2017

Developing novel spinning methods to fabricate continuous multifunctional fibres for bioapplications

Azadeh Mirabedini
University of Wollongong

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses1>

University of Wollongong

Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material.

Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Recommended Citation

Mirabedini, Azadeh, Developing novel spinning methods to fabricate continuous multifunctional fibres for bioapplications, Doctor of Philosophy thesis, Intelligent Polymer Research Institute, University of Wollongong, 2017. <https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses1/6>

Developing Novel Spinning Methods to Fabricate Continuous Multifunctional Fibres for Bioapplications

**A thesis submitted in the fulfilment of the
Requirements for the Award of the Degree of**

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

From

**UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA**

By

**AZADEH MIRABEDINI
(BSc and MSc Polymer Engineering)**

Intelligent Polymer Research Institute

February 2017

This work is dedicated to my dearest husband and daughter, Mr. Saber Mostafavian and Ms. Baran Mostafavian and my parents, Mrs. Heshmat Mirabedini and Mr. Hassan Mirabedini for their endless encouragement, love and support.

DECLARATION

I, *Azadeh Mirabedini*, declare that this thesis, submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Australian Institute for Innovative Materials (AIIM), University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

Azadeh Mirabedini

July, 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisors Prof. Gordon Wallace and Dr. Foroughi for supervision throughout this work. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Gordon for establishing such a remarkable research facility which enabled me to become acquainted with the advanced fabrication and characterisation techniques which gave me the opportunity to grow. And my special regards go to Javad who was more than a supervisor for me, a real mentor and above all a good friend. He guided, encouraged and supported me throughout my PhD course.

I'm grateful to Prof. Geoffrey Spinks for providing the background I lacked at different stages of the project as well as correction of my paper. I would like to thank Mr. Tony Romeo and Dr. Mitchell Nancarrow at Electron Microscopy Centre for their technical assistance with SEM and optical microscopes. Also thanks to Dr. Anita Quigley, Dr. Brianna Thompson and Mr. Rodrigo Lozano for their collaboration in cell culture studies. Technical advice from Dr. Rouhollah Ali Jalili as well as provision of graphene oxide dispersion is gratefully acknowledged. I highly appreciate Dr. Seyed Hamed Aboutalebi, Mr. Sepehr Talebian and Mr. Ali Jeirani for their occasional help and advices during my PhD. I would like to appreciate Dr. Holly Warren for her training on working with impedance and electrical conductivity measurement.

Thanks to all my colleagues and friends in AIIM, who are still here or left for new adventures including Hamed, Stefan, Aynaz, Sina J., Sepehr, Fahimeh, Dharshika, Shazed, Joseph, Rodrigo, Phil, Willo, Dorna, Leo, Mohammad Javad, Danial, Sina N., Alex, Mark, Maryam and Benny who made my PhD journey much more enjoyable.

There is a quote that says: “Family is not an important thing; it’s everything!” There would be no truer words to describe my opinion. I’m very lucky to have a loving and supporting family to give me enough strength to continue the challenging task of doing a PhD. Thank you for being who you are. To my wonderful partner, soul mate and best friend, Saber; I could not reach many of my ambitions successfully without your loving heart, caring nature and absolute support. Thank you for making my world an even more beautiful place. To my beautiful and adorable little angel, Baran; you made my heart full of hope and joy with your comforting look, generous smile and sweet words from the very first day you entered my life.

To my parents, Heshmat and Hassan; I cannot thank you enough for everything you have done for me; you have always believed in me, taught me the importance of having integrity, dignified life, work ethic and self-respect and dedicated all the past years to me, my happiness and goals and my brother Nima, my very first best friend, for your continuous love, kindness and backing. Thank you all for everything that I am.

PUBLICATIONS

1. Javad Foroughi, Geoffrey M. Spinks, Azadeh Mirabedini, Shazed Aziz and Ray H. Baughman, “Knitted Carbon-Nanotube-Sheath/Spandex-Core Elastomeric Yarns for Artificial Muscles and Strain Sensing, **Submitted**
2. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, Gordon G. Wallace, “Developments in Conducting Polymer Fibres: From Established Spinning Methods toward Advanced Applications”, **RSC Advances 2016**, 6(50), 44687-44716.
3. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, Brianna Thompson, Gordon G. Wallace, “Fabrication of Coaxial Wet-Spun Graphene–Chitosan Biofibers**”, **Advanced Engineering Materials 2015**, 18(2), 284 –293.
4. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, Tony Romeo, Gordon G. Wallace, “Development and Characterisation of Novel Hybrid Hydrogel Fibres”, **Macromolecular Materials Engineering 2015**, 300 (12), 1217–1225.
5. Javad Foroughi, Geoffrey M. Spinks, Dennis Antiohos, Azadehsadat Mirabedini, Sanjeev Gambhir, Gordon G. Wallace, Shaban R. Ghorbani, Germanas Peleckis, Mikhail E. Kozlov, Marcio D. Lima, and Ray H. Baughman, Highly Conductive Carbon Nanotube-Graphene Hybrid Yarn, **Advanced Functional Materials 2015**, 24 (37), 5859-5865.

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

1. Javad Foroughi, Azadeh Mirabedini, Carbon Nanotube Yarn as Novel Artificial Muscles, 4th Nano Today Conference, 6-10th December 2015, Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

2. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, Gordon Wallace, Investigation of Electrochemical Actuation Properties of Chitosan-based Novel Microstructures, Asian Textile Conference (ATC-13), 3-6th Nov. 2015, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia.
3. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, Gordon Wallace, Fabrication Of Multifunctional Coaxial Fibres For Bioapplications, Diamond and Carbon Materials (DIAM), 6-10th September 2015, Bad Hamburg, Germany.
4. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, Gordon Wallace, Walking on a thin edge, Coaxial/ triaxial spinning is a high wire act, 12-15th July 2015, Gold-coast, Australia.
5. Azadeh Mirabedini, Shazed Aziz, Rodrigo Lozano, Javad Foroughi, Gordon G. Wallace, 10th Annual International Electromaterials Science Symposium, Hydrogel-based Twisted Fibre Actuators; looking into Physicochemical/biological properties 12-14th February 2014, University of Wollongong, Australia.
6. Javad Foroughi, Azadeh Mirabedini, Novel biopolymer fibres for biomedical application, New Zealand Controlled Release Society (NZCRS) Workshop, 22-24th November 2014, University of Auckland, New Zealand.
7. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, Gordon Wallace, ANN Early Career Workshop (ACR), A Novel method for fabrication of wet-spun conductive multifunctional coaxial fibres, 10-11th July 2014, University of Technology Sydney(UTS), Australia.
8. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, Gordon G. Wallace, “Workshop on Materials for Drug Delivery”, 11th February 2014 University of Wollongong, Australia.

9. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, Brianna Thompson, Sina Jamali, Gordon Wallace, Multifunctional coaxial electroactive fibres, 9th Annual International Electromaterials Science Symposium, 12-14th February 2014, University of Wollongong, Australia.
10. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, and Gordon G. Wallace, A Novel Wet-spinning Method to Produce Triaxial Fibres, ACES Full Centre Meeting & Ethics Workshop Program, 11-12th June 2013, Hobart, Australia.
11. Azadeh Mirabedini, Javad Foroughi, Gordon Wallace. Novel Approach To Produce Core-Sheath Biopolymers Fibres, 8th Annual International ARC Centre of Excellence for Electromaterials Science (ACES) Symposium, 13-15th February 2013, University of Wollongong, Australia.

MANUSCRIPTS IN PROGRESS

1. Azadeh Mirabedini, Shazed Aziz, Rodrigo Lozano, Javad Foroughi, Geoffrey Spinks, Gordon Wallace, Bioinspired Moisture-Activated Torsional Muscles from Coiled Chitosan Fibres.
2. Azadeh Mirabedini, Holly Warren, Javad Foroughi, Gordon Wallace, Development of One-dimensional Fibre Bio-batteries.
3. Azadeh Mirabedini, Nicholas Apollo, Javad Foroughi, David J. Garrett, Gordon G. Wallace, Novel Twisted Core-sheath Yarns as Deep Brain Stimulation Electrodes.

ABSTRACT

Developmental work in the field of multifunctional hybrid fibres has revealed a number of characteristics that promise great benefits to their possible use in a broad range of devices and applications including tissue scaffolds and implantable electrodes as well as the accessory energy storage devices necessary to provide power to implantable devices and smart garments. There has, therefore, been much interest and many attempts to produce lightweight, foldable and electroactive multiaxial fibres. The main aim of this thesis is to establish a wet-spinning process to develop three-dimensional coaxial and triaxial electroactive fibres. Using a coaxial wet-spinning method that takes advantage of the electroactivity inherent in a conductive core, we also aim to improve the fibres' mechanical, biocompatibility and cell adhesion properties by using an appropriate biomaterial for the surrounding sheath, opening up the possibility for its use in many biomedical applications. Although some success has been achieved *via* the use of either electrospinning or coating approaches, only a few studies have reported the successful fabrication of either coaxial or triaxial fibres *via* novel application of wet-spinning methodology to the best knowledge of the author. This poor rate of success may be due to the complexity of this method in terms of the number of concurrent solution and processing parameters that need to be optimised and controlled in order to achieve the successful (and consistent) formation of a core-sheath structure in a coagulation bath. Wet-spinning has the advantage that it produces individual, collectable fibres that can be drawn out and tested for their mechanical properties. Electrospinning can produce extremely fine fibres in the form of a non-woven mat; however, the mechanical testing of individual fibres is not feasible. There have been also reported on difficulties involved with preparation of fibres using already charged polymer

backbones *via* electrospinning wherein a stable jet cannot be achieved and no nanofibres will form, although single droplets may be achieved (electrospray). In addition to this, there is a limitation in choosing the maximum concentration for a given solution by which it could flow. Consequently, the molecular weight and the concentration as long as a solvent with the necessary volatility which can be spun this way, are within a certain range. Thus, although this method shows a lot of promise, these restrictions are placed on the spinnability of certain polymers by solution parameters like viscosity and surface charging.

As a result of this research, the production of continuous core sheath fibres has been achieved using a variety of materials. Hydrogels have been used as the sheath components since they provide mechanical and structural properties that mimic many tissues and their extracellular matrix. Organic conductors such as graphene and intrinsically conducting polymers have been useful in the creation of electrical pathways within the inner core where they are able to provide safe electrical stimulation of the surrounding tissue – enhancing the electro-cellular communication process - whilst also avoiding undesirable chemical reactions and cell damage.

The initial coaxial wet-spinning process has enabled the development of coaxial hydrogel fibres for the first without the use of a template. The conditions necessary to achieve optimal properties in the chitosan-alginate core-sheath fibres, as well as their physical, biocompatibility and release properties are investigated. Subsequently, the incorporation of graphene conductors into the core material was studied in order to optimise the electroactivity of the coaxial fibres. Use of large graphene oxide sheets has enabled the use of a wet-spinning protocol which can produce strong fibres that are easily converted to electrically conducting graphene

fibres *via* treatment with a non-toxic L-Ascorbic acid chemical reducing agent. Further work led to improvements in fibre properties with the substitution of an intrinsically conducting polymer as the core material. Using Chit-PEDOT:PSS fibres as a template, triaxial fibres were fabricated *via* the use of a chemical vapour deposition technique to facilitate the growth of PPy onto the fibre surfaces opening up potential application for their use in biobatteries.

In conclusion, a range of multiaxial fibre types have been developed which show characteristics that lend themselves to potential use in a broad range of applications such as actuators, neural implants, microelectrodes and biomedical devices. The main stated aim of this thesis, to prepare multifunctional hybrid three-dimensional structures, was achieved using a variety of material compositions and properties *via* wet-spinning processing methods. The microfibres produced in this way may find uses in the regeneration of functional tissue scaffolds that mimic muscle fibres, blood vessels or nerve networks.

