

2019

Critical role of caldera collapse in the formation of seafloor mineralization: The case of Brothers volcano

Cornel E.J De Ronde
GNS Science

Susan E. Humphris
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

Tobias W. Höfig
Texas A&M University

Agnes G. Reyes
GNS Science

Philipp A. Brandl
Geomar Helmholtz Centre For Ocean Research

See next page for additional authors

Publication Details

De Ronde, C., Humphris, S., Höfig, T., Reyes, A., Brandl, P. A., Cai, L., Cai, Y., Tontini, F., Caratori, Deans, J. R., Farough, A., Jamieson, J. W., Kolandaivelu, K. P., Kutovaya, A., Labonté, J., Martin, A. J., Massiot, C., McDermott, J. M., McIntosh, I. M., Nozaki, T., Pellizari, V. H., Roberts, S., Rouxel, O., Schlicht, L. E.M., Seo, J. H., Straub, S. M., Strehlow, K., Takai, K., Tanner, D., Tepley, F. J. & Zhang, C. (2019). Critical role of caldera collapse in the formation of seafloor mineralization: The case of Brothers volcano. *Geology*, 47 (8), 762-766.

Critical role of caldera collapse in the formation of seafloor mineralization: The case of Brothers volcano

Abstract

Hydrothermal systems hosted by submarine arc volcanoes commonly include a large component of magmatic fluid. The high Cu-Au contents and strongly acidic fluids in these systems are similar to those that formed in the shallow parts of some porphyry copper and epithermal gold deposits mined today on land. Two main types of hydrothermal systems occur along the submarine portion of the Kermadec arc (offshore New Zealand): magmatically influenced and seawater-dominated systems. Brothers volcano hosts both types. Here, we report results from a series of drill holes cored by the International Ocean Discovery Program into these two types of hydrothermal systems. We show that the extent of hydrothermal alteration of the host dacitic volcanoclastics and lavas reflects primary lithological porosity and contrasting spatial and temporal contributions of magmatic fluid, hydrothermal fluid, and seawater. We present a two-step model that links the changes in hydrothermal fluid regime to the evolution of the volcano caldera. Initial hydrothermal activity, prior to caldera formation, was dominated by magmatic gases and hypersaline brines. The former mixed with seawater as they ascended toward the seafloor, and the latter remained sequestered in the subsurface. Following caldera collapse, seawater infiltrated the volcano through fault-controlled permeability, interacted with wall rock and the segregated brines, and transported associated metals toward the seafloor and formed Cu-Zn-Au-rich chimneys on the caldera walls and rim, a process continuing to the present day. This two-step process may be common in submarine arc caldera volcanoes that host volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits, and it is particularly efficient at focusing mineralization at, or near, the seafloor.

Keywords

role, collapse, critical, caldera, mineralization:, formation, case, brothers, volcano, seafloor

Publication Details

De Ronde, C., Humphris, S., Höfig, T., Reyes, A., Brandl, P. A., Cai, L., Cai, Y., Tontini, F. Caratori, Deans, J. R., Farough, A., Jamieson, J. W., Kolandaivelu, K. P., Kutovaya, A., Labonté, J., Martin, A. J., Massiot, C., McDermott, J. M., McIntosh, I. M., Nozaki, T., Pellizari, V. H., Roberts, S., Rouxel, O., Schlicht, L. E.M., Seo, J. H., Straub, S. M., Strehlow, K., Takai, K., Tanner, D., Tepley, F. J. & Zhang, C. (2019). Critical role of caldera collapse in the formation of seafloor mineralization: The case of Brothers volcano. *Geology*, 47 (8), 762-766.

Authors

Cornel E.J De Ronde, Susan E. Humphris, Tobias W. Höfig, Agnes G. Reyes, Philipp A. Brandl, Lanlan Cai, Yuanfeng Cai, Fabio Caratori Tontini, Jeremy R. Deans, Aida Farough, John W. Jamieson, Kannikha P. Kolandaivelu, Anna Kutovaya, Jessica M. Labonté, Andrew J. Martin, Cécile Massiot, Jill M. McDermott, Iona M. McIntosh, Tatsuo Nozaki, Vivian H. Pellizari, Stephen Roberts, Olivier Rouxel, Lucy E.M Schlicht, Jung Hun Seo, Susanne M. Straub, Karen Strehlow, Ken Takai, Dominique Tanner, Frank J. Tepley, and Chao Zhang

