewed in Osborne *et al*, (2016)); however, the
103 effect of CBD on the cognitive deficits of schizophrenia is unclear. For example, acute
104 CBD did not improve selective attention in schizophrenia outpatients (Hallak *et al*,
105 2010), or MK-801-induced social recognition memory deficits in rats (Deiana *et al*,
106 2015). CBD was protective against MK-801-induced object recognition memory
107 impairment at high doses (Gomes *et al*, 2015); its effect on other cognitive domains
108 impaired in schizophrenia (i.e. working memory) is unknown. A disadvantage of
109 pharmacological models is that they do not incorporate neurodevelopmental or genetic
110 approaches, which underlie the aetiology of schizophrenia in humans (Mouri *et al*,
111 2013). Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine whether chronic CBD
112 treatment could improve recognition and working memory impairment and social
113 withdrawal in a neurodevelopmental model of schizophrenia-like phenotypes. Body
114 weight, food and water intake was also measured to determine whether CBD induces
115 weight gain or hyperphagia.

116

117 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

118 This study is reported in accordance with the Animal Research: Reporting of *In Vivo*
119 Experiments (ARRIVE) guidelines (Kilkenny *et al*, 2010). The completed ‘ARRIVE
120 Guidelines Checklist’ for reporting animal data in this manuscript is included in the
121 Supplementary Information (Figure S1).

122

123 **Ethics Statement**

124 Experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the
125 University of Wollongong, Wollongong NSW, Australia (AE15/05) and complied with
126 the Australian Code of Practice for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes
127 (NHMRC, 2013). All efforts were made to minimize the number and suffering of
128 animals.

129

130 **Animals and Drug Treatment**

131 Sixteen time-mated pregnant (gestation day 8) Sprague-Dawley rats (12 weeks old)
132 were obtained from the Animal Resources Centre (Perth, Australia), housed at the
133 Animal Research Facility, University of Wollongong and habituated to the new
134 environment for one week. Rats were housed individually on corncob bedding with
135 plastic tunnels and nesting material for environmental enrichment, under a light-dark
136 cycle (photophase 19:00-07:00 h) at 22°C and allowed *ad libitum* access to standard
137 laboratory chow (3.9 kcal/g; 10% fat, 74% carbohydrate, 16% protein) and water. On
138 gestation day 15 (GD15; at 09:00 h), dams received a single intravenous injection (1
139 ml/kg) of either poly I:C (4 mg/kg, Sigma-Aldrich, Sydney, Australia; n=8) or saline
140 (control; n=8) to the lateral tail vein. The dose, route of administration and timing of
141 poly I:C administration was based on previous studies, in which behavioural
142 impairments observed in the offspring mimic negative/cognitive schizophrenia-like
143 phenotypes (Meyer and Feldon, 2012). Following birth, pups were culled to
144 approximately 10 pups per litter and offspring were maintained with their respective
145 dams until weaning on postnatal day 21 (PN21). Offspring were then pair-housed with
146 littermates, with *ad libitum* access to standard laboratory chow and water. Pairs of male
147 offspring were assigned to receive intraperitoneal (i.p) injections of either CBD (10
148 mg/kg; THC-Pharm GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany) dissolved in Tween 80 and saline

149 (vehicle; 1:16 (v/v); Sigma-Aldrich, Sydney, Australia), or vehicle alone (injection
150 volume of 5 ml/kg), with an even spread of litters in each treatment group in order to
151 control for potential litter effects. Drug solutions were prepared immediately prior to
152 each drug administration, which occurred from PN 56, equating to late
153 adolescence/early adulthood in humans (Andersen and Navalta, 2004). Treatments were
154 administered for 3 weeks, twice a day, at 12 hourly intervals (07:00 h, 19:00 h), based
155 on the half-life of CBD in the rat brain following i.p. injection (Deiana *et al*, 2011). The
156 CBD dosage and treatment duration was based on previous studies that reported
157 positive effects of CBD on cognition in inflammation-based rodent models (Barichello
158 *et al*, 2012; Cassol-Jr *et al*, 2010; Fagherazzi *et al*, 2011; Schiavon *et al*, 2014). The
159 experimental design resulted in 4 conditions: (1) offspring of control dams administered
160 vehicle (CONT+VEH; n=12), (2) offspring of control dams administered CBD
161 (CONT+CBD; n=12), (3) offspring of poly I:C dams administered vehicle
162 (POLY+VEH; n=12), and (4) offspring of poly I:C dams administered CBD
163 (POLY+CBD; n=12). Body weight, food and water intake (per cage i.e. 2 rats) were
164 recorded weekly during the 3 week treatment period.

165

166 **Behavioural Testing**

167 After 2 weeks of CBD or vehicle treatment, rat offspring underwent a series of
168 behavioural tests to examine social interaction, learning and memory (Figure 1A).
169 Behavioural tests were conducted during the active phase (09:00 and 18:00 h) with a
170 24-hour rest period between tests to minimise stress. The time of daily testing was
171 counterbalanced across the experimental groups. Rat behaviour was recorded using
172 standard commercial cameras (Logitech Pty Ltd, Alexandria, Australia) and de-
173 identified video recordings were later analysed. Equipment was cleaned with 70%

174 ethanol between trials to eliminate olfactory cues. The behavioural tests were performed
175 in order of invasiveness as described below.

176

177 Novel Object Recognition (NOR) Test

178 The NOR test was performed as described previously, with minor modifications
179 (Bevins and Besheer, 2006). Two objects (plastic building blocks) were placed in the
180 home cages for 24-hour familiarisation. In the first trial (familiarisation), a rat was
181 placed in an arena (black square arena 60cm x 60cm x 60cm, with even lighting of 20
182 lux) to habituate for 5 min then returned to its home cage. The two familiar objects were
183 placed in opposite corners of the upper half of the arena and the rat was positioned in
184 the centre of the far wall (i.e. the lower half of the arena), facing away from the objects
185 (Figure 1B). The rat was left to explore the arena for 5 min then returned to its home
186 cage for 1 h. In the second trial, one of the familiar objects was replaced with a novel
187 object (toy figurine) in the arena and the rat was allowed to explore for 3 min. Time
188 spent interacting with the objects was recorded, with interaction defined as direct
189 contact with the object, including touching an object with the mouth, nose or paw.
190 Accidental contact (i.e. bumping into the object in passing) and contact with the object
191 as a means to explore other aspects of the arena (i.e. rearing) were not scored as object
192 interaction (Bevins and Besheer, 2006). A discrimination ratio was calculated for each
193 rat expressed as: $T_N / (T_F + T_N)$, where T_N = time spent exploring the novel object (secs)
194 and T_F = time spent exploring the familiar object (secs). Values for the discrimination
195 ratio ranged from 0 to 1, where a score closer to 1 indicated greater preference for the
196 novel object, while a score closer to 0 indicated preference for the familiar object.

