University of Wollongong

Research Online

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials -
Papers

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials

1-1-2013

ZnO-doped LiFePO₄ cathode material for lithium-ion battery fabricated by hydrothermal method

Yemin Hu
Shanghai University

Jun Yao
Shanghai University

Zhe Zhao
Shanghai University

Mingyuan Zhu
Shanghai University

Ying Li
Shanghai University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/aiimpapers>

Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

ZnO-doped LiFePO₄ cathode material for lithium-ion battery fabricated by hydrothermal method

Abstract

LiFePO₄ particles doped with zinc oxide was synthesized via a hydrothermal route and used as cathode material for lithium-ion battery. Sample of preferable shape and structure was obtained by a concise and efficient process. ZnO doping into the LiFePO₄ matrix was positively confirmed by the results of X-ray diffraction (XRD); high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM); energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). LiFePO₄ doped with ZnO tends to form nanometer-size and homogeneous particles, which can improve markedly the performance and stability of charge-discharge cycle. A specific discharge capacity of ZnO-doped LiFePO₄ at 132.3 mAh g⁻¹ was achieved, with 1.8% decrease after 100 cycles. Based on the cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) results, it has further shown that ZnO doping effectively reduces the impacts of polarization and transfer resistance during electrochemical processes.

Keywords

hydrothermal, fabricated, battery, ion, lithium, material, method, cathode, zno, lifepo₄, doped

Disciplines

Engineering | Physical Sciences and Mathematics

Publication Details

Hu, Y., Yao, J., Zhao, Z., Zhu, M., Li, Y., Jin, H., Zhao, H. and Wang, J. (2013). ZnO-doped LiFePO₄ cathode material for lithium-ion battery fabricated by hydrothermal method. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 141 (2-3), 835-841.

Authors

Yemin Hu, Jun Yao, Zhe Zhao, Mingyuan Zhu, Ying Li, Hongming Jin, Huijun Zhao, and Jiazhao Wang

ZnO-doped LiFePO₄ Cathode Material for Lithium Ion Battery Fabricated by Hydrothermal Method

Yemin Hu ^{1*}, Jun Yao ¹, Zhe Zhao ¹, Mingyuan Zhu ¹, Ying Li ¹, Hongming Jin ¹, Huijun Zhao ²,

Jiazhao Wang ³

¹ Laboratory for Microstructures/School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200072, China

² Centre for Clean Environment and Energy, Griffith School of Environment, Griffith University, Queensland 4222, Australia

³ Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials, Innovation Campus, University of Wollongong, NSW 2500, Australia

Abstract:

LiFePO₄ particles doped with zinc oxide was synthesized via a hydrothermal route and used as cathode material for lithium ion battery. Sample of preferable shape and structure was obtained by a concise and efficient process. ZnO doping into the LiFePO₄ matrix was positively confirmed by the results of X-ray diffraction (XRD); high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM); energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). LiFePO₄ doped with ZnO tends to form nanometer-size and homogeneous particles, which can improve markedly the performance and stability of charge-discharge cycle. A specific discharge

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 21 56336107.

E-mail addresses: huyemin@shu.edu.cn (Y.M. Hu).

capacity of ZnO-doped LiFePO_4 at 132.3mAh/g was achieved, with 1.8% decrease after 100 cycles. Based on the cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) results, it has further shown that ZnO doping effectively reduces the impacts of polarization and transfer resistance during electrochemical processes.

Key words:

inorganic compounds; oxides; chemical synthesis; X-ray photo-emission spectroscopy (XPS); electrochemical properties

