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Abstract
Our previous study reported that stemofoline (STF) exhibited a synergistic effect with chemotherapeutic drugs in human multidrug-resistant (MDR) leukemic cells (K526/Adr) by inhibiting the function of P-glycoprotein, which is a membrane transporter that is overexpressed in several types of MDR cancers. This study further investigated the effects of a combination treatment of STF and doxorubicin (DOX) in vitro and in vivo. The combination treatment of 50 mg/kg of STF strongly enhanced the anti-tumor activity of DOX in SCID-beige mice bearing K562/Adr xenografts without additional toxicity when compared to the single treatment groups. Additionally, an examination of the proliferation markers (Ki67) and the apoptotic marker (TUNEL) in tumor tissues in each group revealed that the combination therapy significantly reduced Ki67 positive cells and increased apoptotic cells. From the in vitro experiments we also found that this combination treatment dramatically induced G1 and G2M arrest in K562/Adr when compared to a single treatment of DOX. STF treatment alone did not show any cytotoxic effect to the cells. These results suggest that the accumulation of DOX enhanced by STF was sufficient to induce cell cycle arrest in K562/Adr. These findings support our previous in vitro data and indicate the possibility of developing STF as an adjuvant therapy in cancer treatments.
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Introduction

Leukemia is a cancer that affects the blood and bone marrow, the spongy center of bones where blood cells are formed. Leukemia treatment usually involves chemotherapy, bone marrow implantation and radiotherapy (Baruchel et al., 2009; Matsumura, 2009). Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a myeloproliferative disorder of hemopoietic stem cells. The incident rate of CML in males has been reported to be higher than the rate for females (male/female ratio is 1.3-1.5:1) (Quintas-Cardama and Cortes, 2006; Mendizabal et al., 2013). There has been some improvement in survival rates since the introduction of therapeutic drugs including the anthracyclines (doxorubicin, epirubicin), the vinca alkaloids (vinblastine, vincristine) and methotrexate, L-asparaginase and alkylating agents (Norgaard et al., 2004). Chemotherapy for CML treatment uses one or usually at least two of the above-mentioned chemical substances. Such treatments not only destroy or reduce tumor cell growth but also increase the 5-year survival rates for cancer patient. However, resistance to anti-neoplastic drugs can develop in several types of cancer patients who have taken chemotherapy over long periods of time (Tiwari et al., 2011; Binkhathlan and Lavasanifar, 2013).

Chemo-resistance or multidrug resistance (MDR) is a major problem in cancer treatments. The various mechanisms of MDR include overexpression of drug transporters, an increase in detoxification mechanisms along with an induction of DNA repairing enzymes, and altered drug targets (deletion, translocation and amplification of drug target) (Gottesman et al., 2002; Fojo and Menefee, 2007; Lage, 2008). The causes of death in leukemic patients are infection, loss of muscle control and heart failure. However, several studies have described the increased P-gp expression after the initial chemotherapy treatment that has repeatedly been linked to poor outcomes in some forms of leukemia (Marie et al., 1996; Leonard et al., 2003). Doxorubicin (DOX) is an effective form of chemotherapy against both hematological malignancy and solid tumors. DOX exhibited higher efficacy on leukemic patient by reduction of blast cells in blood circulation. Anti-tumor mechanisms of DOX are achieved by the induction of free radicals, which are linked to DNA
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damage, and inhibition of DNA and RNA synthesis by inhibition of topoisomerase II (Rabbani et al., 2005; Rogalska et al., 2008; Szwed et al., 2016). However, DOX has been shown to give rise to the development of drug resistance, which might be due to an increase in P-glycoprotein (P-gp) expression (de Moraes et al., 2013).

Many P-gp inhibitors have been reported, such as the first generation drugs verapamil, quinidine and cyclosporine A, and the second generation drugs dexverapamil, emopamil and PSC833. Therefore, researchers have tried to identify the third-generation of P-gp inhibitors (with prolonged half-life and reduction of toxicity) from plants or natural products. These agents are currently being studied in clinical trials (Krishna and Mayer, 2000; Leonard et al., 2003). We have focused on natural compounds as inhibitors of P-gp to enhance the efficacy of anticancer drugs against MDR cancer cells. Many natural product P-gp inhibitors have been reported, including curcumin (Anuchapreeda et al., 2006; Limtrakul et al., 2007), kuguacin J (Pitchakarn et al., 2012) and calidonine (El-Readi et al., 2013).

