

University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health -
Papers: Part B

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

1-1-2018

Attainable standards of accuracy in the determination of Holocene sea levels in the Central Pacific: Introductory note

Tom Spencer

University of Cambridge, ts111@cam.ac.uk

Sarah Hamylton

University of Wollongong, shamylto@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/smhpapers1>

Publication Details Citation

Spencer, T., & Hamylton, S. (2018). Attainable standards of accuracy in the determination of Holocene sea levels in the Central Pacific: Introductory note. Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health - Papers: Part B. Retrieved from <https://ro.uow.edu.au/smhpapers1/283>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Attainable standards of accuracy in the determination of Holocene sea levels in the Central Pacific: Introductory note

Abstract

The research underpinning Stoddart and Murphy's paper 'Attainable standards of accuracy in the determination of Holocene sea-levels in the Central Pacific' was undertaken in 1990-1993. This was a time when topographic survey was on the cusp of moving from traditional ground-based levelling surveys, from fixed, sea level-related benchmarks, to methods based on satellite altimetry. The former approach required surveys to be related to a well-defined tidal record (as detailed by Stoddart, 1978), while the latter effectively removed the need for a local datum by providing a common, global reference point, essentially the centre of the earth. As Stoddart and Murphy themselves perceptively noted 'New mobile global positioning systems (GPS) and satellite altimetry surveys hold out the prospect of relatively high accuracy surveying even on remote islands'.

Keywords

introductory, pacific;, central, levels, sea, holocene, attainable, determination, note, accuracy, standards

Publication Details

Spencer, T. & Hamylton, S. M. (2018). Attainable standards of accuracy in the determination of Holocene sea levels in the Central Pacific: Introductory note. *Atoll Research Bulletin*, 619 147-149.

ATTAINABLE STANDARDS OF ACCURACY IN THE DETERMINATION OF HOLOCENE SEA LEVELS IN THE CENTRAL PACIFIC: INTRODUCTORY NOTE

TOM SPENCER¹ and SARAH M. HAMYLTON²

The research underpinning Stoddart and Murphy's paper 'Attainable standards of accuracy in the determination of Holocene sea-levels in the Central Pacific' was undertaken in 1990–1993. This was a time when topographic survey was on the cusp of moving from traditional ground-based levelling surveys, from fixed, sea level-related benchmarks, to methods based on satellite altimetry. The former approach required surveys to be related to a well-defined tidal record (as detailed by Stoddart, 1978), while the latter effectively removed the need for a local datum by providing a common, global reference point, essentially the centre of the earth. As Stoddart and Murphy themselves perceptively noted 'New mobile global positioning systems (GPS) and satellite altimetry surveys hold out the prospect of relatively high accuracy surveying even on remote islands'.

In particular, the developments of differential GPS (dGPS) and Real Time Kinematic (RTK) technologies now offer the prospect of sub-meter and centimeter three dimensional co-ordinate quality respectively for coastal zone and shallow marine field survey (Hamylton, 2017). Such positioning methods, including elevation determinations, are now starting to appear in coral reef research outputs (e.g. Leon et al., 2013; Harris et al., 2014; Lowe et al., 2015; Morgan et al., 2017). Furthermore, there have been applications to the kinds of questions raised by Stoddart and Murphy on Moorea – as, for example, in the combination of RTK base station and traditional semi-automatic levelling to establish high resolution elevations related to lowest astronomical tide (LAT) for core locations, micro-atoll surfaces and island topographies at Pison Island, northern Great Barrier Reef (Perry et al., 2017). In a few cases, such approaches have resulted in high resolution maps of entire reef systems, such as in the remarkable 1m topo-bathymetric DEM for Majuro Atoll, Marshall Islands (Palaseanu-Lovejoy et al., 2017; part of the USGS Coastal National Elevation Database (CoNED) Applications Project (Danielson et al., 2016)).