1 Critical role of caldera collapse in the formation of seafloor
2 mineralization: the case for Brothers volcano

3

4 Cornel E.J. de Ronde¹, Susan E. Humphris², Tobias W. Höfig³, Agnes G. Reyes¹ and the
5 IODP Expedition 376 Scientists⁴

6 ¹GNS Science, 1 Fairway Drive, Lower Hutt 5040, New Zealand

7 ²Dept. of Geology & Geophysics, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole,
8 MA 02543, USA

9 ³International Ocean Discovery Program, Texas A&M University, 1000 Discovery
10 Drive, College Station, TX 77845, USA

11 ⁴International Ocean Discovery Program, Expedition 376, 5 May–5 July 2018; see the
12 GSA Data Repository (see text footnote 1) for the list of participants

13

14 **ABSTRACT**

15 Hydrothermal systems hosted by submarine arc volcanoes commonly include a large
16 component of magmatic fluid. The high Cu-Au contents and strongly acidic fluids in
17 these systems are similar to those that formed in the shallow parts of some porphyry
18 copper and epithermal gold deposits mined today on land. Two main types of
19 hydrothermal system occur along the submarine portion of the Kermadec arc:

20 magmatically-influenced and seawater-dominated. Brothers volcano hosts both types.
21 Here we report results from a series of drill holes cored by the International Ocean
22 Discovery Program into these two types of hydrothermal systems. We show that the
23 extent of hydrothermal alteration of the host dacitic volcanoclastics and lavas reflects
24 primary lithological porosity and contrasting spatial and temporal contributions of
25 magmatic fluid, hydrothermal fluid, and seawater. We present a two-step model that links
26 the changes in hydrothermal fluid regime to the evolution of the volcano caldera. Initial
27 hydrothermal activity, prior to caldera formation, was dominated by magmatic gases and
28 hypersaline brines. The former mixed with seawater as they ascended towards the
29 seafloor, and the latter remained sequestered in the subsurface. Following caldera
30 collapse, seawater infiltrated the volcano through fault-controlled permeability, interacted
31 with wall rock and the segregated brines, and transported associated metals towards the
32 seafloor and formed Cu-Zn-Au-rich chimneys on the caldera walls and rim, a process
33 continuing to the present-day. This two-step process may be common in submarine arc
34 caldera volcanoes that host volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits and is particularly
35 efficient at focusing mineralization at, or near, the seafloor.

36 **INTRODUCTION**

37 Volcanogenic massive sulfide (VMS) deposits are a significant source of metals
38 (largely Cu, Zn, Pb ± Au) critical for modern society, thus understanding their genesis
39 remains a key part of any exploration strategy. The geological record has several
40 examples where sizeable VMS deposits appear to have formed within submarine arc

41 caldera volcanoes (e.g. Large et al., 2001), including some that appear to be the shallow
42 expression of porphyry Cu deposits (Sillitoe et al., 1996; Hedenquist et al., 2018).

43 The Kermadec arc, offshore New Zealand, is host to 34 large volcanic complexes,
44 of which 26 are hydrothermally active (de Ronde et al., 2001, 2003). Brothers submarine
45 volcano was selected by the international science community as an ideal place to drill
46 into a caldera to provide the missing link (i.e., the 3rd dimension) in our understanding of
47 mineral deposit formation along arcs, the seafloor architecture of these volcanoes, and
48 their related permeability (de Ronde et al., 2017).

49 **BROTHERS VOLCANO AND ITS HYDROTHERMAL SYSTEMS**

50 Brothers volcano rises from a depth of ~2,200 m to a continuous caldera rim at
51 1,540 m, shoaling to 1,320 m at its northwestern rim (Fig. 1). The 3–3.5 km diameter
52 caldera floor is surrounded by 290–530 m high walls and contains an elongate NE-SW,
53 1.5–2 km wide and 350 m high, post-collapse cone (Upper Cone) that shoals to 1,220 m;
54 a smaller satellite cone (Lower Cone) overlaps its NE flank (Fig. 1) (de Ronde et al.,
55 2005; Embley et al., 2012).

