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Measurements were performed to assess the dose equivalent outside a primary proton treatment field, using a silicon-on-insulator (SOI) microdosimeter. The SOI microdosimeter was placed on the surface of an anthropomorphic phantom and dose equivalents were determined as a function of lateral distance from a typical passively scattered and modulated prostate treatment field. Measurements were also completed within a polystyrene plate phantom as a function of depth for a distance of 5 cm from the field edge, as function of lateral distance from field edge at two different depths, and as a function of distance from the distal edge on the central beam axis. The dose equivalent at the surface of the anthropomorphic phantom decreases from 3.9 to 0.18 mSv/Gy when the lateral distance from the proton field edge increases from 2.5 to 60 cm. Measurements along the proton depth dose distribution at a constant distance of 5 cm from the primary field edge indicate a decrease in dose equivalent as a function of depth, with a 38% decrease relative to the surface dose at a depth of 5 cm in polystyrene. Measurements completed as a function of lateral distance from the primary field at two separate depths within polystyrene illustrate a convergence of the dose equivalent at approximately 20 cm from the primary field edge. Past the distal edge of the spread-out Bragg peak dose equivalents decrease exponentially for increasing distance, with an initial value of 1.6 mSv/Gy at 0.6 cm from the distal edge. Silicon microdosimetry measurements were also compared with published results obtained utilizing different measurement techniques. This study demonstrates the applicability of SOI microdosimetry in determining the dose equivalent outside proton treatment fields, and provides valuable information on the dose equivalent both at the surface and at depth experienced by prostate cancer patients treated with protons. © 2007 American Association of Physicists in Medicine. [DOI: 10.1118/1.2759839]
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I. INTRODUCTION

Presently one of the major health risks mankind faces is cancer. Projections are that one in three people will suffer from this disease or side effects of its treatment at one stage in their life.1 Because of the deleterious effects that cancer and often current treatment forms are having on the human population, better treatment techniques are constantly being sought. Besides surgery, external beam radiation therapy is a mainstay of cancer treatment and cure. Over the last two decades highly conformal radiation therapy techniques have been developed, including intensity modulated photon radiotherapy (IMRT) and radiotherapy utilizing protons and carbon ions. One drawback of these techniques is that they may increase the contribution of lower doses to large volumes of normal tissues outside the target volume.2

High energy protons are an important innovation in external beam radiation therapy, providing highly conformal dose distributions, thus sparing normal tissues through the benefits afforded by the Bragg peak. These dose distributions provide a smaller integral dose to surrounding normal tissues when compared with conventional x-ray treatments. Despite the dose sparing properties of protons and heavy ions, they do have the potential to produce unwanted dose outside the primary field due to secondary particles such as neutrons through inelastic nuclear interactions with the beam modifying devices and tissues traversed. This is of concern as neutrons are known to be more effective than photons and high energy protons at producing radiation induced cancers.2 The primary beam is also scattered as it traverses the patient, contributing additional unwanted dose outside the primary treatment field.

The production of secondary particles with therapeutic proton beams and the delivery of unwanted dose equivalent outside the treatment field is closely related to the delivery technique employed.2 Proton delivery techniques can be categorized as passive or active in the delivery of a uniform dose to the treatment volume. Passive techniques, which have been most commonly used in the clinical setting, including prostate cancer treatment,1,4 spread the beam laterally using a combination of gold and Lexan foils5 and in depth by using a rotating plastic wheel.6 The beam is then collimated by brass or Cerrobend6 apertures and its penetration depth is varied by means of a wax bolus. Active techniques,7–10 currently only practiced in a clinical situation at the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), employ a magnetically
guided proton pencil beam in combination with dynamic changes of beam energy and beam intensity during treatment. Comparatively, passive beam delivery techniques provide a larger source of secondary neutrons due to the additional presence of scattering foils, modulator, aperture, and bolus.

Measurements of out-of-field doses delivered in both active and passive clinical proton modalities are scarce and have primarily concentrated on the measurement of neutrons with Bonner spheres and bubble detectors. Additional measurements are required and should be performed systematically for different beam delivery techniques utilizing the same method of measurement. We have approached this problem utilizing silicon-based microdosimetry, which is characterized by a superior spatial resolution and directly provides information on the dose equivalent using established quality factors. The measurements reported here provide information on the depth and lateral distance dependence of the dose equivalent for a passively scattered proton beam used in a typical prostate cancer treatment. Silicon microdosimetry measurements were compared with published results obtained utilizing different measurement techniques.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

This study utilized a solid-state microdosimeter with silicon-on-insulator (SOI) technology as illustrated in Fig. 1. The large size of tissue equivalent proportional counters (TEPC), classically used in microdosimetry measurements, made it unsuitable for measurements in small increments close to the primary proton treatment field edge and within a phantom structure. The SOI microdosimeter, presented in detail in Ref. 16, comprised an array of 4800 silicon cells, each with a physical size of 30 × 30 μm, on a single chip with a sensitive volume (SV) thickness of 10 μm. This small size allowed for accurate placement within the phantom structure and close to the primary field edge. The application of SOI microdosimeters in therapeutic neutron radiation fields has been studied in detail, and good agreement was observed between the derived microdosimetry spectra of both the SOI and TEPC devices. This device has also been used extensively in hadron and proton therapy, particularly in primary beam microdosimetry measurements.

