



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

University of Wollongong Thesis Collection
1954-2016

University of Wollongong Thesis Collections

2005

Induced currents in gas pipelines due to nearby power lines

Dejan Markovic
University of Wollongong

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author.

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Recommended Citation

Markovic, Dejan, Induced currents in gas pipelines due to nearby power lines, M.Eng. thesis, School of Electrical, Computer and Telecommunications Engineering, University of Wollongong, 2005. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/638>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Induced Currents in Gas Pipelines due to Nearby Power Lines

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the
award of the degree

Masters by Research

from

University of Wollongong

by

Dejan Markovic, BEng

School of Electrical, Computer and Telecommunications Engineering

October 2005

CERTIFICATION

I, Dejan Markovic, declare that this thesis, submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Masters by Research, in the School of Electrical, Computer and Telecommunications Engineering, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualification at any other academic institution.

Dejan Markovic

28 October 2005

Acknowledgements

This research would not have been possible without the generous support of the sponsors, Australian natural gas utility, Agility. The author wishes to thank them for their kind assistance.

Abstract

Significant voltage levels can be induced in gas pipelines due to power lines in areas where they share the same corridor, especially during a fault. These voltages can affect the operating personnel, pipeline-associated equipment, cathodic protection systems and the pipeline itself. Quite often, mitigation is required to reduce these induced voltages to levels that are safe for personnel and integrity of the pipeline. This thesis investigates and evaluates the performance and capabilities of two software packages that have been developed to calculate and manage induced voltages on pipelines, PRC and CDEGS. As it was the superior package, CDEGS and the interference analysis based on it is presented in detail.

The complete interference analysis, including steady state and fault conditions, was performed on the Young-Lithgow pipeline and power line shared corridor. It is shown that pipeline coating stress voltages in excess of levels recommended by the CDEGS procedure may exist for faults on particular power lines. Possible remedial measures are suggested.

Subsequently, the existing mitigation system on the Brisbane pipeline, employing insulating joints with permanent earths, is assessed using CDEGS. It is shown that this mitigation is sufficient in regard to controlling pipeline coating stress voltages. Touch voltages on three test points are in excess of levels allowed by IEEE recommendations, but still within levels allowed by Australian Standards.

The same pipeline layout is used to analyse the hypothetical case of a mitigation system implemented with zinc gradient control wire. While the pipeline coating stress voltages are within recommended limits, only one test point touch voltage is in excess of IEEE recommendations and again all are within Australian Standards limits.

Apart from performance, the two mitigation methods are compared in terms of cost of installation and other features. It is concluded that despite the lower costs of installation of a system with insulating joints, some other features and costs associated with maintenance of the two compared systems favoured the gradient control wire method and made it the preferred method for mitigation of induced currents in pipelines for many configurations.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Statement of the Problem	1
1.2 Objectives of the Thesis	2
1.3 Summary of the Research Methodology	2
1.4 Limitations of the Study	4
1.4.1 Limitations of Software	4
1.4.2 Limitations of Field Measurements	4
1.5 Layout of the Thesis	6
2 Literature Review	8
2.1 Evolution of Prediction Methods for Induced Voltages on Pipelines	8
2.2 Mitigation of Induced Voltages on Pipelines	13
2.2.1 Early Grounding Methods	13
2.2.2 Cancellation Wire	14
2.2.3 Insulating Joints	15
2.2.4 Gradient Control Wire	18
2.3 Cathodic Protection Considerations	19
2.4 Conclusions	21
3 Methodologies	23
3.1 Introduction	23
3.2 PRC International Software	23
3.3 CDEGS software	24
3.3.1 Introduction	24
3.3.2 Modules	24
3.4 Typical CDEGS Pipeline Interference Study Procedure	26
3.4.1 Data Collection	26
3.4.2 Soil Resistivity Analysis	29
3.4.3 Inductive Analysis	29
3.4.4 Inductive Analysis and Fault Graphs	30
3.4.5 Conductive Analysis	30
3.4.6 Total Pipeline Coating Stress Voltage	31
3.4.7 Safety Analysis	31
3.4.8 Mitigation Design	31
3.5 Soil Resistivity Measurements	32
3.6 Monitoring of Cathodic Protection Potentials	33