Stemona plants that are found in East and South-east Asia are important herbal plants with various medicinal and pharmacological properties. Plant extracts obtained from Stemona tubulosa, S. sessilifolia and S. japonica have been reported for their antitussive activities in mouse model studies (Hu et al., 2009). The S. tubulosa extract has been reported to have an anti-inflammatory effect of the lungs in cigarette smoke-induced pulmonary inflammation both in vitro and in vivo (Lee et al., 2014; Lim et al., 2015). The S. tubulosa and S.collinsae extracts have been reported to have anti-proliferative effects on the medullary thyroid carcinoma cell line (Li et al., 2007). However, the activity of Stemona plant extracts against drug resistant cancer cells has not yet been reported. In our previous studies we reported that the extract of Stemona burkillii could enhance the chemotherapeutic sensitivity in human MDR cervical carcinoma (KB-V1) cells (Channmahasathien et al., 2011a; Pyne SG et al., 2017). We then purified and identified the active compound identified as the Stemona alkaloid stemofoline (STF).

In our previous studies we found that STF showed significant synergistic effects with conventional anti-cancer agents against KB-V1 and human MDR leukemic cells (K562/Adr). STF inhibited P-gp function leading to increases of P-gp fluorescent substrates (calcein-AM and rhodamine 123) and [14C]-DOX intracellular accumulation in KB-V1 and K562/Adr cells (Channmahasathien et al., 2011a; Unsumarng et al., 2013; Unsumarng et al., 2015). The biochemical mechanism of STF could be that it reverses the MDR cancer phenotype by inhibition of P-gp function via direct interaction with the substrate binding domain but by without affecting P-gp expression (Channmahasathien et al., 2011b). However, the MDR reversal property of STF in an animal model has not yet been demonstrated. In this study, we further investigated the effect of the combination treatment of STF and DOX in an animal model. We first investigated the acute toxicity of STF by acute toxicity testing and studied the MDR modulating property of STF in MDR leukemic cells in a xenograft model. Next, we determined the mechanism for synergistic effect of STF and DOX in MDR leukemic cells in vitro.

Materials and Methods

Stemofoline extraction and isolation

STF was isolated from Stemona burkillii root extract and its structure is shown in Figure 1. Dried root powders were extracted with a solution of 95% of ethanol three times at room temperature. The ethanolic extract was combined and evaporated using a rotary evaporator. STF was purified as previously described (Sastraruji et al., 2010; Channmahasathien et al., 2011a; Sastraruji et al., 2012).

Cells and cell culture

K562/Adr (human MDR leukemic cell line, CML) was purchased from RIKEN Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan). K562 (human drug sensitive leukemic cell line) was purchased from The American type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, U.S.A.). Both cell lines were maintained and cultured in a 37°C humidified atmosphere comprised of 5% CO_2 in RPMI-1640 (Nissui Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Taito-ku, Tokyo, Japan) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 5 mm L-glutamine, 50 IU/mL penicillin and 50 g/ mL streptomycin. When the cells reached 70–80% confluence, they were harvested and plated either for subsequent passages or for drug treatments. K562/Adr cells were routinely maintained in RPMI-1640 culture medium containing 700 nM of doxorubicin (DOX, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.) and grown in a drug-free medium for at least two days before the experiment.

Animals

All animal experiments were performed under protocols approved by the Committee of Animal Care and Use of Saitama University. Eight-week-old male ICR mice and 6-week-old male SCID-Beige mice were purchased from Charles River Japan, Inc. (Atsugi, Japan) and housed in plastic cages with bedding consisting of hardwood chips in an air-conditioned room at 23±2°C and at 55±5% humidity with a 12 h light/dark cycle. Diet and autoclaved water were available ad libitum.

