University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Social Sciences - Papers (Archive)

Faculty of Arts, Social Sciences & Humanities

2012

Does cannabis cause lasting brain damage?

Nadia Solowij

University of Wollongong, nadia@uow.edu.au

Murat Yucel

University Of Melbourne

Valentina Lorenzetti

University Of Melbourne

Dan Lubman

Monash University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/sspapers>

Part of the [Education Commons](#), and the [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#)

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Does cannabis cause lasting brain damage?

Abstract

Until recently, it was possible to state with some confidence that there was no evidence of cannabis-related brain damage in humans. There was some support from the animal literature, but few human studies had been conducted where the findings could not be explained by methodological or other confounding factors. Recent evidence for gross morphological, connectivity and microstructural changes has now emerged that warrants further consideration. If cannabis were found to alter the structural integrity of the brain, then this may assist us to understand the mechanisms by which cannabis triggers psychotic symptoms or overt psychosis in vulnerable individuals.

Keywords

cause, cannabis, lasting, brain, damage, does

Disciplines

Education | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details

Solowij, N., Yucel, M., Lorenzetti, V. & Lubman, D. (2012). Does cannabis cause lasting brain damage?. In D. Castle, R. M. Murray & D. Cyril. D'Souza (Eds.), *Marijuana and Madness* (pp. 103-113). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Does cannabis cause lasting brain damage?

Nadia Solowij, Murat Yücel, Valentina Lorenzetti and Dan Lubman

Until recently, it was possible to state with some confidence that there was no evidence of cannabis-related brain damage in humans. There was some support from the animal literature, but few human studies had been conducted where the findings could not be explained by methodological or other confounding factors. Recent evidence for gross morphological, connectivity and microstructural changes has now emerged that warrants further consideration. If cannabis were found to alter the structural integrity of the brain, then this may assist us to understand the mechanisms by which cannabis triggers psychotic symptoms or overt psychosis in vulnerable individuals.

Evidence from animal studies

Cannabinoids, either endogenous or exogenous, possess both neuroprotective and neurotoxic properties (Sarne and Mechoulam, 2005; Kano *et al.*, 2009). Cannabinoid-receptor activation induces morphological changes to neurons, such as inhibition of new synapse formation (Kano *et al.*, 2009), and at crucial neurodevelopmental stages (prenatal and adolescent), exposure to cannabinoids impacts on neural cell survival and maturation (Chapters 6, 7) (Downer and Campbell, 2010). The role of different cannabinoids in controlling neural-cell survival or death is a complex issue that is influenced by the dose, duration of exposure and route of administration, but also the neural-cell type and its stage of differentiation (Downer and Campbell, 2010). Contradictory hypotheses circulate regarding the doses of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) that may be neurotoxic or neuroprotective. Some suggest that single high doses of THC are neuroprotective within a limited timeframe, but that low doses are neurotoxic and, with chronic exposure, induce neuronal death (Sarne and Keren, 2004; Tselnicker *et al.*, 2007; Sarne and Mechoulam, 2005). However, large

doses of THC applied directly to cultured hippocampal neurons, and both high and low doses to cultured cortical neurons, have been shown to cause cell death or significant neurotoxic changes (eg. shrinkage of cell bodies and DNA-strand breaks) characteristic of neuronal apoptosis (Chan *et al.*, 1998; Campbell, 2001; Downer *et al.*, 2001). Indeed, even a single administration of an ultra-low dose of THC (0.001–0.002 mg/kg) has been shown to result in long-term cognitive impairment (in spatial learning, strategy and working memory) in mice. These deficits persisted for at least 5 months post-injection and were associated with activation of extracellular-regulated kinase (ERK) in the cerebellum and hippocampus (Tselnicker *et al.*, 2007; Amal *et al.*, 2010). The authors suggested that low THC concentration is the main determinant of long-lasting neuronal effects following chronic exposure to cannabinoids, due to their slow clearance and accumulation (Amal *et al.*, 2010).

A study of cannabinoid application in vitro showed that THC appears to accumulate primarily in neurons and that transformation to its metabolite, THC-COOH, depends on the presence of glia (Monnet-Tschudi *et al.*, 2008). The authors suggested that the adverse effects of cannabinoids on the brain may occur through a combination of pathways involving cannabinoid receptor activation, accumulation of cannabinoids and their metabolites and upregulation of neuroinflammatory cytokines. Given the dependence on glia for metabolism of THC, if white-matter aberrations develop in cannabis users (as discussed further below), more THC could potentially accumulate in neurons, causing toxicity.

Studies of chronic cannabinoid administration to animals have demonstrated cognitive impairment associated with specific neurochemical, transmission and cell firing alterations, particularly in the hippocampus, but also the prefrontal cortex (PFC), similar to impairment

induced by lesions or transient inactivation (Egerton *et al.*, 2006). Chronic administration of THC to rats and primates has been shown to result in dose-dependent neurotoxic changes in brain regions that are rich in cannabinoid receptors. Specifically, THC-induced neurotoxic effects are prominent within the hippocampus (Heath *et al.*, 1980; Scallet *et al.*, 1987; Landfield *et al.*, 1988; Chan *et al.*, 1998; Lawston *et al.*, 2000), amygdala (Heath *et al.*, 1980), septum (Harper *et al.*, 1977; Myers and Heath, 1979) and cerebral cortex (Harper *et al.*, 1977; Downer *et al.*, 2001). These neurotoxic effects include shrinkage of neural cell nuclei and bodies (Heath *et al.*, 1980; Scallet *et al.*, 1987) and reductions in pyramidal cell density (Lawston *et al.*, 2000), dendritic length (Landfield *et al.*, 1988) and number of synapses (Heath *et al.*, 1980). Some of these studies have emulated chronic-use patterns seen in humans: for example, in the study by Landfield and colleagues (1988) THC was administered to rats five times a week for 8 months, representing approximately 30% of the rats' lifespan.