197

198 T-maze Test

199 Working memory was assessed using the T-maze rewarded alternation method
200 described previously (Deacon and Rawlins, 2006). The apparatus consisted of a black,
201 T-shaped maze (50 cm long x 10 cm wide, 30 cm high walls with even lighting of 20
202 lux), containing removable dividers to control access into the left and right arms. A
203 central partition was used to limit access to only one arm of the T-maze at a time and
204 increase alternation rate (Deacon and Rawlins, 2006) (Figure 1C). Prior to testing, rats
205 were acclimatised to a reward stimulus (chocolate pellets) in their home cages. Food
206 was restricted to 5g/100g body weight overnight prior to the habituation, training and
207 testing days. In the habituation trial, the chocolate pellet rewards were placed at the end
208 of the left and right arms of the T-maze and the dividers were removed. A rat was
209 placed at the start arm and allowed to freely explore the maze for 5 min. After the
210 habituation trial, the rat was returned to its home cage. Habituation was considered
211 successful when rats consumed reward pellets from both arms of the T-maze (Deacon
212 and Rawlins, 2006). As rats completed the habituation trial successfully, no further
213 habituation trials were required. Rats then underwent training where they were taught to
214 alternate entry into the left and right arms of the T-maze using (1) a 'forced' run: one
215 arm of the T-maze was closed by a divider and the reward stimulus placed in the open
216 arm, and (2) a 'choice' run: divider was removed opening both arms of the T-maze and
217 the reward stimulus was positioned in the newly opened arm. Training took place over 3
218 consecutive training days and consisted of 8 trials (4 forced and 4 choice runs) per day,
219 with randomised alternation of the divider position for each forced run. Training was
220 considered successful when control rats achieved an 80% trial accuracy, as described by
221 Deacon and Rawlins (2006). On the test day, the same alternation procedures were
222 conducted as described above, with a total of 10 trials (5 forced runs, 5 choice runs) per
223 rat. The time delay between the forced and choice runs was limited to 30 seconds and

224 the total trial time was 3 mins. A correct response was accepted as first entry into the
225 correct arm. Trials were excluded from analysis if the rat jumped out of the maze. The
226 percentage of correct responses and the latency to correct entry (secs) were recorded for
227 each rat.

228

229 Social Interaction Test

230 The social interaction test was used to assess the effects of CBD on sociability based on
231 methods previously described by our laboratory (De Santis *et al*, 2016; Du Bois *et al*,
232 2008). This test capitalises on the natural tendency of rats to interact with each other;
233 therefore, a lack of interaction can be considered as social withdrawal, reflecting the
234 negative symptoms of schizophrenia (Wilson and Koenig, 2014). Two rats from the
235 same treatment group that had not been exposed to each other previously (i.e.
236 unfamiliar), were placed in opposite corners of a black square arena (as described in the
237 NOR methods). Rats were allowed to freely roam the arena for 7 mins and were then
238 returned to their home cage. The amount of time that the rats spent interacting with each
239 other (defined as time spent sniffing, following, grooming, climbing each other) was
240 recorded (secs) for each pair of rats. Interaction times for each pair were then collated
241 for statistical analysis and the average interaction time per treatment group.

242

243 **Statistical Analysis**

244 All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (Version 21.0, IBM, Illinois, USA).
245 Data were tested for normality using Shapiro Wilk tests. Data points that were 2 SD
246 outside the mean were considered as outliers and removed from further analysis. A two-
247 way ANOVA was used to analyse normally distributed mean total body weight gain,
248 accumulated food and water intake, NOR and social interaction test data for main

249 effects of ‘prenatal infection’ and ‘offspring treatment’. Where significant interactions
250 were observed, pairwise comparisons between groups were made with Bonferonni’s
251 adjustment for multiple comparisons. T-maze data remained non-normally distributed
252 following log transformation; data was therefore analysed using Mann-Whitney *U* tests
253 for comparisons between groups, with Bonferroni’s correction. Comparisons were made
254 between groups to assess the impact of prenatal infection (CONT+VEH vs.
255 POLY+VEH), the efficacy of CBD treatment in the model (POLY+VEH vs.
256 POLY+CBD), the effect of CBD administration in control offspring (CONT+VEH vs.
257 CONT+CBD) and to determine whether CBD treatment restored behaviour in the poly
258 I:C model to control-like levels (POLY+CBD vs. CONT+VEH). One-sample *t*-tests
259 were used to determine whether NOR performance and percentage of correct entries on
260 the T-maze test, were above chance levels (50%). Significance was set to $p < 0.05$.

261

262 **RESULTS**

263

264 **Novel Object Recognition Test**

265 The discrimination ratio data revealed a significant interaction between ‘prenatal
266 infection’ and ‘offspring treatment’ ($F_{(1, 37)} = 10.39, p = 0.003$). POLY+VEH offspring
267 had a lower discrimination ratio than CONT+VEH offspring ($p = 0.001$) (Figure 2A),
268 indicating a recognition memory deficit following maternal poly I:C exposure. CBD
269 treatment significantly improved discrimination ratio scores of poly I:C offspring
270 (POLY+CBD vs. POLY+VEH, $p = 0.003$) (Figure 2A). There was no significant
271 difference between the discrimination ratios of vehicle and CBD-treated control rats
272 (CONT+VEH vs. CONT+CBD, $p = 0.205$), indicating that CBD administration had no
273 effect on recognition memory in healthy rats (Figure 2A). In fact, all groups except the

274 POLY+VEH group showed a significant preference for the novel object that was above
275 chance levels (CONT+VEH, $t(9) = 12.03$, $p < 0.001$; CONT+CBD, $t(9) = 4.90$, $p = 0.001$;
276 POLY+VEH, $t(9) = 1.37$, $p = 0.205$; POLY+CBD, $t(9) = 5.23$, $p = 0.001$; $n = 10/\text{group}$).
277 There was no effect of ‘prenatal infection’ ($F_{(1, 36)} = 2.729$, $p = 0.107$) or ‘offspring
278 treatment’ ($F_{(1, 36)} = 0.072$, $p = 0.790$), nor an interaction between the two factors ($F_{(1, 36)}$
279 $= 0.003$, $p = 0.958$) on total object exploration time (Figure 2B).

280

281 **Rewarded Alternation T-maze Test**

282 Offspring exposed to prenatal infection had a significantly lower percentage of correct
283 entries compared to control counterparts (POLY+VEH vs. CONT+VEH, $p = 0.005$)
284 (Figure 3A), indicating that exposure to prenatal infection impaired aspects of working
285 memory. CBD treatment attenuated the working memory deficits in poly I:C offspring
286 (POLY+VEH vs. POLY+CBD, $p = 0.009$) (Figure 3A), restoring T-maze performance to
287 control-like levels (POLY+CBD vs. CONT+VEH, $p = 0.561$). CBD administration did
288 not affect the working memory performance of control offspring (CONT+VEH vs.
289 CONT+CBD, $p = 0.686$). When the percentage of correct entries was compared to
290 chance, all groups except the POLY+VEH group preferred to enter the correct arm
291 (CONT+VEH, $t(9) = 5.40$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 10$; CONT+CBD, $t(10) = 7.08$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 11$;
292 POLY+VEH, $t(11) = 0.69$, $p = 0.503$, $n = 12$; POLY+CBD, $t(10) = 5.64$, $p < 0.001$; $n = 11$).
293 Additionally, there were no significant differences between treatment groups for the
294 latency to first entry in the T-maze test (all $p > 0.05$) (Figure 3B).

