



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health - Papers:
Part B

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

2018

Reply to: Punctuated transgression (?): Comment on Oliver, T.S.N., Donaldson, P., Sharples, C., Roach, M., and Woodroffe, C.D. "Punctuated progradation of the Seven Mile Beach Holocene barrier system, southeastern Tasmania"

Thomas S. Oliver

University of Wollongong, toliver@uow.edu.au

Paul Donaldson

University of Tasmania (UTAS), BTM WBM

Michael Roach

University of Tasmania

Colin D. Woodroffe

University of Wollongong, colin@uow.edu.au

Chris Sharples

BMT WBM, University of Tasmania

Publication Details

Oliver, T. S.N., Donaldson, P., Roach, M., Woodroffe, C. D. & Sharples, C. (2018). Reply to: Punctuated transgression (?): Comment on Oliver, T.S.N., Donaldson, P., Sharples, C., Roach, M., and Woodroffe, C.D. "Punctuated progradation of the Seven Mile Beach Holocene barrier system, southeastern Tasmania". *Marine Geology*, 405 131-133.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library:
research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Reply to: Punctuated transgression (?): Comment on Oliver, T.S.N., Donaldson, P., Sharples, C., Roach, M., and Woodroffe, C.D. "Punctuated progradation of the Seven Mile Beach Holocene barrier system, southeastern Tasmania"

Abstract

Our interpretation of the depositional history of the prograded barrier at Seven Mile Beach in Tasmania, described in Oliver et al. (2017a), was based on the morphology of ridges apparent in the LiDAR-based digital elevation data and a sample of 14 optically-stimulated luminescence (OSL) ages. Dougherty (2018) has identified gaps in the chronology and speculated that progradation may have occurred as sea level fell from a mid-Holocene highstand inappropriately applying sea-level curves from mainland Australia. Despite a highstand being inferred by early research in Tasmania, glacio-isostatic modelling and recent sea-level studies adopted a prevailing view that excluded a highstand. Our observations led us to question this prevailing view and to suggest that it might be appropriate to reopen the debate on Holocene sea-level change in Tasmania. We welcome the renewed interest in the chronology and sea-level history of this prograded barrier, and look forward to further clarification based on new evidence. The site may have the potential to become one of the more continuous and better-constrained sea-level records in southern Australia.

Keywords

system, southeastern, tasmania", punctuated, transgression, (?);, comment, oliver, t.s.n., donaldson, p., sharples, c., roach, m., woodroffe, reply, c.d., to:, "punctuated, progradation, seven, mile, beach, holocene, barrier

Publication Details

Oliver, T. S.N., Donaldson, P., Roach, M., Woodroffe, C. D. & Sharples, C. (2018). Reply to: Punctuated transgression (?): Comment on Oliver, T.S.N., Donaldson, P., Sharples, C., Roach, M., and Woodroffe, C.D. "Punctuated progradation of the Seven Mile Beach Holocene barrier system, southeastern Tasmania". *Marine Geology*, 405 131-133.

1 **Reply to: Punctuated Transgression (?): Comment on Oliver, T.S.N., Donaldson, P., Sharples,**
2 **C., Roach, M., and Woodroffe, C.D. “Punctuated progradation of the Seven Mile Beach**
3 **Holocene barrier system, southeastern Tasmania”**

4 Oliver, T.S.N.,^{1,4} Donaldson, P.,² Roach, M.,³ Woodroffe, C.D.,⁴ Sharples, C.⁵

5 ¹ School of Physical, Environmental and Mathematical Sciences, The University of New South Wales at the
6 Australian Defence Force Academy, Northcott Drive, Canberra, ACT, 2610, Australia

7 ² BMT, Newcastle, NSW 2292, Australia

8 ³ ARC Centre of Excellence in Ore Deposits, University of Tasmania, Tasmania, Australia

9 ⁴ School of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Wollongong, Northfields Ave, Wollongong, NSW,
10 2522, Australia

11 ⁵ Geography and Environmental Studies, University of Tasmania, Tasmania, Australia

