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Abstract
The Ordovician intraoceanic Macquarie Arc terrane is faulted against coeval, quartz-rich turbidites of the Adaminaby Group within the Lachlan Orogen of eastern Australia. Debates exist concerning the polarity of subduction beneath the Macquarie Arc and the nature of its emplacement, given it is juxtaposed against the Adaminaby Group to both the west and east. We present new provenance and zircon analyses of the Triangle Formation, which consists of interleaved quartz-rich passive margin sandstones and island arc volcaniclastic rocks. In contrast, the structurally underlying Adaminaby Group contains no volcaniclastic detritus and displays a strong passive margin affinity. One sample from the Triangle Formation yielded a youngest zircon age of 456 ± 16 Ma indicating a subtle Macquarie Arc signature among an overwhelmingly Neoproterozoic and older Gondwanan provenance. The Adaminaby Group yielded a youngest zircon age of 481 ± 6 Ma and a strong Gondwanan zircon signature. We compared these results with volcaniclastic rocks from the Weemalla Formation stratigraphically higher in the Macquarie Arc, which yielded a distinctly unimodal zircon age of 451 ± 8 Ma, which is indistinguishable from the youngest zircon in the Triangle Formation. We suggest the Triangle Formation represents trench fill material sourced predominantly from the Gondwana margin but including some younger Macquarie Arc detritus. This constrains the initiation of this arc-continent collision to between 448 and 462 Ma (Late Ordovician).
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Abstract The Ordovician intraoceanic Macquarie Arc terrane is faulted against coeval, quartz-rich turbidites of the Adaminaby Group within the Lachlan Orogen of eastern Australia. Debates exist concerning the polarity of subduction beneath the Macquarie Arc and the nature of its emplacement, given it is juxtaposed against the Adaminaby Group to both the west and east. We present new provenance and zircon analyses of the Triangle Formation, which consists of interleaved quartz-rich passive margin sandstones and island arc volcanioclastic rocks. In contrast, the structurally underlying Adaminaby Group contains no volcaniclastic detritus and displays a strong passive margin affinity. One sample from the Triangle Formation yielded a youngest zircon age of 456 ± 16 Ma indicating a subtle Macquarie Arc signature among an overwhelmingly Neoproterozoic and older Gondwanan provenance. The Adaminaby Group yielded a youngest zircon age of 481 ± 6 Ma and a strong Gondwanan zircon signature. We compared these results with volcaniclastic rocks from the Weemalla Formation stratigraphically higher in the Macquarie Arc, which yielded a distinctly unimodal zircon age of 451 ± 8 Ma, which is indistinguishable from the youngest zircon in the Triangle Formation. We suggest the Triangle Formation represents trench fill material sourced predominantly from the Gondwana margin but including some younger Macquarie Arc detritus. This constrains the initiation of this arc-continent collision to between 448 and 462 Ma (Late Ordovician).

Plain Language Summary Arc-continent collisions represent an important mechanism of continental growth. They can, however, be difficult to investigate because of overprinting deformation and erosion, which removes much of the evidence, particularly in older terranes. The Triangle Formation was deposited in a trench fill setting, shortly before the collision of the Ordovician Macquarie Arc with eastern Gondwana. It is dominated by continental and minor Macquarie Arc detritus, which is key to constraining the timing of the event. Thus, trench fill deposits potentially provide key constraints as to the timing and nature of arc-continent collisions.

1. Introduction

Arc-continent collisions are major orogen-forming events that can be complex but short-lived (Dewey, 2005). For example, collision of the Luzon Arc in northern Taiwan commenced only about 6.5 million years ago and is now experiencing orogenic collapse and subduction slumping as the Ryukyu trench accommodates subduction of the Philippine Sea Plate beneath the accreted Luzon Arc on the Eurasian margin (e.g., Huang et al., 2018). Changes in subduction polarity are evident at many convergent boundaries following arc-continent collisions (Von Hagke et al., 2016). However, many interpretations of ancient orogens assume that all convergence and continental growth were characterized by “normal” accretionary tectonics involving long-lived continuous subduction exclusively beneath the obvious continental margin (Cawood, 2005). This has been the predominant interpretation of the Macquarie Arc of southeast Australia for well over two decades (e.g., Collins, 2002; Glen et al., 1998). More recently, these models have been challenged and an alternative testable hypothesis of allochthonous arc-continent collision was proposed (Alitchison & Buckman, 2012; Zhang et al., 2019). Under favorable conditions, with all such events, there should be a detrital record preserved in adjacent basins (e.g., Liuqiu Conglomerate in Tibet; Davis et al., 2002). Our hypothesis is that similar, collision-related deposits with a mixed Macquarie Arc and Gondwana provenance exist within the latest Ordovician sequences of the Lachlan Orogen but are yet to be identified.
The Ordovician Macquarie Arc is a distinct island arc terrane within the Lachlan Orogen of eastern Australia (Crawford, Cooke, et al., 2007; Fergusson & Colquhoun, 2018; Glen, Crawford, et al., 2007; Meffre et al., 2007). However, it is juxtaposed against coeval, mature, quartz-rich turbidites of the Adaminaby Group to both its west and east. The Adaminaby Group has a distinct Gondwanan, Cambro-Ordovician to Archean provenance (Figure 1; Fergusson et al., 2017; Glen et al., 2016, 2017). In contrast, detrital zircon provenance analyses of bona fide Macquarie Arc volcaniclastic rocks reveal an essentially Ordovician-only provenance with minimal Gondwanan inheritance (Zhang et al., 2019). Various models have been proposed to explain the juxtaposition of the Macquarie Arc as interleaved segments between the Adaminaby Group. These include (A) an episode of compression during long-lived, west dipping subduction (Cawood et al., 2009; Collins, 2002; Glen, 2013), (B) models with multiple subduction zones (Fergusson, 2003; Glen, Meffre, et al., 2007; Gray & Foster, 2004; Meffre et al., 2007), (C) juxtaposition by strike-slip faulting (Glen et al., 2009; Meffre et al., 2007), (D) arc rotation followed by an orocline (Fergusson, 2009; Fergusson & Colquhoun, 2018; Moresi et al., 2014), and (E) Aitchison and Buckman (2012) who proposed an arc-continent collision event as a result of east dipping subduction, before the allochthonous arc was emplaced onto the passive margin. Validation of any tectonic model requires accurate age and provenance data of...
coeval units from the continental margin, the arriving arc or ophiolite, and the trenchfill or postcollisional sedimentary units that may have mixed provenance.