295

296 **Social Interaction Test**

297 A two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of ‘prenatal infection’ ($F_{(1, 18)} =$
298 9.11 , $p = 0.007$) and ‘offspring treatment’ ($F_{(1, 18)} = 10.14$, $p = 0.005$) on total interaction

299 time and a significant interaction between the two factors ($F_{(1, 18)} = 9.21, p=0.007$).
300 Further investigation showed that POLY+VEH offspring spent significantly less time
301 interacting compared to their CONT+VEH counterparts ($p<0.001$), indicating a social
302 interaction deficit resulting from maternal poly I:C exposure (Figure 4). CBD treatment
303 significantly increased total interaction time (POLY+CBD vs. POLY+VEH, $p<0.001$;
304 $n=6$ pairs/group) (Figure 4). CBD treatment did not alter the social behaviour of control
305 offspring (CONT+VEH vs. CONT+CBD, $p=0.921$; $n=5$ pairs/group) (Figure 4).

306

307 **Body weight, food and water intake**

308 There were no interactions between ‘prenatal infection’ or ‘offspring treatment’ on
309 mean total body weight gain ($F_{(1, 43)} = 0.37, p=0.549$) (Figure 5A), accumulated food
310 intake ($F_{(1, 20)} = 0.21, p=0.651$) (Figure 5B) or accumulated water intake ($F_{(1, 20)} =$
311 $0.001, p=0.970$) (Figure 5C), indicating that poly I:C exposure and CBD administration
312 did not alter basic metabolic parameters in this study.

313

314 **DISCUSSION**

315 To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the effect of chronic CBD
316 treatment (10 mg/kg, twice-daily) on social interaction and cognitive deficits of adult
317 male offspring exposed to prenatal infection. For the first time, this study has shown
318 that CBD treatment restores working memory deficits in a model of schizophrenia-like
319 phenotypes. This suggests that chronic CBD treatment may be beneficial in the
320 treatment of cognitive and social interaction deficits associated with neuropsychiatric
321 disorders in the clinical scenario. The animal model used in the present study is a well-
322 described neurodevelopmental model that mimics aspects of the behavioural, chemical
323 and structural brain alterations reported in schizophrenia patients (reviewed in Meyer

324 and Feldon (2012)). Prenatal poly I:C infection has also been used to model autism,
325 which shares similar aetiology and some overlapping symptom domains with
326 schizophrenia. Modelling of autism phenotypes primarily involves poly I:C
327 administration to rodents and non-human primates during early to mid-gestation to elicit
328 repetitive behaviour (measured in the marble-burying test), motor stereotypy (such as
329 increased self-grooming) and decreased sociability in offspring (reviewed in Careaga et
330 al., (2016)). In the present study, poly I:C was administered during mid to late gestation
331 (GD15), which induces decreased sociability in offspring, and has been shown to model
332 other negative and cognitive behavioural phenotypes relevant to schizophrenia (Meyer
333 and Feldon, 2012). In addition, evidence demonstrates delayed onset of symptoms until
334 early adulthood in these offspring (reviewed in Meyer (2014), which closely resembles
335 schizophrenia rather than autism (Meyer *et al*, 2011a).

336
337 Social interaction test results confirmed the presence of a negative phenotype in male
338 rat offspring exposed to poly I:C during mid to late gestation. Previous studies reporting
339 social interaction deficits vary in the dose and gestational timing of poly I:C
340 administration, as well as the social interaction test protocol used (Buschert *et al*, 2016;
341 Ibi *et al*, 2009; Labouesse *et al*, 2015; Ratnayake *et al*, 2014; Richetto *et al*, 2015; Zhu
342 *et al*, 2014). Overall, our study adds to the growing body of literature suggesting that
343 social interaction deficits are a robust phenotype of the poly I:C model, regardless of the
344 species, strain, dose and gestational timing of poly I:C administration. In the present
345 study, CBD treatment rescued social interaction in poly I:C offspring, indicating an
346 antipsychotic potential for CBD. This result coincides with a clinical trial where CBD
347 significantly reduced negative symptoms (as assessed by the Positive and Negative
348 Syndrome Scale) in schizophrenia patients after 2 weeks and continued to lower scores

349 until the end of treatment (4 weeks) (Leweke *et al*, 2012). On the contrary, a recent
350 study investigated the effect of CBD on social interaction in the poly I:C model and
351 reported no effect of low dose CBD treatment during peri-adolescence (1 mg/kg; from
352 PN30 to PN60) on social interaction of mice (Peres *et al*, 2016). Importantly, that study
353 also failed to model social interaction deficits in poly I:C offspring, possibly due to the
354 low dose and gestational timing of poly I:C exposure (10 mg/kg on GD9) (Peres *et al*,
355 2016). Mid to late gestational poly I:C exposure is typically used to model negative
356 behavioural phenotypes (Meyer and Feldon, 2012); however, early gestational exposure
357 (GD9) can elicit social interaction deficits in mice (Buschert *et al*, 2016), but may
358 require double the dose (20 mg/kg) that was used by Peres *et al*, (2016). Previous
359 studies modelling negative behavioural phenotypes have reported a beneficial effect of
360 CBD on social interaction in the MK-801 model (Gomes *et al*, 2015; Gururajan *et al*,
361 2011, 2012; Long *et al*, 2012) with no effect in spontaneously hypertensive rats (strain
362 that presents with hyperlocomotion and social withdrawal phenotypes), while low dose
363 CBD (1 mg/kg) enhanced social interaction of controls (Almeida *et al*, 2013). Taken
364 together with the literature, our study suggests a therapeutic benefit of CBD on social
365 interaction deficits in poly I:C rat offspring and aligns with the beneficial effects seen in
366 schizophrenia patients and most preclinical models. Additional studies investigating
367 other negative symptoms, such as anhedonia and avolition (assessed in rodents using
368 intra-cranial self-stimulation) (Carlezon and Chartoff, 2007), would be useful to further
369 confirm the therapeutic benefit of CBD on negative schizophrenia-like phenotypes.

370

371 The findings of the present study align with a growing body of evidence suggesting
372 beneficial effects of CBD on cognitive deficits induced by numerous pharmacological
373 and pathological factors (reviewed in Osborne *et al* (2016)). Preclinical studies show a

374 therapeutic benefit of CBD on recognition memory deficits in rodent models of
375 Alzheimer's disease (Cheng *et al*, 2014a; Fagherazzi *et al*, 2011) and cerebral malaria
376 (Campos *et al*, 2015), as well as working memory deficits induced by hepatic
377 encephalopathy (Magen *et al*, 2009). In the present study, CBD treatment improved the
378 working memory deficits of poly I:C offspring in the T-maze test. This is the first study
379 to investigate the effect of CBD on working memory deficits in a preclinical model of
380 schizophrenia phenotypes. The deficits caused by poly I:C coincide with Connor *et al*.
381 (2012), who reported a reduced number of correct alternations in the T-maze test of
382 offspring exposed to poly I:C during late gestation, indicating impaired working
383 memory. In the present study, the latency to first entry did not differ between treatment
384 groups in the T-maze test. The delay to first entry can be influenced by general
385 motivation towards an incentive, arousal and attention (Pioli *et al*, 2014), providing an
386 overall indication of the rodent's motivation to explore its environment and complete
387 the alternation task (Deacon and Rawlins, 2006). Therefore, in the present study, the
388 lower percentage of correct responses in the poly I:C group, coupled with no change in
389 the latency to first entry in the present study, suggests that the outcome is due to
390 working memory impairment and was not influenced by internal processes such as
391 motivation.