12 **Abstract**

13 Our interpretation of the depositional history of the prograded barrier at Seven Mile Beach in
14 Tasmania, described in Oliver et al. (2017a), was based on the morphology of ridges apparent in the
15 LiDAR-based digital elevation data and a sample of 14 optically-stimulated luminescence (OSL)
16 ages. Dougherty (2018) has identified gaps in the chronology and speculated that progradation may
17 have occurred as sea level fell from a mid-Holocene highstand inappropriately applying sea level
18 curves from mainland Australia. Despite a highstand being inferred by early research in Tasmania,
19 glacio-isostatic modelling and recent sea-level studies lead to a prevailing view that excluded a
20 highstand. Our observations led to us question this prevailing view and to suggest that it might be
21 appropriate to reopen the debate on Holocene sea-level change in Tasmania. We welcome the
22 renewed interest in the chronology and sea-level history of this prograded barrier, and look forward to
23 further clarification based on new evidence. The site may have the potential to become one of the
24 more continuous and better-constrained sea-level records in southern Australia.

25 **1. Introduction**

26 We welcome the opportunity to clarify our interpretation of the Holocene depositional history of the
27 Seven Mile Beach prograded barrier system in southeastern Tasmania, outlined in Oliver et al.
28 (2017a). Dougherty (2018) has raised a series of concerns about the paper, questioning the use of the
29 phrase ‘punctuated progradation’ and identifying a series of data gaps and discrepancies. We adopted
30 the phrase ‘punctuated progradation’ to refer to the pauses, or periods of slow shoreline progradation
31 identified at this site. We had not intended to refer to the reflections seen in ground-penetrating radar
32 (GPR) profiles that may represent individual storm events; our usage was in the context of shoreline
33 behaviour (Cattaneo & Steel, 2003), referring to periods of relatively slow progradation or periods of
34 “coastal retreat or coastal reorganization” (Clemmensen et al. 2012 p. 433). We adopt the term

35 'pause' herein to avoid any further confusion. Dougherty (2018) raises concerns about three of these
36 phases 1) the pause in progradation between 6500 and 3500 years ago, 2) the rapid progradation from
37 3500 to 1500 years ago, and 3) shoreline behaviour and transgressive dune development between
38 1500 years ago and present. We address each of these concerns in turn and offer several thoughts and
39 responses in relation to sea-level history.

40 **2. Data gaps and discrepancies**

41 Dougherty (2018) posited several gaps and discrepancies in the chronology presented in Oliver et al.
42 (2017a). Further dating of specific features of the barrier would certainly continue to inform the
43 pattern of progradation at this site. The discontinuous topographic profiles were initially examined in
44 Donaldson (2010) as part of a BSc Honours project; this is the primary source of the radar data and
45 provides a more precise indication of the radar profile start and end points. Donaldson attempted to
46 acquire GPR data across the entire barrier, but access through the publicly accessible pine plantation
47 was frustrated by fallen vegetation and data quality was severely compromised by numerous high
48 amplitude diffractions due to tree roots. As clearly indicated in Fig. 1a of Oliver et al. (2017a), there is
49 a substantial variation in the cross-shore barrier morphology that cannot be captured by a single
50 topographic profile. We undertook two separate field campaigns of OSL sample collection
51 specifically to refine the chronology of the ridges, but our suite of 14 ages obviously provides only a
52 broad picture of depositional history. The sample dated 3250 ± 150 near GPR profile BR6 in Fig. 1 of
53 Oliver et al. (2017a) was collected to test whether the samples in the eastern portion of the barrier
54 could be traced alongshore to the west as the perceived pause in progradation corresponded to the
55 horizontal offset between the OSL dating transects. This exercise confirmed the alongshore continuity
56 of the ridge chronology and in so doing established the pause in progradation in which only the 2
57 "higher" ridges formed.

58 *2.1 Pause in progradation between ~6500 and ~3500 years ago*

59 Dougherty (2018) questions the pause in progradation that we identified between the ridges dated to
60 6750 and 3600 years ago, pointing out that there are two larger ridges (around 0.5 m higher than those
61 to either side) that formed during the pause. This was stated in the original paper: "During this time
62 period, only two slightly larger ridges are preserved and there is a low-angle truncation in ridge
63 alignment." (Oliver et al. 2017a p. 84). The pause was also referred to as "an apparent ~3000 year
64 phase of substantially reduced progradation rate from ~6750 to ~3600 years ago" (Oliver et al. 2017a
65 p. 83). Our point was that progradation slowed rather than ceased.

