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Abstract
Current railroads require significant upgrading to meet the challenges of heavier loads at higher speeds. Due to excessive track degradation, the Australian rail industry spends large amounts on frequent track repair and maintenance, as well as ground improvement prior to track construction where soft and saturated subgrade soils pose considerable difficulties in design and construction. Moreover, the degradation of ballast particles under impact loading seriously hampers the safety and efficiency of rail tracks, which leads to speed restrictions and more frequent track upgrading. Hence, there is a need for innovative design solutions that can extend the service life of tracks to cater for faster and heavier train traffic. The use of planar geosynthetics and recycled rubber mats placed at the interface of ballast and subballast layer has proven an effective approach to mitigate ballast degradation and improve track longevity. This paper presents the current state-of-the-art knowledge of rail track geomechanics conducted at the University of Wollongong (UOW) including topics relating to laboratory testing and computational modeling approaches. The load-deformation responses of rubber mat/geogrid-stabilised ballast are studied in the laboratory using a large-scale drop weight impact testing facility, and Track Process Simulation Apparatus (TPSA). Numerical modelling using discrete element methods (DEM) are used to model geogrid-reinforced ballasted tracks, capturing both the discrete nature of ballast subject to various types of loading and boundary conditions. These results provide promising approaches to incorporate into the existing track design routines catering for future high speed and heavy haul trains.
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1. Main text

Ballasted track is the traditional railway system where the ballast layer provides structural support against the high dynamic stresses transmitted from moving trains. The ever increasing congestion of highway traffic due to the increasing population and rapid urbanisation has highlighted the need for the sustainable development of railroad transportation infrastructure [1, 2]. The ballast layer consists of free-draining granular material acting as a load-bearing layer in rail tracks. The major functions of ballast are: (i) transmitting induced loads to sub-layers at reduced and acceptable stress levels, (ii) providing lateral resistance and (iii) facilitating free drainage conditions [2, 3]. Subject to repeated train passes the granular substructure layers of ballast and subballast often undergo significant deformation under the high cyclic stresses exerted by increasingly heavier and faster trains. This substructure response presents challenges to the track designer and subsequent maintenance upon construction [4-7]. As trains pass over the tracks, ballast aggregates spread laterally due to inadequate confining pressure from the shoulder ballast and also deteriorate due to breakage of angular corners and sharp edges. As a result, the ballast becomes fouled, less angular, and its shear strength is reduced. A large proportion of track maintenance costs is related to problems with the track substructure such as ballast breakage, fouling, poor drainage, differential settlement, and track buckling [8-11].

The use of planar geosynthetic products (i.e. geogrids, geotextiles or geocomposites) has attracted attention because they are economical and relatively easy to install. Past studies have demonstrated that geogrid reinforcement reduces the settlement and degradation of ballast [12-17]. Placing geosynthetics under the ballast confines the aggregates via interlock or frictional resistance among ballast particles which then stiffens the surrounding aggregates and increases the shearing resistance of the composite system. This results in a more resilient long term performance of rail track, as well as helping with drainage and reducing ballast degradation. The ability of geogrids to provide additional confinement to track substructures was noted by Han et al. [13] and Rujikiatkamjorn et al. [11] where their studies indicate that the interaction between ballast and geogrid is one of the governing parameters controlling the performance of geogrids in ballasted tracks. Recently, the adoption of recycled rubber mats to improve ballasted track has become increasingly popular [6]. These artificial inclusions are known as Under Ballast Mats (UBM), and they produce a relatively soft interface with the ballast and thus decrease internal contact stresses within the ballast. There has been limited comprehensive studies of the geotechnical behaviour of ballast under cyclic and impact loading where resilient rubber mats were installed in ballast [18, 19]. This paper briefly reviews some of the recent research work undertaken on ballast using the state-of-the-art large-scale testing facilities designed and built at the University of Wollongong and discrete element modelling of geogrid-reinforced ballast.