1. Introduction

The lithium ion battery assembled with phosphor-olivine LiFePO_4 (LFP) based cathode material possess long cycle life and high service voltage, and have been recognized as one of the most attractive power supplies for electric vehicle and hybrid electric vehicle [1, 2]. It is well known that the ionic diffusion and electron conductivities can be remarkably improved by doping appropriate functional material to LFP, and the ameliorated microstructure is achieved which shortens the transmission distance of lithium ions and prevents the collapse of the crystal lattice [3-7]. And to prepare LiFePO_4 at a low cost and efficiently, the hydrothermal synthesis is well studied by many researchers [8-16]. Their results demonstrate that the particle size and conductivity of LFP can be controlled by appropriate additive, such as CTAB, ascorbic acid and carbon nanotube under hydrothermal conditions and the appropriate temperature is necessary. H.K. Liu et al. mixed LFP with commercial ZnO powders through solid route and considered that the doped zinc ions protect the LFP crystal from shrinking [17]. This kind of “pillar” effect provides more space for the movement of lithium ions. Consequently, its conductivity is enhanced and the

lithium-ion diffusion coefficient is boosted after doping. These favorable changes are beneficial for the electrochemical performance of LFP, including the discharge capacity and rate capability. This paper presents our recent study about ZnO doped LFP fabricated through a convenient hydrothermal route which is used as cathode material of lithium ion battery. The doping effect of ZnO on the microstructure and the electrochemical properties of LFP were also involved.

2. Experimental

2.1 Sample preparation

In this study, starting materials are LiOH, $\text{Zn}(\text{Ac})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and H_3PO_4 . All the chemicals (AR grade) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent.

The ZnO precursor was prepared by adding LiOH solution to $\text{Zn}(\text{Ac})_2$ solution under magnetic stirring for 1h in ice-water bath to form $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2$ precipitations, which would generate ZnO in the following hydrothermal reaction. The molar ratio of Zn^{2+} : OH^- in the above solution was 1:2.

To prepare the LFP precursor, LiOH solution and H_3PO_4 were mixed in a beaker by magnetic stirring for 2h in ice-water bath to obtain Li_3PO_4 white colloids. Then the colloidal Li_3PO_4 were mixed with the ZnO precursor and magnetic stirred for 30min, followed by adding FeSO_4 solution to form a dark green mixture under nitrogen bubbling. The molar ratio of Li^+ : PO_4^{3-} : Fe^{2+} was 3.05:1:1, and the nominal concentration of ZnO in LFP was 2.5wt% from which we can learn that the total amount of the Zn (II) ions is 2.01 wt% in the doped sample. Next, the mixture was quickly transferred into an autoclave, which was sealed and heated at 180°C for 16h. Subsequently, the autoclave was cooled to room temperature and the reaction mixture was filtered. The greyish precipitated residue was washed several times with de-ionized water under suction pressure. Finally, the filter cake was dried in a vacuum oven at 120°C for 12h. Samples prepared from the

above process (described by chemical equation 1 and 2) were classified as ZnO-doped LFP. For comparison, pure LFP samples were prepared in the same manner without adding ZnO precursor (equation 1). Though the reaction mechanism for the hydrothermal synthesis of LiFePO₄ is complicated [14, 15], some of the possible chemical reaction equations were shown as below.

2.2 Characterization and electrochemical measurements

The samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) with Cu K α_1 radiation; scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL JSM6700F); high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM, JOEL JEM-2010F); energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS, Mn K α < 136 eV) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo ESCALAB 250) with focused monochromatic Al K α radiation (1486.6 eV).

Electrochemical performances of the samples were carried out using CR2016-type coin cells. The cathode for lithium ion battery was prepared by mixing the as-synthesized ZnO-doped LFP powder, acetylene black, and Teflon powder in a weight ratio of 80:15:5 in an agate mortar to form a paste. And the paste was dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for 12 h and rolled into a thin film. Then the film was cut into small discs (about 0.25 cm²) and used as the cathodes. The coin cells and the lithium metal anode were assembled in an argon-filled glove box with 1 M LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate (EC): dimethyl carbonate (DMC) = 1:1 as the electrolyte; Celgard C2500 membrane as the separator; and foam nickel as filler material. Cells were charged and discharged in cycles with voltage in 2.5~4.3 V range, measured by LAND battery tester (Wuhan LAND Electronics Co. Ltd., China) at room temperature (~25 °C). The curves of cyclic voltammetry (CV,