The Macquarie Arc is dominated by basaltic andesite and associated volcaniclastic rocks (Crawford, Meffre, et al., 2007), and previous studies found almost no mixture of the Macquarie Arc and continental sediments (Colquhoun et al., 1999; Meffre et al., 2007). However, the Triangle Formation, which is assigned to the Macquarie Arc (Percival & Glen, 2007), has been described as containing both quartz-rich sands (e.g., Fergusson & VanDenBerg, 1990) and volcaniclastic grains (e.g., Fergusson & Colquhoun, 1996; Glen, Meffre, et al., 2007; Murray & Stewart, 2001), but these are from different outcrops. In this study, we focus on the Ordovician Triangle Formation as a possible candidate for trench fill to the Macquarie Arc, formed shortly before the terrane arrived on the eastern margin of Gondwana. The study involves field investigation, petrography, whole rock geochemistry, and zircon U-Pb-Hf isotopic analyses of both the Triangle Formation and the adjacent Adaminaby Group strata at Bald Ridge, ~53 km southwest of Bathurst, New South Wales (Figures 1 and 2). These results constrain the timing of collision of the Macquarie Arc with eastern Gondwana.

2. Regional Geological Setting

The Tasmanides represent the Palaeozoic accretionary growth of Gondwana's eastern margin and include five orogenic belts (Figure 1a; Glen, 2013). This study focuses on the Lachlan Orogen (Figure 1b; Gray & Foster, 2004), which consists of Ordovician to Carboniferous rocks, with some localized Cambrian greenstones. The Lachlan Orogen is dominated by the Ordovician Macquarie Arc and four coeval continental margin units collectively referred to as the Adaminaby Group (based on the bounding structures and metamorphic grade). From west to east, the Adaminaby Group includes the Bendigo, Melbourne, Albury-Bega, and Hermidale in the north (Figure 1b; Fergusson et al., 1986; Glen, 1992; Glen et al., 2009; Leitch & Scheibner, 1987; VanDenBerg & Stewart, 1992). The Albury-Bega unit has been divided into western and eastern parts, which are in tectonic contact on the western and eastern sides of the Macquarie Arc (Figures 1b and 3; Glen et al., 2009). The Macquarie Arc mainly consists of mafic to intermediate volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks (Crawford et al., 1997; Glen, Crawford, et al., 2007; Glen et al., 1998). The Albury-Bega unit is dominated by Early to Middle Ordovician turbiditic quartz-rich sandstones of the Adaminaby Group, with the Late Ordovician chert, siltstone, and black shales of the Bendoc Group (Figure 3; Glen, 2005; Glen et al., 2009). The Middle to Late Ordovician Triangle Formation is less extensive than the Adaminaby Group and consists of both volcaniclastic rocks and quartz-rich turbidites (Fergusson & VanDenBerg, 1990; Percival & Glen, 2007). It generally crops out between rocks ascribed to the Adaminaby Group and the Macquarie Arc but was assigned as one of the oldest Middle Ordovician units of the Macquarie Arc (Percival & Glen, 2007; Pogson & Watkins, 1998).

3. Geology of the Bald Ridge Study Area

At Bald Ridge, the highly deformed Adaminaby Group forms the core of an anticline, and the overlying Triangle Formation is separated from it by a tectonized unit of mafic-ultramafic actinolite schist which is locally incorporated into a mélangé containing blocks of quartzite (Pogson & Watkins, 1998; Figures 2a and 2b). Silurian volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks unconformably overlap the Triangle Formation and have been folded to form a broad N-S trending anticline (Figure 2a). Subsequent tectonism has resulted in faulting along the unconformable contact between the Silurian and Ordovician rocks (Figure 2b). Cleavages with a NNE-SSW strike, dipping at 60–80°W (Figure 2b and supporting information Figure S1), occur in both the Silurian and Ordovician units. The closest Macquarie Arc volcanic rocks to the Triangle Formation are the Rockley Volcanics. They occur in the northeast of the area, separated from the Silurian unit by a post-Silurian fault (Figure 2a).