66 *2.2 Rapid progradation from 3500 to 1500 years ago and its relation to sea-level fall from highstand*

67 Dougherty (2018) has suggested that the switch to rapid progradation observed at Seven Mile Beach
68 in Tasmania ~3500 years ago is related to a fall from a sea-level highstand in this region. Much of the

69 argument by Dougherty (2018) is based on comparison with the chronology of prograded barriers in
70 southern New South Wales. Dougherty (2018) states of Wonboyn, Boydtown and Seven Mile Beach,
71 Tasmania that “all display a shift to rapid progradation starting between 3 and 4 ka, which possibly
72 captures the uniform timing of a fall from a mid-Holocene highstand” (Dougherty 2018 p.4). We do
73 not consider it appropriate to adopt a sea-level curve from New South Wales (NSW) and apply it in
74 this way to Tasmania, and discuss this in more detail below. Furthermore, we do not consider it useful
75 to compare the chronologies of beach-ridge deposition, as we have found that individual prograded
76 barrier systems in southern NSW appear to have undergone considerably different patterns of
77 progradation (Oliver et al. 2017b; 2017c).

78 *2.3 Shoreline behaviour and transgressive dune development between 1500 years ago and present*

79 Dougherty (2018) speculates on the pattern of deposition over the past few centuries. The most
80 seaward of the OSL samples reported in Oliver et al. (2017a) returned an age of ~1400 years and is
81 approximately 400 m inland of the present-day shoreline; the absence of ages over the past
82 millennium (with the exception of a single age from the top of the large transgressive dune that has
83 formed in that time) obviates any firm conclusions. For the shoreline to have reached its present
84 position there must have been some progradation after ~1400 years and the GPR-imaged subsurface
85 structures confirm this. We adopted the progradation rate established between 3500-1400 years to
86 estimate that the shoreline could have reached its position by around 500 years ago. As Dougherty
87 (2018) points out, progradation may have been slower during this time, meaning the shoreline may
88 have taken longer to reach its present position, or it may have exceeded its present position and
89 subsequently retreated. Several more OSL ages might help to determine the shoreline behaviour
90 during this time frame, but it is clear that this represents a different phase in the evolution of the
91 barrier, and one for which we presently have minimal age control. We suggest that any further
92 consideration of the recent barrier history should thoroughly investigate all possible causes of the
93 large transgressive dune and high foredune, including disturbance such as vegetation removal and
94 grazing (e.g. Kurnell, Sydney) in addition to changes in sea level and sediment availability.

95 **3. Mid to late Holocene sea level in Tasmania**

96 A central feature of the arguments put forward by Dougherty (2018) is the suggestion that the sea was
97 higher than present and that ‘sea-level fall is a plausible mechanism to force an increase in
98 progradation’. Dougherty (2018) recognises that the sea-level history of Tasmania is not well studied,
99 and that a review of postglacial sea-level change in Australia by Lewis et al. (2013) left Tasmania out
100 because of the scarcity of data. However, Dougherty (2018) does not appear to realise that the
101 prevailing view is that there was not a highstand during the Holocene. We believe that it is
102 inappropriate to adopt the sea-level curve derived for NSW by Sloss et al. (2007) and still more

103 incorrect to use one from Queensland (QLD), such as that compiled by Lewis et al. (2013), in view of
104 regional variability in glacio-isostatic adjustment.

105 Evidence for a Holocene highstand in Tasmania has been elusive (Lewis et al., 2013). Davies (1958;
106 1959; 1961) proposed higher sea levels during the Holocene using the crests and swales of stranded
107 foredune ridges at several sites in Tasmania, and proposed a fall in sea level of ~1 m at Seven Mile
108 Beach, Tasmania (see also Oliver et al. (2017c) for discussion). Glacio-isostatic adjustment (GIA)
109 modelling by Lambeck and Nakada (1990) implied no highstand in Tasmania noting the potential
110 influence of Late Pleistocene uplift also suggested by Murray-Wallace & Goede (1991; 1995). This
111 interpretation appears to be the prevailing view (Lambeck, 2002), and recent proxy reconstructions
112 have favoured a sea level that remained below present level in mid Holocene but rose gradually to its
113 present level (Gehrels et al., 2012; Morrison & Ellison, 2017).