Acute toxicity testing

The acute toxicity of STF was evaluated using eight-week-old 5 male ICR mice. The ICR mice were intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected with STF (50 mg/kg BW) according to OECD 420 guidelines. Mice morbidity and mortality were recorded daily. After treatment for two weeks, the surviving mice were sacrificed and LD50 values were calculated. Mice serum samples were collected to investigate liver function by determining alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels. Renal function was tested by determination of blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine levels. Internal organs (liver, spleen, heart, lungs and kidneys) were measured and gross examination was observed on abnormal lesions. Consequently, sections of the internal organs were fixed in 10% of formaldehyde in PBS. These
sections of internal organs were prepared for paraffin embedding. The paraffin sections were then stained by H and E. Pathological evaluation was conducted by a Veterinarian Pathologist. The acute toxicity was determined by mice body weight, mice survival rate, gross examination and histopathological examination of internal organs and the data were compared with the references values.

Effect of STF on DOX sensitivity in a K562/Adr xenograft model

Human multidrug resistant leukemic cell (K562/Adr) xenograft model was used for an investigation of the MDR reversing property of STF on DOX sensitivity. Six-week-old male SCID-beige mice (20 mice) were implanted with K652/Adr cells (5.0 x 10^6 cells) subcutaneously at the flank area of the mice (Beider et al., 2014). The tumors on the flank area of the mice were palpated every day. As soon as the tumors reached 100-200 mm^3 in volume, the mice were divided into 4 groups: 1) vehicle control; 0.9% NaCl; IP 2) STF 50 mg/kg BW; IP, 3) DOX 2 mg/kg BW; IV and 4) DOX 2 mg/kg BW; IV plus STF 50 mg/kg BW; IP, respectively. For the combination treatment group, 50 mg/kg BW of STF was administered for 30 minutes before DOX was applied. These treatments were applied every 4 days for 16 days. The mice that bore tumors were measured every 3 days and their weights were measured every 4 days. After which, all mice were sacrificed and primary tumors were removed and measured in terms of weight and volume (formula; axis 1 x axis 2 x axis 3) x 0.52 (Pitchakarn et al., 2012; Punfa et al., 2014). Internal organs (liver, spleen, heart, lungs and kidneys) were inspected for abnormalities. Sections of the primary tumors and internal organs were then fixed in 10% of formaldehyde in PBS. These sections of the internal organs were used to prepare paraffin embeds. Paraffin sections were stained with H and E. Pathological evaluations were conducted by Veterinarian Pathologist. Tumor sections were processed for H and E, Ki67 immunohistochemistry staining and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay.

Immunohistochemistry

For Ki67 immunostaining, paraffin-embedded specimens were sectioned (5 µm) and stained with Ki67 antibody (clone SP6) (Acris Antibodies GmbH, Herford, Germany) and then with anti-rabbit secondary antibody and avidin–biotin complex (Vectastatin Elite ABC kit; Vector Laboratory, Burlingame, CA). After which, the binding sites were visualized with diaminobenzidine. The sections were then counterstained lightly with hematoxylin for microscopic examination.

TUNEL assay

Apoptotic index values were determined by TUNEL assay. The assay was performed using ApopTag® Peroxidase In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit (Merck millipore Billerica, Massachusetts, USA).

Chemo-sensitivity testing by MTT colorimetric assay

Cell viability of each leukemic cell line was measured using the MTT assay. Drug sensitive human leukemic cells (K562) and human MDR leukemic cells (K562/Adr) were plated in a 96-well-plate at a concentration 2.0 x 10^3 cells/well. After 24h, the cells were treated with 0-40 µM of DOX with or without 5 µM of STF. Cell lines were incubated under a temperature of 37°C at 5% of CO2. After 48 hours of treatment, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.) was added to each well for 4h. RPMI-1640 medium was then removed and 200 µL of DMSO (Wako Pure Chemical Ind., Ltd., Chuo-ku, Osaka, Japan) was added to the specimen. Each leukemic cell line was incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2, for 4 h. Cell viability was assessed by determining the mean of MTT colorimetric assay. In each experiment, determinations were carried out in triplicate. IC50 value of each cell line was calculated.