ABBREVIATIONS

Ag/Ag ⁺	Silver/silver ion reference electrode
Ag/AgCl	Silver/silver chloride reference electrode
aq.	Aqueous
°C	Degree of Celsius
Chit	Chitosan
CV	Cyclic voltammetry
EC	Electrochemical/Electrochemistry
EDS	Energy-dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy
ECM	Extracellular matrix
FepTS	Iron(III) p-toluene sulphonate
g	Gram
GO	Graphene oxide
HMW	high molecular weight
Hz	Hertz (frequency)
hr	Hour
i	Current
ISP	Isopropanol (Propan-2-ol)
L	Litre
LiCl	Lithium Chloride
M	Molar
mA	Milliampere
min	Minute(s)

mL	Millilitre
MMW	Medium molecular weight
NaCl	Sodium chloride
nm	Nanometre
OLED	Organic light-emitting diode
PCL	Polycaprolactone
PEDOT:PSS	Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate
PEG	Polyethylene glycol
PLGA	Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid)
PPy	Polypyrrole
PS	Polystyrene
Pt	Platinum
PTh	Polythiophene
RVC	Reticulated Vitreous Carbon
rpm	Revolutions per minute
s	Second(s)
S	Siemens
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
t	Time
T	Temperature
TB	Toluidine Blue O
TBABF ₄	Tetrabutylammonium bromide
TGA	Thermogravimetric analysis
UV-VIS	Ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometry

V	Potential
V_d	Drawing velocity
V_i	Injection rate (mL hr^{-1})
μ	Micro (prefix)
v	Scan rate
$\dot{\gamma}$	Shear rate (s^{-1})
η	Viscosity ($\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}$)
σ	Conductivity (Scm^{-1})

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Chemical structure of sodium alginate.....	9
Figure 1-2: Chitosan chemical structures.....	11
Figure 1-3: 2D honeycomb crystal lattice of sp^2 bonded carbon with a single atom thickness known as graphene	14
Figure 1-4: Inherently conducting polymer structures represented in their undoped forms	16
Figure 1-5: Polypyrrole polymerisation	24
Figure 1-6: Schematic of electrospinning	28
Figure 1-7: Schematic of melt-spinning.....	31
Figure 1-8: Schematic of a Lab scale wet-spinning line	33
Figure 1-9: A schematic for coaxial electrospinning set up ²⁷⁹	39
Figure 1-10: Schematic for coaxial melt-electrospinning setup ²⁹¹	42
Figure 1-11: Coaxial wet-spinning setup	46
Figure 2-1: A schematic of rotary wet-spinning method	72
Figure 2-2: A schematic of coaxial spinneret	73
Figure 2-3: (a) 25 mm x 10mm pre-drilled brass block, (b) wet fibres inserted upright into the holes and protrude from the brass block to be imaged under LVSEM.....	76
Figure 2-4: Schematic of (a) lateral view and cross-section of triaxial spinneret.....	79
Figure 3-1: Viscosities of spinning solutions of chitosan and sodium alginate.....	91
Figure 3-2: The capability of producing unlimited length of coaxial Chit-Alg (1) ...	92
Figure 3-3: The photographs of scaffold structure woven by coaxial fibres;	93
Figure 3-4: Stereomicroscope images of side view of wet (a) alginate, (b) chitosan, (c) coaxial Chit-Alg (1) and (b) dry Chit-Alg (1) fibre.....	94

Figure 3-5: LV-SEM images of hydrated as-prepared (a) alginate, (b) chitosan and (c) Chit-Alg (1) cross section in SBF, (d) chitosan core arrangement in cross section (e) alginate sheath construction in the cross section	97
Figure 3-6: Stress–strain curves obtained from tensile tests of alginate single and chitosan/alginate coaxial fibres using different CaCl_2 concentrations.....	98
Figure 3-7: Swelling properties of coaxial wet-spun fibres in SBF as a function of the immersion time	100
Figure 3-8: TG curves of alginate, chitosan and coaxial Chit-Alg (1) fibres	101
Figure 3-9: Coaxial chitosan-alginate fibres were analysed for their ability to support primary cell adhesion and growth. Murine (a) and human (b) myoblasts were observed to adhere and spread along the alginate surface of the fibres. (c) Calcein AM staining of human myoblasts revealed that the majority of cells remained viable for at least 7 days in culture and cells appeared to show some alignment with the surface features of the fibres	103
Figure 3-10: Time dependent TB releasing behaviour of chitosan, alginate and	104
Figure 4-1: A schematic of coaxial wet-spinning set up for producing Chit/GO coaxial fibres	118
Figure 4-2: (a) embedded fibres in Epoxy resin at room temperature,	120
Figure 4-3: The viscosity of spinning solution (a) chitosan 3% (w v^{-1}), (b) alginate 3% (w v^{-1}) and GO suspension (0.63 w v^{-1}) as a function of shear rate.....	124
Figure 4-4: Illustrative optical microscopic images of (a) rGO fibre, (b) Coaxial Chit/GO and (c) Alg/GO coaxial fibre in wet-state	126
Figure 4-5: Representative LV-SEM micrographs of (a) hydrated rGO fibres, (b) higher magnification of rGO (c) cross-section of coaxial Chit/GO fibre, higher magnification of Chit/GO interface in coaxial fibres, (e) cross-section of coaxial	

Chit/GO fibre in compositional mode, (f) layered morphology of graphene core with dentate bends in wet-state, (g) cross-section of coaxial Alg/GO fibre and (h) higher magnification of Alg/GO interface in coaxial fibres.....	127
Figure 4-6: Schematic representation of the functional groups on the edge of GO structure.....	129
Figure 4-7: Cross sections of (a) rGO fibre, (b) higher magnification of rGO fibre, (c) coaxial Chit/GO fibre, (d) surface of Chit/GO oxide fibre and (e) tied coaxial fibre and (f) brittle rGO fibre.....	130
Figure 4-8 : FTIR spectra of chitosan and Chit/GO showing the possible chemical reactions between those.....	131
Figure 4-9: Stress-strain curves obtained from uniaxial tensile test on chitosan and coaxial Chit/GO fibres. Inset; Stress-strain curves obtained from uniaxial tensile test on rGO fibres.....	133
Figure 4-10: Water contact angle measurement on suspended fibres.....	135
Figure 4-11: Cyclic voltammograms of (a) rGO and (b) Chit/GO fibres; potential was scanned between -0.8 V and +0.8 (vs. Ag/AgCl) in PBS electrolyte and 1 M aqueous NaCl solution at 50 mVs ⁻¹	137
Figure 4-12: The Cyclic voltammograms of Chit/GO oxide fibres before and after 40 cycles in (a) PBS electrolyte and (b) 1 M aqueous NaCl solution at 50 mVs ⁻¹ ; potential was scanned between -0.4 V and +0.3 (vs. Ag/AgCl)	139
Figure 4-13: Raman spectra from Chit/GO, reduced Chit/GO and rGO fibres	141
Figure 4-14: Cell viability (a) and cell density (b) quantified from images of live/dead stained L-929 cells grown on coaxial (c) and rGO (d) fibres and cell population growing on the underlying microscope slide. Values in (a) and (b) obtained by image analysis represent the average of at least 20 images (900 x 900 μm	

each), or at least 50 mm of fibre length, and error bars show one standard deviation of the mean. Scale bars in (c) and (d) represent 200 μm	143
Figure 4-15: Confocal microscopy image showing cell attachment on the surface of coaxial fibre along its length	144
Figure 4-16: Differentiated neural cell line (PC-12 cells) on (a) coaxial and (b) reduced graphene oxide fibres, showing small degree of differentiation (neurites indicated by arrows).....	145
Figure 5-1: The electrostatic absorption between PEDOT: PSS and chitosan	157
Figure 5-2: Hydrogel sample holder containing 5 channels varying in length between RVC electrodes from 0.5 to 2.5cm (height, 6 mm; channel width, 5 mm).....	160
Figure 5-3: Viscosities of spinning solutions of PEDOT:PSS with and without PEG, chitosan and sodium alginate solutions.....	165
Figure 5-4: Electrical impedance analysis of chitosan hydrogel 3% (w v^{-1});	168
Figure 5-5: Viscosity changes of (a) Alg and (b) chitosan spinning solutions with and without addition of NaCl 1% (w v^{-1}).....	170
Figure 5-6: Stereomicroscope images of (a-c) surface of wet Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres without post-treatment	172
Figure 5-7: Stereomicroscope images of surface and (b) cross-sections of (a), (b) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and (c) and (d) Alg-PEDOT (+PEG), respectively	173
Figure 5-8: LV-SEM images of hydrated cross sections of as-prepared (a) Chit-PEDOT, (b) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and (c) higher magnification of Chit-PEDOT (+PEG)	173
Figure 5-9: LV-SEM images of hydrated cross sections of as-prepared (a) Alg-PEDOT, (b) Alg-PEDOT (+PEG), (c) higher magnification of Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) and (d) re-hydrated Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres	174

Figure 5-10: SEM images of cross sections of as-prepared coaxial fibres of (a) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG), (b) Alg-PEDOT(+PEG) and higher magnifications of (c) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and (d) Alg-PEDOT(+PEG) fibre, surface pattern of (e) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and (f) Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibre, (g) cross section, (h) higher magnification of cross-section and (i) surface of PPy-Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) triaxial fibres.....	177
Figure 5-11: EDS maps from cross-sections of as-prepared (a) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG), (b) Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) and (c) PPy-Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres; where a,b and c(1) (dark blue), a and c(2) (red) and b(2) (light blue) shows the elemental maps of sulphur (S), carbon (C) and calcium (Ca), respectively.	179
Figure 5-12: Mechanism of how antioxidants reduce free radicals by giving the free radical an electron which inhibits other oxidation reactions.....	180
Figure 5-13: An oxidation reaction of alginate upon addition of oxidant.....	180
Figure 5-14: FTIR spectra of alginate and alginate-FepTS	181
Figure 5-15: Cyclic voltammograms of PEDOT:PSS (+PEG), Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres in (a) PBS solution and (b) 0.1 M TBABF ₄ ; potential was scanned between -0.8 V and +0.8 at 10 mVs ⁻¹	185
Figure 5-16: Primary cell attachment and proliferation on fibres after 5 days of differentiation. (a) Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) and Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres from (b) bottom (c) side and (d) top view, respectively. Scale bars represent 100 µm	188
Figure 6-1: Mechanical properties of all fibre types produced in the course of this study	205
Figure 6-2: Electrochemical properties of all fibre types produced in the course of this study	206

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1 Some of the representative electrospun systems studied for biomedical applications	30
Table 1-2 Some of the representative wet-spun systems studied for biomedical applications	35
Table 3-1 Thickness of sheath and core, μm , in wet-state as a function of wet-spinning condition.....	95
Table 3-2 Comparison of mechanical properties of solid and coaxial biofibres.....	99
Table 4-1 Mechanical properties and conductivity results of GO, rGO, chitosan and Chit/GO fibres.....	133
Table 4-2 ID/IG as observed in the Raman spectra for Chit/GO, reduced Chit/GO and rGO fibres.....	141
Table 5-1 Ionic conductivity results of hydrogels using two kinds of salts.....	169
Table 5-2 Mechanical properties of coaxial and triaxial fibres.....	182

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
PUBLICATIONS	vi
CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS	vi
MANUSCRIPTS IN PROGRESS	viii
ABSTRACT	ix
ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xx
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	xxi
1. INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW.....	1
1.1. Motivation.....	2
1.2. Thesis Outline.....	3
1.3. Introduction and Literature Review	6
1.3.1. Material Considerations for Biomedical Applications.....	6
1.3.1.1. Natural Hydrogels	7
1.3.1.1.1. Sodium Alginate	8
1.3.1.1.2. Chitosan	10
1.3.1.2. Conducting Materials	12
1.3.1.2.1. Graphene.....	13
1.3.1.2.2. Inherently Conducting Polymers	15
1.3.1.2.3. Poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene).....	19
1.3.1.2.4. Polypyrrole.....	21
1.3.2. Fabrication Methods.....	25
1.3.2.1. Electrospinning	27