56 Brothers volcano hosts two active but very distinct types of hydrothermal systems
57 (de Ronde et al., 2005, 2011). The first type is dominated by seawater-rock reactions and
58 includes the active vent fields of the Upper Caldera, NW Caldera and W Caldera, and the
59 inactive SE Caldera site (Fig. 1). This type is characterized by high-temperature
60 ($\leq 320^{\circ}\text{C}$), moderately acidic (pH = 3.2) fluids that contain modest gas abundances ($\text{CO}_2 =$

61 13–40 mM), and Cu-Zn-Au-rich sulfide chimneys. By contrast, the second type is
62 strongly influenced by magmatic fluids (largely gases) and includes the hydrothermal
63 systems at the Upper and Lower Cones (Fig. 1). This type is characterized by lower-
64 temperature ($\leq 120^{\circ}\text{C}$), very low pH (to 1.9), gas-rich ($\text{CO}_2 = \leq 206 \text{ mM}$) fluids, with
65 native sulfur chimneys and extensive Fe-oxyhydroxide crusts (de Ronde et al., 2011).
66 Vent fluid $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ values suggest that the heat driving both types is derived from the
67 same underlying magma source, with fluids discharged from the Cone sites following a
68 more direct pathway than those beneath the NW Caldera site (de Ronde et al., 2011).

69 **SAMPLING AND METHODS**

70 International Ocean Discovery Program (IODP) Expedition 376 drilled five sites
71 on Brothers volcano between May and July 2018, recovering 222.4 m of core that
72 consists largely of dacitic volcanoclastics (breccia) and lava flows. Alteration is pervasive
73 and mineral assemblages are complex and variable, attesting to multifaceted and
74 changeable hydrothermal systems. Here we focus on the three longest holes; Hole
75 U1527C that cored 238 m below the NW Caldera rim on the western margin of the NW
76 Caldera vent field; Hole U1530A that cored 453 m from immediately above an exposed
77 stockwork zone in the central part of the NW Caldera vent field with active chimneys
78 nearby, to near the bottom of the caldera; and Hole U1528D that cored 359 m through the
79 Upper Cone from the floor of a ~ 25 m diameter pit crater (Fig. 1; de Ronde et al., 2019a).

80 All analyses were conducted onboard the D/V *JOIDES Resolution*. Polished thin

81 sections were observed under both transmitted and reflected light using a polarizing
82 microscope equipped with a digital camera for microphotography. X-ray diffraction data
83 were generated by a Bruker D4Endeavor X-ray diffractometer using a generator voltage
84 of 35 kV and current of 40 mA, and were evaluated against the International Center for
85 Diffraction Data database for minerals using the Search/Match component of Bruker's
86 EVA Diffraction Evaluation software.

87 Borehole fluids were analyzed by ICP-AES and gas chromatography following
88 standard shipboard procedures described in Murray et al. (2000).

89 Fluid inclusions were measured using a USGS-adapted FLUID INC.
90 heating/freezing stage. Wherever possible, inclusions from drusy crystals of translucent
91 anhydrite, quartz, natroalunite or gypsum protruding into partially open vugs and
92 fractures and/or in cross-cutting veins were analyzed in order to determine the present or
93 most recent fluid temperatures and salinities involved in rock alteration (further details
94 and images are available in the Data Repository).

95 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

96 **Hydrothermal Alteration Mineral Assemblages**

97 Cores recovered from the NW Caldera hydrothermal field show alteration under
98 three different conditions. The upper parts of Hole U1527C (to 185 meters below seafloor
99 [mbsf]) and Hole U1530A (to 30 mbsf; Fig. 1) are characterized by a secondary mineral
100 assemblage of goethite + opal CT + zeolites resulting from low-temperature (<150°C;

101 Steiner, 1953) reaction of rock with seawater. In Hole U1527C, this is underlain by a
102 higher-temperature ($\leq 250^{\circ}\text{C}$; Hemley et al., 1980) alteration assemblage dominated by
103 chlorite + quartz + illite + pyrite (de Ronde et al., 2019a). In Hole U1530A, the low-
104 temperature assemblage is underlain by a similar green-gray alteration assemblage of
105 quartz + illite + chlorite \pm anhydrite \pm pyrite \pm sphalerite \pm smectite. Notably, a deeper
106 alteration assemblage of diaspore + quartz + pyrophyllite \pm rutile \pm zunyite was identified
107 in the lower part of Hole U1530A (from ~ 225 mbsf; Fig. 2; de Ronde et al., 2019b)—
108 indicative of still higher temperatures (i.e., $230\text{--}350^{\circ}\text{C}$)—which formed through reaction
109 of rocks with acid-sulfate fluids (Reyes, 1990; Stoffregen et al., 2000). In the deepest
110 parts of Hole U1530A, these diaspore and/or pyrophyllite zones are intercalated and
111 locally overprinted by chlorite and illite-bearing assemblages, indicative of reaction with
112 a relatively high-temperature, seawater-dominated fluid.