Cannabis use in humans typically commences during adolescence and young adulthood, a crucial period of neurodevelopment (see Chapter 7). Neuromaturational changes primarily occur within PFC and limbic circuits, and include progressive and regressive changes such as myelination and synaptic pruning, neurogenesis and apoptosis, axonal growth and sprouting, dendritic arborization and retraction, synaptogenesis and synapse elimination, alongside the maturation of multiple neurotransmitter systems (Schneider, 2008; Realini *et al.*, 2009). The endocannabinoid (eCB) system (ECS) is crucially involved in these developmental processes (Harkany *et al.*, 2008; Schneider, 2008; Realini *et al.*, 2009) that are thought to be essential for the acquisition of adult cognition, decision-making and social behaviors. As such, exposure to THC during adolescence may perturb neurodevelopmental processes with potential long lasting consequences.

As discussed in detail in Chapter 7, a number of studies have examined the impact of THC administration during adolescence on the adult brain. For instance, Rubino and colleagues (2009a) administered THC twice daily to adolescent rats for 10 days and then left them undisturbed until adulthood, at which point they assessed learning and memory capacities, as well as their underlying neural substrates. Deficits in spatial memory were evident in the pretreated rats and were accompanied by, and correlated with, significantly lower total dendritic length and number, reduced spine

density and decreases in astroglial markers, protein expression and N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor levels within the hippocampus. Thus, adolescent exposure to THC resulted in long-lasting alterations to the structural and functional plasticity of both neurons and glia, with a reduction in synaptic contacts and/or less efficient synaptic connections throughout the hippocampus. In other studies, these authors found significant gender-related THC neurotoxic effects, demonstrating that CB1 receptor density and G-protein coupling were significantly reduced in the amygdala, ventral tegmental area and nucleus accumbens in female rats, but only in the amygdala and hippocampus of male rats, accompanied by different behavioral profiles (Rubino *et al.*, 2008). Further, spatial working memory impairment was similar between genders but was underpinned by hippocampal alterations in males, in contrast to PFC alterations in females (Rubino *et al.*, 2009b). This work supports a growing literature demonstrating sex differences in adulthood in animals chronically administered THC during adolescence, as well as alterations within circuits underlying emotional processing (Realini *et al.*, 2009).

There is also growing evidence of differential responses to cannabis during adolescence compared with adulthood. Quinn *et al.* (2008) found that repeated exposure to THC was less behaviorally aversive for adolescent compared with adult rats, but caused greater persistent memory deficits and hippocampal structural and functional alterations. Altered protein expression in the hippocampus was observed in both adult and adolescent rats, but adolescent rats showed a greater number of altered proteins related to oxidative stress, mitochondrial and metabolic function, cell proliferation and repair, and cytoskeletal structure and signaling. Further recent research on neurotransmitter system functionality and cannabinoid receptor changes following chronic exposure to cannabinoids suggests that the adolescent brain does not compensate for the biological changes in response to cannabis exposure in the same way as the adult brain (Dalton and Zavitsanou, 2010; Zavitsanou *et al.*, 2010). The ECS also appears to be altered by exposure to THC during early, middle and late adolescence (Ellgren *et al.*, 2008). In a rat study, intermittent exposure to THC (a pattern of use common among teenagers) was found to reverse the normal proportional ratio of eCBs (anandamide and 2-arachidonoyl glycerol [2-AG]) in the PFC and nucleus accumbens. These studies support the notion that THC effects on neural integrity may depend on different developmental stages of exposure.

Thus, evidence from preclinical research has identified neurotoxic, morphological and microstructural alterations to the brain in vitro and, when animals are acutely or chronically exposed to cannabinoids, at doses relevant to human use. With discrepant results concerning the neurotoxicity of low and high doses, and accumulation of cannabinoids, further research must reconcile dose-effects in vitro versus in vivo, and consider the various cannabinoids that human users expose themselves to. Some of these have been shown to have differential properties and opposing effects in humans (eg. THC versus cannabidiol; see Chapter 1). As such, animal research could examine each of these in isolation and in combination, and further elucidate their impact on the developing brain.

Evidence from human studies

Adult chronic cannabis users

Findings of persistent alteration of brain function or cognitive impairment in human cannabis users (as reviewed in Chapter 8), together with the animal work discussed above, support the notion that long-term cannabis use may result in morphological alterations of brain structures that subserve attention, learning, memory, executive functions and emotional processes (such as the prefrontal and temporal cortices). To date, findings from structural neuroimaging studies of long-term cannabis users have been contradictory, with evidence for both the presence and absence of morphological changes in specific brain regions (DeLisi, 2008; Solowij *et al.*, 2009; Lorenzetti *et al.*, 2010; Martin-Santos *et al.*, 2010). However, a number of variables, such as demographic, clinical, genetic and drug-use factors are likely to mediate the relationship between cannabis use and brain structural alterations.

A recent review (Lorenzetti *et al.*, 2010) identified only 13 structural neuroimaging studies where the primary substance used was cannabis and major psychopathologies were excluded. The main imaging modality utilized was magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (eight studies), with three studies employing computed tomography (CT) and two early studies using pneumo-encephalography and echo-encephalography, respectively. The MRI studies used either a region-of-interest approach (six studies) or voxel-based morphometry (VBM; two studies). No significant differences were found in any of the studies on global measures of brain volume. More specific regional brain analyses

demonstrated evidence of structural brain abnormalities, but these were not consistent across studies.

Six studies reported specific regional structural alterations in regular cannabis users (Campbell *et al.*, 1971; Block *et al.*, 2000; Wilson *et al.*, 2000; Matochik *et al.*, 2005; Medina *et al.*, 2007b; Yücel *et al.*, 2008), while the remaining seven studies found no significant volumetric differences between users and controls (Stefanis, 1976; Co *et al.*, 1977; Kuehnle *et al.*, 1977; Hannerz and Hindmarsh, 1983; Jager *et al.*, 2007; Medina *et al.*, 2007a; Tzilos *et al.*, 2005). Alterations in hippocampal or parahippocampal volumes were the most consistently reported findings, but the nature of the findings were still mixed. Hippocampal volumes in cannabis users were found to be smaller (Matochik *et al.*, 2005; Yücel *et al.*, 2008), larger (Medina *et al.*, 2007b), or no different to controls (Block *et al.*, 2000; Wilson *et al.*, 2000; Medina *et al.*, 2007a). Of three studies that examined parahippocampal volume, two reported no change (Jager *et al.*, 2007; Tzilos *et al.*, 2005), while one found an alteration in grey and white matter composition (Matochik *et al.*, 2005). Two studies examined amygdala volumes, with one reporting reduced volume (Yücel *et al.*, 2008) and the other no change (Wilson *et al.*, 2000). Finally, there were a number of brain regions that were investigated only within a single study, with significant between-group differences found for the precentral gyrus, thalamus, parietal lobule, fusiform gyrus, lentiform nucleus and pons (Matochik *et al.*, 2005), but not for the cerebellum (Block *et al.*, 2000). While few studies have specifically examined white-matter volume, we recently identified significant cerebellar white matter reduction in adult long-term very heavy cannabis users (Solowij *et al.*, 2011).