392
393 This is the first study to investigate the effects of CBD treatment on recognition
394 memory in a prenatal poly I:C infection model. The only other study to investigate the
395 effect of CBD on recognition memory in schizophrenia reported that chronic, high dose
396 CBD treatment (60 mg/kg) attenuated object recognition memory deficits induced by
397 MK-801 administration (Gomes *et al*, 2015). MK-801 is a *N*-methyl-D-aspartate
398 (NMDA) receptor antagonist that is used to model aspects of schizophrenia but, unlike

399 maternal poly I:C exposure, it does not mimic the developmental aspects of the disease
400 (Mouri *et al*, 2013). Recognition memory impairments have been reported previously in
401 the poly I:C model; however, the dose, frequency and timing of poly I:C administration,
402 as well as the age of the rodents during behavioural testing (adolescent vs. adulthood)
403 vary across studies (Ibi *et al*, 2009; Li *et al*, 2014; Ozawa *et al*, 2006; Ratnayake *et al*,
404 2014; Wolff *et al*, 2011). On the other hand, a previous study using a similar paradigm
405 (4 mg/kg poly I:C, administered at GD17) reported no recognition memory deficits in
406 adult male or female rat offspring following exposure to poly I:C in late gestation
407 (Howland *et al*, 2012). This contrast may be due to differences in the NOR test
408 protocols used, as the delay between the familiarisation and test trials was 24 hours
409 (Howland *et al*, 2012), compared to the 1 hour delay in the present study. The extended
410 delay may give rise to examination of long term memory as well (Antunes and Biala,
411 2012), whereas the present study design aimed to assess recognition memory using a
412 shorter inter-trial delay. In the present study, total object exploration times did not differ
413 between the treatment groups. Taken together, this suggests that the lower
414 discrimination ratio observed in poly I:C offspring was not due to lack of object
415 exploration (i.e. impaired motor activity) or an aversion to novel stimuli (i.e. a
416 tendency to spend more time with the familiar object indicated by a discrimination ratio
417 closer to 0) (as described in Deacon and Rawlins (2006)), but a lack of discrimination
418 between the familiar and novel objects.

419

420 The effects of current APDs on social interaction, recognition and working memory
421 have been reported previously in preclinical models. Studies examining social
422 behavioural deficits have shown an improvement (Calzavara *et al*, 2011; Deiana *et al*,
423 2015; Gururajan *et al*, 2012; Hołuj *et al*, 2015; Kamińska and Rogóż, 2015) or no

424 change (Deiana et al, 2015; Gao and Li, 2014; Hołuj et al, 2015; Snigdha and Neill,
425 2008) following administration with olanzapine, risperidone and aripiprazole. In
426 addition, adolescent olanzapine administration impaired working memory of adult rats
427 in the T-maze test (Milstein et al, 2013), while olanzapine and risperidone
428 administration improved MK-801- and PCP-induced object recognition memory deficits
429 in mice and rats (Li et al, 2016; Mutlu et al, 2011; Snigdha et al, 2010). Recognition and
430 working memory impairments have been linked to dysfunction in the dopaminergic and
431 glutamatergic/GABAergic systems, particularly in the hippocampus and cortical
432 circuitry (Abi-Dargham and Moore, 2003; Antunes and Biala, 2012), and these systems
433 are highly implicated in schizophrenia pathology (reviewed in Lewis (2012)).
434 Hyperfunction in the dopaminergic mesolimbic pathway, which projects from the
435 ventral tegmental area (VTA) to the nucleus accumbens (NAc), is implicated in the
436 positive symptoms of schizophrenia (Brisch *et al*, 2014). Conversely, hypofunction in
437 the dopaminergic mesocortical pathway, which projects from the VTA to the prefrontal
438 cortex (PFC), is implicated in the negative and cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia
439 (Goldman-Rakic *et al*, 2004). Dopamine also modulates glutamergic activity directly
440 through dopamine D1 receptors located on pyramidal neurons or indirectly through
441 dopamine D1/D2R co-localisation on GABAergic interneurons, indicating an
442 interaction between the dopaminergic and glutamatergic/GABAergic systems in
443 schizophrenia (Laruelle, 2014). Interestingly, poly I:C offspring also exhibit altered
444 dopaminergic signalling (Luchicchi *et al*, 2016; Meyer *et al*, 2008) and decreased
445 expression of glutamatergic (e.g. NR1 subunit of the NMDAR) and GABAergic
446 markers (e.g. GAD₆₇ and the calcium-binding protein parvalbumin) in the PFC and
447 hippocampus (Forrest *et al*, 2012; Ibi *et al*, 2009; Meyer *et al*, 2008), reflecting some of
448 the molecular changes observed in schizophrenia patients.

449

450 There is limited literature investigating the mechanisms underlying the therapeutic
451 benefits of CBD in neuropsychiatric disorders (Osborne *et al*, 2016); however, recent
452 studies have suggested that CBD interacts with the dopaminergic system (Renard *et al*,
453 2016; Seeman, 2016). For example, CBD inhibited binding of the selective dopamine
454 D2R antagonist, domperidone, in rat striatal tissue in the same manner as the APD,
455 aripiprazole. In contrast to other atypical APDs (such as olanzapine) that antagonise the
456 D2R, CBD is hypothesised to act as a partial agonist at D2Rs to normalise
457 dopaminergic signalling (Seeman, 2016). In addition, CBD administered into the NAc
458 attenuated amphetamine-induced dopaminergic hyperfunction (via the mammalian
459 target of rapamycin (mTOR) signalling) in the VTA of rats (Renard *et al*, 2016). Given
460 that CBD attenuates dopaminergic hyperfunction in the mesolimbic pathway and shows
461 partial agonistic activity at D2Rs, it is possible that CBD may also normalise
462 dopaminergic hypofunction in the mesocortical pathway to improve negative and
463 cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia. Limited evidence suggests that CBD also
464 interacts with the glutamatergic and GABAergic systems. For example, CBD restored
465 MK-801-induced deficits in parvalbumin expression and GRIN1 mRNA expression
466 (gene that encodes for the NR1 subunit of the NMDAR) in the PFC and hippocampus,
467 respectively (Gomes *et al*, 2014). Further investigation into the impact of CBD on these
468 major neurotransmitter systems implicated in schizophrenia pathology may shed light
469 on the mechanisms underlying the improvement of social behaviour, recognition and
470 working memory in poly I:C offspring in the present study.