113 Temperature profiles in Hole 1530A are concave with temperatures gradually
114 increasing downhole, consistent with seawater recharge occurring in the system (de
115 Ronde et al., 2019b). In addition, a rapid decrease of temperature (from 94°C to 37°C)
116 with time was observed near the bottom of the hole after drilling was stopped. When
117 combined with overprinting chlorite + illite and oxidized surfaces on almost all of the
118 open fractures, this indicates permeable flow zones and the incursion of heated seawater
119 since the formation of the higher-temperature diaspore/ pyrophyllite zones.

120 By contrast, the breccia and dacitic lavas recovered from the Upper Cone (Hole
121 U1528D) have three different, often intercalated alteration assemblages, all of which

122 include variable proportions of illite, natroalunite, pyrophyllite, quartz, opal-CT, pyrite
123 and native sulfur, as well as other accessory minerals like rutile (de Ronde et al., 2019c).
124 As is the case near the bottom of Hole U1530A, these mineral assemblages also attest to
125 high-temperature (230–350°C) reaction of rocks with acid-sulfate fluids that can be
126 derived from the disproportionation of magmatic sulfur gases (e.g., SO₂ and H₂S)
127 (Giggenbach, 1997), with the presence of native sulfur clearly indicating a magmatic
128 input (Giggenbach, 1996; Christenson et al., 2010). The intensely altered rocks present in
129 Hole U1528D exhibit extreme depletion of major cation oxides, such as MgO, K₂O, CaO,
130 MnO and Na₂O (for more information, see the Data Repository and de Ronde et al.,
131 2019c).

132 **Borehole Fluid Compositions**

133 Three borehole fluid samples were collected from Hole U1528D at depths of
134 ~160, ~279 and ~313 mbsf. Temperatures of 140°C, 212°C and >236°C for the samples,
135 respectively, were determined by downhole logging. The fluids have nearly identical Ca,
136 Br, and Mg contents, and are depleted in Na by 30-37% and in Cl by 12-16% relative to
137 seawater (Fig. 3). They are gas-rich with high ΣH₂S concentrations (14.6 mM), highly
138 elevated ΣSO₄ contents (≤88.9 mM) and are very acidic (pH ≥1.8), characteristic of acid-
139 sulfate fluids (for more information, see de Ronde et al., 2019c).

140 **Fluid Inclusion Data**

141 Fluid inclusion data derived from anhydrite, quartz and natroalunite crystals from both
142 the Cone and NW Caldera boreholes fall into two distinct groups (Fig. 3); one population
143 with lesser-than and up-to-3 times higher than seawater (3.2 wt.% NaCl equiv.) salinities,
144 similar to the borehole fluids, and hypersaline brines (~32-45 wt.% NaCl equiv.; see Data
145 Repository and de Ronde et al., 2019a, for further information). Curves have been
146 calculated (Bischoff and Pitzer, 1989; Driesner and Heinrich, 2007) then plotted in Figure
147 3, with the goal of describing formation mechanisms for the fluids trapped by the
148 inclusions. Trajectory A derives from possible higher-temperature supercritical fluid
149 condensation through cooling. Three other trajectories were calculated assuming phase-
150 separation of heated seawater via depressurization at 380°C (255 bar; trajectory B) and
151 400°C (281 bar; trajectory C), respectively, and 415°C (321 bar; trajectory D) for a 4.2
152 wt.% NaCl equivalent fluid that represents the best fit for the most recent two-phase fluid
153 inclusions seen the Cone site samples (Fig. 3). Hypersaline liquid condensed from the
154 magmatic-hydrothermal interface is given by trajectory E to best explain the presence of
155 hypersaline aqueous fluids in inclusions that include a vapor bubble, sulfur, and daughter
156 minerals of sulfides and salts (see Data Repository). Isobaric phase separation (trajectory
157 F) may cause a slight decrease in salinity within a narrow range of temperatures for the
158 brine inclusions. These trajectories suggest that subcritical phase separation of seawater
159 cannot produce the NW Caldera fluid inclusion compositions of >5 wt.% NaCl, nor the
160 hypersaline brines. Rather, we suggest that inclusions with salinities >5 wt.% NaCl
161 equivalent are derived from a fluid condensed from the supercritical region followed by

162 phase separation (trajectory D), whereas the hypersaline brine originated from either
163 condensation of a single-phase fluid at higher temperatures and pressures at the
164 magmatic-hydrothermal interface (Gruen et al., 2014), or exsolution from a silicate melt
165 (Heinrich, 2007).