The metamorphic rocks that occur between the Adaminaby Group and Triangle Formation are highly sheared to upper greenschist facies actinolite-talc schists from a mafic to ultramafic protolith (Pogson & Watkins, 1998). The shear zone deformation at the contact between the Triangle Formation and the underlying Adaminaby Group does not penetrate far into the footwall. Only the upper part of the thick turbidite unit has been strongly deformed with vertical foliations cutting through the bedding, whereas the lower part is better preserved (Figures 2d and S2a). Shear fabrics extend into the hanging wall of the Triangle.
Figure 2. (a, b) Geological map of the Bald Ridge area (revised on Colquhoun et al., 2017) with a transect A’-B’. Detailed sample localities are in the supporting information Figure S1. Most structural data on (b) are after Colquhoun et al. (2017). (c) Fault contact between the Triangle Formation and Silurian dacite. Samples 16TR15 (quartz-rich sandstone) and 16TR15-1 (feldspar-rich volcaniclastic rock) are from the top of the Triangle Formation with the boundary between these two lithologies not exposed (33°54′46.94″S, 149°23′32.39″E). (d) Less deformed Adaminaby Group shows Bouma sequence: “C”—fine-grained sandstone with cross laminations and ripples (detailed photographs are presented in Figure 3S), “D”—parallel-laminated siltstone (33°55′2.69″S, 149°28′45.74″E). The white arrow in (d) indicates the younging direction. The dashed arrow lines in (c, d) indicate the field photographs’ locations in the transect.
Formation (Figure 2b) and in places a mud-matrix mélange containing massive blocks of actinolite/tremolite-talc schist has developed between the two units (Figure S2b). In the middle part of the Triangle Formation, deformation is weaker, while deformation in the upper section is affected by faulting along the unconformable contact between the Ordovician and Silurian units (Figure 2c).

The Adaminaby Group in the Bald Ridge area shows Bouma sequence “C” (fine-grained sandstone ripples) and “D” (siltstone) units (Figures 2d and S3), indicating a marine fan environment (Bouma, 1962; Sengör & Natal’In, 1996). The absence of course-grained Bouma “A” horizon unit suggests that these are distal deposits. The Adaminaby Group is ≥1,000 m thick, but the nature of the underlying basement rocks is unresolved (Fergusson & Fanning, 2002; Pogson & Watkins, 1998; Thomas & Pogson, 2012). The turbidite unit age has been assigned to be older than late Darriwilian (462 Ma; Figure 3), as constrained by the fossil assemblages in the overlying Bendoc Group (Fergusson & Colquhoun, 2018, and references therein).

The Triangle Formation-type section is located south of Rockley (Figure 1c) and was first described by Stanton (1956) as a succession of shales, sandstone, chert, and tuff, dominated by siltstone and feldspathic
volcaniclastic rocks. Subsequent studies in the Rockley area reported that this unit is dominated by quartz-poor feldspathic volcaniclastic rocks, which are overlain by the Rockley Volcanics (eastern part of the Macquarie Arc rocks; Fergusson & Colquhoun, 1996; Fergusson & VandenBerg, 1990; Glen, Meffre, et al., 2007; Murray & Stewart, 2001; Percival & Glen, 2007). Fowler and Iwata (1995) described the Triangle Formation as a sandwich-type assemblage with quartz-rich siltstone and chert on the top and bottom and 1,200 m of mafic feldspathic arenites in the middle. In the Goulburn area (Figure 1c), both quartzose and lithic greywackes have been reported (Fergusson & VandenBerg, 1990; Offenberg, 1974). Fowler and Iwata (1995) reported conodont assemblages from the upper part of the Triangle Formation in Rockley area, which indicated ages ranging from late Darriwilian to early Gisbornian (Middle to early-Late Ordovician). Murray and Stewart (2001) reported the same Darriwilian to Gisbornian conodont assemblages, aside from one sample containing Bendigonian (Early Ordovician) fossils. However, Percival et al. (2011) suggested that these fossils are from an Ordovician clast and that the Triangle Formation is a Siluro-Devonian unit with exotic blocks of Ordovician chert and Silurian limestone, but they emphasized that the confusing mixture of Ordovician and Silurian fossil ages from the Triangle Formation requires a complete reappraisal of the regional geology. In the updated seamless New South Wales geology map, the Triangle Formation is also marked as a Silurian unit in the Bald Ridge area (Colquhoun et al., 2017) but a Silurian age is not supported by our detrital zircon data.

In the Bald Ridge area, the lower part of the Triangle Formation is dominated by quartz-rich metasandstones (Figures 4b and 4c). In the top of the formation, medium-fine-grained volcaniclastic rocks are dominated by feldspar fragments (Figure 4d). The overall thickness of the Triangle Formation was estimated at ~1,000 m in the Bald Ridge area (Pogson & Watkins, 1998; Stanton, 1956).

4. Samples and Petrography

Representative photomicrographs of the Adaminaby Group (16AG01), Triangle Formation (16TR15-16), mafic schist (TR05B, C), Silurian units (16Smkr01), and Macquarie Arc samples (16GV01–Goonumbla Volcanics) are shown in Figure 4. Images of rock samples and other photomicrographs discussed in the text are present in the supporting information Figures S2–S5. Sample localities are presented in the supporting information Data Set S1. Sample 16AG01 from the Adaminaby Group Bouma C sequence turbidite (Figures 2d and S3) is a fine-grained quartz-rich metasandstone, dominated by quartz grains (≤0.1 mm) and ~5% muscovite (Figure 4a). Samples 16TR10-15 and 16TR16 are quartz-rich metasandstone of biotite grade (e.g., Figures 4b and 4c). Stronger deformation in 16TR15 is shown by more quartz recrystallization. Sample 16TR15-1 is feldspar-rich volcaniclastic rock dominated by K-feldspar and plagioclase fragments, with muscovite + chlorite + biotite matrix showing the foliation (Figure 4d). Mafic schists crop out at the boundary between the Adaminaby Group and the Triangle Formation. There are two types of schist: actinolite schist (e.g., TR05B) and tremolite-talc schist (e.g., TR05A, C; Figures S4a and S4b). TR05B is dominated by actinolite and some quartz + chlorite + apatite (Figure 4e), and TR05C has a mineral assemblage of tremolite + talc + Ti-rich pyroxene (Figures 4f and S6 and Data Set S2). The mafic schist mineral assemblages indicate a metamorphic grade of upper greenschist facies. Samples 16Smkr and 16Smkd are of foliated Silurian dacite that overlie the Triangle Formation. They display quartz and feldspar phenocrysts and muscovite + chlorite in a schistose matrix (Figures 4g and S5c). Quartz recrystallization is more common in 16Smkr. Samples WF01 (Weemalla Formation), 16GV01 (Goonumbla Volcanics), 16MF01 (Mitchell Formation), and 16FV01Sa (Fairbridge Volcanics) are from the Macquarie Arc (Figure 3). Sample WF01 is a fine-grained volcaniclastic rock/tuff (Figure S5d). Samples 16GV01 and 16MF01 are volcaniclastic rocks, dominated by lithic and some feldspar clasts (Figures 4h and S5e). Sample 16FV01Sa is dominated by feldspar clasts (Figure S5f).