1.3.2.2.	Melt-spinning	30
1.3.2.3.	Wet-spinning	32
1.3.2.4.	Dry-spinning	35
1.3.3.	Manufacturing Processes for Fabrication of Coaxial Biofibres	36
1.3.3.1.	Methods Originated from Electrospinning.....	37
1.3.3.1.1.	Coaxial Electrospinning.....	38
1.3.3.1.2.	Emulsion Electrospinning.....	41
1.3.3.1.3.	Coaxial Melt-electrospinning	41
1.3.3.2.	Methods Descended from Wet-spinning.....	43
1.3.3.2.1.	Production of Hollow Fibres	44
1.3.3.2.2.	Wet-spun Core-skin Fibres via Coating.....	45
1.3.3.2.3.	Coaxial Wet-spinning	46
1.4.	A Brief Overview of Fibre-based Scaffolds	47
1.5.	Thesis Objectives.....	48
1.6.	References	51
2.	GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL	69
2.1.	Components and Spinning Solutions.....	70
2.1.1.	Materials.....	70
2.1.2.	Gel Spinning Precursors.....	70
2.1.3.	Graphene Oxide Liquid Crystal Dispersion.....	71
2.1.4.	PEDOT: PSS Dispersion.....	71
2.2.	Experimental Methods	72
2.2.1.	Spinning Techniques.....	72
2.2.1.1.	Rotary Wet-spinning Approach	72
2.2.1.2.	Coaxial Wet-spinning.....	73
2.2.1.2.1.	Calculation of Output Flow Rate	73

2.2.1.3. Rheological Characterisation of Spinning Solutions	74
2.2.1.4. Stereomicroscope Observation.....	75
2.2.1.5. Low Vacuum Scanning Electron Microscopy	75
2.2.1.6. Scanning Electron Microscopy	76
2.2.1.7. Fourier transform infrared.....	76
2.2.1.8. Dynamic Mechanical Analysis	76
2.2.1.9. Thermogravimetric Analysis.....	77
2.2.1.10. UV-Visible Spectroscopy.....	77
2.2.1.11. Cyclic Voltammetry	77
2.2.1.11.1. Insertion of Cotton-Steel Wire into Coaxial Fibre	78
2.2.1.12. Conductivity Measurements	79
2.2.1.13. In-vitro Bioactivity Experiments.....	80
2.3. References	81
3. PREPARATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF NOVEL HYBRID	
HYDROGEL FIBRES	82
3.1. Introduction	83
3.2. Experimental	86
3.2.1. Materials.....	86
3.2.2. Wet-spinning of Chitosan, Alginate and Chit-Alg Coaxial Fibres	87
3.2.3. Characterisation Methods.....	88
3.2.3.1. Cell Viability Experiments.....	88
3.2.3.2. TB Release Measurement	88
3.3. Results and Discussion.....	89
3.3.1. Spinnability vs. Concentration	89
3.3.2. Rheology	90
3.3.3. Continuous Spinning of Coaxial Fibres	92

3.3.4. Morphology of As-prepared Fibres.....	93
3.3.5. Mechanical Properties of As-prepared Fibres.....	98
3.3.6. Swelling Properties in SBF	99
3.3.7. Thermogravimetric Analysis.....	101
3.3.8. Cytocompatibility Experiment	102
3.3.9. In-vitro Release Measurement	104
3.4. Conclusion.....	106
3.5. References	107
4. FABRICATION OF COAXIAL WET-SPUN BIOFIBRES CONTAINING GRAPHENE CORE	112
4.1. Introduction.....	113
4.2. Experimental	116
4.2.1. Materials.....	116
4.2.2. Fibre spinning	116
4.2.2.1. Wet-spinning of GO.....	116
4.2.2.2. Coaxial Wet-spinning of Chitosan/GO and Alginate/GO.....	117
4.2.3. Characterisations of rGO and Coaxial Fibres	118
4.2.3.1. Material Parameters Characterisation	118
4.2.3.2. Fourier Transform Infrared	119
4.2.3.3. Contact Angle Measurement.....	119
4.2.3.4. Raman Spectroscopy	119
4.2.3.5. In-vitro Cytocompatibility Experiments	120
4.3. Results and Discussion.....	121
4.3.1. Optimization of Spinning Solutions.....	121
4.3.1.1. Solution Concentration	121
4.3.1.2. Solution Viscosity	123

4.3.2. Morphology of As-prepared Fibres.....	125
4.3.2.1. Morphological Observation in Wet-state.....	125
4.3.2.2. Morphology of As-prepared Fibres in Dry-state.....	129
4.3.3. FTIR Spectroscopy Results.....	130
4.3.4. Mechanical and Electrical Properties.....	132
4.3.5. Surface Wettability of As-spun Fibres.....	135
4.3.6. Cyclic Voltammetry.....	136
4.3.7. Raman Spectroscopy Results.....	140
4.3.8. In-vitro Bioactivity Experiments.....	142
4.4. Conclusion.....	145
4.5. References.....	146
5. DEVELOPMENT OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL TRIAXIAL FIBRES AS POTENTIAL BIO-BATTERY STRUCTURES.....	151
5.1. Introduction.....	152
5.2. Experimental.....	155
5.2.1. Materials.....	155
5.2.2. Dispersion Preparation.....	156
5.2.3. Coaxial Wet-spinning of Chit-PEDOT and Alg-PEDOT.....	156
5.2.4. Polymerisation of Pyrrole.....	158
5.2.5. Fourier Transform Infrared.....	159
5.2.6. Analysis.....	159
5.2.6.1. Characterisations of Spinning Solutions.....	159
5.2.6.1.1. Rheological Measurement.....	159
5.2.6.1.2. Impedance Behaviour of Chitosan and Alginate Hydrogels ...	160
5.2.6.2. Characterisation of Fibres.....	161
5.2.6.2.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy/ Energy-dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (SEM/EDS).....	161

5.2.6.2.2. Cyclic Voltammetry (CV)	161
5.2.6.2.3. In-vitro Experiments with Living Cells	162
5.3. Results and Discussions	163
5.3.1. Characterisation of Spinning Solutions.....	163
5.3.1.1. Rheological Properties	163
5.3.1.1.1. Concentrations of spinning solutions.....	163
5.3.1.1.2. Viscosity of spinning solutions.....	164
5.3.1.2. Impedance and Electrical Conductivity of Hydrogels	167
5.3.1.3. Microscopic Investigation of As-prepared Fibres.....	171
5.3.1.3.1. Morphological Observation in Wet-state.....	171
5.3.1.3.2. Morphological Observation in Dry-state	175
5.3.1.4. Mechanical Properties	182
5.3.1.5. Cyclic Voltammetry (CV).....	183
5.3.1.6. In-vitro Bioactivity Experiments.....	187
5.4. Conclusion.....	189
5.5. References	191
6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	196
6.1. General Conclusion.....	197
6.2. Comparison of Fibre Properties	203
6.2.1. Mechanical Properties.....	204
6.2.2. Electrochemical Properties.....	205
6.3. Recommendations for Future Work	207

1. INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

With the ever increasing demand for suitable replacements and organ transplantation, tissue engineering, has drawn increasing attention due to its ability to create three-dimensional (3D) structures using biomaterials. State-of-the-art developments in the field of implantable microelectrodes have shown potential for their use in electrical therapies such as auditory implants, deep brain and spinal cord stimulators as well as vision prostheses. Electrodes made out of number of materials especially metals have been conventionally used in this regard; however, they suffer from compatibility issues, such as their surface stiffness, which could trigger chronic biological responses. Using organic conductors and hydrogels, multifunctional hybrid fibres may be produced which can be used as a basis for the manufacture of lightweight, foldable, yet robust electroactive components which are more bio-favourable and thus have potential use not only as implantable electrodes but also in the energy storage systems required to power them, as well as smart garments. It is known that the geometric and structural aspects of anisotropy of fibres result in extraordinary axial properties. Forming and assembling fibres to fabricate 3D constructs would enable us to fully utilize the unique mechanical and physical properties of all involved components at a macroscopic level compared to a bulk material.

Among the many production techniques available, advanced fibre processing, such as coaxial and triaxial spinning of natural and synthetic polymers, has attracted a great deal of attention because the basic core-sheath structure provides a mechanism for the safe electrical stimulation of tissue whilst also avoiding undesirable chemical by-products and subsequent cell damage. Current state-of-the-art microelectrodes generate an electrical field in the tissue using metallic electrodes to elicit or suppress

neuronal action potentials. However, the biomechanical and structural mismatch between current electrodes and neural tissues remains a challenge for neural interfaces. This it is through the development of advanced fabrication technologies that improvement can be made more widely across the electrical, mechanical and biological properties of electrodes. Encapsulating the conductor cores with a more bio-friendly coating allows for a versatile system for producing devices with appropriate mechanical, chemical and biological properties that can mimic the native extracellular matrix, better supporting cell growth and maintenance. This thesis presents a novel fabrication method using a wet-spinning process that allows for the routine production of multifunctional coaxial biofibres that take advantage of the electroactive properties of a conductive core whilst also promoting good cell growth and biocompatibility *via* the use of bio-friendly material in the sheath.

1.2. Thesis Outline

Biomaterials are an exciting group of materials being used today by many researchers in a variety of biomedical applications. As clear from the term, “biomaterials” is a combination of two words of “bio” and “materials” which mainly deals with engineering novel structures with appropriate characteristics to enhance the interaction with the living cells.

This thesis described the development of three dimensional electroactive fibres using a novel coaxial wet-spinning approach from organic conductors in combination with non-conducting hydrogel polymers to be utilized in a diverse range of applications including implantable electrodes as well as the energy-storage systems. For one, a novel coaxial wet-spinning method was employed for development of coaxial hydrogel fibres. Then, insertion of organic conductors as the core component in

coaxial fibres was investigated to create electroactivity. Using a Chemical vapour deposition (CVD) method, the second thin conductive layer of Polypyrrole (PPy) was grown on coaxial fibres leading to the development of triaxial fibres. The fabricated structures were tested for cytocompatibility as well as being utilized as a support for cellular adhesion and growth. This work is summarized in the sections as follows:

In **Chapter 1**, the significance of engineering three dimensional fibres was highlighted. Secondly, the involved hydrogels and organic conductors are introduced and explained briefly with an overall emphasis of their usage for bioapplications together with some of their selective applications to date. Then, some of the previously established fabrication methods are described briefly along with a final focus on the novelty of the fabrication approach applied in this study. The chapter concludes with some of the potential applications of as-prepared fibres.

Chapter 2 provides an overall insight on the general experimental fabrication methods as well as the characterisation analyses. However, most of the methods are explained in detail in the following chapters.

Chapter 3 describes a one-step coaxial wet-spinning approach for development of biopolymeric continuous core-sheath fibres, with an inner core of chitosan and alginate as the sheath, for the first time without using a template. The first step was to spin chitosan and alginate single fibres separately to enhance and optimize spinning conditions. The necessary conditions to achieve optimal properties of the core-sheath fibre were also studied. The physical and mechanical properties of solid and coaxial fibres were examined. Release profiles from the coaxial fibre were determined using Toluidine Blue as a model component. It was indicated that integrating of these polymers with two totally different natures resulted in a creative

and innovative fibre fabrication leading to a robust and superior hybrid fibre with higher cell adaptability, improved mechanical, thermal and swelling properties.

Chapter 4 investigates the incorporation of graphene the conducting component used in the core. In this study, liquid crystal graphene oxide was used due to its high flexibility it provides in case of spinnability as well as the ease of reducing in into a conductive material. One-step continuous wet-spinning to produce multifunctional coaxial biofibres of chitosan/graphene oxide in a basic coagulation bath is demonstrated. Spinnability of coaxial fibres as well as their characterisation for several physical and electrochemical (EC) properties is investigated.