166 **LINKING HYDROTHERMALISM TO THE EVOLUTION OF BROTHERS**

167 **VOLCANO**

168 The downhole record of hydrothermal alteration at Brothers volcano revealed by
169 drilling suggests a progression from an initially magmatically-influenced to a seawater-
170 dominated hydrothermal system. A conceptual model (Fig. 4) links the changes in
171 hydrothermal fluid regime to the evolution of the volcano caldera and explains how
172 magmatic-hydrothermal systems can ultimately produce Cu-Au-rich VMS deposits. In
173 the pre-caldera stage, the volcano hosts a hydrothermal system dominated by magmatic
174 volatiles and metal-rich brines (Fig. 4A). Eruption conditions at Brothers (e.g., magma
175 volatile contents, hydrostatic pressure at vent depth) combine to produce abundant
176 volcanoclastics, including ubiquitous breccia. When combined with ‘damage zones’
177 created as a result of large, post-eruption pressure transients (Cole et al., 2005), these key
178 conditions strongly influence primary porosity, providing first-order control on alteration
179 zonation. Initial expulsion of magmatic heat and volatiles is manifest by low-salinity,
180 vapor-rich, metal-poor fluids, while magmatically-derived metal-rich brines are
181 segregated and temporarily trapped within the breccia (Gruen et al., 2014; Weis, 2015).
182 This is consistent with modeling experiments for porphyry Cu deposits that show

183 marginal near-vertical and carapace sub-horizontal alteration zones derive from
184 hydrothermal fluids (Weis et al., 2012), similar to those depicted in Fig. 4. Caldera
185 collapse then occurred after a singularly large, or series of volcanic eruptions, that
186 deposited 185 m of the relatively fresh volcanoclastics intersected in Hole U1527C (Fig.
187 4B). Post-collapse, a resurgent cone developed and hosted a new, magmatically-
188 influenced hydrothermal system (Fig. 4C). Simultaneously, ingress of seawater occurred
189 down faults marking the caldera wall (not shown) and along the base of the caldera, and
190 reacted with the rocks and trapped brines, transporting the metals to the seafloor to form
191 present-day Cu-Zn-Au-rich chimneys (Fig. 4C). We conclude that the preponderance of
192 caldera volcanoes as hosts to intraoceanic arc VMS mineralization reflects a two-step
193 process for their formation. Ancient arc-related VMS deposits in the geological record
194 likely also formed via a similar mechanism.

195

196 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

197 This research used samples and data provided by IODP. We sincerely thank the
198 IODP technical staff and the D/V *JOIDES Resolution* crew for their invaluable support,
199 determination, and perseverance during what was a challenging expedition. We gratefully
200 acknowledge S.L. Walker for compiling the base bathymetry in Fig. 1, H.A. Berkenbosch
201 for helping to construct Fig. 4 and C.J.N. Wilson for discussion. The manuscript
202 benefited from helpful reviews by three anonymous reviewers.

203

204 **REFERENCES CITED**

- 205 Bischoff, J.L. and Rosenbauer, R.J., 1985, An empirical equation of state for
206 hydrothermal seawater (3.2 percent NaCl): *Am. J. Sci.*, v. 285, p. 725-763.
- 207 Bischoff, J.L. and Pitzer, K.S., 1989, Liquid vapor relations for the system NaCl-H₂O:
208 summary of the P-T-x surface from 300° to 500°C: *Am. J. Sci.* v. 289, 217-248.
- 209 Caratori Tontini, F., Davy, B., de Ronde, C.E.J., Embley, R.W., Leybourne, M.I. and
210 Tivey, M.A., 2012, Crustal magnetization of Brothers volcano, New Zealand,
211 measured by autonomous underwater vehicles: geophysical expression of a
212 submarine hydrothermal system: *Econ. Geol.*, v. 107, p. 1571-1581.
- 213 Christenson, B.W., Reyes, A.G., Young, R., Moebis, A., Sherburn, S., Cole-Baker, J. and
214 Britten, K., 2010, Cyclic processes and factors leading to phreatic eruption events:
215 Insights from the 25 September 2007 eruption through Ruapehu Crater Lake, New
216 Zealand: *J. Volcanol. Geothermal Res.*, v. 191, p. 15–32.
- 217 Cole, J.W., Milner, D.M. and Spinks, K.D., 2005, Calderas and caldera structures: a
218 review: *Earth Science Reviews*, v. 69, p. 1-26.
- 219 de Ronde, C.E.J., Baker, E.T., Massoth, G.J., Lupton, J.E., Wright, I.C., Feely, R.A. and
220 Greene, R.R., 2001, Intra-oceanic subduction-related hydrothermal venting,
221 Kermadec volcanic arc, New Zealand: *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, v. 193, p. 359-369.
- 222 de Ronde, C.E.J., Massoth, G.J., Baker, E.T. and Lupton, J.E. , 2003, Submarine
223 hydrothermal venting related to volcanic arcs, *in* Simmons, S.F. and Graham, I.G.
224 eds., Giggenbach Memorial volume, Soc. Econ. Geol. and Geochem. Soc. Special