Dose and duration of cannabis exposure may differentiate between those studies that did or did not find volumetric differences between users and controls. For example, in our study (Yücel *et al.*, 2008) the cannabis users had a similar exposure to that of Landfield *et al.*'s (1988) rodent study (cited above). Both of these studies found significant dose-related reductions in hippocampal volume. The cannabis users within our study had the most extensive exposure to cannabis of all the studies of human cannabis users (near daily use for a mean 19.7 years, range 10–32 years), and the most striking findings. We reported a 12% reduction bilaterally in hippocampal volumes, as well as an approximate 7% reduction in bilateral amygdala volumes (Yücel *et al.*, 2008), and a 24% reduction in cerebellar white matter (Solowij *et al.*, 2011). The reduction of left hippocampal

volume was of a similar magnitude to that observed in schizophrenia, was dose-related and was associated with subclinical psychotic symptoms, even though our sample was carefully screened for DSM-IV psychotic disorders.

One other study with a similar mean duration of use (mean 22.6 years, range 12–33 years) to the sample in our study, reported no brain alterations, but the minimum duration of *daily* use in that sample was only one year (Tzilos *et al.*, 2005). In contrast, the minimum duration of *near daily* use in our study was 10 years. A further key difference between the Tzilos *et al.* (2005) study and ours was in the estimated episodes of use, and hence the cumulative dose of exposure to cannabis. Tzilos *et al.*'s sample reported an average of 20 100 lifetime episodes of use. Our sample had an average 62 000 estimated episodes of use over the lifetime. Thus, despite a similar mean duration of use, our cannabis users used more than three times as much cannabis, which may be the crucial factor in explaining our finding of a dose–response relationship between hippocampal volume and cumulative cannabis use. In addition, Tzilos *et al.* (2005) acquired their images at a lower field strength and with a coarser spatial resolution (1.5 T with 3-mm-thick slices vs. 3 T with 1-mm-thick slices in our study), an important consideration given the small size and boundary definition of the brain structures investigated. Moreover, the region of interest measured in their study was less specific to the hippocampus relative to ours because they also included the parahippocampal gyrus (ours was restricted to the hippocampus itself using well-defined boundaries).

A general trend for an inverse relationship between indices of cannabis use and hippocampal or parahippocampal volume appears to exist in other studies. Aside from our own study, samples with greater cannabis exposure demonstrated reductions in hippocampal or parahippocampal volumes (Matochik *et al.*, 2005), whereas samples with a lower quantity or frequency of cannabis use exhibited no change (Block *et al.*, 2000; Wilson *et al.*, 2000; Jager *et al.*, 2007; Medina *et al.*, 2007a; Tzilos *et al.*, 2005), or even volumetric increases (Medina *et al.* 2007b). Studies of heavy cannabis users (Matochik *et al.*, 2005; Yücel *et al.*, 2008) were more likely to detect regional abnormalities than those of lighter cannabis users. Greater brain alterations with an earlier age of onset of cannabis use have been reported in some studies (Wilson *et al.*, 2000), but not others (Matochik *et al.*, 2005; Tzilos *et al.*, 2005), but this aspect of human cannabis use remains underinvestigated.

Several recent studies have examined the integrity of white matter fiber tracts in cannabis users using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), including studies of adolescent users (reported below). A pilot study in ten heavy cannabis users demonstrated trends toward both increased mean diffusivity and lower fractional anisotropy in the anterior cingulate cortex (Gruber and Yurgelun-Todd, 2005). Another study of heavy users found significantly increased mean diffusivity in the anterior region of the corpus callosum, where white matter passes between the prefrontal lobes (Arnone *et al.*, 2008). The data suggest impaired structural integrity of the corpus callosum fiber tracts with prolonged cannabis exposure, particularly as the authors reported an association with duration of cannabis use within the sample. White matter tractography investigations in cannabis users are only at a preliminary stage of investigation and hold much promise for the future.

A post-mortem study of cannabinoid receptor density and integrity in human brains found that the receptor becomes hypofunctional with chronic cannabis use (Villares, 2007). Downregulation was observed in the hippocampus, basal ganglia and mesencephalon of chronic users, and reduced binding levels were accompanied by parallel decreases in mRNA levels. These findings suggest that the primary effect of chronic exposure was on the CB1 receptor gene rather than on the receptor protein. Evidence of diminished neuronal and axonal integrity in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex has been indicated by magnetic resonance spectroscopic markers of metabolism (NAA/tCr ratio) (Hermann *et al.*, 2007). Dose-related changes in this study were also found in the anterior cingulate and putamen/globus pallidum, but not in the hippocampus. Acute and chronic exposure to cannabis in humans has also been associated with reduced serum concentrations of neurotrophins, including nerve growth factor (Angelucci *et al.*, 2008) and brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (D'Souza *et al.*, 2009).

Thus, there is growing evidence for alterations to the structural integrity of the brain as a result of chronic cannabis exposure in adult users. This includes gross structural anatomical studies of long term and heavy users, as well as more refined studies of white matter and connectivity, and neurotoxic markers in vivo.

Adolescent and young-adult cannabis users

An increasing number of studies have investigated brain morphology in adolescent cannabis users or in adults who started using cannabis at a young age. A

study of adult users reported that early onset cannabis users (before age 17 years) had smaller whole brain volumes, lower percent cortical grey matter, higher percent white matter and increased cerebral blood flow compared with later onset users (Wilson *et al.*, 2000).