Representative samples of the Adaminaby Group (16AG01), Triangle Formation (16TR10, 11, 15-1), and the Macquarie Arc (16MF01, 16GV01-02, and 16FV01Sa) were point counted. The relative content of quartz (Q), feldspar (F), and lithic fragments (L) are shown in a QFL diagram (Dickinson, 1985; Figure 5a; the data are presented in the supporting information Data Set S3). The Adaminaby Group sample plots in the craton interior field, whereas samples from the upper part of the Triangle Formation fall in the recycled orogenic field, and samples from stratigraphically lower within this formation fall in the arc setting, similar to the
Figure 4. Photomicrographs under crossed nicols. (a, b, c) Fine-grained quartz-rich metasandstone of the Adaminaby Group (a) and Triangle Formation (b, c). In (a), the sericite and oriented quartz indicate a foliation, in (b) partly recrystallized quartz, sericite, and biotite show the foliation, and in (c) the recrystallized quartz grains and oriented sericite and biotite indicate the foliation. From (a) to (c), the changes of mineral assemblage and texture indicate that the deformation is stronger. (d) Feldspar-rich sandstone of the Triangle Formation. The foliation is mainly indicated by the sericite, chlorite, and biotite. (e) Mafic actinolite schist. (f) Mafic tremolite-talc schist with mud matrix. (g) Foliated Silurian dacite with oriented quartz, plagioclase and K-feldspar phenocrysts, and sericite + chlorite in the matrix showing a schistose texture. (h) Lithic volcaniclastic sandstone of the Goonumbla Volcanics of the Macquarie Arc with andesitic clasts, feldspars (pl + kf), hornblende, calcite, and Fe-oxide. Thin section of TR05B (e) thickness is at ~45 mm. Other samples have a thickness of ~40 mm. Abbreviations: qtz = quartz; mus = sericite/muscovite; pl = plagioclase; kf = K-feldspar; bi = biotite; cal = calcite; hbl = hornblende; chl = chlorite; act = actinolite; tre = tremolite; tc = talc; lv = lithic volcanic-clast; Fe-Fe-oxide; S with a dash-line = foliation/orientation of the foliated minerals.
5. Analytical Methods

Coarser-grained samples 16AG01 from the Adaminaby Group, TR04 (16TR16) and 16TR11 from the middle levels of the Triangle Formation, 16Smkr and 16Smkd from the Silurian dacite, and WF01 from the Weemalla Formation of the Macquarie Arc were chosen as representative samples for zircon U-Pb dating. Hf isotopic analyses were undertaken on zircons from samples TR04, 16TR11, and WF01. The least altered samples 16AG01 and 16TR10-16 across transect A’-B’ (Figure 2b) were selected to compare their whole rock geochemistry with the Macquarie Arc volcanic rocks. Four relatively unaltered Byng Volcanics samples (16BY11, 11I, 12, and 13) were employed for whole rock geochemistry as the representative volcanic rocks of the Macquarie Arc.

5.1. Zircon U-Pb Isotopic Dating

Apart from sample 16AG01, all zircon separations were undertaken at the Institute of Hebei Regional Geological Survey, China (details are presented in the supporting information Text S1). Sample 16AG01 was processed at Australia National University (ANU) using standard heavy liquid and isodynamic...
separation techniques. Zircons were handpicked under a binocular microscope and were mounted in epoxy discs together with Temora 2 standard zircons. The zircon mounts were then polished, prior to cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging. The CL images were obtained via the Scanning Microscope JSM-6490 MonoCL4 at the Electron Microscopy Centre, University of Wollongong.

Zircon U-Pb analyses of samples 16AG01, 16Smkr, and 16Smkd were conducted by laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) with a New Wave ESI 193-nm laser ablation system and Thermo ELEMET XR high-resolution mass spectrometer, at the National Research Center of Geoanalysis, China. After every 10 unknown grains, a group of standard zircons, including two GJ 1 (609 Ma; Jackson et al., 2004) and one Plesovice (337 Ma; Sláma et al., 2008), were analyzed. The raw data were processed using the program Glitter (Version 4.0; Van Ackerbergh et al., 2001). Analyzed results including standard zircons, more detailed parameters, and procedures are presented in the supporting information Data Set S4.

