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Abstract

A substantial body of research suggests that new product development (NPD) is a critical potential source of competitive advantage and profitability for organisations. It has also been well recognized that new product development is a complex process that involves multi-disciplinary and multi-functional activities. This thesis initially argues a critical factor that influences the efficacy of the NPD process in the participation of different functional groups. Despite the strong theoretical link between the interaction of R&D and Marketing and NPD success, empirical studies on this topic have had contradictory results. One important reason attributing to these inconsistent results is that few studies have distinguished participation from influence. While NPD researchers acknowledge that functional team members interact in the NPD process, participation is treated the same as influence, or is seen to spontaneously lead to intended influence. Consequently, few studies have examined the influence of functional groups within the NPD process. This obscures the effects of Marketing and R&D’s participation in the NPD process. This thesis aims to close this important knowledge gap by addressing two main research questions as follows:

1. What are the effects of Marketing’s participation on its manifest influence in the new product development process?

2. How do the contingencies, such as organisational, individual and project factors, moderate the relationship between Marketing’s participation and its manifest influence in the new product development process?
A theoretical model with nine hypotheses has been developed to examine these two questions. The model was constructed by synthesising the NPD, organisation theory, socio-political and power literature, and the hypotheses so derived were tested using 114 NPD project data from a survey of R&D managers in Hong Kong companies. The hypotheses testing process has gone through three stages. Firstly, the data were tested to ensure that the assumptions for regression analysis were met. Secondly, the main effects of the proposed model were tested using bivariate regression analysis. Thirdly, the contingency effects in the model were tested using moderated regression analysis.

The findings of this study indicate that while Marketing’s participation is positively related to its influence on R&D in the NPD process, its influence was, to various degrees, moderated by the hypothesized three groups of contingency factors, namely new product project characteristics, individual factors and organisational factors. The introduction of control variable (team size and self-perceived influence) did not change the significance of the moderating effects of the contingency factors.

This thesis has made four theoretical contributions. First, it has conceptualised and empirically tested the relationship between Marketing’s participation in the NPD process and its influence on R&D in that process. Second, it has created a new contingency framework to empirically investigate the effects of seven contingency factors on the relationship between Marketing’s participation in the NPD process and its influence on R&D in that process. Third, to reduce bias, the survey instrument was designed to measure R&D’s perception of Marketing’s influence rather than self-reporting by Marketing, arguably considered as more reliable and accurate. Finally, despite its importance very few NPD studies have been conducted in Hong Kong.
particularly from an organisational behaviour perspective. This study will help advance the knowledge in this particular research area.

Apart from theoretical contributions, this thesis has made several managerial contributions. The study findings suggest that management, in order to secure a better new product performance, should help build a well-represented cross-functional NPD project team. Management should also facilitate effective participation of functional representatives. Knowing the importance of the seven contingency factors to the effective function of the team, management should direct their effects positively to help achieve desirable goals that best serve corporate interest. To this extent, a 3-H model has been created to enable management to easier assimilate and apply complex theoretical concepts developed in this thesis.
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