The two studies by Medina and colleagues discussed above were of adolescents, one reporting larger hippocampal volumes in users (Medina *et al.*, 2007b), while the other found no volumetric differences from controls (Medina *et al.*, 2007a). Medina *et al.* (2007a) also found an association between whole brain white matter volume and depressive symptoms in young adult cannabis users. While a DTI study of young adults who had at least one year of daily or several times/week cannabis during adolescence, found no evidence of pathological white matter integrity differences between users and controls, it identified several regions of apparently greater integrity among users (DeLisi *et al.*, 2006). However, a solid body of evidence for pathology in white matter tracts within the corpus callosum and various fronto-temporal, occipito-frontal and posterior connections that develop during adolescence, has come from other recent DTI studies of young adult (Arnone *et al.*, 2008; Allin *et al.*, 2009) and adolescent (Ashtari *et al.*, 2009; Bava *et al.*, 2009; Yücel *et al.*, 2011) cannabis users, as well as adolescents with substance use disorders (primarily cannabis) (Thatcher *et al.*, 2010). Abnormalities in this latter study were greater in females than in males. The results from these studies overall suggest that cannabis use, particularly during adolescence, may affect the trajectory of normal brain maturation resulting in white matter aberrations, which may underlie compromised cognitive processing and may even underpin the propensity for cannabis to cause psychosis (Allin *et al.*, 2009; Solowij *et al.*, 2011).

Interestingly, Jacobus *et al.* (2009) reported greater white matter integrity alterations in several brain regions in adolescent binge drinkers than in adolescent heavy cannabis users who were also binge drinkers. The latter group differed from controls in three regions, while alcohol only users differed in eight regions. The data suggest subtle white-matter-tissue microstructural abnormalities reflecting poor tract coherence and organization, but not tissue loss or demyelination, and imply interactive effects of cannabis and alcohol or a possible neuroprotective role of cannabis in binge drinking. In a further investigation of cognitive function in relation to white matter integrity, these same authors found that reduced white matter integrity in temporal regions in cannabis and alcohol using

adolescents was associated with poor performance in attention, working memory and speed of processing tasks (Bava *et al.*, 2010). Higher integrity of white matter fiber tracts in the cannabis users relative to controls (interpreted as a neurodevelopmental compensatory mechanism in response to exposure to cannabis) was associated with better performance, except for in one anterior region where higher integrity was associated with poorer contextual verbal memory performance. The interactive effects of cannabis and alcohol should be further investigated, particularly as they are frequently used together by adolescents.

Altered cortical gyrification in the frontal lobe and abnormal age-related changes to gyrification and cortical thickness have also recently been reported in adolescent and young adult users (Mata *et al.*, 2010). Cannabis users showed bilaterally decreased concavity of the sulci (i.e. greater flattening) in frontal, temporal and parietal lobes, and thinner sulci in the right frontal lobe, in the absence of global brain structural differences between users and controls. Abnormal cortical gyrification may reflect abnormal neurodevelopment or neurodegeneration. A lack of normal association between these measures and increasing age in the cannabis users, together with a lack of observed associations with specific cannabis-use parameters led the authors to speculate that cannabis use during adolescence or young adulthood might prematurely alter cortical gyrification toward patterns usually seen at a later age.

Further specific investigations of brain structure and function are clearly warranted in adolescent cannabis-using samples to verify whether cannabis has specific and/or more detrimental effects than in adult users; whether there are age-of-onset-dependent and gender effects; and whether there is a progression of brain morphological abnormalities with continued cannabis use, or reversal with abstinence.

Patients with psychosis

Since brain structural changes are evident in patients with schizophrenia, and there is mounting evidence for similar changes in association with heavy cannabis use, it is possible that cannabis may exert greater adverse effects on brain morphology when the brain is already compromised. Indeed, this is most likely to occur in brain regions known to be altered in both heavy cannabis users and patients with schizophrenia (e.g., hippocampus). In line with this, a number of recent studies have investigated brain morphology in patients with

schizophrenia or early psychosis and comorbid cannabis use.

No differences in brain structure between patients with established schizophrenia who did and did not use cannabis were reported by Cahn *et al.* (2004), while Potvin *et al.* (2007) found increased striatal grey matter densities in schizophrenia patients with comorbid substance-use disorders (primarily cannabis). In first-episode psychosis patients who use cannabis, decreased grey matter volumes of the anterior cingulate (Szeszko *et al.*, 2007), right posterior cingulate cortex and left hippocampus (Bangalore *et al.*, 2008) were reported relative to their non-using counterparts and to healthy controls. Trends toward smaller left and right cerebellar volumes were also apparent (Bangalore *et al.*, 2008). Rais and colleagues (2008) reported greater lateral and third ventricle enlargements and more pronounced total cerebral grey matter volume reduction over 5 years in first-episode schizophrenia patients who used cannabis compared with those who did not, as well as in comparison with healthy controls (2.67% and 5.09% reduction, respectively). The results were suggested to explain some of the detrimental effects of cannabis use in patients with schizophrenia. We have recently reported hippocampal shape alteration (Solowij *et al.*, 2010) and an almost 30% loss of cerebellar white matter relative to healthy controls in patients with schizophrenia and extensive cannabis use histories (Solowij *et al.*, 2011). Finally, Dekker *et al.* (2010) found that the age of onset of cannabis use (before age 15 years versus age 17 years or later) had no bearing on white matter integrity of the corpus callosum in a sample of young adults with recent onset schizophrenia, while cannabis-naïve patients showed greater abnormalities. These results support the notion that cannabis-using patients may represent a group who developed psychosis in part at least as a consequence of their cannabis use (Dekker *et al.*, 2010; Yücel *et al.*, 2010). Clearly, the impact of cannabis use on brain function and structure in schizophrenia also warrants further investigation.

What might be the implications of structural brain changes in cannabis users?

It is often assumed that alterations in the morphology of the brain may underlie impaired cognition and may indicate neural substrates of risk for the development

of psychosis, but there are limited data to support these notions. The interrelationships between cognition and psychopathology, and indeed between brain structure and function, are complex.

Few structural brain imaging studies of cannabis users have specifically examined the relationship between brain volumes and cognitive performance measures and most of those that did found no associations (Tzilos *et al.*, 2005; Jager *et al.*, 2007; Medina *et al.*, 2007b; Yücel *et al.*, 2008) or isolated relationships (Medina *et al.*, 2007a; Solowij *et al.*, 2008). An exception to this was the finding of poor white-matter structural integrity being related to poorer cognitive performance in cannabis and alcohol-using adolescents (Bava *et al.*, 2010). The lack of association in most studies might be interpreted as aberrant associations between brain structure and function, as discussed elsewhere (Solowij *et al.*, 2009).