In this experimental setup, the SOI microdosimeter, preamplifier, and accompanying electronics were situated within an aluminum probe assembly to allow for a low noise environment to be achieved. The wall thickness of the probe was 900 μm, with a 4 μm aluminum window immediately in front of the microdosimeter to allow for the transport of low range secondaries into the microdosimeter. The microdosimeter probe was housed within a Perspex probe holder to enable accurate placement throughout the experiment. This setup is described further in Ref. 21. A 0.5 mm thick polyethylene converter affixed immediately anterior to the SOI microdosimeter allowed for the conversion of neutrons to recoil protons that could then be detected within the SV. Such converters have been employed in previous neutron and mixed field measurements with this device.

All measurements were completed using a patient specific aperture-bolus combination, and proton energy of 225 MeV to simulate a typical radiation field delivered in a clinical prostate case. The maximum aperture diameter was 8 cm both in lateral and vertical direction. Additional measurements were also completed in some cases using a 13 cm diameter circular aperture (quality assurance or QA aperture) with no bolus present to demonstrate the effect of aperture size and bolus on the dose equivalent. To conduct a thorough study of the dose equivalent delivered outside the treatment field, four separate experimental configurations were used, each measuring a different aspect of the radiation field. In the first case, the patient was simulated with an anthropomorphic phantom (Rando® Phantom, The Phantom Laboratory, Salem, NY) housed within a regular patient immobilization system (Fig. 2). The microdosimeter (MD) was placed on the phantom surface at the height of the central axis, and microdosimetry measurements were performed to determine the
change in dose equivalent as a function of increasing lateral
distance from the field edge.

Additional measurements were conducted with the an-
thropomorphic phantom replaced with a stack of polystyrene
blocks. Using this experimental setup, three measurement
series were completed (Fig. 3). First, the MD was placed at
different depths within the polystyrene phantom at a distance
of 5 cm from the field edge to estimate the change in dose
equivalent as a function of depth within the patient (series
M1). Measurements were also completed to assess the
change in dose equivalent as a function of lateral distance
from the field edge at different depths within the polystyrene
phantom (series M2). Finally, measurements were completed
to assess the dose equivalent beyond the distal edge of the
spread-out Bragg peak (SOBP) (series M3), with the distal
edge being defined as the point where the primary dose
reached 50%. In all cases, dose equivalent was normalized to
the proton dose delivered at isocenter.

II.A. Determination of dose equivalent

The dose equivalent \( H \) was defined in Ref. 14 to char-
acterize the effects of low doses of ionizing radiation on
health. It is defined by the ICRU as

\[
H = QD, \tag{1}
\]

where \( Q \) is the average quality factor of the radiation field
and \( D \) is absorbed dose in tissue at a given point of interest.
The unit of dose equivalent is the Sievert (Sv), with \( Q \) speci-
ified by ICRU Report 40.22

In this study, the method outlined in Refs. 14 and 15 was
used in the determination of \( H \) with specified \( Q \) values,
which are dependent on lineal energy. The conversion of
measured microdosimetry spectra (Fig. 4) to dose equivalent

\[
D_{\text{Si}} = \frac{\int_0^\infty f(E) E dE}{\rho_{\text{Si}} V n_{\text{cells}}}, \tag{2}
\]

where \( n_{\text{cells}} \) is the number of SVs in a given array used
(4800),\(^{16} \) \( V \) is the volume of a 30 \( \times \) 30 \( \times \) 10 \( \mu m^3 \) individual
detection element, and \( \rho_{\text{Si}} \) the density of silicon.\(^{24} \) The tissue-
equivalent (TE) dose \( D_{\text{TE}} \) can then be determined by using
the ratio of total stopping powers of protons for silicon, \( S_{\text{Si}} \) and
tissue \( S_{\text{TE}} \) (Ref. 25) (referred to in this document as TE
conversion factor \( \zeta \))

\[
\frac{D_{\text{Si}}}{D_{\text{TE}}} = \frac{S_{\text{Si}}}{S_{\text{TE}}} = \zeta. \tag{3}
\]

From previous research\(^{16,17,19} \) and comparisons with TEPCs,
the most appropriate value of \( \zeta \) was determined to be \( \zeta = 0.63 \), which was used in this work.