1030B, Shanghai Chenhua Instrument Ltd, China) were carried out at $0.1 \text{ mV}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ within the range of 2.3~4.5 V at room temperature ($\sim 25^\circ\text{C}$). The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is conducted on the Solartron1287-1255B electrochemical workstation. The amplitude of the input AC signal is 5 mV, and the frequency range is set between 0.01Hz and 100 kHz.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Structural Characteristics of ZnO-doped LiFePO_4

Fig.1 displays X- ray diffractions of ZnO-doped and un-doped LFP samples. The narrow and sharp peaks indicate that both two samples crystallized well and agreed with an ordered olivine-type structure indexed to the orthorhombic $Pnmb$ space group (JCPDS Card No. 83-2092). Also, the patterns in Fig.1 show the presence of ZnO phase (JCPDS Card No. 89-1397) in ZnO-doped LFP sample as confirmed by the peaks at 34.4° , 56.6° , 67.9° and others, respectively.

Furthermore, the unit cell parameters of the samples are calculated by using MDI Jade software and listed in table.1. For the sample of ZnO-doped LiFePO_4 , there are some extensions along a, b and c-axes. The increment of total lattice volume is about 1.14%. It infers that some Zn (II) ions might be doped into LiFePO_4 crystal lattice successfully. And with the pillar effect [17], this structural enlargement would be beneficial to lithium-ion diffusion.

As researched by J.J. Chen's group [12], it is indicated that around 0.14 zinc was substituted for iron, giving $\text{LiFe}_{0.86}\text{Zn}_{0.14}\text{PO}_4$ when 20% zinc was in the reaction medium. A Rietveld analysis also indicated zinc on the iron site and not on the lithium site. Their study showed that the lattice volume became smaller with the formation of LiZnPO_4 phase, which is opposite to our study result. It inferred that in our study, the zinc ions possibly do not exist in the form of LiZnPO_4 due

to the different doping process and different zinc source.

The SEM images of ZnO-doped and un-doped LFP are presented in Fig.2. In Fig.2 (a) it shows that ZnO-doped sample formed fine and homogeneous particles with the size of 300-400 nanometers. In Fig.2 (b) the size of pure LFP with slice shape were shown to be much larger than that of doped one, with sub micrometer grade. It infers that ZnO doping in the LFP lattice may promote the nucleation process and decrease the particle size markedly during the reactions. Similar phenomenon was observed by X.Y. Kang et al. [18]. They thought that the reason for ZnO-doped LFP/C samples with smaller particles was that Zn ions in the solid solution inhibit particles to conglomerate and form secondary particles even after calcinations. R.S. Guo and his colleagues [19] used sol-gel and freeze-drying methods to obtain LFP precursor xerogel. Then the precursor was calcined in a reductive atmosphere and ground with a mortar and pestle. They also indicate that ZnO/C-LFP has a relative lower particle size. The size decrease of LFP particles owing to ZnO doping leads to the efficient depth of charge and enhances the overall battery performance.

TEM images of samples were illustrated in Fig. 3(a) and (b). It can be seen that the size of doped sample is about 300nm in width and 400nm in length, while the size of un-doped sample is about 700 nm in width and 1.1 μm in length. The composition of ZnO-doped LFP was identified by EDS as shown in Fig.3(c). Zn is clearly observed in the doped sample and no impurity was detected except Cu, which was introduced from the copper grid for TEM study.

Fig. 4 exhibits clear lattice fringes of both un-doped and doped LFP, indicating their single crystallinity nature. It also exhibits typical fast Fourier transformation (FFT) patterns of orthorhombic LFP viewed along [100] direction. The FFT patterns confirm that the LFP particle is

a single crystal. Because of the orthorhombic structure of LFP crystal, the value of lattice parameter b equals to the width of neighboring lattice fringes corresponding to the (010) planes. The numerical values of b^* and c^* measured in Fig. 4 (a) and (b) with the help of Digital Micrograph software and the values of b of doped and un-doped LFP indicated by the reciprocity relation with b^* are shown in Table 1. The b/c ratio of un-doped LFP crystal, which equals to the c^*/b^* ratio by the reciprocity relation, is also indicated in Table 1. It is learned from the table that the FFT results of doped LFP are almost the same with the un-doped one except that the values of b and b/c of doped one are a little higher than un-doped one. This fact indicates that the interplanar crystal spacing along (010) becomes widening after doping, which is identical to the phenomenon of crystal volume increment that is obtained from the XRD analysis (Table 1) and proves the pillar effect of ZnO further.