The growing literature reporting an association between cannabis use and the development of psychopathology, including both psychotic and depressive symptoms, has searched for mediators of risk such as genes (eg. *COMT*; Caspi *et al.*, 2005), but associations between the development of psychotic or depressive symptoms and brain changes in cannabis users have not been rigorously investigated. We reported an association between smaller left hippocampal volume in cannabis users and subclinical positive psychotic symptoms as measured by the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS) (Yücel *et al.*, 2008). Positive symptom scores were also correlated with cumulative cannabis exposure. The cannabis users in our sample were carefully screened for DSM-IV Psychotic Disorders, had never been diagnosed with Major Depressive Disorder and had never sought treatment for any psychological disorders. Yet the majority of the sample endorsed beliefs (scores on the SAPS ranged from Questionable to Mild) concerning ideas of persecution, reference, mind reading, sin and/or guilt, while some displayed bizarre clothing/appearance or reported bizarre social/sexual behavior. Smaller left hippocampal volume was also significantly correlated with higher scores on the paranoid subscale of the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI) (unpublished data). Negative symptoms were elevated in the cannabis users but were unrelated to hippocampal volumes. Depressive symptoms, which were also elevated, did not correlate with volumetric measures of any brain region, and the relationship between left hippocampal volume and cumulative exposure to cannabis remained significant

after controlling for depressive symptoms. An association between depression and hippocampal volume is seen in the more persistent forms of Major Depressive Disorder (eg. MacQueen *et al.*, 2005; Lorenzetti *et al.*, 2009), which does not apply to our sample. One other study has reported an association between overall brain white-matter volume and depressive symptoms in adolescent/young adult cannabis users without diagnosable mood disorders (Medina *et al.*, 2007b).

Subclinical positive psychotic symptom scores in the heavy cannabis users of our sample correlated with spatial span errors, but no other associations between cognitive measures and symptoms were observed (Solowij *et al.*, 2008). Skosnik and colleagues (2001, 2006, 2008) have found associations between cognitive (eg. poor negative priming) and psychophysiological measures (eg. P300 to affective stimuli; 20 Hz neural synchrony), and higher scores on the Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire, on which cannabis users generally obtained high positive-syndrome scores.

Acute administration of THC to healthy volunteers and patients with schizophrenia induces both cognitive impairment and transient positive and negative symptoms (Chapter 18; D'Souza *et al.*, 2004, 2005; Koethe *et al.*, 2006), and sensitivity to the psychosis-inducing and cognitive-impairing effects of cannabis may be genetically mediated (Chapter 12, Henquet *et al.*, 2006). In patients with schizophrenia, associations between positive psychotic symptoms and memory deficits, and volumetric measures of the hippocampus, the superior temporal gyrus, and the temporal lobe in general, have been demonstrated, as well as between negative symptoms, executive function and prefrontal cortical measures (Antonova *et al.*, 2005; Gur *et al.*, 2007; Nestor *et al.*, 2007; Hurlmann *et al.*, 2008) and in particular, in relation to white matter structural integrity (Szeszko *et al.*, 2008). This suggests that further investigation of brain structural changes in cannabis users, in relation to symptoms and cognition, is warranted.

A crucial question is the extent to which inter-related structural-functional aberrations involving the hippocampus, prefrontal regions or indeed other brain structures in cannabis users, might reflect a vulnerability to schizophrenia. Our own findings suggest that long-term exposure to cannabis constitutes a vulnerability to psychopathology by disrupting the structural integrity of brain regions that are also involved in psychotic (and affective) disorders. We propose that long-term heavy cannabis use leads to structural brain changes and associated deleterious functional

(cognitive and mental health) sequelae that resemble aspects of schizophrenia. These changes may occur not only in individuals who are vulnerable to the development of such disorders, but also in nonvulnerable individuals if cannabis is used heavily for prolonged periods or commences during crucial neurodevelopment periods such as early adolescence.

Conclusions

Strong evidence for cumulative, sometimes dose-related, neuronal damage or microstructural alterations following chronic exposure to cannabinoids (largely THC) comes from the animal literature. While previous research failed to identify structural brain abnormalities in human cannabis users, more recent studies using high-resolution imaging techniques, combined with more robust delineations of specific brain regions in very heavy cannabis users, have revealed evidence of dose-related alterations, mostly in the hippocampal and parahippocampal regions. Our own findings of significant hippocampal and amygdala volume loss in cannabis users suggest potential toxicity due to cumulative exposure to large doses of cannabis over many years. However, the structural neuroimaging studies of cannabis users have so far focused on a narrow range of brain regions. Cannabis use, particularly during early adolescence, may affect the morphology of other cortical (eg. PFC) and subcortical (eg. striatum) brain areas, where cannabinoid receptors are heavily concentrated. Hippocampal changes accord with hippocampal functional alterations in functional imaging studies, which together with evidence of aberrations from spectroscopic and DTI studies, implicate the PFC. Evidence for damage to white-matter integrity in cannabis users implicates neural circuitry across multiple regions. Differences in the methods of measurement used and the brain regions investigated and small sample sizes of varying age and exposure to cannabis, may have contributed to the heterogeneity of findings across human studies overall.

While the evidence is only beginning to accumulate from a small number of studies that have used rigorous methods to investigate structural brain alterations in cannabis users, it seems that long-term heavy cannabis use can result in brain pathophysiological and functional changes that resemble aspects of schizophrenia. The data suggest that such alterations are likely to occur when cannabis is used very heavily over a prolonged period and typically involve medial

temporal lobe structures. The cumulative evidence for neurocognitive dysfunction similar to that seen in schizophrenia and the development of subclinical psychotic symptoms in cannabis users, combines with the limited data from structural neuroimaging studies to support our proposition that chronic cannabis use may result in schizophrenia-like changes in brain structure and function. This is further supported by evidence that long-term exposure to cannabis may result in lasting dysfunction of the endogenous cannabinoid system, as well as alterations in the functionality of a number of neurotransmitter systems – changes that resemble schizophrenia-like conditions in the brain (see Solowij *et al.*, 2009). There may be multiple moderators or mediators of adverse sequelae from long-term heavy cannabis use, including genetic variation, gender, environmental factors and early neurodevelopmental insults and stress, that interact with cumulative exposure to high-dose cannabis use to produce schizophrenia-like sequelae. A crucial factor is in determining the parameters of cannabis use that lead to these structural and functional alterations in individuals who are, compared with those who are not, at high risk for the development of neuropsychiatric disorders, at various neurodevelopmental periods, and identifying the protective mechanisms that prevent the onset of such potentially devastating disorders.