2. Laboratory investigation

2.1. Drop-weight impact testing apparatus

Impact loads caused by wheel or track irregularities (e.g. wheel flats, turnouts, crossings, rail corrugations etc.) accelerate ballast breakage leading to the adverse performance of ballasted rail tracks [20]. In addition, at transition points such as approaches to a bridge or crossing, the change in track stiffness induces high impact forces contributing to excessive settlement [5, 21]. The UOW large scale drop-weight impact testing apparatus comprising a 600 kg hammer free-falling up to 6 m (Fig. 1a) was used to examine the effect of impact loads on ballast deformation. The hammer was lifted mechanically to the required drop height and released by an electronic quick release system. Impact forces were measured by a dynamic load cell (1200 kN capacity) installed on the drop-weight hammer. A piezoelectric accelerometer (10,000 g capacity, where g is the gravitational acceleration) was used to record transient accelerations. Ballast specimens with 300 mm height and diameter were tested. Details of material specifications and test procedures were presented by Nimbalkar et al. [22, 23]. To mimic low confinement in the field, tests were confined in a rubber membrane thick enough to prevent piercing by sharp particles during testing (tensile strength = 600 kN/m², tensile strain at failure = 80%, modulus at 10% compressive strain = 3800 kN/m²). A rigid circular steel plate (thickness, t = 50 mm) was used to represent a stiff subgrade (i.e. bridge deck), where a thin layer of compacted sand was used to simulate relatively weak subgrade conditions.
Two types of distinct force peaks were measured during the tests, (i) an instantaneous sharp peak with very high frequency termed $P_1$; (ii) a gradual peak of smaller magnitude with relatively lesser frequency termed $P_2$. The impact force $P_1$ is due to the inertia of the rail and sleepers resisting the downward motion of the wheel, and this leads to compression of the contact zone between the wheel and rail. The force $P_2$ prevails over a longer duration and is attributed to the mechanical resistance of the track substructure leading to its significant compression [24]. These forces will depend on the characteristics of both train and track substructure including relative mass, velocity, contact zone stiffness, loading frequency, and internal energy-absorbing zones. These impact loads are of high magnitude and very short duration depending on the nature of wheel/rail irregularities and on the dynamic response of the track [19, 24, 25].

Force $P_2$ directly influences the degradation of ballast grains and is calculated as per Australian standards (RIC 2002) by:

$$P_2 = P_0 + 2\alpha V_{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{M_u}{M_u + M_t}} \cdot \left[ 1 - \frac{C_t \pi}{4\sqrt{K_t (M_u + M_t)}} \right] \cdot \sqrt{K_t M_u}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where, $P_0$ = maximum static wheel load, $M_u$ = vehicle unsprung mass per wheel (kg), $2\alpha$ = total dip angle (radians), $V_{\infty}$ = maximum normal operating velocity (m/s), $M_t$ = equivalent vertical rail mass per wheel (kg), $K_t$ = equivalent vertical rail stiffness per wheel (N/m) and $C_t$ = equivalent vertical rail damping per wheel (Ns/m).

The impact load-time response subjected to the 1st drop of the free-fall hammer is presented in Fig. 2a where two distinct types of force peaks, $P_1$ and $P_2$ are clearly visible. It is seen that multiple $P_1$ type peaks are followed by a distinct $P_2$ type peak. This shows that the ballast mass stabilises after a certain number of impacts to produce an almost constant $P_2$. The observed benefits of a rubber mat are twofold: (i) it attenuates the impact force, and (ii) it decreases the impulse frequencies thereby extending the duration of the impact. The shear strain ($\varepsilon_s$) of ballast specimens with and without the inclusion of rubber mats are presented in Fig. 2b. It is seen that the shear strain increases with successive impacts. The inclusion of rubber mats placed at top and bottom of the ballast decreased the shear strain significantly for stiff subgrade but for weaker subgrades, this improvement is less significant.
2.2. Track Process Simulation Apparatus (TPSA)