To further investigate the state of zinc element in the ZnO-doped LFP sample, XPS analyses were carried out and the results are shown in Fig. 5. The XPS survey spectra are generally the same, except the scope from 1019 eV to 1027 eV in the curve of ZnO-doped sample, which exhibits the peak of Zn 2p_{3/2}. The detailed and fitting curves of Zn (2p_{3/2}) for the ZnO-doped LFP are magnified in the Fig. 5(b). From the figure, there are three main fitting peaks of binding energy, which are located at 1021.3 eV, 1022.5 eV and 1023.7 eV, respectively. The first peak is close to that of ZnFe₂O₄ (1021.4 eV, Ref. [20]). It infers that some Zn ions doped into the crystal lattice of LFP are close to FeO₆ atomic group, and impacted by the FeO₆ atomic group. The second peak agrees well with the reported value of ZnO (1022.5 eV, Ref. [21]), which indicates the existence of ZnO. The binding energy of Zinc compounds were generally impacted by strong electronegative halides, such as Br, Cl. It is known that the binding energy of Zn ion in ZnBr₂ is 1023.4eV [22],

and in ZnCl_2 is 1023.7eV [20]. It can be inferred that PO_4^{3-} anion in the lattice of LFP would have similar effect on binding energy of nearby Zn ions, and thus the third peak shifts to 1023.7eV. The atomic percentage of zinc element is 0.53% as obtained from the XPS test. As the nominal mass percentage of zinc element in our doped LFP sample is 2.01 wt%, which was mentioned in the experimental section, it's easy to calculate that the nominal atomic percentage of zinc element is 0.71%. The calculated result is a little higher than the XPS result possibly due to the loss of sample during the suction filtration process. Table 2 shows the specific results of XPS quantitative analysis obtained by peak fitting. It is learned from the table that the amount of Zn ions indicated by peak 1 and peak 3 is about 22.3% and 20.1% of the total zinc amount, respectively. And the amount of Zn ions indicated by peak 2 which exist in the form of ZnO is about 57.5% of the total. So it can be confirmed that Zn ions are doped into the crystal lattice of LFP successfully.

3.2 Performances of ZnO-doped LiFePO_4 cathodes

Fig.6 shows the charge-discharge profiles of ZnO-doped and undoped LFP between 4.3 and 2.5 V at a current rate of 0.1 C ($1\text{C}=170\text{ mAh/g}$) for the cycle of 1st (Fig. 6a), 50th (Fig. 6b) and 100th (Fig. 6c), respectively. It is clearly confirmed that the capacity of the cell without ZnO faded more quickly than that of the cell containing ZnO. The voltage profiles of ZnO-doped sample exhibit flatter charge-discharge plateaus than those of the un-doped LFP. And the differences between the charge-discharge voltages do not change with the increase of the cycle number. While that of un-doped LFP performance changes markedly, which means the polarization for un-doped sample become increasingly obvious. In addition, it can be seen that the irreversible capacity of ZnO-doped sample has only a little loss and the charge-discharge curves are almost the same from

50th to 100th cycles, which indicates that the kinetics of the LFP is indeed improved due to the enhanced electronic conductivity, which is resulted from ZnO-doping. And the sample performs much more stable during the charge-discharge processes.

The cycle performances for ZnO-doped and undoped LFP at 0.1C-rate are shown in Fig.7. From the figure, the initial discharge capacity of ZnO free LFP is 127.2mAh·g⁻¹, and it is only 66.9mAh·g⁻¹ after 100 cycles. The decay is more than 47.4% comparing to the initial cycle. While ZnO-doped LFP has the capacity of 132.3mAh·g⁻¹ for the first discharge, and maintains at 129.9 mAh·g⁻¹ after 100 cycles, which is 98.2% of the initial capacity. The capacity-keeping performance of the doped sample is more stable than that of un-doped one. And similar results were reported in Liu et al.'s paper [17].