225 Publ., v. 10, p. 91-109.

226 de Ronde, C.E.J, Hannington, M.F., Stoffers, P., Wright, I.C., Ditchburn, R.G., Reyes,
227 A.G., Baker, E.T., Massoth, G.J., Lupton, J.E., Walker, S.L., Breene, B.R., Soong,
228 C.W., Ishibashi, J., Lebon, G.T., Bray, C.J. and Resing, J.A., 2005, Evolution of a
229 submarine magmatic-hydrothermal system: Brothers volcano, southern Kermadec
230 arc, New Zealand: *Econ. Geol.*, v. 100, p. 1097-1133.

231 de Ronde, C.E.J., Massoth, G.J., Butterfield, D.A., Christenson, B.W., Ishibashi, J.,
232 Ditchburn, R.G., Hannington, M.D., Brathwaite, R.L., Lupton, J.E., Kamenetsky,
233 V.S., Graham, I.J., Zellmer, G.F., Dziak, R.P., Embley, R.W., Dekov, V.M.,
234 Munnik, F., Lahr, J., Evans, L.J., and Takai, K., 2011, Submarine hydrothermal
235 activity and gold-rich mineralization at Brothers volcano, Kermadec arc, New
236 Zealand: *Mineralium Deposita*, v. 46, p. 541-584, 2011.

237 de Ronde, C.E.J., Humphris, S.E. and Höfig, T.W., 2017, Expedition 376 Scientific
238 Prospectus: Brothers Arc Flux. International Ocean Discovery Program.
239 <https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.sp.376.2017>, 33 pp.

240 de Ronde, C.E.J., Humphris, S.E., Höfig, T.W. and the Expedition 376 Scientists,
241 2019a, *Brothers Arc Flux*. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery
242 Program, 376: College Station, TX (International Ocean Discovery
243 Program). <https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.376.2019> , in press.

244 de Ronde, C.E.J., Humphris, S.E., Höfig, T.W. and the Expedition 376 Scientists, 2019b,
245 Site U1530. *In*, de Ronde, C.E.J., Humphris, S.E., Höfig, T.W., and the Expedition

246 376 Scientists, *Brothers Arc Flux*. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery
247 Program, 376: College Station, TX (International Ocean Discovery
248 Program). <https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.376.106.2019> , in press.

249 de Ronde, C.E.J., Humphris, S.E., Höfig, T.W., and the Expedition 376 Scientists, 2019c,
250 Site U1528. *In*, de Ronde, C.E.J., Humphris, S.E., Höfig, T.W., and the Expedition
251 376 Scientists, *Brothers Arc Flux*. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery
252 Program, 376: College Station, TX (International Ocean Discovery
253 Program). <https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.376.104.2019> , in press.

254 Driesner, T. and Heinrich, C.A., 2007. The system H₂O–NaCl. Part I: Correlation
255 formulae for phase relations in temperature–pressure–composition space from 0 to
256 1000 C, 0 to 5000 bar, and 0 to 1 X_{NaCl}: *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, v. 71, p, 4880-
257 4901.

258 Embley, R.W., de Ronde, C.E.J., Merle, S.G., Davy, B. and Caratori Tontini, F. 2012,
259 Detailed morphology and structure of an active submarine arc caldera: Brothers
260 volcano, Kermadec arc: *Econ. Geol.*, v. 107, p. 1557-1570.

261 Giggenbach, W.F. 1996, Chemical composition of volcanic gases, *in*, Scarpa, R. and
262 Tilling, R.I., eds., *Monitoring and Mitigation of Volcano Hazards*, Springer, p. 221–
263 256.