Apoptosis analysis by annexin V and propidium iodine (PI) staining

K562/Adr cells were plated in a 6-well plate at a concentration of 5.0 x 10^3 cells/well. After 24h, the cells were treated with various concentrations of DOX (0-5 µM) in the absence or presence of 5 µM of STF for 48 h. Cells were then collected and washed twice with ice-cold PBS. The cell line was stained with annexin V-FITC and PI according to the manufacturer’s instructions using the annexin V-FITC apoptosis detection kit (BioVision Inc., Milpitas, CA, U.S.A.). Apoptotic cells were detected by flow cytometry. Annexin V-positive cells were considered indicative of early apoptosis. Both annexin V and PI-positive cells were considered indicative of late apoptosis.

Cell cycle analysis by propidium iodine (PI) staining

K562/Adr cells were plated in a 6-well-plate at a concentration of 5.0 x 10^3 cells/well. After 24h, the cells were treated with various concentrations of DOX (0-5 µM) with or without 5 µM of STF for 48 hours. Leukemic cells were collected and washed twice with ice-cold PBS. The cell line was fixed with 70% cold ethanol for 30 minutes and incubated for 30 minutes by 0.25 mg/mL of RNase A in 1.12% of sodium citrate buffer. Finally, the cell line was stained with PI for 30 minutes. Cell cycling was performed by flow cytometry.

Statistical analysis

All in vitro experiments were performed in at least triplicate to confirm reproducibility. Statistical analyses were performed with mean ± S.D. values using one-way ANOVA, the Bonferroni correction and Dunnett’s test. Statistical significance was determined at p < 0.05, p< 0.01 or p< 0.001.

Results

Acute toxicity study of STF

The acute toxicity study of STF was evaluated using five eight-week-old male ICR mice. The ICR mice were i.p. injected with highest solubility of STF (50 mg/kg
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BW) for 2 weeks. Our result shown that all mice were not observed acute toxicity. There were no differences in the body weight and internal organ weight when compared to the reference materials (Table 1).

**STF-enhanced sensitivity of MDR leukemic cells to chemotherapeutic drug in vivo**

Our results found that co-administration of DOX+STF reduced the tumor volume of K562/Adr cells implanted in the back area of SCID-Beige mice when compared administration with the control (p=0.3827), with STF (p=0.1371) and with DOX (p=0.4091) (Figure 2A and B). Moreover, tumor weight also significant reduced when the mice was co-administration with DOX+STF when compare with control, STF and DOX (Figure 2C). An adverse effect on the body weight (Figure 2D) and internal organs (Table 2) of the host SCID-Beige mice was not detected. In addition, there is no histological lesion was observed in H and E staining of liver, spleen, heart, lung and kidney of all the treatment mice (Figure 2E).

H and E, Ki67 and TUNEL staining are shown in Figure 3A. The Ki67 labeling index value was significantly reduced in the combination treatment group (Figure 3B) and TUNEL-positive cells of the transplanted tumor tissues were shown to have significantly increased in the combination treatment group (Figure 3C). These results could suggest that the treatment of STF and DOX revealed a significant synergistic effect by reducing cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis in K562/Adr transplantation SCID-beige mice.

**STF-enhanced sensitivity of MDR leukemic cells to chemotherapeutic drug**

We examined the MDR reversing property of STF and DOX co-administration in K562/Adr (MDR leukemic cells) and K562 (drug sensitive cells) cell lines. We found that co-administration of STF and DOX significantly induced growth inhibition in a dose dependent manner when compared with DOX single treatment in K562/Adr (p<0.001). This modulating effect was not found with a similar administration of DOX and STF to K562 cells (Figure 4A and B). Moreover, we demonstrated that treatment K562 and K562/ADR with STF 50 µM for 48h were not show cytotoxic to K562 and K562/Adr cells.

