2006

From periphery to centre: shaping the history of the central peninsula

Philip King

University of Wollongong

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author.

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Recommended Citation


Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au
FROM PERIPHERY TO CENTRE
Shaping the History of the Central Peninsula

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree
Doctor of Philosophy
From
University of Wollongong
By
Philip King
B.A. (Hons), University of Wollongong
History and Politics Program
March 2006
DECLARATION

I, Philip King, declare that this thesis, submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in the History and Politics Program, Faculty of Arts, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other institution.

Philip King
# Table of Contents

*List of Maps*  
List of Maps and Images  
List of Tables  
List of Special Names  
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms  
Notes on Spelling and Names  
Abstract  
Acknowledgements  
Prologue: *Kemajuan dan Ketinggalan.*  
1. Introduction.  
2. The Pre-Eighteenth Century Central Peninsula: Causeway or Landbridge?  
3. Balancing the Coastal Bias: The Interior, 1700-1820.  
4. The Consolidation of Raman, 1826-1900.  
5. A Revived British Forward Movement, 1876-1896.  
9. From Periphery to Centre: The IMT-GT.  
10. Conclusion.  
Appendix A. The Rulers of Raman.  
Appendix B. Berkeley’s Genealogy of the Rulers of Raman.  
Bibliography.
LIST OF MAPS AND IMAGES

Maps


Map 5. Segment of the map accompanying the original edition of Crawfurd’s 1828 Journal of an Embassy from the Governor General of India to the Courts of Siam and Cochin China.


Map 11. 1926 Map of Kedah. Source: Arkib Negara, Malaysia.

Map 12. Segment of a topographic map, Siam-Malaya border in the mid-1930s. Source: Author’s collection.
Map 13. The Laboo concession. Source: Author’s collection.


Map 17: Industrial clustering along the pipeline route: Source Trans-Thai Malaysia Pty Ltd. 2543. *Thai-Malaysia Natural Gas and Gas Separation Project (in Thai)*. Bangkok: TTM (Thailand) Ltd.

Images


Image 5: Long Raya or Raja Muda Bakak (centre) and the Raja Muda of Raman (second from right), 1899. Source: Arkib Negara, Malaysia.

Image 6: Hubert Berkeley, District Officer of Upper Perak. Source: Arkib Negara, Malaysia.

Image 7: Hydraulic mining. Source: Author’s collection


Page 95
Page 98
Page 99
Page 103
Page 105
Page 153
Page 157
Page 164
Page 170
Page 191


Image 14: Brochure cover for the Thai-Malaysian gas pipeline scheme. Source: TTM Page 228

Image 15: Masthead of the first edition of *Jendela Timur*. Page 231

Image 16: Images from a PTT advertising campaign for the development of the energy sector in southern Thailand. Page 242
LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Revenue and Expenditure (in Straits Dollars) for the District of Upper Perak and the New Territory, selected years, 1891-1908.

Table 2: Klian Intan Mine Concessions in 1905

Table 3: Rahman Hydraulic Prospecting Concessions, 1905.

Table 4: Output and Profits for Rahman Hydraulic and Rahman Tin, 1909-1938 (based on available data)

Table 5: Census of 1931

Page 146
Page 155
Page 156
Page 174
Page 180
LIST SPECIAL TERMS

*All words are Malay unless marked (T) for Thai terms

Bahar 375 lbs/187.5 kg
Bendang Wet rice field
Dulang Washing/panning (for tin)
Hikayat Chronicle
Ilir Downstream
Kemajuan Advancement, development
Kerah Corvee
Ketinggalan Backwardness
Luang (T) Royal title
Pawang Spirit medium
Penghulu Local chief
Pattanaa(T) Development
Pikal 62 kg
Rai (T) 1.15 acres
Siwilai (T) Civilised
Sungai River
Syed Descendent of the Prophet Muhammed
Tamadun Civilisation
Ulu Upstream
# List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADB</td>
<td>Asian Development Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASEAN</td>
<td>Association of Southeast Asian Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMA</td>
<td>British Military Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT-GT</td>
<td>Indonesia-Malaysia-Thailand Growth Triangle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JDA</td>
<td>Joint Development Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP</td>
<td>Malayan Communist Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAJA</td>
<td>Malayan Peoples’ Anti-Japanese Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESDB</td>
<td>National Economic and Social Development Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petronas</td>
<td>Petroliam Nasional (Malaysia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTT (T)</td>
<td>Thailand’s State-Owned Petroleum Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCAJP</td>
<td>Overseas Chinese Anti-Japanese Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTM</td>
<td>Trans-Thai Malaysia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Notes on Spelling and Names

All Malay terms follow the rules set out by the 1973 standardized *Ejaan Yang Disepurnakan* excepting where spelling is historically contextual (for example *Kesah* rather than *Kisah*).