114 Clark et al. (2011) also concluded that the sea level was below present around 7000 years ago, but
115 they did infer a fall in sea-level during the late Holocene to explain the decreasing marine influence
116 observed in three coastal wetlands. Some sites were examined by Donaldson (2010) which might
117 indicate higher sea levels in this region, for example, raised backbarrier deposits of inferred
118 Holocene-age some 1.4 km north of the most landward ridges at Seven Mile Beach. Also during field
119 campaigns, a wave deposited shelly horizon at ~1.5 m above mean high water level was observed
120 exposed within the eroded ridge stratigraphy at Five Mile Beach. Before a sea-level highstand can be
121 asserted for Tasmania, precise dating of these sea-level proxies around Tasmania's coasts is needed as
122 originally stated in Donaldson (2010). Without chronological information for these sites, we chose to
123 cautiously foreshadow that "progradation from ~3500 onwards may have been due to a Late-
124 Holocene sea-level fall from a high stand in the mid Holocene" (Oliver et al. 2017a, p. 86) while also
125 asserting that "it may also be appropriate to reopen the debate on Holocene sea-level change in
126 Tasmania" (Oliver et al. 2017a, p. 76).

127 We are pleased to see that this debate on Holocene sea level has been reopened. We have discussed
128 the potential for deriving a sea-level history from these former beach deposits at this site with
129 Dougherty in the field. Dougherty has pioneered the extraction of detailed sea-level histories from
130 GPR records elsewhere (Dougherty 2014; Dougherty & Dickson, 2012). Seven Mile Beach barrier
131 appears to provide an ideal research site to investigate sea-level history over past millennia with much
132 of the shell-bearing beach unit being exposed along the eroding shoreline of Five Mile Beach.

133 **Conclusion:**

134 Further investigation of the prograded barrier at Seven Mile Beach in Tasmania could potentially
135 resolve several questions regarding the interplay of sea-level change, sediment supply, shoreline
136 behaviour and barrier morphology. Dougherty (2018) has raised several concerns and postulated that
137 barrier progradation may have been related to a fall of sea level from a mid to late Holocene

138 highstand. In our paper (Oliver et al. 2017a), we concluded by stating that this site could reopen the
139 debate on Holocene sea level around Tasmania, as was foreshadowed by Donaldson (2010).
140 Dougherty speculates that the sea was higher at this site inappropriately applying a sea-level curve
141 from NSW and QLD. While this is an interesting hypothesis, it remains conjectural until the evidence
142 is presented to substantiate this claim. We believe that careful analysis of field sections, extended
143 across the barrier using GPR, could reveal that there was a higher sea level here throughout mid-late
144 Holocene. Indeed, there could be a continuity of record across 6 to 7 millennia which might make this
145 one of the better constrained sea-level records in southern Australia. We look forward to seeing
146 evidence to confirm this. Meanwhile, the influence of sea-level change on the barrier evolution at
147 Seven Mile Beach will remain in question until such mid-late Holocene sea-level history has been
148 adequately documented.

149 **Acknowledgements:**

150 Colin Murray-Wallace kindly read through a draft of this manuscript.

151 **References:**

152 Cattaneo, A., & Steel, R. J. (2003). Transgressive deposits: A review of their variability. *Earth-*
153 *Science Reviews*, 62(3–4), 187–228.

154 Clark, K., Cochran, U., & Mazengarb, C. (2011). Holocene coastal evolution and evidence for
155 paleotsunami from a tectonically stable region, Tasmania, Australia. *The Holocene* 21, 883-
156 895.

157 Clemmensen, L. B., Nielsen, L., Bendixen, M., & Murray, A. (2012). Morphology and sedimentary
158 architecture of a beach-ridge system (Anholt, the Kattegat sea): A record of punctuated
159 coastal progradation and sea-level change over the past ~1000 years. *Boreas*, 41(3), 422-23

160 Davies, J.L. (1958). Analysis of height variation in sand beach ridges. *Australian Journal of Science*
161 21, 51-52.

162
163 Davies, J. L. (1959). Sea level change and shoreline development in southeastern Tasmania. *Papers*
164 *and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania*, 93, 89–95.