In **Chapter 5**, a novel facile coaxial wet-spinning fibre production method is reported followed by a CVD technique in an attempt to develop a triaxial fibre as a potential battery device. Coaxial fibres of Alginate/poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (abbreviated as Alg/PEDOT:PSS) and Chitosan/PEDOT:PSS (shortened as Chit/PEDOT:PSS) fibres were spun into a bath of aqueous calcium chloride and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution, respectively. The rheological properties of spinning solutions including concentration and viscosity are studied and optimized as most critical parameters in formation of coaxial fibres. CVD is utilised to create the second conductive layer of polypyrrole on the surface of Chit/PEDOT:PSS fibres. The mechanical, EC and physical behaviours of as-prepared fibres are studied using different analysis methods. It is worth pointing out that cytotoxicity and cell adhesion of the fibres were tested using different types of cells *via* live/dead cell imaging and calcein staining. The interactions of the cells with fibres were determined for multiple cell lines by looking at the adhesion and proliferation of the cells seeded on the fibres.

Chapter 6 concludes this thesis providing final summary and recommendations for future work. It is also worth mention that each chapter contains a short introduction, experimental methods, followed results and discussion, and a final conclusion section.

1.3. Introduction and Literature Review

1.3.1. Material Considerations for Biomedical Applications

For an ideal scaffolding material, properties are required that include biocompatibility, suitable microstructure, desired mechanical strength and degradation rate as well as most importantly the ability to support cell residence and allow retention of metabolic functions. Numerous strategies currently used to engineer tissues depend on employing a material scaffold. These scaffolds serve as a synthetic extracellular matrix (ECM) to organize cells into a 3D architecture and to present stimuli, which direct the growth and formation of a desired tissue. Depending on the tissue of interest and the specific application, the required scaffold material and its properties will be quite different. A wide range of materials are known to be utilised as cell supporting materials in biomedical applications including natural and synthetic polymers,¹⁻³ metals,⁴ ceramics^{5,6} and alloys.^{7,8} Aside from the specific materials used in certain applications such as orthopaedics, dental implants as well as artificial vascular materials, the focus of this thesis is on the role of naturally occurring hydrogels and organic conductors to develop biofibres with the final use as biocompatible electrodes for the purpose of signal recording or electrical stimulation or the building blocks of tissue scaffolds.

Herein, materials are categorised into ionically conducting and electrically conducting materials. Non-conducting materials have shown a great promise to be

used as templates for tissue engineering and implantable devices. Conducting materials have also been used as templates for cell attachment and growth while providing a pathway for electrical stimulation of cells. Hydrogels including alginate and chitosan as well as conducting graphene, PEDOT:PSS and PPy are introduced and explained as following.

1.3.1.1. Natural Hydrogels

Natural polymers can be considered as the most undeniable biomaterials used clinically⁹ in different shapes. Polymers of natural origin are attractive options, mainly due to their similarities with ECM as well as their chemical versatility and biological performance.¹ A variety of hydrogels, three-dimensional covalently crosslinked polymer networks with a high number of hydrophilic groups, capable of accommodating large amounts of water,^{10,11} are being employed as scaffold materials. They are composed of hydrophilic polymer chains, which are either synthetic or natural in origin. The structural integrity of hydrogels depends on crosslinks formed between polymer chains *via* various chemical bonding and physical interactions which make them to be resistant to be solubilized. Hydrogels used in bioapplications are typically degradable, can be processed under relatively mild conditions, have mechanical and structural properties similar to many tissues and the ECM, and can be delivered in a minimally invasive manner.

Hydrogels demonstrated a distinct efficacy as matrices for 3D cell culture since they are very similar to living tissues and ECM, such as a soft and rubbery yet deformable natures and low interfacial tension with biological fluids.¹² In addition, they can use biologically relevant electrolytes makes them well suited for applications within biology.^{13,14} Another unique characteristic of biomimetic hydrogels is that they

undergo huge volume changes, which occur in relatively narrow ranges of changes of temperature, pH, and ionic strength.^{13,15}

Polysaccharides are a typical group of natural biopolymers showing great swellability that makes them ideal candidates for making hydrogels. Polysaccharides are high molecular weight polymeric carbohydrates formed of repeating monosaccharide units.¹⁶ Polysaccharides are advantageous for biomedical applications due to their wide availability, low cost as well as the presence of functional groups in the polymer chain.¹⁷ They offer a wide diversity in structure and properties due to their wide range of molecular weight and chemical composition. Alginate^{18–21} and chitosan^{19,22,23} are considered as the most extensively used gel-forming polysaccharides²⁰ for cell growth from natural sources. They were chosen and used in this study mainly due to their several unique properties including biodegradability, biocompatibility, low toxicity, promoting attachment, migration, proliferation and differentiation of cells and antimicrobial activity²⁴ as well as ease of fabrication and availability.

1.3.1.1.1. Sodium Alginate

The anionic polymer alginic acid or alginate is a natural polysaccharide obtained from brown seaweeds. Since it was discovered by Stanford²⁵ in 1881, alginate has been used in a wide range of industries, such as food, textile printing, paper and pharmaceuticals, and for many other novel end-uses. Alginate is a linear, binary copolymer composed of 1, 4-linked β -D-mannuronic acid (M) and α -L-guluronic acid (G) monomers. Alginates are extracted from algae using a basic solution.²⁰ The extracted material is then reacted with acid to form alginic acid. The composition of alginate (the ratio of the two uronic acids and their sequential arrangements) varies

with the source. Salts of alginic acid with monovalent cations such as sodium alginate are all soluble in water²⁶ capable of holding a large amount of water. Alginate has been extensively used as a scaffold for liver²⁷, bone²¹, nerve²⁸ and cartilage engineering.²⁹ Even though, alginates are non-toxic and biocompatible, using them for biomedical applications has several drawbacks. Alginates are mechanically very weak in wet condition, therefore it should be blended or modified or copolymerized with other biopolymers before being used as a structural scaffold. More importantly it shows poor cellular adhesion. The chemical structure of sodium alginate is demonstrated in **Figure 1-1**.

Figure 1-1: Chemical structure of sodium alginate

By forming alginate into fibres, novel biomaterials are attainable which can be processed further into woven, non-woven, braided, knitted and many other kinds of composite structures. In the wet-spinning process (as explained in detail in section 1.3.2.3) in which alginate is transformed from powder into a fibrillar-shape, alginate powder is needed to be dissolved in water and stirred properly to form a homogenous solution first. The final properties of wet-spun alginate fibres highly depend on a number of factors, such as chemical structure and molecular weight of the alginate, composition of the coagulation bath, drawing ratio, temperature and feeding rates, etc. The spinning solution is one of the first main considerations in the wet-spinning process which determines the production efficiency. The fibre final

performances strongly depends on several parameters including concentration, temperature and pH of the spinning solution.³⁰ A concentrated sodium alginate solution can be extruded through spinneret holes into a calcium chloride (CaCl_2) bath, whereby the high acid content allows alginic acid to undergo spontaneous and mild gelling in the presence of di- or trivalent cations.³¹ Thus, it is possible to use a variety of metal ions such as zinc,³² silver^{33–35} or other bioactive metal ions to precipitate sodium alginate solution during the wet-spinning process as tried previously, too. Among divalent ions, calcium has found greatest popularity for gel formation of alginate fibres mainly because its salts are cheap, readily accessible and cytocompatible.³⁰ Since processing takes place in an aqueous solution and in an aqueous coagulation bath at a neutral pH, many bioactive materials, such as drugs and enzymes, can be combined into the alginate fibres, without loss of their bioactivity. On the other hand, calcium alginate fibres have proven to be unstable structures as tissue scaffolds or drug vehicles for in-vivo usages.^{36,37}

1.3.1.1.2. *Chitosan*

Chitosan is a semi-crystalline natural polysaccharides with a totally different nature with that of alginate which has recently generated great interest for its potential in clinical and biological applications^{38–41} such as artificial skin, tissue engineering and controlled drug delivery.^{26,42} The cationic polymer chitosan originates from crustacean skeletons.³⁹ Structurally, chitosan is a semi-synthetically derived aminopolysaccharide which is the N-deacetylated product of chitin, i.e. poly-(1→4)-2-amino-2-deoxy-β-D-glucose.^{2,9,41,43} Chitosan shows an enhanced hydrophilicity compared to that of chitin which results in a considerable loss of tensile strength in wet state.⁴⁴ This hydrogel is highly reactive due to free amine groups and is readily soluble in weakly acidic solutions resulting in the formation of a cationic polymer of

chitosan acetate with a high charge density. These solutions generally have high solution viscosities due to the phenomenon known as the polyelectrolyte effect.^{40,45–}

⁴⁷ Porous chitosan matrix has been used as a scaffold for skin,^{48–50} liver,⁵¹ bone and cartilage,^{52–55} cardiac,^{56–58} corneal⁵⁹ and vascular regenerative tissue remodelling.^{23,60,61} It has also been applied in controlled drug delivery in different shapes such as spheres, films or fibres.^{62,63} The chemical structure of chitosan is shown in

Figure 1-2.

Figure 1-2: Chitosan chemical structures

Chitosan can be produced in a variety of forms including films, fibres, nanoparticles and microspheres. There have been many attempts by several groups to produce chitosan fibre in aqueous basic coagulating baths to produce chitosan fibre.^{24,43,64,65} For the purpose of wet-spinning (detailed in section 1.3.2.3), the chitosan solution is generally extruded into an alkaline solution such as aqueous NaOH as the coagulation bath which forms the fibres. The coagulation rate, which also includes the regeneration of the free amine form of chitosan, is also expected to be influenced by high solution viscosity. Nevertheless, the strong alkaline condition (pH>12) needed to form chitosan-based structures, can limit its utilization for loading most of drugs or bioactive molecules into it.

1.3.1.2. *Conducting Materials*

A wide range of biomaterials have been already used in developing structures for biomedical applications. However, it is hard to find a biomaterial that gathers all the requirements for specific biomedical applications. Conducting materials are typically utilised as a connector between cells and electrical devices to pass or receive electrical signals to and from cells. Electrical stimulation has been able to provide beneficial effects for regeneration of tissue: muscle,^{66–69} nerve^{70,71} and bone.⁷² Moreover, they could improve the mechanical properties of biomaterials. A range of metallic electrodes, most commonly Pt or titanium based, have conventionally been used as the preferred metal used for electrodes.^{69,73} However, metals are mechanically significantly stiffer than the neural tissue with which it interfaces.⁷⁴ For example, the elastic modulus of Pt is measured to be about 164 GPa,⁷⁵ but most neural tissue has a modulus of less than 100 kPa.⁷⁶ Using organic conductors in particular CPs, have been shown to impart a softer electrode interface, around 1 MPa.⁷⁷ Therefore, it is expected that these materials can be used to dampen or mediate the mechanical difference between a metal electrode and the tissue with which it interfaces. It has also been shown that to stimulate light precepts by electrical stimulation of the retina, a charge density between 48 and 357 $\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ is required,⁷⁸ but the electrochemical injection limit of metals electrodes such as Pt has been reported as ranging from 20 to 150 $\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$.⁷⁴ This small range of overlap means that metal electrodes cannot be safely reduced in size and still maintain safe charge injection at a therapeutic level. More recently the use of organic conductors has attracted attention since they can be loaded with bioactivity enhancing the electro-cellular communication process.^{79,80} Polymers have been traditionally considered to be electrical insulators. Recently, the progress made in chemical

synthesis of organic conductors has brought a rich variety of conducting organic materials. These organic conductors can be categorized into inherently conducting polymers and carbon-based materials including carbon nanotubes and graphene. Use of organic conductors including CPs have shown that tailored approaches can be used to create multi-functional electrode arrays which not only improve the electrode material properties, but also provide biomolecules to aid in the establishment of a chronically stable neural interface. The background, chemical structures, synthesis methods and EC properties of the conducting polymers used in this work, specifically PEDOT:PSS, PPy and graphene, are described in the following sections.