Samples WF01, TR04, 16TR11, and nine zircon grains from 16AG01 (eight of them are for multiple analyses on the youngest grains based on the LA-ICP-MS result), were analyzed on the SHRIMP-RG instrument at ANU, following the protocols of Williams (1998). Standard zircon Temora 2 (417 Ma; Black et al., 2003) was distributed as several clusters of grains in different parts of the epoxy mounts. They were analyzed in a random fashion between every three unknown grain analyses. The raw data for samples WF01 and TR04 were reduced and calibrated using the previous ANU OS9 applications PRAWN and LEAD, while samples 16AG01 and 16TR11 were reduced and calibrated using the windows-based POXIS application developed by ANU. The results and more details of the method are in the supporting information Data Set S5 (based on Compton et al., 1984; Cumming & Richards, 1975). Reduced data were assessed and visualized using Isoplot 4.1 (Ludwig, 2003), and all weighted mean ages are reported at the 95% confidence level.

5.2. Zircon Lu-Hf Isotope Analyses

Zircon Hf isotopic compositions were carried out on the RSES ThermoFinnigan Neptune multicollector ICP-MS with 193-nm excimer laser system at ANU using the methods of Hiess et al. (2009). The laser pulsed at 5 Hz with energy density of ~10 J/cm² with a 42 × 42-μm beam. A gas blank and a suite of five reference zircons with varying REE contents (Monastery, Mud Tank, FC1, Plesovice and QGNG; Sláma et al., 2008; Woodhead & Hergt, 2005) were analyzed after every 10–15 unknown sample spots throughout the session as quality control monitors. The Lu-Hf isotopic compositions for CHUR used for calculation of epsilon values are from Bouvier et al. (2008). A more detailed method description and complete Lu-Hf isotopic data, including the reference zircon analyses, are presented in the supporting information Data Sets S6 and S7.

5.3. Whole Rock Major and Trace Geochemistry

Representative samples of the Adaminaby Group (16AG01), Triangle Formation (16TR10-16), mafic schist (TR05A-C), and Byng Volcanics (16BY11-13) were crushed using a chromium steel TEMA ring mill. The major element analyses were conducted using Spectro (XEPOS) X-Ray fluorescence spectrometer at University of Wollongong, following the protocols of Norrish and Chappell (1977). The trace elements were analyzed at ALS Mineral Division, Brisbane. Samples 16AG01, 16TR10-14, and WF01 were analyzed via ICP-MS. Samples 16TR15-16 (including 16TR15-1) and 16BY11-13 were analyzed via ICP-AES and ICP-MS. Details of the methods and results are presented in the supporting information Data Set S8. The data were plotted using Geokit (Lu, 2004).

6. Whole Rock Major and Trace Element Geochemistry

Data from the Adaminaby Group and Triangle Formation samples were plotted on the Nb/Y-Zr/TiO₂ diagram to determine the geochemical affinity of the source rocks (Figure 5b). The Adaminaby Group sample 16AG01 and most Triangle Formation samples (except 16TR14-16) are dominated by rhyolite/dacite sources. The source is similar to most of the Adaminaby Group sandstones, which were reported by Offler and Ferguson (2016; Figure 5b). Samples 16TR14, 15, and 16 fall in the trachyandesite and andesite fields, and sample 16TR15-1 is in the basalt area, indicating that they have more intermediate and mafic sources, respectively. In the sedimentary provenance discrimination diagram (Figure 5c), the Adaminaby Group sample 16AG01 plots in the felsic igneous provenance area. Most Triangle Formation samples lay in the quartzose sedimentary provenance field, except 16TR15-1, which displays a mafic igneous provenance. In
the tectonic setting diagram (Figure 5d), Adaminaby Group sample 16AG01 sits in the passive margin field, while the Triangle Formation samples are mostly in the passive margin area, but 16TR14 and 16TR15 plot in the active margin and island arc fields, respectively.

To determine whether the mafic schist samples (TR05A-C) are sourced from the mantle or the Macquarie Arc crustal rocks, Th/Yb versus Nb/Yb plot after Pearce (1982). The primitive to depleted mantle trend line is based on the data from Jagoutz et al. (1979) and Taylor and McLennan (1981), and the gabbro cumulate is after Nutman et al. (2009). Chondrite normalized REE diagram. The primitive Mantle normalized immobile trace element diagram. Normalizing values in (c, d) are from Sun and McDonough (1989). In (a–d), four Macquarie Arc samples are from this study and others are from Zhang et al. (2019).

Figure 6. Geochemistry of the mafic schist samples (TR05 A, B, C). The same symbols for samples are used in (a, b). (a) Nb/Yb versus Th/Yb plot after Pearce (1982). (b) Al/Si (wt%) versus Mg/Si (wt%) plot. The primitive to depleted mantle trend line is based on the data from Jagoutz et al. (1979) and Taylor and McLennan (1981), and the gabbro cumulate is after Nutman et al. (2009). (c) Chondrite normalized REE diagram. (d) Primitive Mantle normalized immobile trace element diagram. Normalizing values in (c, d) are from Sun and McDonough (1989). In (a–d), four Macquarie Arc samples are from this study and others are from Zhang et al. (2019).

7. Zircon U-Pb Analyses

7.1. Adaminaby Group (16AG01)

Some zircons with prismatic shapes were well preserved with slight abrasion, while others are abraded and clearly eroded. Eighty-four zircon grains from 16AG01 were analyzed on LA-ICP-MS, with the eight “youngest” $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages at 448–484 Ma. These “young” grains have been reanalyzed on SHRIMP-RG, with the
new result of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages ranging from 481 to 521 Ma. The youngest two grains, analyzed as being 446 and 451 Ma in age by LA-ICP-MS, when reanalyzed on the SHRIMP have ages of 492 and 498 Ma, respectively (see the representative CL images in Figure 7a). Thus, the youngest LA-ICP-MS ages are
probably due to Pb loss and will not be considered further here. Overall, the most reliable ages indicate that the youngest grain of 16AG01 is ~481 Ma, with other age peaks at 500 and 1000–1575 Ma and a few Archean grains (Figure 7a).