4	Comparison of PRC and CDEGS Software and Introduction to Interference Analysis using CDEGS	36
4.1	CDEGS and PRC Software Comparison	36
4.1.1	Consumer Power Company, Kalamazoo Line 1800 Pipeline Case Study	37
4.1.2	Southern California Gas Company Line 325 Needles, Mojave Dessert Pipeline Case Study	40
4.1.3	Features of CDEGS and PRC Packages	43
4.2	Introduction to CDEGS: Young-Lithgow (YL) Pipeline Case Study	43
4.3	Description of the Corridor	43
4.3.1	Pipeline	44
4.3.2	Existing Pipeline Mitigation	45
4.3.3	Power Lines	45
4.3.4	Computer Modelling Procedure	45
4.3.5	Soil Resistivity	46
4.4	Existing Mitigation System	46
4.4.1	Steady State	46
4.4.2	Faults - Inductive Component	48
4.4.3	Faults - Conductive Component and Total Pipeline Coating Stress Voltages	51
4.4.4	Safety Assessment of Test Points	53
4.4.5	Cathodic Protection	55
4.5	Conclusions	55
5	Assessment of Two Mitigation Methods: Brisbane Pipeline Case Study	57
5.1	Introduction	57
5.2	Mitigation Methods	58
5.2.1	Mitigation with Insulating Joints	58
5.2.2	Mitigation with Gradient Control Wire	58
5.3	Description of the Corridor	59
5.3.1	Pipeline	60
5.3.2	Existing Pipeline Mitigation	60
5.3.3	Power Lines	61
5.3.4	Computer Model	61
5.3.5	Soil Resistivity	62
5.3.6	Approximate Calculation of Induced Potentials on Pipeline	62
5.4	Existing Mitigation System with Insulating Joints	64
5.4.1	Steady State	64
5.4.2	Faults - Inductive Component	67
5.4.3	Faults - Conductive Component and Total Pipeline Coating Stress Voltages	69
5.4.4	Safety Assessment of Test Points	70
5.4.5	Cathodic Protection	74
5.4.6	Costing	77
5.5	Alternative Mitigation Design with Gradient Control Wire	78
5.5.1	Steady State	78

5.5.2	Faults - Inductive Component	79
5.5.3	Faults - Conductive Component and Total Pipeline Coating Stress Voltages	79
5.5.4	Considerations in relation to Fault at Tower 2243	80
5.5.5	Safety Assessment of Test Points	81
5.5.6	Cathodic Protection	84
5.5.7	Costing	86
5.6	Comparison of the two mitigation systems	87
5.6.1	Costs	87
5.6.2	Mitigation	87
5.6.3	Maintenance and Repair	88
5.6.4	Cathodic Protection	88
5.6.5	Pipeline and Mitigation System Decoupling	88
5.7	Conclusions	89
6	Conclusions, Guidelines and Recommendations	90
6.1	Conclusions	90
6.2	Guidelines on How to Choose an Appropriate Mitigation Method	91
6.3	Recommendations for Future Research	91
A	Brief Theory of Pipeline and Power Line Interference	93
A.1	Calculations of Induction Levels	93
A.2	Calculation of Metallic Pipeline Electrical Parameters	96
A.2.1	Symbols Used	96
A.2.2	Pipeline Series Impedance	97
A.2.3	Pipeline Admittance	97
A.2.4	Pipeline Characteristic Impedance (Ω)	97
A.2.5	Pipeline Propagation Constant ($1/m$)	97
A.2.6	Characteristic Length of Pipeline	97
B	Fault Current Components	98
B.1	Calculation of Power Line Zero Sequence Impedance for a Single Circuit Horizontal Tower With Two Earth Wires	98
B.2	Calculation of Fault Current Component	101
C	Two Layer Computer Soil Model	104
C.1	Young-Lithgow Corridor Soil Model	104
C.2	Brisbane Corridor Soil Model	106
C.3	Brisbane Corridor Soil Model for Cathodic Protection Calculations with Insulating Joints	107
C.4	Brisbane Corridor Soil Model for Cathodic Protection Calculations with Gradient Control Wire	107

D	Power Line Data	108
D.1	Young-Lithgow Corridor Power Line Data	108
D.2	Brisbane Corridor Power Line Data	110
D.3	Brisbane Corridor Power Line Tower Footing Resistances	111
D.4	Estimation of Fault Current Components	111
D.4.1	Location of Power Line Faults	111
D.4.2	Worst Case Scenario Fault Current Components	112
E	Nomogram	113
E.1	Nomogram for Calculation of Mutual Impedance	113
	References	115