1.3.1.2.1. Graphene

Carbonaceous materials such as CNTs, graphite, fullerene, graphene and graphene oxide have recently attracted the attention of many researchers as conducting pathways incorporated into biomaterials. Graphene is a carbon-based material which is a layer of tightly packed two-dimensional (2D) honeycomb crystal lattice of sp^2 bonded carbon with a single atom thickness⁸¹ as shown in **Figure 1-3**. Graphene has shown an extraordinary optical properties, thermal conductivity and outstanding mechanical properties (Young's modulus up to ~ 1 TPa). One of the most efficient methods for large scale and low cost production of graphene derivative is oxidative exfoliation of natural graphite followed by a chemical reduction. Using this method, most of the functional groups such as hydroxide, carboxyl, epoxide and carbonyl exist in the chemical structure of GO will be removed. These functional groups make graphene oxide (GO) hydrophilic and stable in aqueous medium. Therefore, the reduction process produces highly conductive chemically converted graphene (CCG) or reduced graphene oxide (rGO) which is hydrophobic and insoluble in aqueous media.⁸² Low solubility may cause irreversible aggregation which limits further

processing. Li *et al.* have shown that stable dispersions of rGO is possible to be achieved without using by controlling the pH during the reduction process.⁸³ Yet, this dispersion is not directly spinnable by itself. Addition of spinnable polymers to the dispersion provides this opportunity to form it into a fibre. Then again, to achieve considerable electrical conductivity, exceeding the percolation threshold, higher loadings (typically 10 to 80%) are required. However, rGO dispersions are usually obtained and maintained at low concentrations.

Figure 1-3: 2D honeycomb crystal lattice of sp^2 bonded carbon with a single atom thickness known as graphene

The recent success in assembling graphene sheets into macroscopic fibres has inspired extensive interest in these materials because of the lower cost of graphene fibres (GFs) compared with CNTs and commercial carbon fibres, and their practical importance for specific applications.⁸⁴ LC GO structure allows for the dispersion of GO at high enough concentrations suitable for efficient alignment and effective coagulation. Gao *et al.* might be the first who reported of fabrication of GO fibres *via* a wet-spinning approach by loading the GO dispersions into glass syringes and injecting them into a coagulation bath of a 5 wt% NaOH/methanol solution.⁸⁵ Spinning of liquid crystalline (LC) suspensions of large sheet graphene oxide (GO) in water has been recently reported by several research groups.^{85–87} Use of large GO

sheets has enabled the use of a wet-spinning route to produce strong fibres by extruding them through a thin nozzle into an appropriate coagulation bath which can be easily converted to electrically conducting graphene fibres by using an appropriate chemical reducing agent. Preparation of GO fibres using various coagulation baths such as CaCl_2 , acetone, NaOH as well as chitosan has been reported earlier.⁸⁷ In addition, it is suggested that graphene oxide could undergo quick deoxygenation when exposed to strong alkali solutions at moderate temperatures which was also previously studied.^{88,89}

1.3.1.2.2. *Inherently Conducting Polymers*

Inherently conducting polymers (ICPs) were discovered in 1977 with the 10^9 times increase in electrical conductivity (σ) of polyacetylene (PAC) through halogen doping to as high as 10^5 Scm^{-1} by means of chemical modification⁹⁰ (in this case leading to partial oxidation or reduction by reaction with electron acceptors and donors known as a doping process.⁹¹ These organic polymers that possess the electrical, electronic and optical properties of a metal while retaining the mechanical properties, processability, etc. commonly associated with a conventional polymer, is termed an ICP or more commonly as a “synthetic metal”.⁹² To date a tremendous amount of research has been carried out in the field of conducting polymers, while the broader significance of the field was recognised in the year 2000 with the awarding of the Nobel Prize for Chemistry to the three discoverers of ICPs, Shirakawa, MacDiarmid and Heeger.^{92,93} Since the discovery of conducting PAC, a number of additional ICPs have been developed, including polypyrrole (PPy),^{94–98} polyaniline (PAni),^{99–101} polythiophene (PTh),^{102,103} poly(p-phenylenevinylene) (PPV),^{104,105} poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene) (PEDOT),^{92,106–108} and polyfuran (PF).¹⁰⁹ Subsequently, extensive characterisation of ICPs including electrical,

thermal and environmental stability as well as their processability displayed their high potentials to be used in organics, renewable energy as well as biomedical applications. The structures of selected conducting polymers developed over the last 30 years are illustrated in **Figure 1-4**. The most significant conducting polymers with regard to technological fibres are PANi, PPy, PTh and PEDOT.

Figure 1-4: Inherently conducting polymer structures represented in their undoped forms

ICPs could be synthesised either by chemical or electrochemical methods, with chemical synthesis being the preferred option when large quantities of polymer are required. This procedure involves the addition of a strong oxidising agent such as FeCl_3 followed by deposition of the monomer to initiate the free radical polymerisation.¹¹⁰ EC synthesis is usually performed in a 3-electrode cell comprising a working electrode, an auxiliary electrode and a reference electrode, whereby an insoluble ICP film forms on the working electrode initiated by applying either a certain amount of voltage or current. Among the synthesis methods, EC synthesis in particular presents several distinct advantages such as absence of catalyst, direct grafting of the doped conducting polymer onto the electrode surface, easy control of

film thickness by controlling the deposition charge, and the possibility to perform *in-situ* characterisation of the polymerisation process by EC and/or spectroscopic techniques.

The electrical, electrochemical and physical properties of ICPs could be tailored for particular final applications. Not surprisingly therefore they have already been applied in a wide variety of areas such as biosensors,^{111,112} biomedical applications,^{113–115} electrical stimulation of cells^{116,117} and drug delivery.¹¹⁸ It has also been investigated as a material for "artificial muscles" that would offer numerous advantages over traditional motor actuation.^{119,120} Conducting polymers such as PANi or PPy are not biodegradable. However, they are being used in combination with biodegradable polymers such as polylactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA), polylactic acid (PLA) or polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) was required. PEDOT is a well-studied intrinsically conducting polymer that is rendered solution-processable when doped with acidic PSS.¹²¹ The processability of PEDOT:PSS has naturally meant that relatively few studies have considered PEDOT:PSS within composite fibres. Nevertheless, composite PEDOT:PSS fibres are at the center of attention due to their high conductivity and multiple applications such as sensors and drug delivery.^{111,122,123} PPy is another most preferred conducting polymer for biomedical applications since it can be synthesized in a natural pH condition. Moreover, it has been shown to be an appropriate substrate supporting cell attachment and growth.^{69,124}

Printing and fibre spinning technologies can be regarded as two of the most prominent techniques investigated for the development of devices based on ranges of materials including ICPs and graphene. Printing is a fast, old and inexpensive method that is used for mass fabrication of advanced conducting components.¹²⁵ In

recent years, increasing efforts have been focused on the printing of conducting polymer-based devices.¹²⁶ Printing is a reproduction process in which ink is applied to a substrate in order to transmit information such as images, graphics and text. Printed materials must form a solid, continuous conducting film following solvent removal. The solvent plays significant roles such as compatibility with the conducting polymer, stability in solution and appropriate rheological and surface energy characteristics. Printing technologies that require a printing plate are known as conventional methods and include lithography (offset), gravure, letterpress and screen-printing. Non-impact printing (NIP), such as inkjet printing or electrophotography, uses laser technology and does not require a printing plate.¹²⁷ Printing provides a convenient route to the deposition of conducting polymers with spatial resolution in the x, y plane in the order of tens of microns and makes layer thicknesses in the order of 100 nm feasible. The birth of 3D-printing goes back to 1984 when as Charles Hull invented stereolithography which enabled a tangible 3D object to be created from a 3D model.¹²⁸ Varieties of conducting polymers have been processed earlier to become printable including PANi,^{129,130} PPy,^{131,132} and PTh.¹³³

Extensive advances have been also made during the last three decades in the fundamental understanding of fibre spinning using conducting polymers. Conducting polymers must undergo processing steps in order to attain the desired form.¹²⁵ The very first attempts to achieve optimal conditions for the spinning of fibres from PANi were begun in the late 1980s.^{134–136} A few years later Mattes *et al.* pioneered the processing of PANi into fibre form through a dry-wet-spinning process.^{84,137} PEDOT:PSS and PPy are two of the most investigated conducting polymers which were also used in this research. In the following sections it is attempted to provide an overview on the used conducting polymers.

1.3.1.2.3. *Poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene)*

Amongst the wide variety of conducting polymers, those derived from thiophene and its derivatives show good stability toward oxygen and moisture in both doped and neutral states.¹³⁸ This combined with favourable electrical and optical properties has led to the application of PThs in electrochromic displays, protection of semiconductors against photocorrosion, and energy storage systems.¹³⁹ PTh results from the polymerisation of thiophene, a sulfur heterocycle, which may be rendered conducting when electrons are added or removed from the conjugated π -orbitals *via* doping. PThs have been prepared since the 1980s by means of two main routes, namely chemical, and cathodic or anodic EC synthesis.¹⁴⁰ In the latter half the 1980s, scientists at the Bayer AG research laboratories developed the PTh derivative PEDOT (or PEDT), which was initially developed with the aim of providing a soluble conducting polymer.¹⁴¹ 3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene (EDOT) polymerises effectively, leading to PEDOT films that adhere well to typical electrode materials. PEDOT benefits from the absence of undesirable α,β - and β,β -couplings between monomer units, while its electron-rich nature plays a significant role in the optical, EC, and electrical properties of subsequent polymers based around the PEDOT building block.¹⁴² PEDOT is characterised by stability, high electrical conductivity (σ) (up to 1000 Scm^{-1}), moderate band gap, low redox potential, and transparency in the oxidised state.¹⁴¹ Initially PEDOT was found to be insoluble in common solvents. However, this was successfully overcome by using poly(styrenesulfonic acid) (PSS) as the dopant during its chemical synthesis. The resulting stable dark-blue aqueous dispersion of PEDOT:PSS is now commercially available and applied in antistatic coatings,¹⁴³ electrode materials,¹⁴⁴ organic electronics,¹⁴⁵ transparent electrodes,

capacitors,¹⁴⁶ touchscreens, organic light-emitting diodes (OLED), microelectrodes and sensors.^{142,147}

Several fabrication methods have been employed so far for producing fibres from PEDOT derivatives such as electrochemically synthesis,¹⁴⁷ nanofibre seeding method¹⁴², chemical polymerisation without employing a template,¹⁴⁸ Preparation of microfibres from PEDOT:PSS was first reported by Okuzaki and Ishihara for the first time *via* wet-spinning into an acetone bath as the coagulant¹⁴⁹ where the effects of spinning conditions on fibre diameter (which ranged between 180-410 μ m), electrical conductivity, microstructure and mechanical properties were investigated. Shortly thereafter, Okuzaki *et al.* fabricated highly conducting PEDOT:PSS microfibres with 5 μ m diameter and up to 467 Scm^{-1} electrical conductivity by wet-spinning followed by ethylene glycol post-treatment.¹⁵⁰ Dipping in ethylene glycol (two-step wet-spinning process) resulted in a 2-6 fold increase in electrical conductivity from 195 Scm^{-1} to 467 Scm^{-1} and a 25 % increase in tensile strength after drying from 94 MPa to 130 MPa. Characterisation with X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, X-ray diffractometry and atomic force microscopy led to the conclusion that the removal of insulating PSS from PEDOT:PSS grain surfaces and crystallization were responsible for the enhanced electrical and mechanical properties of the microfibres. This work opened a new way for scientists to prepare relatively long PEDOT:PSS fibres using a straightforward method. Jalili *et al.* simplified the method to a one-step process to prepare microfibres by employing a wet-spinning formulation consisting of an aqueous blend of PEDOT:PSS and poly(ethylene glycol), where the need for post-spinning treatment with ethylene glycol was eliminated and fairly high electrical conductivities of up to 264 Scm^{-1} were achieved.¹⁵¹