---

**Table 1. Effect of STF on Acute Toxicity in Animal Models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mouse#1</th>
<th>Mouse#2</th>
<th>Mouse#3</th>
<th>Mouse#4</th>
<th>Mouse#5</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mice BW (g) (start)</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>31.88</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>33.14±0.95</td>
<td>30.50±2.60*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mice BW (g) (end)</td>
<td>31.62</td>
<td>32.62</td>
<td>32.51</td>
<td>33.06</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>32.58±0.60</td>
<td>32.50±3.40*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival rate (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.00±0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver (g)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.97±0.08</td>
<td>1.878±0.248*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen (g)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.14±0.01</td>
<td>0.145±0.021*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart (g)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.23±0.01</td>
<td>0.175±0.023*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt. Kidney (g)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.41±0.03</td>
<td>0.441±0.062*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Kidney (g)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.37±0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15.40±2.70</td>
<td>37.0±9.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/L)</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>90.20±23.03</td>
<td>100.00±14.60b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN (mg/dL)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27.40±1.67</td>
<td>28.54±3.16a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.30±0.00</td>
<td>0.43±0.18b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, Blood urea nitrogen; aCharles River Laboratories Tech. 1986; bLaurie el al., 2003.

**Table 2. Effect of Treatment on the Body Weight and Internal Organs of Host SCID-Beige Mice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Vehicle control group</th>
<th>STF treated group</th>
<th>DOX treated group</th>
<th>DOX+STF treated group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mice BW (g) (start)</td>
<td>24.10±1.35</td>
<td>24.03±1.16</td>
<td>25.97±1.06</td>
<td>24.23±1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mice BW (g) (end)</td>
<td>22.20±1.84</td>
<td>22.73±2.32</td>
<td>21.87±1.62</td>
<td>20.83±1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival rate (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver (g)</td>
<td>1.03±0.15</td>
<td>1.22±0.16</td>
<td>1.08±0.27</td>
<td>1.07±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen (g)</td>
<td>0.04±0.02</td>
<td>0.05±0.01</td>
<td>0.03±0.01</td>
<td>0.02±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart (g)</td>
<td>0.13±0.02</td>
<td>0.13±0.02</td>
<td>0.15±0.05</td>
<td>0.10±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt. Kidney (g)</td>
<td>0.24±0.02</td>
<td>0.23±0.05</td>
<td>0.20±0.02</td>
<td>0.17±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Kidney (g)</td>
<td>0.18±0.04</td>
<td>0.23±0.07</td>
<td>0.19±0.02</td>
<td>0.19±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumors weight (g)</td>
<td>1.7±0.03</td>
<td>2.05±0.67</td>
<td>2.03±0.09</td>
<td>0.99±0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2. Potentiation of Antitumor Effects of DOX by STF in K562/Adr Xenograft Model. Mice were treated with saline (control); STF alone at 50 mg/kg; DOX alone at 2 mg/kg; doxorubicin at 2 mg/kg plus STF 50 mg/kg (STF was given 30 minutes before DOX administration). Tumor volumes were monitored during the experiment by a Vernier caliper (D0-D16) (A). After scarification (day 16) time, tumors were removed for measure tumor volume (B) and tumor weight (C). Changes in body weight during the experiment were recorded (D). Microphotographs of H&E sections of internal organs from SCID-Beige mice after treatment with saline (control); STF alone at 50 mg/kg; DOX alone at 2 mg/kg; doxorubicin at 2 mg/kg plus STF 50 mg/kg (STF was given 30 minutes before DOX administration). Mice were sacrificed for pathologic examination 4 day after the last administration. Original magnification (x20) (E)
Figure 3. Tumor Masses were Cross-Sectioned and Fixed in 10% Formalin Buffer and Prepared in Paraffin Embed Block. They were then stained with H and E and immunohistochemistry (A); (Ki67) proliferation marker and apoptotic marker (TUNEL). % of proliferation marker (Ki67)-positive cells (B) and % of apoptotic marker (TUNEL)-positive cells (C) were analyzed using Image J software.

Figure 4. MDR Modulation Effect of STF on DOX Sensitivity in K562/Adr (A) and K562 Cell Lines (B) was determined. The co-administration of DOX (0-40 µM) with or without STF was performed by MTT colorimetric assay for 48 hrs. The mean value from three independent experiments is shown and error bars indicating SD (n = 3) are shown as ***p <0.001 versus DOX single administration at each indicated concentration.
Enhanced sensitivity of MDR leukemic cells to chemotherapeutic drug by apoptosis and cell cycle arrest induction