### 7.2. Triangle Formation (TR04 and 16TR11)

The Ordovician and Cambrian zircons are relatively well preserved showing prismatic shapes with zonings parallel to grain exteriors (Figures 7b and S7). Sample TR04 has a youngest grain of 456 ± 16 Ma which was analyzed by SHRIMP. This is the weighted mean age of two analyses on one grain (463 ± 10 and 447.1 ± 12.9 Ma). Other peak ages of this sample occur at 500–575 and 1075–1225 Ma and a few earlier Precambrian grains (Figure 7b). The youngest grain of sample 16TR11 is 482 Ma, with other age peaks at 500 and 1175 Ma and a few earlier Precambrian grains (Figure 7c).

### 7.3. Weemalla Formation, Macquarie Arc (WF01)

Both short and prismatic zircon fragments display wide zonation (Figure 7d; see more CL images in Figure S8). WF01 zircons have low to undetectable common Pb and Th/U ratios of 0.39 to 0.79. All analyses yield a weighted mean $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age of 450.5 ± 7.1 Ma (Figure 7d). Ages for all these samples are presented in Figure 8.

### 7.4. Silurian Units

Two foliated dacite samples from the units at the western end of our transect (Figure 2b) that are in faulted contact with the Ordovician rocks have been analyzed. Zircons from the two samples are usually euhedral in shape with clear zonation. Some of the zircons show recrystallized rims (Figures 7e and 7f). Sample 16Smkr01 has a weighted mean zircon age at 419.6 ± 6.3 Ma with scatter beyond analytical error (mean square weighted deviation = 3.4) and three older grains at 440, 493, and 3387 Ma (Figure 7e and supporting information Data Set S4). Zircons from 16Smkd01 have a non-Gaussian $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age distribution, with the main peak age at 419.7 ± 1.6 Ma, the second peak age at 388 ± 3.7 Ma, and older ages at 444, 515, and 1079 Ma (Figure 7f and Data Set S4). The sizable spread of the apparent igneous ages of these two dacite samples show that they were probably affected by post-Silurian deformation when the zircons may have been variably recrystallized.

### 8. Zircon Hf Isotopic Compositions

Lu-Hf analyses were conducted on 14 zircon grains from the Triangle Formation, including 10 from TR04 and 4 from 16TR11 (Figure 9). Due to some of the dated zircons being too small (less than 40 um) to be analyzed by laser for Hf, only nine Lu-Hf analyses were conducted on grains with U-Pb ages, while other analyzed grains from TR04 have no direct ages. The youngest grain from TR04 (456 Ma) shows a negative initial $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ value at −10.91. Other Cambrian to Precambrian zircons also record negative initial $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values ranging from −1.25 to −7.12. Those grains having no direct ages were calculated using the youngest grain age at 456 Ma and thereby show apparent initial $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values ranging from −10.91 to +1.98. Fourteen grains from WF01 (Weemalla Formation) were analyzed, including nine analyses on grains with no direct U-Pb ages. As this sample has a robust unimodal concordant $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age at 450.5 Ma, this weighted mean age was used for the initial $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ calculation with values ranging from +9.39 to +11.19.

### 9. Discussion

#### 9.1. Age Constraints of the Triangle Formation

In the Rockley area, the Triangle Formation is dominated by quartz-rich siltstones and volcanioclastic rocks. In this area, the base is not exposed (Fowler & Iwata, 1995). The top is constrained by conodont assemblages of late Darriwilian to early Gisbornian (462–457 Ma) age and is overlain by the Rockley volcanioclastic rocks. In the Goulburn area, the Triangle Formation is dominated by metamorphosed quartzose and lithic greywackes and black slate (Offenberg, 1974). Graptolites extracted from these rocks indicate an Eastonian age (453–448 Ma; Offenberg, 1974). In our transect at Bald Ridge, a metamorphosed quartz-rich sandstone (TR04) has a youngest detrital zircon age of 456 Ma defining the maximum age of deposition (Figure 10). Therefore, we suggest that the basal part of the Triangle Formation is likely to be dominated by quartz-rich sandstones and siltstones that are overlain by interbedded quartz siltstones and mafic volcanioclastic rocks.
(and chert) of late Darriwilian to early Gisbornian (462–457 Ma) age. The middle part of the formation is primarily fine-grained metamorphosed quartz-rich sandstones with the youngest age of ~456 Ma, while the upper part is dominated by quartzose and lithic greywackes of Eastonian age (452–448 Ma; Figure 10). At Bald Ridge, the contact between the Triangle Formation and the underlying Adaminaby Group is marked by the presence of the distinct mafic schist unit that appears as mélange near the contact (Figure S2b).

Meffre et al. (2007) suggested that those metamorphosed Triangle Formation sandstones that are rich in mica are strongly altered quartz-poor volcanioclastic rocks. However, our mica quartz-rich metamorphosed sandstones (TR04 and 16TR11) yielded large numbers of pre-Ordovician zircons, which are unlikely to be
derived from the unimodal, Ordovician volcaniclastic rocks of the Macquarie Arc. Instead, their age spectra are similar age to that of the Adaminaby Group, apart from the single ~456 Ma grain (Figures 8 and 11).