Fig.8 shows the cyclic curves of ZnO-doped and undoped LFP which were charged at 0.1C, while discharged under various current rates (0.1C, 0.5C, 1C and 10C) at room temperature. It can be seen that the capacities decreased along with the increased current rate. It is because higher current rate means more lithium ions extract and reinsertion during relatively short time. When lithium ion migration can't meet the requirement, the discharge capacity will decay significantly. For example, in the final cycle of each stage, the specific discharge capacities of ZnO-doped sample are 129.9 mAh·g⁻¹, 94.2 mAh·g⁻¹, 80 mAh·g⁻¹ and 25.8 mAh·g⁻¹ (98.2 %, 71.2 %, 60.5 % and 19.5 % of the initial capacity, respectively) at the different discharged C-rate of 0.1 C, 0.5 C, 1 C and 10 C, respectively. The much higher capacity of the doped sample comparing to the un-doped sample indicates that the ZnO-doped sample performed much better in high rate discharge. This improvement of performance is beneficial to the lithium ion battery applications as power cell. The performance improvement of ZnO-doped sample may be explained by the special

morphology change, such as finer and more homogenous particles, which keep lattices from being collapsed during the process of lithium ions migration that may ruin the crystal lattice structure through long cycle and high C-rate charging and discharging [17]. Also, a small quantity of ZnO will improve conductivity distributing in LFP particles to give higher electronic transmission [23]. Table 3 gives a list of research results about high performance LFP published in recent years. Comparing to our research we can find that most of the initial capacities and cyclic performance at high rates of the C-coated LFP are much better than the ZnO-coated LFP. However, the cyclic performance of ZnO-coated LFP at low rate is comparable to the C-coated LFP. And it's confirmed that the ZnO-coated LFP has a higher initial capacity and cyclic performance compared with the un-doped LFP whose performance was investigated by other researchers.

Fig.9 illustrates the results of cyclic voltammetry tests, which were tested at a scan rate of $0.1 \text{ mV}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ between 2.3V and 4.5V. Each sample exhibits a group of typical curves composed by a pair of major redox peaks (Li/Li^+) centered from 3.25 to 3.75V. The potential difference between the anodic and cathodic peaks is always representative of the kinetics, especially considering that the electrochemical processes involve diffusion of lithium ions and electron transfer between different solid phases, LFP and FePO_4 [33]. The ZnO-doped LFP shows much sharper oxidation-reduction peaks and much smaller potential gap (ΔV) than ZnO free LFP material, which means higher diffusion rate of Li^+ and electronic conductivity. The well defined peaks and symmetrical shape of CVs confirm that the crystal lattices of ZnO-doped LFP do not change while lithium ions extracting from LFP and inserting into FePO_4 [17]. It means that ZnO-doping improves markedly the reversibility for charge-discharge during electrochemical reactions.

In order to deeply compare and understand the influence of ZnO on LFP matrix, the

electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) measurements, which can accurately reflect the cathode material's electrochemical characters [34, 35], were carried out in the frequency range from 0.01Hz to 100 kHz in both cells after one charge-discharge cycle. The EIS data fitted by the software of ZSimpWin are shown in the insert of Fig.10. The Nyquist plot of the doped sample showed two semicircles at medium-high and low frequencies, while the un-doped sample did not show as obvious. These EIS patterns can be well fitted by an equivalent circuit in the insert of Fig.10. Where, R_e is bulk resistance reflecting the electric conductivity of the electrolyte, separator, and electrode; R_{SEI} and C_{SEI} are resistance and capacitance of the solid-state interface layer formed on surface of electrode, which corresponds to the semicircle at high frequency; R_{ct} and C_{dl} are charge-transfer resistance and it is related to double-layer capacitance between electrode and electrolyte, which corresponds to the semicircle at medium frequency; Z_w is Warburg impedance, related to the lithium ions diffusion in the active material, which is indicated at the low frequency [34]. The corresponding values were listed as shown in Table 4. The R_e values of different cells are almost the same. The R_{SEI} values are smaller than the R_{ct} values suggesting that the electrochemical performance is mainly influenced by the charge transfer resistance. For example, the values of R_{SEI} are 59.300 and 43.825 Ω , and the R_{ct} values are 87.325 and 53.925 Ω , respectively. And also, it indicates that the charge-transfer resistance of LFP with ZnO is lower than that of LFP without ZnO. Therefore, based on the results in Fig. 10, we can conclude that the LFP material doped with ZnO becomes more conductive, which is also confirmed by the cell performance from Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Fig. 8 and Fig. 9.