471

472 It is important to note that while CBD improved social interaction, working and
473 recognition memory deficits in poly I:C offspring in the present study, it had no effect

474 in control offspring. This observation is supported by previous preclinical studies
475 (Barichello *et al*, 2012; Campos *et al*, 2015; Cassol-Jr *et al*, 2010; Cheng *et al*, 2014a,
476 2014b; Fagherazzi *et al*, 2011; Long *et al*, 2012) and demonstrates a tendency for CBD
477 to improve deficits associated with pathological states, rather than alter behaviour in
478 healthy rodents. In the present study, CBD was administered twice-daily based on
479 evidence that the half-life of the drug is approximately 11 hours in the rat brain
480 following an intraperitoneal injection (Deiana *et al*, 2011). The dose of CBD used in the
481 present study (10mg/kg) was based on previous studies that reported improvement of
482 cognitive impairment in rodent models, without negative side-effects (Barichello *et al*,
483 2012; Cassol-Jr *et al*, 2010; Fagherazzi *et al*, 2011; Schiavon *et al*, 2014). Consideration
484 of the biphasic dose-response of CBD is important as high doses of CBD can lead to
485 negative effects such as sedation (600mg) and hyperprolactinemia (120-240 mg/kg) in
486 healthy humans and rats, respectively, which are side-effects also associated with APDs
487 (Zuardi, 2008). Further research into any long-term negative effects of CBD would be
488 prudent. Current second generation APDs such as olanzapine, clozapine and risperidone
489 are also associated with metabolic side effects including diabetes and obesity that can
490 hinder the treatment of schizophrenia patients (Weston-Green *et al*, 2013). We found no
491 effect of CBD on total body weight gain, food intake or water intake over the treatment
492 period. Using a female rodent model, our laboratory has shown that APD administration
493 increases body weight gain and food intake, and is associated with changes in metabolic
494 signalling pathways in the brain and periphery (Weston-Green *et al*, 2011, 2012a,
495 2012b). In humans, women are particularly sensitive to the metabolic side effects of
496 APDs (Seeman, 2009) and female rats mimic this sensitivity (Weston-Green *et al*,
497 2010), while male rats appear to confer a level of resistance to APD-induced obesity
498 (Minet-Ringuet *et al*, 2006; Weston-Green *et al*, 2010) but the mechanisms are unclear.

499 A limitation of the present study is the use of males only; further studies investigating
500 the effects of CBD on metabolic parameters, as well as CBD's ability to restore social
501 and cognitive poly I:C-induced deficits in female rats are necessary. Nevertheless, the
502 lack of weight gain change in CBD-treated poly I:C offspring aligns with findings from
503 a clinical trial that reported no alterations in the body weight of schizophrenia patients
504 (82% male), following one month of CBD treatment (Leweke *et al*, 2012). Overall, our
505 finding adds to the limited literature suggesting that CBD may have low weight gain
506 liability. The present study did not employ a cross-fostering paradigm. Previous studies
507 have shown that some behavioural deficits (e.g. latent inhibition and conditioned fear)
508 are present in control offspring cross-fostered to poly I:C dams (Meyer *et al*, 2006;
509 Schwendener *et al*, 2008), suggesting that changes in maternal behaviour can influence
510 the behavioural phenotype of offspring. Future studies could address the potential
511 influence of maternal behavioural changes on the phenotypes observed in the present
512 study.

513

514 **Summary**

515 This study has shown for the first time that CBD can improve recognition and working
516 memory and social interaction deficits in a prenatal poly I:C infection model,
517 reinforcing the potential of CBD to ameliorate the negative symptoms of schizophrenia.
518 Chronic CBD treatment increased preference for the novel object in the NOR, improved
519 working memory performance in the T-maze test and restored social interaction deficits
520 in poly I:C offspring. Future studies investigating the efficacy of CBD to improve
521 cognition in this model would benefit from considering additional cognitive domains
522 that are impaired in neuropsychiatric disorders, including spatial learning and memory,
523 attention or cognitive flexibility as outcome measures. Although the mechanisms of

524 action of CBD are not well understood, CBD may exert its therapeutic effects on
525 cognition by targeting key neurotransmitter systems (e.g. dopaminergic, glutamatergic,
526 GABAergic) implicated in cognitive function, but more research is needed to elucidate
527 the underlying mechanisms. Nevertheless, these novel findings present interesting
528 implications for the use of CBD to treat the social and cognitive deficits associated with
529 disorders such as schizophrenia.

530

531 **Funding and Disclosure**

532 This study was supported by the University of Wollongong utilising funding from a
533 Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health Advancement Grant (2015/SPGA-S/02)
534 awarded to KWG and XFH; this source had no further role in the study design, decision
535 to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. ALO is supported by an Australian
536 Government Research Training Program Scholarship from the University of
537 Wollongong. NS was supported by an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship
538 (FT110100752). IB is supported by a Postgraduate Research Scholarship from the
539 University of Wollongong and Illawarra Shoalhaven Local Health District. The authors
540 have no conflicts of interest to declare.

541

542 **Acknowledgements**

543 We would like to thank Cree de Clouett, Martin Engel, Ashleigh Gorak, Paul Le
544 Mesurier, Luisa Lufe, Nicole Miles, Jessica Nealon, Anita-Louise Obeid, Tereza
545 Pejovska, Dominic Sellers and Erika Svenson for their technical assistance during the
546 animal experiments.

547

548 **References**

549

550 Abi-Dargham A, Moore H (2003). Prefrontal DA transmission at D1 receptors and the
551 pathology of schizophrenia. *The Neuroscientist* **9**: 404–416.

552 Almeida V, Levin R, Peres FF, Niigaki ST, Calzavara MB, Zuardi AW, *et al* (2013).
553 Cannabidiol exhibits anxiolytic but not antipsychotic property evaluated in the
554 social interaction test. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **41**: 30–35.

555 Andersen SL, Navalta CP (2004). Altering the course of neurodevelopment: a
556 framework for understanding the enduring effects of psychotropic drugs. *Int J*
557 *Dev Neurosci* **22**: 423–440.

558 Antunes M, Biala G (2012). The novel object recognition memory: neurobiology, test
559 procedure, and its modifications. *Cogn Process* **13**: 93–110.

560 Barch DM, Ceaser A (2012). Cognition in schizophrenia: core psychological and neural
561 mechanisms. *Trends Cogn Sci* **16**: 27–34.

562 Barichello T, Ceretta RA, Generoso JS, Moreira AP, Simões LR, Comim CM, *et al*
563 (2012). Cannabidiol reduces host immune response and prevents cognitive
564 impairments in Wistar rats submitted to pneumococcal meningitis. *Eur J*
565 *Pharmacol* **697**: 158–164.

566 Bevins RA, Besheer J (2006). Object recognition in rats and mice: a one-trial non-
567 matching-to-sample learning task to study “recognition memory.” *Nat Protoc* **1**:
568 1306–1311.

569 Brisch R, Saniotis A, Wolf R, Bielau H, Bernstein H-G, Steiner J, *et al* (2014). The role
570 of dopamine in schizophrenia from a neurobiological and evolutionary
571 perspective: old fashioned, but still in vogue. *Schizophrenia* **5**: 1–11.

572 Buschert J, Sakalem ME, Saffari R, Hohoff C, Rothermundt M, Arolt V, *et al* (2016).

573 Prenatal immune activation in mice blocks the effects of environmental

574 enrichment on exploratory behavior and microglia density. *Prog*
575 *Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **67**: 10–20.

576 Calzavara MB, Levin R, Medrano WA, Almeida V, Sampaio APF, Barone LC, *et al*
577 (2011). Effects of antipsychotics and amphetamine on social behaviors in
578 spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Behav Brain Res* **225**: 15–22.