The application of LiDAR and drone technology is also becoming increasingly widespread on coral reefs with the associated generation of high resolution (<1 m) digital elevation models that yield accurate relative elevations using remote sensing and photogrammetric techniques (Goodman et al., 2013; Casella et al., 2017). Nevertheless, such methodologies ultimately rely on a constellation of earth-orbiting satellites to establish absolute ground position by trilateration. GPS signals are prone to error from a variety of sources, including ephemeral deviations in the satellite orbits, atmospheric signal distortions, the sub-optimal geometric alignment of the satellites, multipath signals reflection and 'selective availability', that is, the historical degradation of signals for military purposes (prior to May 2000). Attaining a signal to correct these errors in real time through an intermediate base station may not always be possible at remote atoll locations. And, as Woodroffe et al. (2012) have shown, over long distances (40 km) on large oceanic atolls, satellite-based survey becomes affected by the Earth's geoid; thus on Christmas (Kiritimati) Island, Line Islands, a ca. 1 m elevation variation is apparent in the surface height of both living and fossil micro-atolls around the island's perimeter whereas locally differences are only of the order of 0.1 m (Woodroffe et al., 2012).

Thus whilst methodologies may change, the basic tenets of Stoddart and Murphy's paper, that meaningful height determinations on reefs can only be achieved by linking all measurements as precisely as possible to a well-defined datum, and that interpretation must be couched within a strong understanding of local environmental setting, and location-specific error terms, remain as relevant today as they did in on the motus of the Society Islands and the Tuamotu Archipelago over 25 years ago.

¹ Cambridge Coastal Research Unit, Department of Geography, University of Cambridge, Downing Place, Cambridge CB2 3EN, U.K.

² School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia 2522.

REFERENCES

- Casella E., Collin A., Harris D., Ferse S., Bejarano S., Parravicini V., Hench J. L., Rovere A. 2017. Mapping coral reefs using consumer-grade drones and structure from motion photogrammetry techniques. *Coral Reefs* 36, 269–275.
- Danielson J. J., Poppenga S. K., Brock J. C., Evans G. A., Tyler D. J., Gesch D. B., Thatcher C. A., Barras J. A. 2016. Topobathymetric elevation model development using a new methodology: Coastal National Elevation Database. *Journal of Coastal Research* 76, 75–89.
- Goodman J. A., Purkis S., Shinn S. R. 2013. *Coral reef remote sensing: A guide for mapping, monitoring and management*. Dordrecht: Springer, 436 pp.
- Hamylton S. 2017. *Spatial analysis of coastal environments*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, x +290pp.
- Harris D. L., Vila-Concejo A., Webster J. M. 2014. Geomorphology and sediment transport on a submerged back-reef sand apron: One Tree Reef, Great Barrier Reef. *Geomorphology*, 222, 132–142.
- Leon J. X., Phinn S. R., Hamylton S., Saunders M. 2013. Filling the ‘white ribbon’ – A seamless multisource Digital Elevation/Depth Model for Lizard Island, northern Great Barrier Reef. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 34, 6337–6354.
- Lowe R. J., Leon A. S., Symonds G., Falter J. L., Gruber R. 2015. The intertidal hydraulics of tide-dominated reef platforms, *Journal Geophysical Research C: Oceans* 120, 4845–4868.
- Morgan K. M., Perry C. T., Smithers S. G., Johnson J. A., Daniell J. J. 2016. Evidence of extensive reef development and high coral cover in nearshore environments: Implications for understanding coral adaptation in turbid settings: *Scientific Reports* 6, 29616, doi: 10. 1038 /srep29616.
- Palaseanu-Lovejoy M., Poppenga S. K., Danielson J. J., Tyler D. J., Gesch D. B., Kottermair M., Jalandoni A., Carlson E., Thatcher C., Barbee M. 2017. One meter topobathymetric Digital Elevation Model for Majuro Atoll, Republic of the Marshall Islands, 1944 to 2016. U.S. Geological Survey data release (<https://www.sciencebase.gov/catalog/item/59557881e4b04e08be532c9a>).
- Perry C. T., Kench P. S., Smithers S. G., Riegl B. R., Gulliver P., Daniells J. J. 2017. Terrigenous sediment-dominated reef platform infilling: an unexpected precursor to reef island formation and a test of the reef platform size island age model in the Pacific. *Coral Reefs* 36, 1013–1021.
- Stoddart D. R. 1978. Mapping reefs and islands. In: Stoddart DR, Johannes RE (eds.) *Coral Reefs: Research Methods*. Paris: UNESCO, 17–22.
- Woodroffe C. D., McGregor H. V., Lambeck K., Smithers S., Fink D. 2012. Mid-Pacific microatolls record sea-level stability over the past 5000 yr. *Geology* 40, 951–954.