The cyclic load test corresponded to a 25-ton axle load with a frequency of 15 Hz, simulates a train travelling at about 110 km/h [1]. The servo hydraulic dynamic actuator (Fig. 1b) can replicate the cyclic loading in a sinusoidal wave form with a mean stress of 195 kPa, and amplitude of 165 kPa. Lateral confinement was applied by hydraulic jacks through movable vertical walls that simulated a low confining stress of 10 kPa. A total of a half million load cycles were applied for each cyclic loading test. The TPSA chamber can accommodate a 800×600×600 mm (length×width×height) size sample [17]. The bottom layer of the test specimen was a 150 mm thick compacted layer of subballast. The 300 mm thick layer of ballast above the subballast was compacted by a padded rubber hammer into three equal 100 mm thick layers to achieve a typical field density of 15.3 kN/m³. A 150 mm thick layer of crib ballast was filled around the rail-sleeper assembly and on top of the ballast layer. Fresh and coal fouled ballast samples were prepared following typical gradation curves (e.g. $d_{50} = 35$ mm, $C_u = 1.6$). A geogrid reinforcement layer (aperture size: 40 mm x 40 mm) was placed at the ballast-capping. To quantify levels of ballast fouling, the Void Contamination Index ($VCI$) introduced by Tennakoon et al. [8] was used which includes the effect of void ratio, specific gravity and gradation of ballast and fouling material. More details of the TPSA tests can be found at Indraratna et al. [17].

Fig. 3 shows the accumulated settlement of fresh and fouled ballast assemblies with and without geogrid inclusion at varying load cycles. Generally it is seen that the geogrid-reinforced ballast shows reduced settlements compared to the unreinforced assembly for any given $VCI$. As expected, an increased level of fouling results in increased ballast deformation. All samples experience an initial rapid settlement up to 100,000 cycles followed by gradually increasing settlement within 300,000 cycles and then remains relatively stable to the end (500,000 cycles). This clearly indicates that ballast grains undergo considerable rearrangement and densification during initial load cycles [17]. When the ballast grains attain a threshold compression, subsequent loading would resist further settlement but instead promote dilation unless particle crushing occurs [15]. It is seen that the observed benefit of the geogrid decreases with an increase of $VCI$ and it becomes marginal when $VCI > 40\%$. 
3. Computational modeling of ballasted tracks

3.1. Discrete Element Method

The discrete element method (DEM) introduced by Cundall and Strack [26] has been used extensively to study the behaviour of granular materials. DEM is often used to model ballast because it captures the discrete nature of a granular assembly which consists of a collection of arbitrarily shaped discrete particles subjected to quasi-static and dynamic conditions [27-31]. Particle motion is determined using Newton's second law and the interaction between particles is determined by contact laws. At a given time, the force vector $\vec{F}$ that represents the interaction between the two particles is resolved into normal ($\vec{F}_N$) and shear component ($\vec{F}_T$) with respect to the contact plane:

$$\vec{F}_N = K_N U^n$$
$$\delta \vec{F}_T = -K_T \cdot \delta U^s$$

where, $K_N$ and $K_T$ are the normal and tangential stiﬀnesses at the contact; $U^n$ is the normal penetration between two particles; $\delta U^s$ is the incremental tangential displacement; and $\delta \vec{F}_T$ is the incremental tangential force. The rolling resistance moment $\vec{M}_r$ is introduced to represent the rolling restraint between the two particles A and B and is determined by:

$$\vec{M}_r = \begin{cases} 
K_r \vec{\omega}_r & \text{if } K_r \| \vec{\omega}_r \| < \| \vec{M}_r \|_{lim} \\
\frac{\| \vec{M}_r \|_{lim}}{\| \vec{\omega}_r \|} \vec{\omega}_r & \text{if } K_r \| \vec{\omega}_r \| \geq \| \vec{M}_r \|_{lim}
\end{cases}$$