Acknowledgements

This review was supported by funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NH&MRC) (Project Grants 459111 and 514604) (Solowij, Yücel, Lubman). Portions of the original research described here were supported by grants from the Clive and Vera Ramaciotti Foundation, the Schizophrenia Research Institute using infrastructure funding from NSW Health, and the University of Wollongong (Dr Solowij). Murat Yücel is supported by a NH&MRC Clinical Career Development Award (509345). Valentina Lorenzetti is supported by the Endeavour International Postgraduate Research Scholarship (IPRS) and the Melbourne International Research Scholarship (MIRS).

References

- Allin, M., Khan, O., Walshe, M., *et al.* (2009) Cannabis smoking and white matter in healthy volunteers. *Schizophr Bull*, 35:201.
- Amal, H., Fridman-Rozevich, L., Senn, R., *et al.* (2010) Long-term consequences of a single treatment of mice with an ultra-low dose of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). *Behav Brain Res*, 206:245–53.
- Antonova, E., Kumari, V., Morris, R., *et al.* (2005) The relationship of structural alterations to cognitive deficits in schizophrenia: a voxel-based morphometry study. *Biol Psychiatry*, 58:457–67.
- Angelucci, F., Ricci, V., Spalletta, G., *et al.* (2008) Reduced serum concentrations of nerve growth factor, but not brain-derived neurotrophic factor, in chronic cannabis abusers. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*, 18:882–7.
- Arnone, D., Barrick, T.R., Chengappa, S., *et al.* (2008) Corpus callosum damage in heavy marijuana use: Preliminary evidence from diffusion tensor tractography and tract-based spatial statistics. *Neuroimage*, 41:1067–74.
- Ashtari, M., Cervellione, K., Cottone, J., *et al.* (2009) Diffusion abnormalities in adolescents and young adults with a history of heavy cannabis use. *J Psychiatr Res*, 43:189–204.
- Bangalore, S.S., Prasad, K.M., Montrose, D.M., *et al.* (2008) Cannabis use and brain structural alterations in first episode schizophrenia – a region of interest, voxel based morphometric study. *Schizophr Res*, 99:1–6.
- Bava, S., Frank, L.R., McQueeney, T., *et al.* (2009) Altered white matter microstructure in adolescent substance users. *Psychiatry Res*, 173:228–37.
- Bava, S., Jacobus, J., Mahmood, O., *et al.* (2010) Neurocognitive correlates of white matter quality in adolescent substance users. *Brain Cogn*, 72:347–54.
- Block, R.I., O'Leary, D.S., Ehrhardt, J.C., *et al.* (2000) Effects of frequent marijuana use on brain tissue volume and composition. *Neuroreport*, 11:491–6.
- Cahn, W., Hulshoff Pol, H.E., Caspers, E., *et al.* (2004) Cannabis and brain morphology in recent-onset schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res*, 67:305–7.
- Campbell, A.M.G., Evans, M., Thomson, J.L.G., *et al.* (1971) Cerebral atrophy in young cannabis smokers. *Lancet*, 298:1219–24.
- Campbell, V.A. (2001) Tetrahydrocannabinol-induced apoptosis of cultured cortical neurones is associated with cytochrome c release and caspase-3 activation. *Neuropharmacology*, 40:702–9.
- Caspi, A., Moffitt, T.E., Cannon, M., *et al.* (2005) Moderation of the effect of adolescent onset cannabis use on adult psychosis by a functional polymorphism in the catechol-O-methyltransferase gene: longitudinal evidence of a gene x environment interaction. *Biol Psychiatry*, 57:1117–27.
- Chan, G.C., Hinds, T.R., Impey, S., *et al.* (1998) Hippocampal neurotoxicity of Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol. *J Neurosci*, 18:5322–32.