4. Conclusion

It has demonstrated that an effective, simple and concise hydrothermal synthesis allowed to prepare well-crystallized ZnO-doped LFP powder with good properties as the cathode for lithium ion battery. ZnO doping helps LFP to form homogeneous and smaller particles to shorten the path of lithium ion migration, and expands the crystal lattice which makes lithium ions intercalate/de-intercalate easily. The CV and EIS analyses show that ZnO effectively weakens electrochemical polarization and resistance, making lithium ions smoothly passed through electrode material. Comparing with ZnO free LFP sample, these favorable changes are beneficial for the electrochemical performance of LFP, such as charge and discharge capacities, and improves cycling behavior and reversibility significantly.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by Shanghai Science and Technology Commission (11nm0501600, 09dz1203602) and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (S30107). The authors also thank Researcher Jin and Chu at Shanghai University; Prof. Yang, and graduated students Miss Gao and Mr. Liu at Changshu College of Science and Technology; and the Instrumental Analysis and Research Center of Shanghai University for their technological and instrumental support.

References

- [1] B. Kang, G. Ceder, *Nature*, 458 (2009) 190-193.
- [2] A.K. Padhi, K.S. Nanjundaswamy, J.B. Goodenough, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 144 (1997) 1188-1194.
- [3] S.Y. Chung, J.T. Bloking, Y.M. Chiang, *Nat. Mater.*, 1 (2002) 123-128.
- [4] P.S. Herle, B. Ellis, N. Coombs, L.F. Nazar, *Nat. Mater.*, 3 (2004) 147-152.
- [5] N. Ravet, A. Abouimrane, M. Armand, *Nat. Mater.*, 2 (2003) 702-703.
- [6] J.F. Ni, H.H. Zhou, J.T. Chen, X.X. Zhang, *Mater. Lett.*, 59 (2005) 2361-2365.
- [7] G.X. Wang, S. Needham, J. Yao, J.Z. Wang, R.S. Liu, H.K. Liu, *J. Power Sources*, 159 (2006) 282-286.