(4)

where,

$$\| \vec{M}_r \|_{lim} = \eta_r \| \vec{F} \| \frac{R_A + R_B}{2} \cdot K_r = \gamma \left( \frac{R_A + R_B}{2} \right)^2 ; \quad \vec{\omega}_r \quad \text{is a rolling angular vector representing the relative orientation change between two particles and is computed by adding the angular vectors of the incremental rolling; } \eta_r \quad \text{is the dimensionless coefficient; and } \gamma \quad \text{is the rolling resistance coefficient}$$
3.2. Modelling direct shear tests in DEM

Fig. 4 shows how DEM is used to model geogrid-reinforced ballast in a direct shear test. Model dimensions are similar to those carried out in the laboratory (300 mm length x 300 mm width x 200 mm height). Ballast particles with varying shapes and sizes were simulated by clumping many spheres together to represent actual ballast gradation (Fig. 4a). This method is widely used to simulate ballast aggregates [24], which are then placed at random locations within the specified wall boundary without overlapping. The micromechanical parameters used to model ballast, geogrid and coal fines are adopted from Ngo et al. [14].

DEM simulations of direct shear tests were conducted at three normal stresses of $\sigma_n = 27\,\text{kPa}$, $51\,\text{kPa}$, and $75\,\text{kPa}$ for fresh and fouled ballast ($VCI=40\%$) with and without the inclusion of geogrid. Fouling was modelled by the injection of a predetermined number of 1.5 mm spheres (145,665 spheres for $VCI=40\%$) into the voids of fresh ballast. Fig. 5 shows comparisons of the shear stress-strain behaviour of geogrid-reinforced ballast from the DEM analysis and those measured experimentally. Note that the simulation results agree reasonably well with the laboratory data for a given normal stress and level of fouling. The strain softening behaviour of ballast and volumetric dilation is observed in all the simulations and show that the higher the normal stress ($\sigma_n$), the greater the shear strength and the smaller the dilation. The ability of geogrid reinforcement to increase the shear strength of fresh and fouled ballast can be seen by comparing it with an assembly with unreinforced ballast. This is believed to be due to the interlocking effect that occurs between the ballast grains and geogrid [14].

Load transfer in a ballast assembly depends on the contact force distributions developed across the aggregates through an interconnected network of force chains at contact points [32]. Under a shearing load these contact forces develop and evolve so that the number of load-carrying contacts and their orientations inevitably vary to carry
induced stresses. Fig. 6 shows distributions of contact force chains of fresh and fouled ballast ($VCI=40\%$) with and without geogrid reinforcement under a given normal stress of 51 kPa, at a shear displacement of $\Delta h=18$ mm. The contact forces between the aggregates are shown as lines whose thickness is proportional to the magnitude of the force. Note that fouled ballast has denser contact chains and less maximum force than those in the fresh ballast assembly. This can be attributed to fine particles clogging the pore spaces between the large grains and partially supported and transmitted forces across the assembly.

4. Conclusions

This paper briefly reviewed the extent of our knowledge of rail track geomechanics resulting from tests and DEM modelling carried out at the UOW. The use of geosynthetics and rubber mats to enhance the performance of rail tracks has been reviewed including large-scale laboratory testing and approaches to computational modelling. A series of tests using the TPSA and impact testing apparatus were conducted to study the role played by geosynthetics and rubber mats in relation to the stress-strain and degradation response of ballast under cyclic and impact loads. Dynamic impact testing indicated that impact loads cause most of the damage to the ballast, and substantial reduction in shear strains and particle breakage can be achieved by using rubber mats. DEM simulations for large-scale direct shear tests were conducted for fresh and fouled ballast ($VCI=40\%$) to examine how geogrids improve the performance of ballast. The findings of these studies provide a better understanding of ballast-geogrid interface mechanism, long-term deformation, and degradation, as well as the benefits of using geosynthetics and rubber mats to enhance the performance of ballasted tracks.
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