579 Campos AC, Brant F, Miranda AS, Machado FS, Teixeira AL (2015). Cannabidiol
580 increases survival and promotes rescue of cognitive function in a murine model
581 of cerebral malaria. *Neuroscience* **289**: 166–180.

582 Careaga M, Murai T, Bauman MD (2016). Maternal immune activation and autism
583 spectrum disorder: From rodents to nonhuman and human primates. *Biol*
584 *Psychiatry* doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.10.020.

585 Carlezon WA, Chartoff EH (2007). Intracranial self-stimulation (ICSS) in rodents to
586 study the neurobiology of motivation. *Nat Protoc* **2**: 2987–2995.

587 Cassol-Jr OJ, Comim CM, Silva BR, Hermani FV, Constantino LS, Felisberto F, *et al*
588 (2010). Treatment with cannabidiol reverses oxidative stress parameters,
589 cognitive impairment and mortality in rats submitted to sepsis by cecal ligation
590 and puncture. *Brain Res* **1348**: 128–138.

591 Cheng D, Low JK, Logge W, Garner B, Karl T (2014a). Chronic cannabidiol treatment
592 improves social and object recognition in double transgenic APP^{swe}/PS1 Δ E9
593 mice. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **231**: 3009–3017.

594 Cheng D, Spiro AS, Jenner AM, Garner B, Karl T (2014b). Long-term cannabidiol
595 treatment prevents the development of social recognition memory deficits in
596 Alzheimer’s disease transgenic mice. *J Alzheimers Dis* **42**: 1383–1396.

597 Connor CM, Dincer A, Straubhaar J, Galler JR, Houston IB, Akbarian S (2012).
598 Maternal immune activation alters behavior in adult offspring, with subtle

599 changes in the cortical transcriptome and epigenome. *Schizophr Res* **140**: 175–
600 184.

601 Deacon RMJ, Rawlins JNP (2006). T-maze alternation in the rodent. *Nat Protoc* **1**: 7–
602 12.

603 De Santis M, Lian J, Huang X-F, Deng C (2016). Early antipsychotic treatment in
604 childhood/adolescent period has long-term effects on depressive-like, anxiety-
605 like and locomotor behaviours in adult rats. *J Psychopharmacol (Oxf)* **30**: 204–
606 214.

607 Deiana S, Watanabe A, Yamasaki Y, Amada N, Arthur M, Fleming S, *et al* (2011).
608 Plasma and brain pharmacokinetic profile of cannabidiol (CBD),
609 cannabidivarin (CBDV), Δ 9-tetrahydrocannabivarin (THCV) and cannabigerol
610 (CBG) in rats and mice following oral and intraperitoneal administration and
611 CBD action on obsessive–compulsive behaviour. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*
612 **219**: 859–873.

613 Deiana S, Watanabe A, Yamasaki Y, Amada N, Kikuchi T, Stott C, *et al* (2015). MK-
614 801-induced deficits in social recognition in rats: reversal by aripiprazole, but
615 not olanzapine, risperidone, or cannabidiol. *Behav Pharmacol* **26**: 748–765.

616 Du Bois TM, Huang X-F, Deng C (2008). Perinatal administration of PCP alters adult
617 behaviour in female Sprague–Dawley rats. *Behav Brain Res* **188**: 416–419.

618 Fagherazzi EV, Garcia VA, Maurmann N, Bervanger T, Halmenschlager LH, Busato
619 SB, *et al* (2011). Memory-rescuing effects of cannabidiol in an animal model of
620 cognitive impairment relevant to neurodegenerative disorders.
621 *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **219**: 1133–1140.

622 Forrest CM, Khalil OS, Pizar M, Smith RA, Darlington L, Stone TW (2012). Prenatal
623 activation of Toll-like receptors-3 by administration of the viral mimetic

624 poly(I:C) changes synaptic proteins, N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors and
625 neurogenesis markers in offspring. *Mol Brain* **5**: 22.

626 Gao J, Li M (2014). Differential effects of intermittent versus continuous haloperidol
627 treatment throughout adolescence on haloperidol sensitization and social
628 behavior in adulthood. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **54**: 67–75.

629 Goldman-Rakic PS, Castner SA, Svensson TH, Siever LJ, Williams GV (2004).
630 Targeting the dopamine D1 receptor in schizophrenia: insights for cognitive
631 dysfunction. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **174**: 3–16.

632 Gomes FV, Issy AC, Ferreira FR, Viveros M-P, Del Bel EA, Guimaraes FS (2015a).
633 Cannabidiol attenuates sensorimotor gating disruption and molecular changes
634 induced by chronic antagonism of NMDA receptors in mice. *Int J*
635 *Neuropsychopharmacol* **18**: 1–10.

636 Gomes FV, Llorente R, Del Bel EA, Viveros M-P, López-Gallardo M, Guimarães FS
637 (2015b). Decreased glial reactivity could be involved in the antipsychotic-like
638 effect of cannabidiol. *Schizophr Res* **164**: 155–163.

639 Gray JA, Roth BL (2007). Molecular targets for treating cognitive dysfunction in
640 schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* **33**: 1100–1119.

641 Green MF (2006). Cognitive impairment and functional outcome in schizophrenia and
642 bipolar disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* **67**: e12.

643 Gururajan A, Taylor DA, Malone DT (2011). Effect of cannabidiol in a MK-801-rodent
644 model of aspects of Schizophrenia. *Behav Brain Res* **222**: 299–308.

645 Gururajan A, Taylor DA, Malone DT (2012). Cannabidiol and clozapine reverse MK-
646 801-induced deficits in social interaction and hyperactivity in Sprague–Dawley
647 rats. *J Psychopharmacol (Oxf)* **26**: 1317–1332.

648 Hallak JEC, Machado-de-Sousa JP, Crippa JAS, Sanches RF, Trzesniak C, Chaves C, *et*
649 *al* (2010). Performance of schizophrenic patients in the Stroop Color Word Test
650 and electrodermal responsiveness after acute administration of cannabidiol
651 (CBD). *Rev Bras Psiquiatr* **32**: 56–61.

652 Hołuj M, Popik P, Nikiforuk A (2015). Improvement of ketamine-induced social
653 withdrawal in rats: the role of 5-HT₇ receptors. *Behav Pharmacol* **26**: 766–775.

654 Howland JG, Czakoff BN, Zhang Y (2012). Altered object-in-place recognition
655 memory, prepulse inhibition, and locomotor activity in the offspring of rats
656 exposed to a viral mimetic during pregnancy. *Neuroscience* **201**: 184–198.

657 Ibi D, Nagai T, Kitahara Y, Mizoguchi H, Koike H, Shiraki A, *et al* (2009). Neonatal
658 polyI:C treatment in mice results in schizophrenia-like behavioral and
659 neurochemical abnormalities in adulthood. *Neurosci Res* **64**: 297–305.

660 Kamińska K, Rogóż Z (2015). The effect of combined treatment with risperidone and
661 antidepressants on the MK-801-induced deficits in the social interaction test in
662 rats. *Pharmacol Rep* **67**: 1183–1187.

663 Kilkenny C, Browne WJ, Cuthill IC, Emerson M, Altman DG (2010). Improving
664 bioscience research reporting: the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting animal
665 research. *PLoS Biol* **8**: 1–5.