Most previous studies do not report any mixing of provenance between the Macquarie Arc volcanic rocks and the craton-derived quartz-rich turbidites (Colquhoun et al., 1999; Glen, 2005; Glen et al., 1998; Meffre et al., 2007). It is reported that the quartz-rich succession and the feldspathic volcaniclastic rocks are in faulted contact, but not interbedded, in the Rockley area (Meffre et al., 2007). However, Fergusson (1979) described lithofeldspathic sandstone and breccia in upper part of the Adaminaby Group interfingered

**Figure 9. (a–c) Zircon Hf isotope results for the Triangle (TR04, 16TR11) and Weemalla formations (WF01) in comparison with the Adaminaby Group.** The pink and gray arrows represent the sources of the Triangle Formation during different deposition periods. Data source: TR04, 16TR11, and WF01 (this study) and Adaminaby Group (Glen et al., 2017); other Macquarie Arc samples: Mitchell Formation and part of Fairbridge Volcanics (Zhang et al., 2019), Ranch Member, Cargo, and part of Fairbridge volcanics (Glen et al., 2011). Three Hf isotope analyses of Precambrian zircons from the Triangle Formation are not plotted in the above figure, which are at 929, 1995, and 3404 Ma with initial εHf at −2.9, −7.2, and −1.5, respectively, due to limitation of the size of the figure.
with the lower part of the Sofala Volcanics (eastern part of the Macquarie Arc). In our transect, there is no clear boundary between quartz-rich sandstone and feldspar-rich volcaniclastic rocks, where both types of rocks have been deformed and are unconformably overlain by less deformed Siluro-Devonian felsic volcanic units (Figures 2b and 2c). During emplacement of the Macquarie Arc terrane, we propose that movement may have been partitioned between a zone of secondary faults, cutting through the Triangle Formation and dividing the quartz-rich sandstone and mafic volcaniclastic rocks. The existence of Ordovician-Silurian deformation has been identified by $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dating of mica from metamorphosed units of the Adaminaby Group, with ages at ~460–440 Ma (Prendergast et al., 2011) or ~455–445 Ma (Foster et al., 1999), which is consistent with the Benambran Orogeny (Glen, Meffre, et al., 2007).

The new data reported in this study suggest that the Triangle Formation is a Middle-Late Ordovician unit that is dominated by Gondwanan-derived quartz-rich sandstone in its lower part and is interbedded with Macquarie Arc-derived detritus toward the top (Figure 10). This is contrast to Percival et al. (2011), who proposed that the Triangle Formation is a Siluro-Devonian formation with exotic Ordovician blocks, chert, and Silurian limestone clasts. Importantly, though, at the western end of the Bald Ridge transect, the Siluro-Devonian dacite units are in faulted contact with the Triangle Formation and have ages at ~420–388 Ma (Figures 2b, 7e, and 7f). Thus, we suggest that the “Triangle Formation” with Ordovician and Silurian clasts reported by Percival et al. (2011) may have been within a fault zone between the Ordovician and Late Silurian units or from the unconformably overlying Silurian volcaniclastic units.

### 9.2. Provenance and Setting of the Young Components of the Triangle Formation

To constrain the age of the youngest components of the Triangle Formation, we have compiled the detrital zircon age spectra of the Triangle Formation with the Adaminaby Group located near the Macquarie Arc and also spectra of the Adaminaby Group from the western and eastern Albury-Bega units distant from the Macquarie Arc (Figure 11). For the 13 Adaminaby Group samples from the literature, there are some late Ordovician zircons (456–467 Ma), with no multiple analyses (e.g., AGM, AGO, Ow3,5, Mum1, and Bun8,9 in Figures 11a and 11b). Thus, we suggest that the “Triangle Formation” with Ordovician and Silurian clasts reported by Percival et al. (2011) may have been within a fault zone between the Ordovician and Ordovician units or from the unconformably overlying Silurian volcaniclastic units.

There is no distinct age difference for the youngest detrital zircons in the Adaminaby Group from different areas, with the youngest populations ranging from 473 to 491 Ma. Sample TR04 from the middle unit of the Triangle Formation has a zircon age population largely like that of the Adaminaby Group but with one grain at 456 Ma verified by duplicate analysis, which is the dominant age of zircons from younger, more evolved portions of the Macquarie Arc (Figures 8 and 10). Further, the Hf isotope composition (Figure 9) of the young grain (456 Ma) from TR04 has a negative initial $\varepsilon$Hf value (−10.01). The reason for this is probably that the Macquarie Arc was proximal enough to the continent by ~456 Ma, to produce magmatic zircons involving sources derived from the melting of Gondwanan detritus. This contention is supported by few zircons with negative initial $\varepsilon$Hf values from the Cargo (Glen et al., 2011) and Fairbridge volcanics (Zhang et al., 2019) of the Macquarie Arc (Figure 9c). The older detrital components of the Triangle Formation resemble the Adaminaby Group indicating mixed detrital provenance (Figure 9).
Triangle Formation and Adaminaby Group versus the Macquarie Arc. The $\Sigma$REE signature of the Triangle Formation is between the Adaminaby Group and the Macquarie Arc but more similar to the Adaminaby Group (Figure 12a). Most of the Triangle Formation samples have negative Eu anomalies (Figure 12a); positive U, Th, and Pb; and strongly negative Sr and Ti anomalies, similar to the Adaminaby Group samples (Figure 12b). Exceptions are sample 16TR15 from the upper part of the Triangle Formation and sample 16TR14, which have no obvious Eu and Sr negative anomalies and are similar to the Macquarie Arc samples (Figure 12). The tectonic setting diagram (Figure 5d) indicates a transitional trend of the Triangle Formation from passive margin to island arc setting.