Next, we investigated the effect of the STF and DOX co-administration induced program of cell death in K562/Adr cells. The results indicated that co-administration of DOX and STF slightly revealed apoptosis induction in K562/Adr cells when compared to a single administration on both early and late apoptosis (Figure 5A-C). Next, cell-cycle determination in MDR leukemic cells was performed to confirm that the growth inhibition was due to the action of DOX. It was found that co-administration treatment of DOX and STF significantly induced G2/M phase arrest in K562/Adr cells at co-administration of DOX 1 µM ($p < 0.05$) and 5 µM ($p < 0.001$) when compared to the single administration (Figure 6A-C). The results suggested that the co-administration treatment of DOX and STF induced G1 and G2/M phase arrest.
Discussion

P-gp is a member of the transport protein superfamily called ATP-binding cassette (ABCBI/P-gp). It is a 170-kDa transmembrane glycoprotein, which plays a major role in multidrug resistance (MDR) in various forms of cancer, such as in human cervical carcinoma cells, breast cancer adenocarcinoma cells and human leukemic cells (Acharyya et al., 2012). Moreover, it has a wide-spectrum substrate capacity, including for several chemotherapeutic drugs (anthracyclins, vinca alkaloids and paclitaxel) and fluorescence dyes (Calcein-AM and rhodamine 123). Therefore, P-gp can be the target for cancer treatment in order to enhance the efficacy of chemotherapeutic drugs in MDR cancer patients. Chemo-sensitizers or P-gp modulators are agents that abrogate the drug accumulation defect present in P-gp-expressing cancer cells. The magnitude of the chemo-sensitizer effects could be determined by comparing the IC_{50} values for a cytotoxic drug in the absence and presence of a relatively non-
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studies that stated that the type of Stemona alkaloids have an influence on their P-gp modulating property (Umsumarng et al., 2017). It could be concluded that the Stemona plant extract may show a promising role as a P-gp modulator. In 2011, the MDR reversing property of three Stemona alkaloids (stemicurtisine; STC and oxystemokerrine; OST and stemofoline; STF) isolated from Stemona burkillii (Chanmahasathien et al., 2011a) were studied and it was found that STF had the most potent effect on enhancing cancer drug sensitivity against human MDR cervical carcinoma cells (Chanmahasathien et al., 2011b). However, one limitation of natural compounds is that the variations of concentrations of the active compounds depend on the area of cultivation or the plant species. To solve this problem, semi-synthetic methods can increase the yields of active compounds and improve the compound efficacy by side chain modification of the parental core structure.

Stemona plants are comprised of three genus; Stemona, Croonia and Stichoneuron. The underground parts of the plant, roots and rhizomes have been used in Ayurvedic medicines with broad applications in Thailand, China and Japan. It has been reported that Stemona collinsiae root extract exhibited antineoplastic properties (Rungrrotjakool et al., 2012) and biological activity against human herpes virus (Akanitapichat et al., 2005). The investigation of the anti-cancer properties of Stemona alkaloids obtained from Stemonaceae holds significant promise for the development of more effective chemotherapeutic or adjuvant agents in cancer treatments. Previous studies point out the significant functional group that might regulate the P-gp modulating effect of Stemona alkaloids (Umsumarng et al., 2017). STF is a major alkaloid present in Stemona curtissii and Stemona burkillii, which is found in the southern and northern parts of Thailand, respectively (Kongkiatpaiboon et al., 2011). Additionally, DSTF is a major alkaloid of Stemona collimaseae, which is found in the eastern part of Thailand (Kongkiatpaiboon et al., 2011). Recently, it has been reported that Stemona alkaloids isolated from Stemona curtissii could inhibit P-gp activity, whereas other alkaloids purified from Stemona javanica and Stichoneuron halabatesis did not exhibit this property (Umsumarng et al., 2017). It could be concluded that alkaloid variations in the different Stemona species have an influence on their P-gp modulating activity. The present study supported the findings of previous studies that stated that the type of Stemona alkaloids included in Stemona plants can be used to indicate their chemosensitizing ability (Chanmahasathien et al., 2011a; Chanmahasathien et al., 2011b; Umsumarng et al., 2017).