666 Labouesse MA, Dong E, Grayson DR, Guidotti A, Meyer U (2015). Maternal immune
667 activation induces GAD1 and GAD2 promoter remodeling in the offspring
668 prefrontal cortex. *Epigenetics* **10**: 1143–1155.

669 Laruelle M (2014). Schizophrenia: from dopaminergic to glutamatergic interventions.
670 *Curr Opin Pharmacol* **14**: 97–102.

671 Leweke FM, Piomelli D, Pahlisch F, Muhl D, Gerth CW, Hoyer C, *et al* (2012).
672 Cannabidiol enhances anandamide signaling and alleviates psychotic symptoms
673 of schizophrenia. *Transl Psychiatry* **2**: e94.

674 Lewis DA (2012). Cortical circuit dysfunction and cognitive deficits in schizophrenia:
675 Implications for preemptive interventions. *Eur J Neurosci* **35**: 1871–1878.

676 Li C, Tang Y, Yang J, Zhang X, Liu Y, Tang A (2016). Sub-chronic Antipsychotic
677 Drug Administration Reverses the Expression of Neuregulin 1 and ErbB4 in a
678 Cultured MK801-Induced Mouse Primary Hippocampal Neuron or a
679 Neurodevelopmental Schizophrenia Model. *Neurochem Res* **41**: 2049–2064.

680 Li W, Chang Y, Lee LJH, Lee L (2014). Prenatal infection affects the neuronal
681 architecture and cognitive function in adult mice. *Dev Neurosci* **36**: 359–70.

682 Lindenmayer J-P, Nasrallah H, Pucci M, James S, Citrome L (2013). A systematic
683 review of psychostimulant treatment of negative symptoms of schizophrenia:
684 Challenges and therapeutic opportunities. *Schizophr Res* **147**: 241–252.

685 Long LE, Chesworth R, Huang X-F, Wong A, Spiro A, McGregor IS, *et al* (2012).
686 Distinct neurobehavioural effects of cannabidiol in transmembrane domain
687 neuregulin 1 mutant mice. *PLoS ONE* **7**: e34129.

688 Luchicchi A, Lecca S, Melis M, De Felice M, Cadeddu F, Frau R, *et al* (2016).
689 Maternal immune activation disrupts dopamine system in the offspring. *Int J*
690 *Neuropsychopharmacol* **19**: 1–10.

691 Magen I, Avraham Y, Ackerman Z, Vorobiev L, Mechoulam R, Berry EM (2009).
692 Cannabidiol ameliorates cognitive and motor impairments in mice with bile duct
693 ligation. *J Hepatol* **51**: 528–534.

694 Meyer U (2013). Developmental neuroinflammation and schizophrenia. *Prog*
695 *Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **42**: 20–34.

696 Meyer U (2014). Prenatal poly(I:C) exposure and other developmental immune
697 activation models in rodent systems. *Biol Psychiatry* **75**: 307–315.

698 Meyer U, Feldon J (2012). To poly(I:C) or not to poly(I:C): Advancing preclinical
699 schizophrenia research through the use of prenatal immune activation models.
700 *Neuropharmacology* **62**: 1308–1321.

701 Meyer U, Feldon J, Dammann O (2011a). Schizophrenia and Autism: Both Shared and
702 Disorder-Specific Pathogenesis Via Perinatal Inflammation? *Pediatr Res* **69**:
703 26R–33R.

704 Meyer U, Feldon J, Fatemi SH (2009). In-vivo rodent models for the experimental
705 investigation of prenatal immune activation effects in neurodevelopmental brain
706 disorders. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **33**: 1061–1079.

707 Meyer U, Nyffeler M, Yee BK, Knuesel I, Feldon J (2008). Adult brain and behavioral
708 pathological markers of prenatal immune challenge during early/middle and late
709 fetal development in mice. *Brain Behav Immun* **22**: 469–486.

710 Meyer U, Schwarz MJ, Müller N (2011b). Inflammatory processes in schizophrenia: A
711 promising neuroimmunological target for the treatment of negative/cognitive
712 symptoms and beyond. *Pharmacol Ther* **132**: 96–110.

713 Meyer U, Schwendener S, Feldon J, Yee BK (2006). Prenatal and postnatal maternal
714 contributions in the infection model of schizophrenia. *Exp Brain Res* **173**: 243–
715 257.

716 Milstein JA, Elnabawi A, Vinish M, Swanson T, Enos JK, Bailey AM, *et al* (2013).
717 Olanzapine Treatment of Adolescent Rats Causes Enduring Specific Memory
718 Impairments and Alters Cortical Development and Function. *PLoS ONE* **8**: 1–
719 17.

720 Minet-Ringuet J, Even PC, Goubern M, Tomé D, Beaurepaire R de (2006). Long term
721 treatment with olanzapine mixed with the food in male rats induces body fat
722 deposition with no increase in body weight and no thermogenic alteration.
723 *Appetite* **46**: 254–262.

724 Mouri A, Nagai T, Ibi D, Yamada K (2013). Animal models of schizophrenia for
725 molecular and pharmacological intervention and potential candidate molecules.
726 *Neurobiol Dis* **53**: 61–74.

727 Mutlu O, Ulak G, Celikyurt IK, Akar FY, Erden F, Tanyeri P (2011). Effects of
728 olanzapine, sertindole and clozapine on MK-801 induced visual memory deficits
729 in mice. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **99**: 557–565.

730 Osborne AL, Solowij N, Weston-Green K (2016). A systematic review of the effect of
731 cannabidiol on cognitive function: Relevance to schizophrenia. *Neurosci*
732 *Biobehav Rev* doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.11.012.

733 Ozawa K, Hashimoto K, Kishimoto T, Shimizu E, Ishikura H, Iyo M (2006). Immune
734 activation during pregnancy in mice leads to dopaminergic hyperfunction and
735 cognitive impairment in the offspring: a neurodevelopmental animal model of
736 schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* **59**: 546–554.

737 Peres FF, Diana MC, Suiama MA, Justi V, Almeida V, Bressan RA, *et al* (2016).
738 Peripubertal treatment with cannabidiol prevents the emergence of psychosis in
739 an animal model of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* **172**: 220–221.

740 Pioli EY, Gaskill BN, Gilmour G, Tricklebank MD, Dix SL, Bannerman D, *et al*
741 (2014). An automated maze task for assessing hippocampus-sensitive memory
742 in mice. *Behav Brain Res* **261**: 249–257.

743 Piontkewitz Y, Arad M, Weiner I (2011). Risperidone administered during
744 asymptomatic period of adolescence prevents the emergence of brain structural

745 pathology and behavioral abnormalities in an animal model of schizophrenia.
746 *Schizophr Bull* **37**: 1257–1269.

747 Piontkewitz Y, Assaf Y, Weiner I (2009). Clozapine administration in adolescence
748 prevents postpubertal emergence of brain structural pathology in an animal
749 model of schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* **66**: 1038–1046.

750 Ratnayake U, Quinn T, Larosa DA, Dickinson H, Walker DW (2014). Prenatal
751 exposure to the viral mimetic poly I:C alters fetal brain cytokine expression and
752 postnatal behaviour. *Dev Neurosci* **36**: 83–94.