List of Figures

1.1	Flowchart of the research methodology	3
3.1	Soil resistivity measurement - Wenner method	32
3.2	Cathodic protection levels logs at Brewongle on Young-Lithgow pipeline	34
4.1	Kalamazoo pipeline - corridor profile and steady state potentials	37
4.2	Kalamazoo pipeline with insulating joint removed - steady state potentials	38
4.3	Kalamazoo pipeline with insulating joint bonded - steady state potentials	38
4.4	Mojave desert pipeline - shared corridor geometry	40
4.5	Mojave desert pipeline - steady state potentials	41
4.6	Mojave desert pipeline - comparisons of steady state potentials	41
4.7	Young-Lithgow pipeline - physical layout of the shared corridor (not drawn to scale)	44
4.8	Young-Lithgow pipeline - steady state potentials	47
4.9	Young-Lithgow pipeline - inductive component of coating stress voltage for faults along power line 94x	50
4.10	Young-Lithgow pipeline - inductive component of coating stress voltage for faults along power line 944	50
4.11	Young-Lithgow pipeline - inductive component of coating stress voltage for faults along power line 934	51
4.12	Young-Lithgow pipeline - inductive component of coating stress voltage for faults along power line 94x - with extra grounding at points 180.1 and 200	54
4.13	Young-Lithgow - inductive component of coating stress voltage for faults along power line 944 - with extra grounding at points 180.1 and 200	54
5.1	Mitigation system with insulating joints	58
5.2	Mitigation system with gradient control wire	59
5.3	Brisbane pipeline - physical layout of shared corridor (not drawn to scale)	60
5.4	Brisbane pipeline with no insulating joints - steady state potentials	64
5.5	Brisbane pipeline with insulating joints at termination points - steady state potentials	65
5.6	Brisbane pipeline with 8 insulating joints - steady state potentials	65
5.7	Brisbane pipeline with no insulating joints - inductive component of coating stress voltage for faults along power line 817	66
5.8	Brisbane pipeline with insulating joints at termination points - inductive component of coating stress voltage for faults along power line 817	67
5.9	Brisbane pipeline with eight insulating joints - inductive component of coating stress voltage for faults along power line 817	68
5.10	Brisbane pipeline with eight insulating joints and permanent earths - inductive component of coating stress voltage for faults along power line 817	69
5.11	Brisbane pipeline with insulating joints, test point 1, fault at 2226 - conductive touch voltage distribution	71
5.12	Brisbane pipeline with gradient control wire - steady state potentials	79

5.13	Brisbane pipeline with gradient control wire - inductive component of coating stress voltage for faults along power line 817	80
A.1	Equivalent pipeline circuit (increment)	94
B.1	Single circuit horizontal tower dimensions	99
B.2	Simple system illustrating left and right fault current components	102
D.1	Brisbane power line - left and right fault components for selected faults . .	112
E.1	Nomogram for calculation of mutual impedance per unit length ($f = 50 \text{ Hz}$)	114

List of Tables

4.1	Young-Lithgow pipeline - total pipeline coating stress voltages	52
5.1	Brisbane pipeline with insulating joints - total pipeline coating stress voltages	70
5.2	Brisbane pipeline with insulating joints - test points touch voltages	72
5.3	Brisbane pipeline with insulating joints - cathodic protection current densities ($\mu A/m^2$)	77
5.4	Brisbane pipeline with gradient control wire - total pipeline coating stress voltages	81
5.5	Brisbane pipeline with gradient control wire - test point touch voltages . . .	82
5.6	Brisbane pipeline with gradient control wire, test point 5, faults at tower 2239 - touch voltages mitigation design	83
5.7	Brisbane pipeline with gradient control wire - cathodic protection current densities ($\mu A/m^2$)	85
C.1	Young-Lithgow pipeline - field measurements and corresponding computer soil model, Part 1	104
C.2	Young-Lithgow pipeline - field measurements and corresponding computer soil model, Part 2	105
C.3	Brisbane pipeline - field measurements and corresponding computer soil model	106
C.4	Brisbane pipeline with insulating joints - soil model used for cathodic pro- tection calculations	107
C.5	Brisbane pipeline with gradient control wire - soil model used for cathodic protection calculations	107
D.1	Young-Lithgow power line data	109
D.2	Brisbane power line data	110
D.3	Brisbane power line tower footing resistances	111