264 Giggenbach, W.F, 1997, The origin and evolution of fluids in magmatic-hydrothermal
265 systems, *in* Barnes, H.L., ed., *Geochemistry of Hydrothermal Ore Deposits*. 2nd
266 Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., p. 737-796.

267 Gruen, G., Weis, P., Driesner, T., Heinrich, C.A. and de Ronde, C.E.J., 2014,
268 Hydrodynamic modeling of magmatic-hydrothermal activity at submarine arc
269 volcanoes, with implications for ore formation: *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, v. 404, p.
270 307-318.

271 Hedenquist, J.W., Taguchi, S. and Shinohara, H., 2018, Features of large magmatic–
272 hydrothermal systems in Japan: Characteristics similar to the tops of porphyry copper
273 deposits: *Resource Geol.*, v. 60, p. 164-180.

274 Heinrich C.A., 2007, Fluid-fluid interactions in magmatic-hydrothermal ore formation:
275 *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, v. 65, p. 363-387, Geol. Soc. America.

276 Hemley, J.J., Montoya, J.W., Marinenko, J.W. and Luce, R.W., 1980, Equilibria in the
277 system $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-SiO}_2\text{-H}_2\text{O}$ and some general implications for alteration-mineralization
278 processes: *Econ. Geol.*, v. 75, p. 210–228.

279 Henley, R.W., Truesdell, A.H, Barton, P.B. and Whitney, J.A., 1984, Fluid-mineral
280 equilibria in hydrothermal systems. *Reviews in Econ. Geol*, vol. 1, Society of
281 Economic Geologists, Texas, 267 pp.

282 Large, R.R., Allen, R.L., Blake, M.D. and Herrmann, W., 2001, Hydrothermal alteration
283 and volatile element halos for the Rosebery K lens volcanic-hosted massive sulfide
284 deposit, western Tasmania: *Econ. Geol.*, v. 96, p. 1055-1072 .

285 Murray, R.W., Miller, D.J., and Kryc, K.A., 2000, Analysis of major and trace elements
286 in rocks, sediments, and interstitial waters by inductively coupled plasma–atomic

287 emission spectrometry (ICP-AES). Ocean Drilling Program Technical Note, 29.
288 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2973/odp.tn.29.2000>.

289 Reyes, A.G., 1990, Petrology of Philippine geothermal systems and the application of
290 alteration mineralogy in their assessment: *Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, v. 43, p. 279-
291 309.

292 Sillitoe, R.H., Hannington, M.D. and Thompson, J.F., 1996, High sulfidation deposits in
293 the volcanogenic massive sulfide environment: *Econ. Geol.*, v. 91, p. 204-212.

294 Steiner, A., 1953, Hydrothermal rock alteration at Wairakei, New Zealand: *Econ. Geol.*,
295 v. 48, p. 1-13.

296 Stoffregen, R.E., Alpers, C.N. and Jambor, J.L., 2000, Alunite-jarosite crystallography,
297 thermodynamics, and geochronology, *in* Alpers, C.N., Jambor, J.L. and Nordstrom,
298 D.K., eds., *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, v. 40, p. 453–479, Geol. Soc.
299 America.

300 Tanger, J.C. and Pitzer, K.S., 1989, Thermodynamics of NaCl-H₂O: a new equation of
301 state for the near-critical region and comparison with other equations for adjoining
302 regions: *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, v. 53, p. 973-987.

303 Weis, P., 2015, The dynamic interplay between saline fluid flow and rock permeability in
304 magmatic-hydrothermal systems: *Geofluids*, v. 15, p. 350–371.

305 Weis, P., Driesner, T. and Heinrich, C.A., 2012, Porphyry-copper ore shells form at
306 stable pressure-temperature fronts within dynamic fluid plumes: *Science*. v. 338, p.
307 1613-1616.

308

309 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

310 **Figure 1.** Map showing high-resolution (2 m) Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV)-
311 derived bathymetry of Brothers volcano caldera walls and resurgent cones overlain on 25
312 m resolution ship-derived bathymetry for the caldera floor, upper caldera rim, and
313 volcano flanks. The translucent areas depict zones of low magnetization intensity
314 associated with hydrothermal fluid upflow zones (Caratori Tontini et al., 2012) and
315 incorporate the known vent fields (with the exception of the Lower Cone), including:
316 Upper Caldera; NW Caldera; W Caldera; SE Caldera (extinct) and Upper Cone. IODP
317 Holes U1527C, U1528D and U1530A are the boreholes referred to in the text. The
318 section shown in Fig. 4 is the same as the seismic section shown in de Ronde et al. (2017)
319 for seismic line Bro-3, with Holes U1527C and U1530A projected onto the line.
320 Transverse mercator projection, central meridian = 179°E.