Amongst the conducting polymers, PPy and its derivatives are of particular interest owing to rather straightforward synthetic procedures, reasonable stabilities in oxidised states in air and solvents, low cost, biocompatibility and availability of monomer precursors.^{152,153} However, it was not until 1977 that PPy attracted significant attention.⁹² Dall'Olio *et al.* published the first report of the synthesis of a PPy film, which exhibited 8 Scm^{-1} electrical conductivity, by electrolysis of a pyrrole solution in the presence of sulphuric acid in 1968.¹⁵⁴ The major breakthrough with regard to the routine synthesis of PPy, however, was achieved by Diaz *et al.* when they reported a highly conducting (100 Scm^{-1}), stable and flexible PPy film prepared by electrolysis of an aqueous solution of pyrrole.⁹⁵ Chemical methods in addition to EC methods have also been employed for the synthesis of PPy, such as photochemistry, metathesis, concentrated emulsion, inclusion, solid-state, plasma, pyrolysis and soluble precursor polymer preparation.¹⁵³ Nevertheless, it should be taken into account that EC polymerisation provides a number of advantages over chemical methods, such as the final form of reaction product (an electroactive film attached to the electrode surface), high electrical conductivity, and control over film mass, thickness and properties.¹⁵³

PPy demonstrates high electrical conductivity, good EC properties, strong adhesion to substrates and thermal stability.^{155,156} The heteroatomic and extended π -conjugated backbone structure of PPy provides it with chemical stability and electrical conductivity, respectively.^{154,157} PPy exhibits a wide range of surface electrical conductivities ($10^{-3} \text{ Scm}^{-1} < \sigma < 100 \text{ Scm}^{-1}$) depending on the functionality and substitution pattern of the monomer and the nature of the counterion or dopant.¹⁵⁸ Not surprisingly therefore PPy has already been applied in a wide variety of areas

such as rechargeable lithium batteries,^{159,160} medical applications^{113,114} and drug delivery.^{122,161} It has also been investigated as a material for "artificial muscles" that would offer numerous advantages over traditional motor actuation.^{119,120} However, PPy usually takes the form of an intractable powder following chemical polymerisation¹⁶² which is insoluble in most organic solvents.^{163,164} These characteristics may be largely attributed to the presence of strong interchain interactions and a rigid structure. The low water solubility and poor processability of PPy mean that there are few reports of pristine PPy fibres.¹⁶⁵ It follows that PPy may be considered as the most utilised conducting polymer in making composite fibres. Over the past two decades, a variety of materials including polymers sheets, glass, polymer and inorganic particles, clays, zeolites, porous membranes, fibres and textiles, and soluble matrices have been demonstrated as appealing substrates for PPy. Due to the good adhesion force between PPy and various substrates,¹⁶⁶ conducting composites may be prepared that retain the inherent properties of both PPy and the substrate.¹⁶⁷ These substrates include carbon, graphite,¹⁶⁸ glass,¹⁶⁹ and polymeric fibres.^{170,171} In general, the conductivity of PPy/fibre composites is directly related to PPy loading, ratio of oxidant to dopant, and fibre structure.¹⁷²

A number of researchers attempted to improve polymer solubility involving alkyl group substitution at the 3- and 4- positions or at the nitrogen atom of the pyrrole ring.¹⁶² Another technique that has proven successful has been the use of long chain surfactant dopants such as sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (DDS),^{173,174} di(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate sodium salt (DEHS),¹⁷⁵ and polystyrene sulfonate.¹⁷⁶ PPy doped with such surfactants were soluble in a number of solvents including *m*-cresol, NMP, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), dimethyl formamide (DMF) and tetrahydrofuran (THF).¹⁶² Few reports exist that consider the wet-spinning of soluble PPy into

continuous fibres, despite initial attempts.¹⁷⁷ This question was essentially abandoned for a number of years until Foroughi *et al.* published the first report on the production of continuous conducting PPy fibres through wet-spinning,¹⁶⁴ which showed electrical conductivity of $\sim 3 \text{ Scm}^{-1}$ and elastic modulus of $\sim 1.5 \text{ GPa}$. Although a number of researchers continue to seek new methods to produce wet-spun PPy fibres, no additional reports have been published.

CVD (also known as vapour phase polymerisation) is a straightforward and rapid method to deposit PPy onto various substrates, and has been used widely to produce composite PPy fibres.^{124,166,171,178–181} Although this method has the advantage of simplicity, the highest reported electrical conductivity of fibres prepared this way was only 0.68 Scm^{-1} ,¹⁷⁸ likely due to the formation of only a thin layer of conducting PPy. Nair and co-workers were the first to merge electrospinning with CVD for the synthesis of electrically conducting composite PPy nanofibres.¹⁷¹ This approach provided the advantages of electrospinning while at the same time circumventing the intractability of PPy. **Figure 1-5** shows the polymerisation of polypyrrole from a bulk solution containing pyrrole monomer. The electrodeposition proceeds by adsorption of a monomer unit onto a surface of the working electrode, to form a pyrrole cation radical through the oxidation process. These cations combine together or with neutral monomers present in the solution, to form a dimer, which undergoes double deprotonation to provide a neutral molecule. Comparing to monomer units, dimer radicals are more stable showing a lower oxidation potential. The polymer chain growth then occurs through favoured coupling between monomers and dimers. Formation of a π -conjugated backbone and its heteroaromatic structure brings about electrical conductivity as well as chemical stability. To maintain the charge balance along the polymer backbone, the positive charges made during the polymerisation

are necessary to be combined with negatively charged counter ions known as a doping process.¹²⁵

Figure 1-5: Polypyrrole polymerisation

Chronakis *et al.* reported for the first time a method to prepare nanofibres using a mixture of PPy and PEO.¹⁸² In 2007, a microfluidic approach was described by others for fabricating hollow and core/sheath PPy nanofibres by electrospinning.¹⁸³ The benefits of using microfluidic devices for nanofibre synthesis include rapid

prototyping, ease of fabrication, and the ability to spin multiple fibres in parallel through arrays of individual microchannels. PPy composite core-shell nanostructures were also successfully prepared using PAN, PS and Polyamide 6 (PA6) solutions.¹⁸⁴ It is worth noting that a large number of prepared PPy composite fibres have been employed for sensor applications.^{166,185,186}

1.3.2. Fabrication Methods

The fabrication methods for developing three dimensional structures to be utilised for biological applications have risen due to the inability of two dimensional structures to mimic the extracellular matrix accurately. To design a three dimensional architecture which imitate the ECM, several parameters such as geometry, mechanical and surface properties as well as biocompatibility are required to be taken into account.¹⁸⁷ Formation of tubular electroactive structures have recently attracted a great deal of attention as mentioned previously due to several unique advantages they offer comparing to the simple structures. Formation of coaxial fibres, wherein the organic conductor is encapsulated within a more cytofriendly material, could provide an alternate fabrication option which enables both improved electrical stimulation of surrounding tissue while having lower mechanical mismatch with tissue when considered as the building blocks of 3D structures. Therefore, there has been a growing interest over the past decade to employ varieties of processing methods for fabrication of multifunctional electroactive core-sheath structures in terms of both fundamental and applied science. Merging modern spinning methods and novel era of processable organic conductors technologies provide extra functions embedded in fibre structures in addition to traditional properties of the textile fibres.¹²⁵ Multiaxial structured fibres not only offer improved characteristics

comparing to those of the single components but also adding the advantage of providing a flexible system which may be optimised for a variety of purposes.

Up till now, a huge amount of intellectual effort has been put into fabrication of mono or bicomponent fibres for ranges of applications through several fabrication methods. Printing and fibre spinning technologies can be regarded as two of the most prominent techniques which are being investigated for the development of devices based on ranges of materials including ICPs, natural polymers and graphene. Printing method has been in the centre of attention in recent years as a fast, old and inexpensive way of fabrication of a number of materials.¹²⁶ However, printing approaches are not included in this work. Spinning of polymer fibres has also witnessed great progress over the past few decades as an interdisciplinary field that applies the principles of engineering and material science toward the development of textile substitutes.¹⁸⁸ Spinning is a specialised form of extrusion that uses a spinneret to form multiple continuous filaments or mono filaments. All fibre forming processes -regardless of the materials involved- are irreversible processes involving the rapid and continuous solidification of a liquid with a very restricted size in two directions. The solidification is brought about by the removal of heat and/or solvent by contacting the liquid with a suitable moving fluid, which can be a gas or a liquid. The first step to produce fibres, continuous threadlike filaments with large length-to-diameter-ratios or L/Ds typically >5 , is to convert the polymer into a processable and spinnable state. Thermoplastic polymers can be converted into the melt-state and melt-spun. Other polymers may be dissolved in a solvent or chemically treated to form soluble or thermoplastic derivatives and subsequently spun *via* wet-spinning, dry spinning or electrospinning. Main traditional spinning approaches used for fabrication of biomedical devices have been introduced briefly in the subsequent

sections followed by providing a more detailed description on novel generation of spinning methods for fabrication of coaxial fibres for bioapplications *via* different methods together with highlighting the advantages and disadvantages of each method.

1.3.2.1. *Electrospinning*

Electrospinning is a versatile method for the preparation of long, continuous and fine (nano to sub-micron size range)^{189,190} nonwoven polymer mats or fibres known since early the 1930s.¹⁹¹ Doshi and Renekar were the first researchers in 1995 to report the electrospinning method for producing nanofibres.¹⁹² Electrospinning shares characteristics of both electro-spraying and conventional solution dry-spinning methods.¹⁹³ Electrospun fibres possess properties not found in conventional fibres, including high surface to volume ratio, high aspect ratio, controlled pore size and superior mechanical properties.¹⁹⁴ A typical electrospinning setup (**Figure 1-6**) consists of a capillary tube or syringe loaded with polymer solution, a metal collecting screen, and a high voltage supply.^{191,195} The pendant polymeric droplet at the tip of the needle, when subjected to an electric field in the kV range, will deform into a Taylor cone shape and form a liquid jet. This jet undergoes an electrically induced bending instability which results in strong looping and stretching of the jet. Following solvent evaporation, ultrathin fibres are deposited on the collecting screen. Collection systems currently used include a single ground, rotating single ground, dual bar, dual ring, single horizontal ring, etc which can significantly influence the fibre orientation.¹⁹⁶ Electrospun conductive fibres have found various applications as light emitting diodes (LEDs), chemical and biological sensors, batteries, electromagnetic shielding and wearable electronic textiles (E-textiles).¹⁹⁷

Figure 1-6: Schematic of electrospinning

The morphology and diameters of electrospun fibres are quite versatile depending on a number of parameters including processing including the applied voltage, the distance between spinneret and collector and the feeding rate of polymer solution as well as solution parameters such as intrinsic properties of the spinning solutions, natures of polymer and solvent, polymer molecular weights, conductivity and surface tensions, etc. In addition, different geometries such as highly aligned, yarns and arrays have been achieved using different electrospinning setups. Oriented polyamide nanofibres formation was reported by Dersch *et al.* as a result of polymeric jet moving back and forth on the collector.¹⁹⁸

The electrospinning technique has shown to provide non-wovens to the order of few nanometers with large surface areas, ease of functionalisation for various purposes and superior mechanical properties.¹⁹⁰ Also, the possibility of large scale productions as well as simplicity of the process makes this technique very attractive for many different applications. Biomedical field is one of the most widely used application areas among others utilising the technique of electrospinning mainly for development of filtration and protective material, tissue engineering, drug release, wound dressing,

enzyme sensors, nanofibre reinforced composites, etc. The versatility in material selection for electrospinning combined with the different ways of method modification, diverse morphological structures with tuned properties for certain applications could be developed. Electrospinning generates loosely connected 3D porous mats with high porosity and high surface area which can mimic ECM structure and therefore makes itself an excellent candidate for use in tissue engineering. Biocompatibility and biodegradability are the other most critical requirements for electrospun fibres as a scaffold which determines the scaffold's ability to degrade within a timeframe *in-vivo*. Drug release and tissue engineering are closely related areas have been targeted for utilization of electrospun fibres. Many research groups have evaluated the properties of the fabricated nanofibres as potential cell supportive tissue scaffolds.^{190,198–204} For instance, PLGA electrospun fibres were fabricated by Ko *et al.* to be employed as bone-marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells template which indicated cell attachment and proliferation on PLGA mat.²⁰⁵ Hsiao *et al.* also investigated the interaction of primary cardiomyocytes with aligned electrospun PLGA fibres which could direct cells for cardiac tissue.²⁰⁶ In another study, the conducting polymer of PANi were blended with PCL and gelatin to be electrospun for applying electrical stimulation to nerve stem cells.²⁰⁷ Electrospinning also affords great flexibility in development of diverse materials for drug delivery and cell delivery applications.^{208,209,21} Recent work has examined the possibility of using electrospun matrices as constructs for giving controlled release of a number of drugs including antibiotics^{210–212} and anticancer drugs^{213,214} as well as proteins^{210,215,216} and DNA.²¹⁷ Some of the most important biomedical applications of electrospun mats like tissue engineering drug release,

wound dressing, enzyme immobilization etc. are highlighted as examples in **Table 1-1** as below.