- [8] G. Meligrana, C. Gerbaldi, A. Tuel, S. Bodoardo, N. Penazzi, J. Power Sources, 160 (2006) 516-522.
- [9] J.J. Chen, M.S. Whittingham, Hydrothermal synthesis of lithium iron phosphate, Electrochem. Commun. 8 (2006) 855-858.
- [10] J.J. Chen, S.J. Wang, M.S. Whittingham, Hydrothermal synthesis of cathode materials, J. Power Sources 174 (2007) 442-448.
- [11] K. Dokko, S. Koizumi, K. Shiraishi, K. Kanamura, Electrochemical properties of LiFePO_4 prepared via hydrothermal route, J. Power Sources 165 (2007) 656-659.
- [12] J.J. Chen, M.J. Vacchio, S.J. Wang, N. Chernova, P.Y. Zavalij, M.S. Whittingham, The hydrothermal synthesis and characterization of olivines and related compounds for electrochemical applications, Solid State Ionics 178 (2008) 1676-1693.
- [13] J.J. Chen, J. Graetz, Study of Antisite Defects in Hydrothermally Prepared LiFePO_4 by in Situ X-ray Diffraction, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 3 (2011) 1380-1384.
- [14] J.J. Chen, J.M. Bai, H.Y. Chen, J. Graetz, In Situ Hydrothermal Synthesis of LiFePO_4 Studied by Synchrotron X-ray Diffraction, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2 (2011) 1874-1878.
- [15] J.J. Chen, A Review of Nanostructured Lithium Ion Battery Materials via Low Temperature Synthesis, Recent Pat. Nanotechnol. 7 (2013) 2-12.
- [16] J.J. Chen, Recent Progress in Advanced Materials for Lithium Ion Batteries, Materials 6 (2013) 156-183.
- [17] A.Y. Shenouda, H.K. Liu, J. Alloys Compd., 477 (2009) 498-503.
- [18] N. Hua, C.Y. Wang, X.Y. Kang, Tuerdi, Y. Han, J. Inorg. Mater., 25 (2010) 887-892.
- [19] Y. Cui, X. Zhao, R. Guo, Mater. Res. Bull., 45 (2010) 844-849.
- [20] L. Dake, D. Baer, J. Zachara, Surf. Interface Anal., 14 (1989) 71-75.
- [21] S.W. Gaarenstroom, N. Winograd, J. Chem. Phys., 67 (1977) 3500-3506.
- [22] C. Wagner, Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc., 60 (1975) 291-300.
- [23] J.C. Arrebola, A. Caballero, L. Hernan, J. Morales, J. Power Sources, 195 (2010) 4278-4284.
- [24] M. Higuchi, K. Katayama, Y. Azuma, M. Yukawa, M. Suhara, J. Power Sources, 119 (2003) 258-261.
- [25] J.-K. Kim, J.-W. Choi, G.S. Chauhan, J.-H. Ahn, G.-C. Hwang, J.-B. Choi, H.-J. Ahn, Electrochim. Acta, 53 (2008) 8258-8264.
- [26] S. Yang, X. Zhou, J. Zhang, Z. Liu, J. Mater. Chem., 20 (2010) 8086-8091.
- [27] K. Saravanan, P. Balaya, M.V. Reddy, B.V.R. Chowdari, J.J. Vittal, Energ. Environ. Sci., 3 (2010) 457-464.
- [28] E. Zhecheva, M. Mladenov, P. Zlatilova, V. Koleva, R. Stoyanova, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 71 (2010) 848-853.
- [29] C. Zhu, Y. Yu, L. Gu, K. Weichert, J. Maier, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 50 (2011) 6278-6282.
- [30] O. Waser, R. Buechel, A. Hintennach, P. Novak, S.E. Pratsinis, J. Aerosol Sci, 42 (2011) 657-667.
- [31] J. Lim, D. Kim, V. Mathew, D. Ahn, J. Kang, S.-W. Kang, J. Kim, J. Alloys Compd., 509 (2011) 8130-8135.
- [32] J. Orlenius, O. Lyckfeldt, K.A. Kasvayee, P. Johander, J. Power Sources, 213 (2012) 119-127.
- [33] F. Croce, A. D'Epifanio, J. Hassoun, A. Deptula, T. Olczac, B. Scrosati, Electrochem. Solid S.T., 5 (2002) A47-A50.
- [34] D. Andre, M. Meiler, K. Steiner, H. Walz, T. Soczka-Guth, D.U. Sauer, J. Power Sources, 196 (2011) 5349-5356.

[35] N.S. Zhai, M.W. Li, W.L. Wang, D.L. Zhang, D.G. Xu, in: J. Tan (Eds.), 4th International Symposium on Instrumentation Science and Technology, 2006, pp. 1157-1161.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9

Figure 10

Figure Captions

1. **Fig.1** XRD patterns of ZnO-doped and un-doped LiFePO₄.
2. **Fig.2** SEM images (a) ZnO-doped LFP image (b) un-doped LFP image.
3. **Fig.3** TEM micrograph of (a) ZnO-doped LiFePO₄, (b) un-doped LiFePO₄, (c) EDS spectrum of ZnO-doped LiFePO₄.
4. **Fig.4** HRTEM images and FFT patterns of (a) ZnO-doped LiFePO₄ and (b) un-doped LiFePO₄.
5. **Fig.5** XPS analysis graph (a) XPS spectra of ZnO-doped LiFePO₄ and (b) XPS fitting curves of Zn2p_{3/2} scope for ZnO-doped LiFePO₄.
6. **Fig.6** Curves of charge-discharge capacities at 0.1C-rate, (a) 1st, (b) 50th and (c) 100th cycles.
7. **Fig.7** Cycle performance at 0.1C-rate.
8. **Fig.8** Cycle performance at various C-rates (0.1C, 0.5C, 1C, 10C).
9. **Fig.9** C-V curves tested at 0.1 mV·s⁻¹ between 2.3 V and 4.5 V.
10. **Fig.10** Electrochemical impedance spectra of the samples and the equivalent circuit model.