In summary, the Triangle Formation is of mixed Gondwanan and Macquarie Arc provenance, with the youngest fossil ages of ~448–462 Ma and the youngest zircon age of ~456 Ma, which from Hf isotope results indicates magmatic recycling of Gondwanan sources. The age of the Triangle Formation indicates that the originally juvenile arc was proximal to the Gondwanan continent by ~448–462 Ma. This is consistent with the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dating result of the Ordovician deformation on the Adaminaby Group at ~450 Ma, which is
related to the emplacement of the Macquarie Arc against eastern Gondwana (Foster et al., 1999; Prendergast et al., 2011).

The apparent lack of mixing between the Macquarie Arc and continental sediments in terms of the detrital zircon record is probably because of the zircon-poor nature of the juvenile Macquarie Arc (Glen et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2019), in comparison to the zircon-rich sediments of the Adaminaby Group (Glen et al., 2017). It is also likely due to the depositional setting of the Triangle Formation and the emplacement mechanism of the arc. Sandstones in convergent plate margins including backarc, forearc, and accretionary complex settings are usually dominated by arc-derived volcanic detritus (Aitchison & Buckman, 2012; Marsaglia & Ingersoll, 1992). The Triangle Formation is dominated by felsic components (Figures 5b and 5c) suggesting that it accumulated in a trench fill setting between the Gondwana continental margin and the approaching Macquarie Arc (Figure 13). This trench fill setting would be short lived considering the lack of mixing. The short duration of this setting might be caused by the sediment lubrication during subduction (Behr & Becker, 2018).

9.3. Origin, Formation, and Significance of the Mafic Schist

The mafic schists between the Triangle Formation and Adaminaby Group (Figure 13) display geochemical signatures consistent with derivation from the basement of the Macquarie Arc (Figures 5 and 6). For instance, samples TR05A, TR05B, and TR05C fall in the andesite and basalt field, which partly overlap the Macquarie Arc samples in the Nb/Y-Zr/TiO2 diagram (Figure 5b). These samples have a relatively low Mg content than the depleted mantle but overlap with the gabbro cumulates structurally higher in the Macquarie Arc (Figure 6b). In the Nb/Yb-Th/Yb diagram, these samples overlap with some of the Macquarie Arc samples in the oceanic arc setting (Figure 6). They also display similar (La/Yb)N values (2.89–3.98) to the mafic enclaves of the Macquarie Arc volcanic rocks (2.08–2.90; e.g., 16BY11i, 16FV02i, and 16FV02ii; supporting information Data Set S8). Thus, the protolith for these schists may have been gabbroic cumulates within the roots of the Macquarie Arc, which has been tectonized beyond recognition in the field.

The development of the schistose fabric was probably related to emplacement of the Macquarie Arc against eastern Gondwana during the resultant Benambran Orogeny (Glen, Meffre, et al., 2007). Alternatively, it may have been acquired during subduction before the emplacement (Figure 13b) and then thrust over the continent when the arc was emplaced (Figure 13c). However, there is only one metamorphic event at upper greenschist facies identified in the schist samples (Figures 4e and 4f), which is mirrored in the Triangle Formation indicated by the presence of biotite (Figures 4b and 4c). The Silurian dacite samples have rare metamorphic biotite but are dominated by a lower grade muscovite + chlorite assemblage (Figures 4g and S5c). Thus, the upper greenschist to lower amphibolite facies metamorphism of the rocks may be related to the Late Ordovician-Early Silurian Benambran Orogeny during the collision of the Macquarie Arc and
eastern Gondwana. The lower grade metamorphism (lower greenschist facies) identified in the Silurian dacite (muscovite + chlorite; Figure 4g) is probably related to post-Silurian Tabberabberan event at around 388 Ma (Fergusson, 2017) indicated by the recrystallized zircon age (Figure 7f).

In general, the collision of an active island arc with a continental margin could be recorded in the trench fill sediments that develop adjacent to the two disparate terranes. This study highlights issues in the sedimentary rock record related to arc-continent collision mechanisms. In particular, the zircon signature from the oceanic arc will always be muted, due to the zircon-poor mafic-intermediate igneous rocks that dominate such arcs. Older oceanic terranes have the additional issue of being affected by overprinting deformation and removal of key evidence by erosion if they are on the overriding plate. Trench fill sediments sourced from both the continental margin and the arriving allochthonous island arc potentially provide key constraints to the nature and timing of these collisions.

10. Conclusions

1. The Triangle Formation structurally overlies the Adaminaby Group with the contact marked by mafic, actinolite-tremolite-talc schist, and localized zones of mélangé. Stratigraphically, the Triangle...
Formation has a basal section dominated by quartz-rich sandstone with overlying interbedded quartz-rich siltstone and mafic volcaniclastic rocks. The upper section is dominated by metamorphosed quartz-rich sandstone with overlying feldspar-rich greywackes in Bald Ridge and overlying quartzose and lithic greywackes in Goulburn.

2. The Triangle Formation is the product of trench fill sediments shortly before the emplacement of the Macquarie Arc onto the passive margin of the eastern Gondwana continent. The young (Late Ordovician) components of the Triangle Formation, indicated by the zircon age at ~456 Ma and fossil ages at ~448–462 Ma, are sourced from the Macquarie Arc.

3. The mafic schist is probably a sliver of tectonized gabbro cumulates of the Macquarie Arc, which was tectonically emplaced along a tectonic break separating the overriding Macquarie Arc from the underlying Adaminaby Group within the passive margin of Gondwana.

4. The arc-continent collision was initiated at ~448–462 Ma, based on the youngest components of the Triangle Formation, which are typically absent in the Adaminaby Group but match the age of younger parts of the arc, such as the ~451-Ma Weemalla Formation.
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