Table 1-1: Some of the representative electrospun systems studied for biomedical applications

<i>Electrospun Mat</i>	<i>Bioapplication</i>	<i>Ref.</i>
<i>PCL</i>	Controlled delivery of Dipyridamole	218
<i>Gelatin</i>	Cell regeneration and proliferation for tissue engineering	219
<i>PLGA/Silver</i>	antimicrobial wound dressing	220
<i>Chitosan/PEO/ BG</i>	Bone tissue engineering	221
<i>PVA</i>	Drug delivery of Tenofovir for vaginal applications	222
<i>Alg/PEO</i>	Wound dressing and sutures	223
<i>PU</i>	Wound dressing	224
<i>PLLA/HA/Col</i>	Stem cell based therapies in bone tissue engineering	225
<i>PCL/Cellulose</i>	Liquid biofilters and biosensor	226
<i>PAN</i>	Water disinfection filters	227
<i>PCL:PBS</i>	Ofloxacin (OFL) loaded drug delivery system for treatment of ocular infections	228
<i>PCL/Col</i>	Smooth muscle cells growth for blood vessel engineering	229
<i>PCL</i>	Contractile cardiac grafting	230
<i>PLGA</i>	tissue engineering which support and guide cell growth	231
<i>Gelatin/Silver</i>	Antibacterial activity wound dressing	232

1.3.2.2. Melt-spinning

Most commercial synthetic fibres are produced by the melt-spinning process. Melt-spinning is a process in which dried polymer granules or chips are melted inside the extruder which is used afterward as the spinning dope. The obtained filament is quenched and solidified by cooling in a fast fibre solidifying process which is mainly due to the one-way heat transfer.²³³ Melt-spinning is considered to be one of the simplest methods compared to other fibre manufacturing methods due to the absence of problems associated with the use of solvents.²³⁴ It is therefore the preferred

method for spinning many polymers, provided the polymer gives a stable melt.²³⁵ A schematic of melt-spinning process is presented in **Figure 1-7**.

Figure 1-7: Schematic of melt-spinning

Melt-spinning has not been considered as a method of choice for development of biostructures, though due to the limitations involved with this method. PLA is one of the most widely spun polymers *via* melt-spinning for bioapplications. However, it was shown that main challenges of PLA foaming are low melt strength and slow crystallization kinetics. The low cell strength leads to cell coalescence and cell rupture during growth. There also exist few reports of the melt-spinning of conducting polymer fibres due to some major limitations. These include decomposition at temperatures below the melting point, poor control over the exact temperature of the polymer melt during spinning, thermo-mechanical history of the melt, and final fibre structure.²³⁶

1.3.2.3. *Wet-spinning*

Of all the fibre spinning methods, solution spinning methods have the longest history. Wet-spinning was one of the original methods for producing synthetic fibres and was first used in the late 19th century.²³⁷ In wet-spinning, the polymer dissolved in a suitable solvent is extruded directly into a coagulation bath containing a liquid which is miscible with the spinning solvent but a non-solvent of the polymer. This leads to solvent removal from the polymer and solidification of the fibre as precipitation occurs. Wet-spinning involves mass transfer of the solvent and non-solvent for fibre solidification, which is slower compared to the heat transfer process of cooling associated with melt-spinning, and to the evaporation associated with dry spinning.²³⁴ It is usually subdivided into three main steps based on different spinning strategies as follows; (a) phase separation, (b) gel separation and (c) liquid crystal spinning.²³⁸ (a) During the phase separation, rapid formation of the fibre structure will occur as a result of polymer solution exposure with the coagulation bath. As the polymer fluid is injected into the non-solvent, the solvent is extracted from the polymer solution causes the polymer to be precipitated in the bath to form a semi-solid fibre. Further solidification into a coagulation bath provides sufficient cohesion and strength for the fibre to be continuously collected when coming out of the coagulation bath. In the second step, the polymer is coagulated due to intermolecular bonds such as ionic cross-linking by a salt or another reacting agent. In the liquid crystal spinning stage, lyotropic crystalline solution provides sufficient alignment and cohesiveness to form a solid crystalline phase for fibres. A schematic of wet-spinning is shown in **Figure 1-8**.

Figure 1-8: Schematic of a Lab scale wet-spinning line

Like electrospinning, wet-spun microfibres have gained considerable interest in a number of biomedical applications such as scaffolding substrates as well as drug delivery systems over the past decade.^{239–242} Flexibility, appropriate mechanical properties, versatility in choice of materials and structures and their the ability to be fabricated into devices using weaving technique such as knitting/braiding methods provide promising potentials for wet-spun fibres to be utilised for biomedical purposes. The ability to precisely control the attachment, migration, alignment, proliferation and differentiation of cells is also extremely important for the regeneration of tissues that require a complex sequence of biological cues and structural support. To date, wet-spun microfibres have been investigated for a range of polymers as tracks to guide and direct the behavior of cells for a variety of applications, such as vascular tissue engineering,²⁴³ musculoskeletal tissue engineering²⁴⁴ and wound healing.²⁴⁵ The majority of studies involving wet-spun microfibre scaffolds have primarily focused on the release of therapeutics or biocompatibility and tissue regeneration capabilities of 3D scaffolds. Lee *et al.* fabricated sub-micron fibres of PLGA²⁴⁶ as platforms for tissue regeneration *via* precipitating a solution of PLGA/DMSO into a bath of glycerol-containing water and

studied the cell attachment and growth on them to be applied as a nerve guide. PLGA wet-spun fibres were also formed into a tissue scaffold have been also employed as appropriate substrates for ligament replacement due to the characteristics it offers such as mainly high biocompatibility and mechanical properties.²⁴⁷ Razal *et al.* wet-spun hyaluronic acid and Chit with CNT to form a composite fibre which has indicated to support the growth of L-929 fibroblast cells.²⁴⁸

From a drug-delivery perspective, wet-spinning is most similar to conventional microsphere based drug encapsulation techniques and avoids the potential for thermal denaturation of therapeutics, unlike melt-spinning and dry-spinning methods. Thus, it is not surprising that a broad range of therapeutics such as antibiotics,¹²² glycosaminoglycans,²⁴⁹ proteins,²⁵⁰ growth factors,²⁵¹ genes²⁵² and viruses,²⁵³ have been successfully incorporated into microfibres produced by wet-spinning methods and other variants. Dry-wet-spun microfibres have even been approved as ocular drug-delivery devices for treatment of posterior-segment diseases, such as macular edema.²⁵⁴ Some of the most important biomedical applications of electrospun mats like tissue engineering drug release, wound dressing, enzyme immobilization etc. are highlighted as examples in **Table 1-2** as below.

Table 1-2: Some of the representative wet-spun systems studied for biomedical applications

<i>Wet-spun fibre</i>	<i>Bioapplication</i>	<i>Ref.</i>
<i>Silk-PU</i>	Small-diameter artificial vascular scaffolds	242
<i>HA-CNT</i>	Directed nerve and/or muscle repair	248
<i>Chit-PCL</i>	Scaffolds for cartilage repair	255
<i>Col</i>	Scaffolding regeneration of tendon and ligament tissue	256
<i>Corn starch-PCL</i>	Designing osteoconductive/osteoinductive 3D structures for bone tissue engineering	257
<i>PAN</i>	Drug delivery system for controlled release of Tamoxifen citrate	258
<i>Amyloid</i>	Bone tissue engineering	259
<i>Alg-Silk</i>	Wound dressings	260
<i>Chit</i>	Biomedical scaffolds	261
<i>Starch</i>	Tissue engineered scaffolds	240
<i>PAN-PCL</i>	Ibuprofen drug sustained release	262
<i>Alg-Chit</i>	Scaffolds for ligament and tendon tissue engineering	263

1.3.2.4. Dry-spinning

Dry-spinning is another type of solution spinning which was first employed around the same time as wet spinning.²⁶⁴ This old method for the preparation of synthetic fibres has many basic principles in common with wet spinning, including the requirement that the polymer needs to be dissolved in a solvent. Compared to wet spinning, solidification is achieved more easily through evaporation of the solvent, which must be highly volatile, and without requiring a coagulation bath. Dry-spinning is suitable for polymers which are vulnerable to thermal degradation, cannot form viscous melts, and when specific surface characteristics of fibres are required.²⁶⁴ It is the preferred method for polyurethane, polyacrylonitrile, and fibres based on ophthalamide, polybenzimidazoles, polyamidoimides, and polyimides due to better physicommechanical fibre properties.²⁶⁵ Dry-spinning of continuous cellulose

fibres from a bio-residue of bleached banana rachis waste has been lately reported.²⁶⁶ Recently, Zhang *et. al* also fabricated a hybrid dry-spun fibres from regenerated silk fibroin/graphene oxide aqueous solution using a dry-spinning approach.²⁶⁷

1.3.3. Manufacturing Processes for Fabrication of Coaxial Biofibres

Advanced fibre processing techniques such as coaxial or triaxial spinning methods have recently attracted a considerable deal of attention to produce coaxial fibres from natural and synthetic polymers for a range of biomedical purposes. Coaxial electrospinning is the simplest approach in the field of coaxial spinning which has been extensively exploited to generate hollow and core-sheath nanofibres.^{268–272} However, electrospinning can produce extremely fine fibres in the form of a non-woven mat; however, the mechanical testing of individual fibres is not feasible. There have been also reported on difficulties involved with preparation of fibres using already charged polymer backbones *via* electrospinning wherein a stable jet cannot be achieved and no nanofibres would form as a result, although single droplets may be achieved (electrospray). In addition to this, there is a limitation in choosing the maximum concentration for a given solution by which it could flow. Consequently, the molecular weight and the concentration as long as a solvent with the necessary volatility which can be spun this way, are within a certain range. Thus, although this method shows a lot of promise, these restrictions are placed on the spinnability of certain polymers by solution parameters like viscosity and surface charging.