321 **Figure 2.** Downhole distribution of primary (plagioclase and cristobalite) and alteration
322 (others) minerals from Hole U1530A. Mineral abundances are semi-quantitative, and
323 were determined by shipboard XRD analysis. Colors refer to different alteration types
324 that are based on characteristic alteration mineral assemblages (see text). H₂S odour
325 (given by the yellow labels embedded in the Igneous unit column) was detected on a
326 number of occasions throughout the drill hole. The different igneous units 1-5 are
327 described in de Ronde et al. (2019b).

328 **Figure 3.** Fluid inclusion salinity (expressed as NaCl wt. % equiv.) vs. homogenization

329 temperatures and corresponding enthalpy of NaCl-H₂O (Bischoff and Rosenbauer, 1985;
330 Tanger and Pitzer, 1989). Borehole fluid salinity is plotted at logged temperatures. The
331 plot is divided into subcritical and supercritical regions by the critical line (dashed) with
332 phase separation equations and fluid properties, and critical and halite liquidus curves
333 calculated from Driesner and Heinrich (2007 and references therein) and boiling curve
334 equations adapted from Henley et al. (1984). Bold dashed line demarcates seawater
335 salinity of 3.2 wt. % NaCl equivalent. Phase separation within the subcritical regions
336 consists of; (A) vapor condensation through cooling, (B-D) boiling, or flashing (i.e.,
337 vapor loss) with depressurization, (E) three-phase condensation of liquid, vapor and solid
338 (halite) and (F) isobaric phase separation—see text.

339 **Figure 4.** Schematic depicting the evolution of the caldera and hydrothermal system at
340 Brothers volcano. A. Thermal model depicting isotherms (in °C) for the initial
341 stratovolcano that was host to a magmatic-hydrothermal system dominated by magmatic
342 gases (pink arrows), which likely breached the seafloor, later mantled by volcanic
343 material from a single large, or series of eruptions, that was followed by caldera collapse.
344 Cross hatching denotes brines and/or magmatic salt. B. Main-stage caldera collapse.
345 Schematic shows alteration model (zonation) that is compressed and/or truncated
346 adjacent to the caldera walls. Red triangles represent a dismembered dike; long black
347 dashes, the base of the caldera (de Ronde et al., 2017). C. Thermal model for the post-
348 collapse, resurgent cone (Upper Cone) as it progressively built up from the caldera floor
349 (smaller dashes), itself host to a magmatic-hydrothermal system. Heat from the magma

350 supplying the Cone also drives seawater circulation through faults along the caldera wall.
351 Blue arrows depict the recharge of seawater in the system, utilizing faults marking the
352 caldera walls (not shown) and higher porosity zones in the caldera floor and Cone. Red
353 arrows denote heated (modified) seawater after it has interacted and/or exchanged with
354 previously deposited metal-rich brines, transporting Cu-Zn-Au mineralization to the
355 seafloor. Scale 1:1. Images shown are representative of alteration assemblages found
356 within an individual borehole (de Ronde et al., 2019a). Mineral abbreviations given in the
357 legends relate to the dominant and/or presence of a diagnostic mineral for a particular
358 alteration zone: an, anhydrite; ba, barite; chl, chlorite; dia, diasporite; goe, goethite; ill,
359 illite; mor, mordenite; natro, natroalunite; op, opal-CT; py, pyrite; pyr, pyrophyllite; qtz,
360 quartz; sul, sulfur; sm, smectite; sph, sphalerite; rut, rutile; zun, zunyite.

361

362 ¹GSA Data Repository item 201Xxxx, which consists of a list of the IODP Expedition
363 376 Scientists, details of methods and equipment used to determine alteration mineral
364 paragenesis and geochemical analysis, methods and images of fluid inclusions analysis,
365 and an explanation of the data and methods used in construction of the model presented
366 in the paper, is available online at www.geosociety.org/pubs/ft20XX.htm, or on request
367 from editing@geosociety.org or Documents Secretary, GSA, P.O. Box 9140, Boulder,
368 CO 80301, USA.