The first step in calculating the fraction of the dose-
average quality factor is to convert the \( f(E) \) spectra into a
lineal energy spectra using the average chord length \( \langle l \rangle \),
where $V$ is the volume and $A$ the surface area of the SV. For the $30 \times 30 \times 10 \ \mu m^3$ sensitive volume the average chord length is 19.05 $\mu m$. Note the use of the TE conversion factor to calculate the average chord length in tissue. Dividing $E$ by $\langle l \rangle$ in the energy deposition spectra provides the lineal energy distribution, the dose equivalent at that point of 14 mSv assuming a total dose of depth in a polystyrene phantom at 5 cm lateral distance from the incident proton field edge. It is evident from these results that the aperture and bolus used within a typical prostate cancer patient treatment increased the dose equivalent by a factor of 2–4 when compared to the 13 cm circular QA aperture without bolus. The increased collimation present in the case of the patient specific aperture resulted in greater interaction of the primary proton beam with the collimation material, leading to elevated doses outside the treatment field. Dose equivalent values of approximately 4 and 2 mSv/Gy were found at 2.5 cm from the edge of the primary beam for the patient specific aperture/bolus combination and the QA aperture, respectively. Sub-mSv readings were detected at distances greater than 10 and 20 cm from the field edge in the case of the QA aperture and patient specific combination, respectively. At a distance of 60 cm from the field edge the dose equivalent for the patient specific setup was 0.176 mSv/Gy, which would result in a total dose equivalent at this point of 14 mSv assuming a total dose delivery of 80 Gy to isocenter, which is the typical integral dose used in patient treatments.

Figure 6 shows results of measurements that were completed to assess the change in dose equivalent as a function of depth in a polystyrene phantom at 5 cm lateral distance from the patient specific proton field (series M2). For comparison, the central axis depth-dose distribution of the primary proton beam, as measured by a Markus chamber, is also shown and normalized to the dose at isocenter (100%). Initial dose equivalent values at the surface of the phantom decreased by 38% after traversing 5 cm of phantom material.

Figure 7 displays the lateral distance dependence of dose equivalent at two different depths within the polystyrene phantom (measurement series M2). Measurements were completed at the plateau region and the level of the SOBP. The dose equivalent decreased with increasing distance from the field edge, similarly to what was measured at the phantom surface (Fig. 5), however with a more gradual falloff. Moreover, the falloff is less pronounced at the level of the SOBP compared to the shallower depth, which leads to a convergence of the two curves at a lateral displacement from the field edge of 20 cm. This would indicate that secondaries produced inside the phantom contributed increasingly to the dose equivalent.

III. RESULTS

Figure 5 presents the results of dose equivalent values on the surface of the anthropomorphic phantom as a function of distance from the incident proton field edge. It is evident from these results that the aperture and bolus used within a typical prostate cancer patient treatment increased the dose equivalent by a factor of 2–4 when compared to the 13 cm circular QA aperture without bolus. The increased collimation present in the case of the patient specific aperture resulted in greater interaction of the primary proton beam with the collimation material, leading to elevated doses outside the treatment field. Dose equivalent values of approximately 4 and 2 mSv/Gy were found at 2.5 cm from the edge of the primary beam for the patient specific aperture/bolus combination and the QA aperture, respectively. Sub-mSv readings were detected at distances greater than 10 and 20 cm from the field edge in the case of the QA aperture and patient specific combination, respectively. At a distance of 60 cm from the field edge the dose equivalent for the patient specific setup was 0.176 mSv/Gy, which would result in a total dose equivalent at this point of 14 mSv assuming a total dose delivery of 80 Gy to isocenter, which is the typical integral dose used in patient treatments.

Figure 6 shows results of measurements that were completed to assess the change in dose equivalent as a function of depth in a polystyrene phantom at 5 cm lateral distance from the patient specific proton field (series M1). For comparison, the central axis depth-dose distribution of the primary proton beam, as measured by a Markus chamber, is also shown and normalized to the dose at isocenter (100%). Initial dose equivalent values at the surface of the phantom decreased by 38% after traversing 5 cm of phantom material.

Figure 7 displays the lateral distance dependence of dose equivalent at two different depths within the polystyrene phantom (measurement series M2). Measurements were completed at the plateau region and the level of the SOBP. The dose equivalent decreased with increasing distance from the field edge, similarly to what was measured at the phantom surface (Fig. 5), however with a more gradual falloff. Moreover, the falloff is less pronounced at the level of the SOBP compared to the shallower depth, which leads to a convergence of the two curves at a lateral displacement from the field edge of 20 cm. This would indicate that secondaries produced inside the phantom contributed increasingly to the dose equivalent.
Figure 8 displays the dose equivalent past distal edge of the SOBP in the polystyrene phantom (measurement series M3). The dose equivalent in the case of the typical prostate treatment investigated here was 1.6 mSv/Gy at 0.6 cm from the distal edge, and this value decreased exponentially with increasing distance from the distal edge. Fitting an exponential function to this trend resulted in the following relationship for the dose equivalent in mSv:

\[ H = 1.65 e^{-0.1081x} \]

where \( x \) is the distance in cm from the distal edge.