In the animal experiments, STF, a major alkaloid found in Stemona burkillii and Stemona curtissii that strongly exerts MDR reversal property, was selected to determine its chemosensitizing effect in vivo. Acute toxicity testing of STF in ICR mice was determined and it was found that all ICR mice could survive after i.p. injection of STF (50 mg/kg BW). Notably, there were no lesions were found in the heart, lungs, liver, kidneys, or spleen as determined by gross and histopathology examinations. Furthermore, blood chemistry analysis showed that ALT, AST, BUN and creatinine levels were normal suggesting that the function of the liver and kidneys in the STF-treated mice was not altered. The results indicate that 50 mg/kg BW of STF was found to be safe and can be utilized in further investigations. The P-gp modulating effect of STF was next evaluated in K562/Adr bearing SCID-beige mice. Twenty male SCID-beige mice were subcutaneously injected with K562/Adr cells in the flank area. The tumor size was measured every day. As soon as the tumor volume reached 100-200 mm³, the mice were divided into 4 groups: 1) vehicle control; 0.9% NaCl; I.P., 2) STF treatment (50 mg/kg BW; I.P.), 3) DOX treatment (2 mg/kg BW; IV) and 4) combination of DOX (2 mg/kg BW; IV) and STF (50 mg/kg BW; I.P.). After which, the treatment was initiated. Unfortunately, due to certain technical errors, tumor growth was observed in only in 12 of 20 transplanted-mice. Consequently, tumors were identified in three mice in each group. The co-administration of DOX and STF was found to clearly reduce tumor size when compared with the vehicle control group (p=0.3827), STF (p=0.1371) and DOX (p=0.4091). The survival rate of SCID-Beige mice was 100%. The adverse effects on the body weight and internal organs of each group were not found to be different. Immunohistochemistry analyses found that the combined treatment significantly reduced the Ki67 proliferation marker (p<0.01) and induced apoptosis (TUNEL) (p<0.001) when compared to the DOX-treated group. Tumor growth did not differ in the single treatment of STF when compared to the control group. These results indicate that STF itself did not affect tumor growth, but STF was able to enhance the efficacy of DOX in K562/Adr-xenograft SCID-Beige mice. It can be concluded that STF could modulate P-gp-mediated MDR leading to an increased chemotherapeutic drug response in the animal model.

We have confirmed that STF and DOX co-administration induced program cell death by apoptosis analysis via flow cytometry. It was found that co-administration of DOX and STF initiated apoptosis induction in K562/Adr cells when compared to a single administration in both early and late apoptosis. The mechanism of DOX is a cell cycle non-specific agent. It could produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) and inhibit topoisomerase II leading to DNA damage and chromatin aggregation (Rabban et al., 2005). However, in treatments of 48 hours, STF could inhibit P-gp function leading to increasing intracellular DOX accumulation. The DNA damage response mechanism of the cancer cells may be halted in some
cell-cycle phases by repairing the DNA. However, if cancer cells were unable to repair DNA, programmed cell death could be induced by apoptosis signaling.

Cell-cycle arrest in MDR leukemic cells was performed to confirm that the growth inhibition was due to the action of DOX by flow cytometry. It was found that the co-administration treatment of DOX and STF significantly induced G2/M phase arrest in K562/Adr cells through a co-administration of DOX 1 µM (p < 0.05) and 5 µM (p < 0.001) when compared to a single administration. The results suggest that the co-administration treatment of DOX and STF induced G2/M phase arrest. Notably, DOX is the drug of choice to treat leukemic patients. DOX produces ROS and inhibits topoisomerase II leading to chromatin aggregation (Rabbani et al., 2005). It can arrest cancer cells in all cell-cycle phases and induces cell death. The results indicated that the DOX treatment caused cell accumulation at the G2/M phase, while the accumulation was shifted to sub-G1 and S populations when the cells were co-treated with STF. However, the cytotoxicity and growth inhibition might be due to the anti-cancer drugs that accumulated in the MDR cells and were stimulated by the STF. These results suggest that the compounds were able to enhance the drug sensitivity via the modulation of P-gp.

The present study provides scientific data on the biochemical mechanism of STF on P-gp overexpressing of MDR cancer cells. STF may be a candidate as a P-gp modulator as its toxicity is low and it produces no noticeable adverse effects. These compounds could be developed and used in combination with the conventional therapy of P-gp overexpressing in MDR cancer patients.
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