753 Renard J, Loureiro M, Rosen LG, Zunder J, Oliveira C de, Schmid S, *et al* (2016).
754 Cannabidiol counteracts amphetamine-induced neuronal and behavioral
755 sensitization of the mesolimbic dopamine pathway through a novel
756 mTOR/p70S6 kinase signaling pathway. *J Neurosci* **36**: 5160–5169.

757 Richetto J, Labouesse MA, Poe MM, Cook JM, Grace AA, Riva MA, *et al* (2015).
758 Behavioral effects of the benzodiazepine-positive allosteric modulator SH-053-
759 2’F-S-CH₃ in an immune-mediated neurodevelopmental disruption model. *Int J*
760 *Neuropsychopharmacol Off Sci J Coll Int Neuropsychopharmacol CINP* **18**: 1–
761 11.

762 Schiavon AP, Soares LM, Bonato JM, Milani H, Guimarães FS, Oliveira RMW de
763 (2014). Protective effects of cannabidiol against hippocampal cell death and
764 cognitive impairment induced by bilateral common carotid artery occlusion in
765 mice. *Neurotox Res* **26**: 307–316.

766 Schubart CD, Sommer IEC, Fusar-Poli P, Witte L de, Kahn RS, Boks MPM (2014).
767 Cannabidiol as a potential treatment for psychosis. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*
768 **24**: 51–64.

769 Schwendener S, Meyer U, Feldon J (2008). Deficient maternal care resulting from
770 immunological stress during pregnancy is associated with a sex-dependent
771 enhancement of conditioned fear in the offspring. *J Neurodev Disord* **1**: 15.

772 Seeman MV (2009). Secondary effects of antipsychotics: women at greater risk than
773 men. *Schizophr Bull* **35**: 937–948.

774 Seeman P (2016). Cannabidiol is a partial agonist at dopamine D2High receptors,
775 predicting its antipsychotic clinical dose. *Transl Psychiatry* **6**: e920.

776 Snigdha S, Horiguchi M, Huang M, Li Z, Shahid M, Neill JC, *et al* (2010). Attenuation
777 of phencyclidine-induced object recognition deficits by the combination of
778 atypical antipsychotic drugs and pimavanserin (ACP 103), a 5-
779 hydroxytryptamine(2A) receptor inverse agonist. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **332**:
780 622–631.

781 Snigdha S, Neill JC (2008). Efficacy of antipsychotics to reverse phencyclidine-induced
782 social interaction deficits in female rats—A preliminary investigation. *Behav*
783 *Brain Res* **187**: 489–494.

784 Weston-Green K, Huang X-F, Deng C (2010). Sensitivity of the female rat to
785 olanzapine-induced weight gain—Far from the clinic? *Schizophr Res* **116**: 299–
786 300.

787 Weston-Green K, Huang X-F, Deng C (2011). Olanzapine treatment and metabolic
788 dysfunction: a dose response study in female Sprague Dawley rats. *Behav Brain*
789 *Res* **217**: 337–346.

790 Weston-Green K, Huang X-F, Deng C (2012a). Alterations to melanocortinergic,
791 gABAergic and cannabinoid neurotransmission associated with olanzapine-
792 induced weight gain. *PLoS ONE* **7**: 1–12.

793 Weston-Green K, Huang X-F, Deng C (2013). Second generation antipsychotic-induced
794 type 2 diabetes: a role for the muscarinic M3 receptor. *CNS Drugs* **27**: 1069–
795 1080.

796 Weston-Green K, Huang X-F, Lian J, Deng C (2012b). Effects of olanzapine on
797 muscarinic M3 receptor binding density in the brain relates to weight gain,
798 plasma insulin and metabolic hormone levels. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* **22**:
799 364–373.

800 Wilson CA, Koenig JI (2014). Social interaction and social withdrawal in rodents as
801 readouts for investigating the negative symptoms of schizophrenia. *Eur*
802 *Neuropsychopharmacol* **24**: 759–773.

803 Wolff AR, Cheyne KR, Bilkey DK (2011). Behavioural deficits associated with
804 maternal immune activation in the rat model of schizophrenia. *Behav Brain Res*
805 **225**: 382–387.

806 Zhu F, Zheng Y, Liu Y, Zhang X, Zhao J (2014). Minocycline alleviates behavioral
807 deficits and inhibits microglial activation in the offspring of pregnant mice after
808 administration of polyriboinosinic–polyribocytidilic acid. *Psychiatry Res* **219**:
809 680–686.

810 Zuardi AW (2008). Cannabidiol: from an inactive cannabinoid to a drug with wide
811 spectrum of action. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr* **30**: 271–280.

812

813

814

815

816

817

818 **Figure Legends**

819

820 **Figure 1:** Experimental design and methods used to investigate the effects of
821 cannabidiol (CBD) treatment on offspring behaviour in the poly I:C rat model. (a) The
822 experimental timeline used in the present study with the age of offspring in postnatal
823 (PN) days. (b) A schematic of the Novel Object Recognition test used to assess
824 recognition memory of offspring. (c) A schematic of the rewarded T-maze alternation
825 test used to assess working memory performance of offspring.

826

827 **Figure 2:** The effect of cannabidiol (CBD) or vehicle (VEH) administration on
828 recognition memory of control (CONT) and poly I:C (POLY) offspring in the Novel
829 Object Recognition test. (a) Maternal poly I:C exposure significantly reduced
830 discrimination ratio scores compared to control male offspring, which was attenuated by
831 CBD treatment (n=10 rats/group). (b) All groups showed comparable total object
832 exploration times (n=9-11 rats/group). Data presented as mean \pm SEM. ** p <0.01 vs.
833 CONT+VEH rats, ^{##} p <0.01 vs. POLY+VEH rats.

834

835 **Figure 3:** The effect of cannabidiol (CBD) or vehicle (VEH) administration on working
836 memory of control (CONT) and poly I:C (POLY) offspring in the rewarded T-maze
837 alternation test. (a) CBD treatment attenuated poly I:C-induced working memory
838 deficits in male offspring (n=10-12 rats/group). (b) The latency to first entry did not
839 differ between treatment groups (n=10-12 rats/group). Data presented as mean \pm SEM.
840 ** p <0.01 compared to CONT+VEH rats, ^{##} p <0.01 compared to POLY+VEH rats.

841

842 **Figure 4:** Effect of cannabidiol (CBD) or vehicle (VEH) administration on mean total
843 interaction time of control (CONT) and poly I:C (POLY) offspring in the social
844 interaction test. The data are presented as mean \pm SEM, for pairs of rats (n=5
845 pairs/control group; n=6 pairs/poly I:C group). *** p <0.001 vs. CONT+VEH
846 rats, ### p <0.001 vs. POLY+VEH rats.

847

848 **Figure 5:** The effect of cannabidiol (CBD; 10 mg/kg) or vehicle (VEH) administration
849 on basic metabolic parameters in control (CONT) and poly I:C (POLY) male offspring.
850 (a) Maternal poly I:C exposure and CBD treatment had no effect on mean total body
851 weight gain. (b) All groups showed comparable mean accumulated food and (c) water
852 intake over the course of the study. Data presented as mean \pm SEM, n=12 rats/group
853 (except the CONT+CBD group, where n=11) for body weight gain and n=6 pairs of
854 rats/group for mean accumulated food and water intake.