Given the lack of an agreed transliteration system for the Thai language, I have transliterated Thai words phonetically. The use of the names Siam and Thailand are determined by the historical context of the passage in question.
ABSTRACT

Southeast Asia as a regional category of analysis has long been a contested one. Debates over the nature of the cultural matrix or the ecological factors that have produced a regional identity have driven some of the most innovative research in the field of Southeast Asian studies since the Second World War.

These debates have not been limited to the types of external boundaries that may help to distinguish the region sandwiched between India to the west and China to the northeast. As the latter have more or less stabilised, attention has increasingly been directed towards the internal composition of the Southeast Asian region and the conventions employed to organise a highly diverse collection of states and peoples. Historians have grown increasingly wary of the dangers in writing history as a means of justifying current political geographies and continue to seek out ways of countering the inherent biases of colonial sources that serve as such an essential window into the past. Once conventional spatial models of a distinct mainland Southeast Asia complimented by a sprawling insular landscape are today splintering as new research points towards the trade networks and patterns of mobility that paid scant attention to any such imaginary divides. In the process new spaces that have formerly existed at the margins of scholarship on the region are emerging as valid subjects of inquiry. Areas and subjects long regarded as peripheries on conventional maps of the region are moving back to the centre.

This thesis examines one such ‘periphery’ that I refer to as the central peninsula region. This central peninsula is not an essentialised space with distinct territorial and cultural boundaries, but a category of analysis from which we may begin to destabilise conventional representations of that area that today comprises the southern border provinces of Thailand and the northern state of Malaysia. Rather than persist with conventional representations of a vertical civilisational fault line that represents a transitional zone between the mainland and island worlds, what I seek to present in this thesis is an extended critique of conventional colonial and nationalist narratives that have taken a distinctly vertical and centralist view of this region. My study commences from a set of basic inquiries such as whether there are there ways of writing the history of this region beyond that of civilisational/nationalist narratives that appear designed to justify patterns of conflict in the borderlands? To surmount such representations we need to ask in what ways have modern political boundaries and nationalist/civilisational identities been projected into the past to obscure interactions between non-bounded communities and narratives of peace and indigenous forms of modernity? In answering these questions, I seek to demonstrate how the critique opens up new spaces of research—new histories. In the case of this thesis, much I my interest is directed towards the
interior of the central peninsula, an area that has been doubly disadvantaged by the coastal bias exhibited in many histories of the peninsula in general.

In asking these types of questions, a major linking theme in this study is the question of progress and development. Given my desire to shift our attention from centre to periphery as a means of recovering a non-state history, examining the link between conventional historical narratives and western theories of progress that have privileged the role of the ‘civilised’ centre is a logical step. Modernity—that great eighteenth and nineteenth expression of technological progress and civilisational sophistication—critically shaped the way in which colonial and later nationalist observers saw the world around them and speculated its past. But as we are frequently reminded when reading contemporary revisions of Southeast Asian history, modernity was not a condition that was incubated in the centre. Modernity a condition that was fashioned through a constant engagement with external (read indigenous) regions and actors. Likewise, peripheries were not areas that had experienced but a partial experience of modernity, but a discursive Other that was required to give the supposed advancement of the centre definition and meaning. To critically deconstruct narratives of progress and modernity by showing them as a product of interaction therefore serves the duel purpose of deconstructing representations of the peripheral Other. From here we can begin to fashion alternative histories.

Such a critical reflection on the role of western narratives of progress and civilisational advancement are highly timely given that many governments in Southeast Asia are currently promoting the development of various state peripheries in accordance with economic theories not unlike those that underpinned a century of colonial exploitation. The Malaysia-Thailand borderlands are one such region that has been identified as subregional development zone or growth triangle that will supposedly act as a catalyst for the re-discovery of a vibrant non-state regional identity. Whether this will be the case or not is the question that represents the end-point of this study.
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