The average quality factor is valuable as it provides information on the types and abundances of radiation present. This information is presented in Fig. 9 as a function of lateral distance from the surface of the anthropomorphic phantom, past the distal edge of the SOBP in the homogeneous polystyrene phantom (measurement series M3), and also as a function of depth in polystyrene (measurement series M1). The average quality factor increased from 2 to 7 at a distance of 2.5 and 60 cm from the field edge, respectively. Figure 9(b) shows that the average quality factor past the SOBP remained relatively constant at an approximate value of 6.5. In this region, high energy primary protons were not present and the radiation field comprised of relatively low energy neutrons produced both from the beam-modifying/monitoring devices and within the phantom. Figure 9(c) indicates that the average quality factor does not change significantly in the proton plateau region, with a stable value of approximately 2.5 registered. At the level of the SOBP, the average quality factor increases to values of 5–6.

IV. DISCUSSION

In this work, we have utilized a microdosimeter based on SOI technology to measure the depth and lateral distance dependence of the dose equivalent for a passively scattered proton beam used in a typical prostate cancer treatment. Unlike conventional TEPC devices, the SOI microdosimeter comprises an array of truly microscopic detection elements. This allows for faster data acquisition through the use of multiple SVs, good spatial resolution, and placement inside phantoms. The microdosimetry method also provides a more accurate estimation of dose equivalent using an established relationship between lineal energy and quality factor. This is particularly advantageous in mixed radiation field measurements.

SOI microdosimetry has certain limitations that need to be considered when evaluating the uncertainty of the measurements presented in this work. The lower level detection limit of the SOI device is approximately 0.8–1 keV/\( \mu \)m, whereas the \( y \) values in the \( Q(y) \) distribution extend down to 0.1 keV/\( \mu \)m. This limitation does not produce a significant error in the dose equivalent because the \( Q \) value is less than 1 in this region. The main source of error in these measurements arises from the TE conversion factor, which is derived from the ratio of stopping powers. This factor covers a wide range of recoil proton energies from 0.1–200 MeV; hence, the value for each individual particle can lie from 0.5–0.8 as shown in Fig. 10. Previous work with protons and alpha particles has found best agreement using a value of 0.63. By using this value, the systematic error introduced in the data presented here may be up to about ±15%. Additional work, including Monte Carlo simulations, is necessary to further reduce this uncertainty for proton therapy applications.

Measurements in polystyrene provided information on the change in dose equivalent (Figs. 6 and 7) and average quality factor (Fig. 9) as a function of depth and lateral displacement from the field edge. Figure 6 indicates that the initial dose equivalent values at the surface of the phantom decreased by 38% after traversing 5 cm of phantom material. This leads to the conclusion that many secondary particles produced within the passive scattering and collimation system do not penetrate to a great depth within the phantom. Such particles are probably thermal neutrons; however, Monte Carlo simu-
lations would be needed to determine this with certainty. Figure 9(a) shows that at the surface of the phantom the quality factor increases with increasing distance from the lateral field edge. This indicates that, close to the field edge, scattered neutrons of higher energy and lower linear energy transfer (LET) made up a substantial portion of the dose equivalent, however, further from the field edge lower energy neutrons predominate resulting in higher average quality factors. In the region past the SOBP on the central beam axis the quality factor remains practically constant [Fig. 9(b)]. In this region, high energy primary protons are not present and the radiation field comprises of relatively low-energy neutrons produced both from the beam-modifying/monitoring devices and within the phantom. Figure 9(c) indicates that at a lateral distance of 5 cm from the field edge the average quality factor did not change significantly in the proton plateau region, with a stable value of approximately 2.5 registered while the dose equivalent decreases. At the level of the SOBP, the average quality factor increased to values of 5–6 indicating the presence of higher LET particles, which are predominantly slowing protons.

The SOI results presented here provide an estimate of the dose equivalent both at the surface and at depth that a prostate cancer patient will experience during proton therapy. They show that dose equivalent values are of the order of a few mSv/Gy within 10 cm of the treatment volume (Figs. 5 and 7) and appear to level off at about 0.2 mSv for larger distances from the treatment volume (Fig. 7). These dose equivalent values compare favorably to those experienced by patients from IMRT treatments and cone beam CT imaging that is increasingly used for image guided radiation therapy.

It is important when applying existing technology to a new mode of measurement to benchmark results against existing data in this field. Figure 11 illustrates the comparison of results from other centers using different measurement techniques. At the Midwest Proton Radiotherapy Institute (MPRI), neutron doses were measured with a neutron bubble...
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