- Co, B.T., Goodwin, D.W., Gado, M., *et al.* (1977) Absence of cerebral atrophy in chronic cannabis users: Evaluation by computerized transaxial tomography. *J Am Med Assoc*, **237**:1229–30.
- Dalton, V.S. and Zavitsanou, K. (2010) Cannabinoid effects on CB1 receptor density in the adolescent brain: an autoradiographic study using the synthetic cannabinoid HU210. *Synapse*, DOI:10.1002/Syn.20801.
- Dekker, N., Schmitz, N., Peters, B.D., *et al.* (2010) Cannabis use and callosal white matter structure and integrity in recent-onset schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res*, **181**:51–6.
- DeLisi, L.E. (2008) The effect of cannabis on the brain: can it cause brain anomalies that lead to increased risk for schizophrenia? *Curr Opin Psychiatry*, **21**:140–50.
- DeLisi, L.E., Bertisch, H.C., Szulc, K.U., *et al.* (2006) A preliminary DTI study showing no brain structural change associated with adolescent cannabis use. *Harm Reduct J*, **3**:17.
- Downer, E., Boland, B., Fogarty, M., *et al.* (2001) Delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol induces the apoptotic pathway in cultured cortical neurones via activation of the CB1 receptor. *Neuroreport*, **12**:3973–8.
- Downer, E.J. and Campbell, V.A. (2010) Phytocannabinoids, CNS cells and development: A dead issue? *Drug Alcohol Rev*, **29**:91–8.
- D'Souza, D.C., Abi-Saab, W.M., Madonick, S., *et al.* (2005) Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol effects in schizophrenia: implications for cognition, psychosis, and addiction. *Biol Psychiatry*, **57**:594–608.
- D'Souza, D.C., Perry, E., MacDougall, L., *et al.* (2004) The psychotomimetic effects of intravenous delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy individuals: implications for psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **29**:1558–72.
- D'Souza, D.C., Pittman, B., Perry, E., *et al.* (2009) Preliminary evidence of cannabinoid effects on brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels in humans. *Psychopharmacology*, **202**:569–78.
- Egerton, A., Allison, C., Brett, R.R., *et al.* (2006) Cannabinoids and prefrontal cortical function: Insights from preclinical studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, **30**:680–95.
- Ellgren, M., Artmann, A., Tkalych, O., *et al.* (2008) Dynamic changes of the endogenous cannabinoid and opioid mesocorticolimbic systems during adolescence: THC effects. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*, **18**:826–34.
- Gruber, S.A. and Yurgelun-Todd, D.A. (2005) Neuroimaging of marijuana smokers during inhibitory processing: a pilot investigation. *Cogn Brain Res*, **23**:107–18.
- Gur, R.E., Keshavan, M.S. and Lawrie, S.M. (2007) Deconstructing psychosis with human brain imaging. *Schizophr Bull*, **33**:921–31.
- Hannerz, J. and Hindmarsh, T. (1983) Neurological and neuroradiological examination of chronic cannabis smokers. *Ann Neurol*, **13**:207–10.
- Harkany, T., Keimpema, E., Barabás, K., *et al.* (2008) Endocannabinoid functions controlling neuronal specification during brain development. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*, **286**:S84–90.
- Harper, J.W., Heath, R.G. and Myers, W.A. (1977) Effects of *Cannabis sativa* on ultrastructure of the synapse in monkey brain. *J Neurosci Res*, **3**:87–93.
- Heath, R.G., Fitzjarrell, A.T., Fontana, C.J., *et al.* (1980) *Cannabis sativa*: effects on brain function and ultrastructure in rhesus monkeys. *Biol Psychiatry*, **15**:657–90.
- Henquet, C., Rosa, A., Krabbendam, L., *et al.* (2006) An experimental study of catechol-O-methyltransferase Val158Met moderation of Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol-induced effects on psychosis and cognition. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **31**:2748–57.
- Hermann, D., Sartorius, A., Welzel, H., *et al.* (2007) Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex N-acetylaspartate/total creatine (NAA/tCr) loss in male recreational cannabis users. *Biol Psychiatry*, **61**:1281–89.
- Hurlemann, R., Jessen, F., Wagner, M., *et al.* (2008) Interrelated neuropsychological and anatomical evidence of hippocampal pathology in the at-risk mental state. *Psychol Med*, **38**:843–51.
- Jacobus, J., McQueeney, T., Bava, S., *et al.* (2009) White matter integrity in adolescents with histories of marijuana use and binge drinking. *Neurotoxicol Teratol*, **31**:349–55.
- Jager, G., Van Hell, H.H., De Win, M.M., *et al.* (2007) Effects of frequent cannabis use on hippocampal activity during an associative memory task. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*, **17**:289–97.
- Kano, M., Ohno-Shosaku, T., Hashimoto-dani Y., *et al.* (2009) Endocannabinoid-mediated control of synaptic transmission. *Physiology Rev*, **89**:309–80.
- Koethe, D., Gerth, C.W., Neatby, M.A., *et al.* (2006) Disturbances of visual information processing in early states of psychosis and experimental delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol altered states of consciousness. *Schizophr Res*, **88**:142–50.
- Kuehnle, J., Mendelson, J.H., Davis, K.R., *et al.* (1977) Computed tomographic examination of heavy marijuana smokers. *J Am Med Assoc*, **237**:1231–2.
- Landfield, P.W., Cadwallader, L.B., Vinsant, S. (1988) Quantitative changes in hippocampal structure following long-term exposure to delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol: possible mediation by glucocorticoid systems. *Brain Res*, **443**:47–62.
- Lawston, J., Borella, A., Robinson, J.K., *et al.* (2000) Changes in hippocampal morphology following chronic

