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THE METAPHOR

How do you get a date with a discipline specialist?

• Making contact
• Arranging a time
• Designing a support plan/framework
• Gathering resources
• Evaluating the work
• Following up

How do we build embedded relationships?
Can we ever get the George Clooney of embedding relationships?

Embedded support is provided by **working with discipline staff** but **not** in the actual delivery ...

(Briguglio 2014)

Six elements for successful inter-disciplinary collaboration.

- interdependence
- newly-created professional activities
- flexibility
- collective ownership of goals
- reflection on process
- personal characteristics
“Part of the success is due to the LA’s personal characteristics; her focus, her commitment, her flexibility, and part of it is her capacity to meld with the lecture, one colleague stressed. Another lecturer, who has been involved throughout the three semesters, stressed the importance of the LA’s — adaptability and her preparedness to come to grips [with new material] and do whatever fits the group” (Harris and Aston 2011, p.79).

Long term relationship with view to embedding...

• Two heads as one in the Cloud (Deakin LMS) ...
• Coffee dates to scaffold learning...
• Longs walks on evaluation processes...
• Skyping and sharing pedagogical moments...
OUR CASE STUDY

BACKGROUND

Context
- New to LLA work/Team Leader work
- LIVE (Online) agenda – online support needed
- First request in the new paradigm

Case Study
- Postgraduate coursework
- Online unit
- Human resource management
- Requested ‘report writing’ support
‘IT’S NOT YOU... IT’S...’

Research Aims

To explore the issues that affect ‘relationship building’ through analysis of the DS/LLA negotiation ‘discourse’.
DATA COLLECTION

• Reflection notes
• Transcriptions of 3 meetings with the academic in charge of the unit (approx 2.5 hours of recordings)
• One Team Leader (Vittoria), one LA (Caroline), one academic (Elisabeth)
• Ethics approval sought in accordance with guidelines
Critical discourse analysis

“Research projects in discourse analysis are, therefore, most sensibly defined first in terms of questions about particular forms of social practice, and their relations to social structure;” (Fairclough, 1992, p. 226).
SOCIAL CONTEXT

Modern University

• wider participation
• autonomous
• greater number of knowledge workers
• competitive

The way we (DS, LLA) talk is a result of the way we are institutionally positioned and organised.
‘Relational work.... includes the entire spectrum of the interpersonal side of social practice’ (Locher and Watts 2008, p. 78)
Asymmetrical interactions = unequal power relationships

Do we have the George Clooney or the George Costanza?
Academic Language and Learning Lecturers (ALLLs) are charged with working alongside discipline lecturers (DLs) to embed academic language and learning within the curriculum" (Macdonald, Schneider & Kett 2013, p A-11).

Our work requires complex negotiation and relational building to:

- get the work
- do the work
- maintain and develop the work

Is our talk the work?
WHO IS WHO?

Listen to some examples from the data (transcribed interviews) and decide who is DS and LA.

• What do you notice about the ‘talk’?
• How does it reflect/differ from your own experiences?
HOW DO WE TALK?...

Micro features

1. floor /turn-taking, topic sharing (keep the turns going, truncated turns, length of turns)
2. tentative about suggestions, downplay our own ideas (hedging, modals)
3. avoid disagreements (agreement markers)
4. downplay criticisms
IMPLICATIONS

Our ‘dates’ are often one offs/flings/fleeting moments…

We are evaluated on both getting the work, negotiating the outcomes as well as doing and maintaining/building on the work…

Hard skills are not always at the forefront…

The ‘talk’ is the work

How do we maintain our own professional identities in the interactions?
... what people do in institutional encounters is produced, overall, as a result of this interplay between their interactional and discursive role and their institutional identity and status” (Thornborrow, 2002, p. 5)
FUTURE DIRECTIONS: MODELS

Strategic management

Duke (cited in Brunken and Delly, 2009, p. 19) argues that universities must

“learn to change and to do new things in new ways” and we were faced with the prospect of looking at our ‘traditional’ modes of delivery and changing them to meet the changing environment.
Talk is socially constructed practice. We wanted to explore how these interactions evolve over time, what sort of interactions we end up having. At what point supporting students at this level becomes and sounds like a shared goal not a sales pitch? What changes are necessary to make this happen?
Both Georges are out there; but sometimes Clooney comes along and offers you a place in their room and their Cloud. This is manifested in the ‘talk’.

What did George Clooney look like?

• An natural expectation that we were part of planning and delivery.

• A place embedded into the weekly resources in the Cloud (LMS)-not as an adjunct Study Support folder.

• Requests for feedback on how the students and the course is going.

Here we are
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