165
166 Davies, J. L. (1961). Tasmanian beach ridge systems in relation to sea level. *Papers and Proceedings*
167 *of the Royal Society of Tasmania*, 95, 35–41.

168 Donaldson, P. (2010). *Facies Architecture and Radar Stratigraphy of Seven Mile Spit, Tasmania.*
169 *Unpublished B.Sc. Hons thesis, University of Tasmania.*

- 170 Dougherty, A. J. (2014). Extracting a record of Holocene storm erosion and deposition preserved in
171 the morphostratigraphy of a prograded coastal barrier. *Continental Shelf Research*, 86, 116–
172 131.
- 173 Dougherty, A.J. (2018) Punctuated Transgression (?): Comment on Oliver, T.S.N., Donaldson, P.,
174 Sharples, C., Roach, M., and Woodroffe, C.D. “Punctuated progradation of the Seven Mile
175 Beach Holocene barrier system, southeastern Tasmania”. *Marine Geology*
- 176 Dougherty, A.J. & Dickson, M.E. (2012). Sea level and storm control on the evolution of a chenier
177 plain, Firth of Thames, New Zealand. *Marine Geology* 307-310, 58-72.
- 178 Gehrels, W. R., Callard, S. L., Moss, P. T., Marshall, W. A., Blaauw, M., Hunter, J., Milton, A.J.,
179 Garnett, M. H. (2012). Nineteenth and twentieth century sea-level changes in Tasmania and
180 New Zealand. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 315–316, 94–102.
- 181 Lambeck, K. (2002). Sea level change from mid Holocene to Recent time: An Australian example
182 with global implications. In *Ice Sheets, Sea Level and the Dynamic Earth* (Vol. 29, pp. 33–
183 50).
- 184 Lambeck, K., & Nakada, M. (1990). Late Pleistocene and Holocene sea-level change along the
185 Australian coast. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 89(1–2), 143–176.
- 186 Lewis, S. E., Sloss, C. R., Murray-Wallace, C. V., Woodroffe, C. D., & Smithers, S. G. (2013). Post-
187 glacial sea-level changes around the Australian margin: a review. *Quaternary Science*
188 *Reviews*, 74, 115–138.
- 189 Morrison, B. V., & Ellison, J. C. (2017). Palaeo-Environmental Approaches to Reconstructing Sea
190 Level Changes in Estuaries. In K. Weckström, K. M. Saunders, P. A. Gell, & C. G. Skilbeck
191 (Eds.), *Applications of Paleoenvironmental Techniques in Estuarine Studies* (pp. 471–494).
192 Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- 193 Murray-Wallace, C. V., & Goede, A. (1991). Aminostratigraphy and electron spin resonance studies of
194 late Quaternary sea level change and coastal neotectonics in Tasmania, Australia. *Zeitschrift*
195 *für Geomorphologie*, 35, 129–149.
- 196 Murray-Wallace, C. V., & Goede, a. (1995). Aminostratigraphy and electron spin resonance dating of
197 Quaternary coastal neotectonism in Tasmania and the Bass Strait islands. *Australian Journal*
198 *of Earth Sciences*, 42, 51–67.
- 199 Oliver, T. S. N., Donaldson, P., Sharples, C., Roach, M., & Woodroffe, C. D. (2017a). Punctuated
200 progradation of the Seven Mile Beach Holocene barrier system, southeastern Tasmania.
201 *Marine Geology*, 386, 76–87.

- 202 Oliver, T. S. N., Tamura, T., Hudson, J. P., & Woodroffe, C. D. (2017b). Integrating millennial and
203 interdecadal shoreline changes: Morpho-sedimentary investigation of two prograded barriers
204 in southeastern Australia. *Geomorphology*, 288, 129–147.
- 205 Oliver, T. S. N., Thom, B. G., & Woodroffe, C. D. (2017c). Formation of beach-ridge plains: an
206 appreciation of the contribution by Jack L. Davies. *Geographical Research*, 55(3), 305–320.
- 207 Sloss, C. R., Murray-Wallace, C. V., & Jones, B. G. (2007). Holocene sea-level change on the
208 southeast coast of Australia: a review. *The Holocene*, 17(7), 999–1014.