- treatment with the synthetic cannabinoid WIN 55,212-2. *Brain Res*, **877**:407–10.
- Lorenzetti, V., Allen, N.B., Fornito, A., *et al.* (2009) Structural brain abnormalities in major depressive disorder: A selective review of recent MRI studies. *J Affect Disord*, **117**:1–17.
- Lorenzetti, V., Lubman, D.I., Whittle, S., *et al.* (2010) Structural MRI findings in cannabis users: what do we know? *Subst Use Misuse*, **45**: 1787–808.
- MacQueen, G.M., Campbell, S., McEwen, B.S., *et al.* (2005) Course of illness, hippocampal function, and hippocampal volume in major depression. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA*, **100**:1387–92.
- Martin-Santos, R., Fagundo, A.B., Crippa, J.A., *et al.* (2010) Neuroimaging in cannabis use: a systematic review of the literature. *Psychol Med*, **40**:383–98.
- Mata, I., Perez-Iglesias, R., Roiz-Santiañez, R., *et al.* (2010) Gyrification brain abnormalities associated with adolescence and early-adulthood cannabis use. *Brain Res*, **1317**:297–304.
- Matochik, J.A., Eldreth, D.A., Cadet, D.A., *et al.* (2005) Altered brain tissue composition in heavy marijuana users. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, **77**:23–30.
- Medina, K.L., Nagel, B.J., Park, A., *et al.* (2007a) Depressive symptoms in adolescents: associations with white matter volume and marijuana use. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*, **48**, 592–600.
- Medina, K.L., Schweinsburg, A.D., Cohen-Zion, M., *et al.* (2007b) Effects of alcohol and combined marijuana and alcohol use during adolescence on hippocampal volume and asymmetry. *Neurotoxicol Teratol*, **29**:141–52.
- Monnet-Tschudi, F., Hazekamp, A., Perret, N., *et al.* (2008) Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol accumulation, metabolism and cell-type-specific adverse effects in aggregating brain cell cultures. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, **228**:8–16.
- Myers, W.A. and Heath, R.G. (1979) *Cannabis sativa*: ultrastructural changes in organelles of neurons in brain septal region of monkeys. *J Neurosci Res*, **4**:9–17.
- Nestor, P.G., Kubicki, M., Kuroki, N., *et al.* (2007) Episodic memory and neuroimaging of hippocampus and fornix in chronic schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res*, **155**:2128.
- Potvin, S., Mancini-Marie, A., Fahim, C., *et al.* (2007) Increased striatal gray matter densities in patients with schizophrenia and substance use disorder: a voxel-based morphometry study. *Psychiatry Res*, **154**:275–9.
- Quinn, H.R., Matsumoto, I., Callaghan, P.D., *et al.* (2008). Adolescent rats find repeated Δ^9 -THC less aversive than adult rats but display greater residual cognitive deficits and changes in hippocampal protein expression following exposure. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **33**:1113–26.
- Rais, M., Cahn, W., Van Haren, N., *et al.* (2008) Excessive brain volume loss over time in cannabis-using first-episode schizophrenia patients. *Am J Psychiatry*, **165**:490–6.
- Realini, N., Rubino, T. and Parolaro, D. (2009) Neurobiological alterations at adult age triggered by adolescent exposure to cannabinoids. *Pharmacol Res*, **60**, 132–8.
- Rubino, T., Realini, N., Braidia, D., *et al.* (2009a) Changes in hippocampal morphology and neuroplasticity induced by adolescent THC treatment are associated with cognitive impairment in adulthood. *Hippocampus*, **19**:763–72.
- Rubino, T., Realini, N., Braidia, D., *et al.* (2009b) The depressive phenotype induced in adult female rats by adolescent exposure to THC is associated with cognitive impairment and altered neuroplasticity in the prefrontal cortex. *Neurotox Res*, **15**:567–607.
- Rubino, T., Viganò, D., Realini, N., *et al.* (2008) Chronic delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol during adolescence provokes sex-dependent changes in the emotional profile in adult rats: behavioral and biochemical correlates. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **33**:2760–71.
- Sarne, Y. and Keren, O. (2004) Are cannabinoid drugs neurotoxic or neuroprotective? *Med Hypotheses*, **63**:187–92.
- Sarne, Y. and Mechoulam, R. (2005) Cannabinoids: between neuroprotection and neurotoxicity. *Curr Drug Targets CNS Neurol Disord*, **4**:677–84.
- Scallet, A.C., Uemura, E., Andrews, A., *et al.* (1987) Morphometric studies of the rat hippocampus following chronic delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). *Brain Res*, **436**:193–8.
- Schneider, M. (2008) Puberty as a highly vulnerable developmental period for the consequences of cannabis exposure. *Addict Biol*, **13**:253–63.
- Skosnik, P.D., Grishnan, G.P. and Aydt, E.E. (2006) Psychophysiological evidence of altered neural synchronization in cannabis use: relationship to schizotypy. *Am J Psychiatry*, **163**:1798–805.
- Skosnik, P.D., Park, S., Dobbs, L., *et al.* (2008) Affect processing and positive syndrome schizotypy in cannabis users. *Psychiatry Res*, **157**:279–82.
- Skosnik, P.D., Spatz-Glenn, L. and Park, S. (2001) Cannabis use is associated with schizotypy and attentional disinhibition. *Schizophr Res*, **48**:83–92.
- Solowij, N., Yücel, M., Lorenzetti, V., *et al.* (2009) Structural brain alterations in cannabis users: Association with cognitive deficits and psychiatric symptoms. In Ritsner, M.S. (Ed) *The Handbook of Neuropsychiatric Biomarkers, Endophenotypes, and Genes. Vol. II Neuroanatomical and Neuroimaging Endophenotypes and Biomarkers*, (pp. 215–225). Dordrecht: Springer.

- Solowij, N., Respondek, C., Battisti, R., *et al.* (2008) Visuospatial memory deficits in long term heavy cannabis users: relation to psychotic symptoms and regional brain volumes. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*, **11**:242.
- Solowij, N., Walterfang, M., Respondek, C., *et al.* (2010) Alterations of hippocampal shape in cannabis users with and without schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res*, **117**:426.
- Solowij, N., Yücel, M., Respondek, C., *et al.* (2011) Cerebellar white matter changes in cannabis users with and without schizophrenia. *Psychol Med*. DOI: 10.1017/S003329171100050X.
- Stefanis, C. (1976) Biological aspects of cannabis use. In Petersen, R.C., ed. *The International Challenge of Drug Abuse*, 149–178. Rockville, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse.
- Szeszko, P.R., Robinson, D.G., Sevy, S., *et al.* (2007) Anterior cingulate grey-matter deficits and cannabis use in first-episode schizophrenia. *Brit J Psychiatry*, **190**:230–6.
- Szeszko, P.R., Robinson, D.G., Ashtari, M., *et al.* (2008) Clinical and neuropsychological correlates of white matter abnormalities in recent onset schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **33**:976–84.
- Thatcher, D.L., Pajtek, S., Chung, T., *et al.* (2010) Gender differences in the relationship between white matter organization and adolescent substance use disorders. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, **110**:55–61.
- Tselnicker, I., Keren, O., Hefetz, A., *et al.* (2007) A single low dose of tetrahydrocannabinol induces long-term cognitive deficits. *Neurosci Lett*, **411**:108–11.
- Tzilos, G.K., Cintron, C.B., Wood, J.B., *et al.* (2005) Lack of hippocampal volume change in long-term heavy cannabis users. *Am J Addict*, **14**:64–72.
- Villares, J. (2007) Chronic use of marijuana decreases cannabinoid receptor binding and mRNA expression in the human brain. *Neuroscience*, **145**:323–34.
- Wilson, W., Mathew, R., Turkington, T., *et al.* (2000) Brain morphological changes and early marijuana use: a magnetic resonance and positron emission tomography study. *J Addict Dis*, **19**:1–22.
- Yücel, M., Bora, E., Lubman, D.I., *et al.* (2010) The impact of cannabis use on cognitive functioning in patients with schizophrenia: A meta-analysis of existing findings and new data in a first-episode sample. *Schizophr Bull*, DOI:10.1093/schbul/sbq079.
- Yücel, M., Solowij, N., Respondek, C., *et al.* (2008) Regional brain abnormalities associated with long-term heavy cannabis use. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, **65**:694–701.
- Yücel, M., Zalesky, A., Takagi, M.J., *et al.* (2010) Age-related white-matter abnormalities in long-term inhalant misusing adolescents: a diffusion MRI comparison with cannabis users and drug-naïve controls. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*, **35**:409–12.
- Zavitsanou, K., Wang, H., Dalton, V.S., *et al.* (2010) Cannabinoid administration increases 5HT1 receptor binding and mRNA expression in the hippocampus of adult but not adolescent rats. *Neuroscience*, **169**:315–24.