Table 1

Samples	X- ray diffractions				volume ($\text{\AA}^3$)	fast Fourier transformation			
	a($\text{\AA}$)	b($\text{\AA}$)	c($\text{\AA}$)	b/c		b*(1/nm)	b($\text{\AA}$)	c*(1/nm)	b/c
ZnO-doped	5.995	10.361	4.704	2.203	292.19	0.9607	10.39	2.1070	2.19
un-doped	5.991	10.299	4.682	2.200	288.89	0.9716	10.29	2.1093	2.17

Table 2

	Position (eV)	FWHM (eV)	Amount Percentage (at.%)
Peak1	1021.3	1.000	22.343
Peak2	1022.5	1.313	57.545
Peak3	1023.7	1.363	20.112

Table 3

Author	Synthesis Method	Initial Capacity (mAh/g)	Cyclic Performance	Modification Treatment	Publication Year
Our group [this paper]	Hydrothermal	132.3(0.1C)	100 cycles, 129.9 mAh/g, 98.2%(0.1C); 80 mAh/g, 60.5%(1C)	Doped with ZnO	
M. Higuchi [24]	Microwave	125(0.1C)	20 cycles, 85 mAh/g, 68%(0.1C)	None	2003
J.H. Ahn [25]	Sol-gel + heat treatment	152(0.1C)	100 cycles, 146 mAh/g, 96% (1C)	Carbon coating	2008
H.K. Liu [17]	Ball milling	125(20mA/cm ²)	150 cycles, 100 mAh/g, 80%(20mA/cm ²)	Mixed with ZnO	2009
Z. P. Liu [26]	Solvothermal	155(0.1C)	1000 cycles, 126 mAh/g, 89%(20C,)	Carbon coating	2010
P. Balaya [27]	Solvothermal	167(0.1C)	50 cycles, 167 mAh/g, 100%(0.1C)	Carbon coating	2010
R. Stoyanova [28]	Freeze drying + solid state	130(0.1C)	85 cycles, 108 mAh/g, 83% (0.1C)	Carbon coating	2010
Y. Yu [29]	Electrospinning	169(0.1C)	100 cycles, 146 mAh/g, 86%(1C)	Carbon coating	2011
S. E. Pratsinis [30]	Flame aerosol + solid state	163(0.5C)	200 cycles, 158 mAh/g, 97% (2C)	Carbon coating	2011
J. Kim [31]	Solid state synthesis	150(0.1mA/cm ²)	50 cycles, 135 mAh/g, 90% (0.1mA/cm ²)	Carbon coating	2011
O. Lyckfeldt [32]	Freeze granulation +solid state	141(0.1C)	200 cycles, 107 mAh/g, 94%(1C)	Carbon coating	2012

Table 4

Cells	R_e	C_{SEI}	R_{SEI}	C_{dl}	R_{ct}	Z_w
	$[\Omega]$	$[\times 10^{-4}F]$	$[\Omega]$	$[\times 10^{-4}F]$	$[\Omega]$	$[\Omega]$
un-doped	0.565	6.398	59.300	0.272	87.325	0.017
ZnO-doped	0.586	14.538	43.825	0.345	53.925	0.040

Table Captions

1. **Table 1** Lattice parameters of ZnO-doped and un-doped LiFePO_4 obtained by different analysis methods (*Pnmb* space group)
2. **Table 2** XPS quantitative analysis results of Zn (II) ions
3. **Table 3** Comparison with the high performance LiFePO_4 published in recent years
4. **Table 4** Impedance parameters evaluated from the EIS data by using equivalent circuit