Recently, wet-spinning has been utilised to produce fibres with similar structures to coaxial fibres.^{122,273,3,274} Despite those preliminary studies there are only a few reports in the literature of fabricating coaxial fibres using coaxial spinnerets using wet-spinning. Recently, Liang Kou *et al.* have also reported on the production of

carboxyl methyl cellulose (CMC) -wrapped graphene/CNT coaxial fibres for supercapacitor applications.²⁷⁵ Therefore, it is still a big challenge to develop a simple yet effective wet-spinning approach to directly prepare sheath-protected fibres as electrodes. The key principle in wet-spinning is to make polymer fibres by transition from a soluble to a non-soluble phase as discussed in section 1.3.2.3.²⁷⁶ The major difference of conventional wet-spinning with the coaxial method is that in the coaxial process, two different polymer solutions are injected into a coaxial spinneret together and are co-extruded into a bath while retaining a coaxial structure. Using this method, long uniformly shaped fibres can be produced in a process which allows great flexibility in the composition of the fibre components. However, determining features are placed within a wide range from the material requirements such as optimal viscosities and concentrations of spinning solutions, solvent/solution miscibility, material surface charges, etc. as well as interaction between materials/coagulation bath (numbers of chemical/physical reactions between spinning components and the bath), time restrictions for storage of fibres in the bath and several controlling process parameters such as core/sheath injection rates, drawing ratio, spinneret design, post-treatment procedures and so on. It is worth noting that as the sheath and the core solutions are in contact and undergo the same procedure, the degree of dissimilarity between them, in terms of composition, and physical and rheological properties is critical in the formation of the coaxial structure.

1.3.3.1. Methods Originated from Electrospinning

In recent years, many modifications have been made in the basic electrospinning process in order to enhance the quality and improve the functionality of the resulting nanofibre structures. One such modification that has gained much attention and holds

great promise in a variety of applications is preparation of coaxial bicomponent nanofibre structures using “co-axial electrospinning” are also called “two-fluid electrospinning”.¹⁹⁶ In this process, two dissimilar materials are delivered independently through a coaxial spinneret and drawn using high voltages to generate nanofibres in core-sheath configuration.²⁷⁷ Many different polymers have been electrospun relatively successfully in a solution or melt form. These have included synthetic polymers (polyesters, polyamides, polyurethanes, polycarbonates, polysulfones, etc.), natural materials (collagen, gelatin, elastin, chitosan, silk etc.) and synthetic biodegradable polymers (polyglycolic acid, polycaprolactone, polylactic acid, polylactide-co-glycolide, etc.). In the present time, there are three common processes to produce coaxial fibres *via* methods with similar principals to that of electrospinning including coaxial electrospinning, coaxial melt-electrospinning and emulsion electrospinning.

1.3.3.1.1. Coaxial Electrospinning

This method was established in 2006 which bifurcated from previously described electrospinning in Section 1.3.2.1. The general set up is quite similar to that used for electrospinning which employs electric forces acting on polymer solutions in dc electric fields and resulting in significant stretching of polymer jets due to a direct pulling and growth of the electrically driven bending perturbations.^{204,278} A modification is made in the spinneret by inserting a smaller (inner) capillary that fits concentrically inside the bigger (outer) capillary to make coaxial configuration as shown in **Figure 1-9**.

Figure 1-9: A schematic for coaxial electrospinning set up²⁷⁹

In coaxial electrospinning, the outer needle is attached to the reservoir containing the sheath solution and the inner is connected to the one holding the core solution. Syringes with two compartments containing different polymer solutions would undergo high voltages leading them to be charged. The charge accumulation occurs predominantly on the surface of the sheath liquid coming out of the outer co-axial capillary.²⁰⁰ Once the charge accumulation reaches a certain threshold value due to the increased applied potential, a fine jet extends from the cone due to the electrostatic repulsion of the charges in the polymer liquids. The coaxial set up expectedly requires a carefully designed and manufactured co-axial spinneret. Due to the similarities between coaxial electrospinning process to that of the conventional electrospinning, all variables that govern the quality of the process and the morphology of the fibres in the latter also affects the behavior in the former. Those affecting factors are quite diverse comprising both material (viscosities, concentrations, solvent/solution miscibility and incompatibility, solvent vapour pressure, solution conductivities, etc) and process parameters (applied voltage, flow rates, spinneret design, etc). Additionally, as the sheath and the core solutions are in

contact and undergo the same bending instability and whipping motion, the degree of dissimilarity between them, in terms of composition, and physical and rheological properties, plays an important role in the formation of the composite fibre.

Coaxial electrospinning rapidly gained popularity and was implemented by a number of groups. The method has provided many benefits over the other conventional spinning such as degradation of an active encapsulant can be significantly minimized or avoided.^{183,280–282} Also, it has been noted that burst release of a core is effectively minimized in case studies of release kinetics. Burst release is a phenomenon commonly observed in delivery devices of different forms and compositions. The burst effect may be favourable for certain *drug administration strategies* or applications such as wound treatment, encapsulated flavours, targeted delivery and pulsatile release.²⁸³ However, burst release is also likely to cause negative effects such as local/systemic toxicity, short in vivo half-life, economically inefficiency and a shortened release profile. Burst release is often associated with device geometry, surface characteristics of host material, heterogeneous distribution of drugs within the polymer matrix, intrinsic dissolution rate of drug, heterogeneity of matrices (pore density), etc. The main objective of drug delivery systems is to achieve an effective therapeutic administration *via* a sustained drug release over an extended period of time. Coaxial electrospun fibres have exhibited more steady release rate behaviour due to the creation of specific geometries. In addition to the release profile of biomolecules, mechanical properties and biocompatibility could also be enhanced *via* coaxial electrospinning to provide additional functional properties.^{279,284,285} Zhang *et al.* reported the coaxial electrospinning of PCL-r-Gelatin bi-component nanofibres for tissue engineering applications.²⁸⁶ Recently, James *et al.* described a coaxial

electrospinning method for development of novel core-shell nanofibres a using gelatin as core material and chitosan as shell as tissue scaffold matrices.²⁸⁷

1.3.3.1.2. Emulsion Electrospinning

Emulsion electrospinning is similar to the normal solution electrospinning, except that the solution is replaced by an emulsion. Jets are generated from the emulsion liquid and stretched into ultrafine fibres. The dispersed drop in the emulsion turns into the core of the electrospun fibres, and the continuous matrix becomes the shell. The water-in-oil emulsion electrospinning is particularly used for encapsulating hydrophilic drugs or bioactive molecules inside the core of electrospun core/shell fibres to avoid burst release and prolong the release time.^{208,209,288,289}

1.3.3.1.3. Coaxial Melt-electrospinning

Coaxial melt-electrospinning is quite similar to the traditional electrospinning method with a small difference of using polymer melts instead of solutions, for which a heating system is used that surrounds the reservoir.¹⁹⁶ The polymer melt is usually produced by heating from either resistance heating, circulating fluids, air heating or lasers. This so-called coaxial melt-electrospinning is the result of combination of melt-electrospinning with coaxial spinneret which provides a facile method for the encapsulation of solids in a composite or polymer matrix and extends the technique to create new morphologies and architectures. Melt electrospinning was first reported in the 1980s but has not been studied as extensively as solution electrospinning due to the more expensive setup needed to maintain elevated temperature of the melt and the limitation of the low conductivity and high viscosity of polymer melts in conventional melt electrospinning setups to achieve significant

fibre diameter reduction by electrostatic forces.²⁹⁰ A schematic of coaxial melt-electrospinning setup is shown in **Figure 1-10**.

Figure 1-10: Schematic for coaxial melt-electrospinning setup²⁹¹

Melt electrospinning has several distinct advantages over its solution-based counterpart, most notably environmental friendliness and low cost due to the absence of solvent.²⁹² There is also the particular advantage when using materials with different melting points such as coaxial nanofibres need to be incorporated for phase change applications. Processing of fibres from melts is a widely used method in commercial fibre fabrication. Using the melt electrospinning technique, commodity polymers such as poly propylene (PP) and poly ethylene terephthalate (PET) have been directly drawn into nonwoven fibres.²⁹² However, coaxial melt electrospinning has not been reported to be used for fabrication of biofibres to the best knowledge of the author.

1.3.3.2. *Methods Descended from Wet-spinning*

Although coaxial electrospinning and its earlier discussed derived approaches were applied by many researchers to produce coaxial fibres, only a few reports were appeared in the literature reported the successful fabrication of hybrid fibres *via* coaxial wet-spinning methodology to the knowledge of author. This might be due to the complexity of this method because of plurality of parameters involved in the successful formation of a core-sheath structure inside a coagulation bath. In the first instance, the fabrication of wet-spun coaxial fibres appears a straightforward task; two different components are injected through a coaxial spinneret at once into a proper coagulation bath to form a coaxial structure. However, this simple approach presents several challenges. Many parameters are needed to be controlled and regulated in order to hold both components in a coaxial structure. Among those, the solution properties are known as the key factors affecting the spinning process including mainly the material concentrations, viscosities, surface charges, surface tensions, polymer natures and functionalities and so on. However, less important considerations such as systematic variables (injection rates (V_i), core to sheath injection rates ratio, take-up velocity (V_t), coagulation bath constituents, drawing velocity (V_d), spinneret specialties, post-treatment processes, etc.) and ambient conditions (temperature, post-spinning conditions) could be also influenced the process as well as final fibre properties significantly. Up to date, different examples of coaxial fibres have been reported using a number of materials such as conducting polymers, metals, natural polymers and carbon-based components *via* various production methods. Most efforts have been focussed on approaches based on electrospinning to date to produce coaxial structures. Some of the main techniques to produce coaxial fibres and yarns are described in the following sections. However,

among earlier efforts to produce wet-spun coaxial fibres, few methods could be found sharing similar procedures to that of coaxial wet-spinning described below.

1.3.3.2.1. Production of Hollow Fibres

Many different types of semipermeable hollow fibres have been prepared by means of the melt, dry or wet-spinning techniques.^{3,293,294} The procedure of achieving a hollow structure is quite similar to that of coaxial wet-spinning in which the sheath spinning solution was delivered from a chamber to the external spinneret nozzle through injection, whereas the core fluid is usually substituted simply with pressurized water or the coagulant fluid injected to the central nozzle. These fibres are being applied in various purposes such as gas separation, ultrafiltration, reverse osmosis as well as many biological applications including drug delivery, dialysis and tissue engineering.

First attempts to produce hollow fibres *via* wet-spinning might go back to the year 1976 when Cabasso *et al.* presented a method to develop porous polysulfone hollow fibres as supports for ultrathin membrane coatings useful in high-pressure reverse osmosis processes.²⁹³ Later on, Aptel and his co-workers reported of producing asymmetric hollow fibres from a three-component dope mixture containing polysulfone, polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), and N,N-dimethylacetamide using wet-dry-spinning technique.²⁹⁵ Then, another research group produced polymer hollow fibre membranes for removal of toxic substances from blood in 1989.²⁹⁶

Delivery systems with diverse release profiles spanning from a few days to several months have been achieved by encapsulation of biological molecules as well as drug reservoirs into the core of hollow fibres or chemically crosslinking or adsorbing therapeutics to the surfaces of fibres. With regard to the encapsulation of drugs within wet-spun filaments, a critical issue is obtaining the appropriate release

characteristics and mechanical integrity for specific cell type/tissue architecture. Phillips et al. have reported of fabrication of PVDF hollow fibre for drug delivery to tumour cells. Polacco and his colleagues also described a hollow PLGA fibre fabrication as a controlled drug release system.²⁹⁷ Lee *et al.* have described a new method for encapsulation of human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG₂) cells in wet-spun chitosan-alginate microfibrils.²⁹⁸ In addition to those mentioned, many other research groups reported on using a hollow fibre structure for delivering biomolecules.^{299–303}

1.3.3.2.2. *Wet-spun Core-skin Fibres via Coating*

Preparation of so-called core-skin fibres is another method ending up to similar structures to that of coaxial wet-spun fibres which was applied by several research groups, so far. Actually, this approach is same as the traditional wet-spinning method. However, the coagulation bath which the polymer participates in is altered with a second material. Consequently, a thin layer of the second component is formed on fibres like a skin. A few fibre companies have recently reported on the production of skin-core fibres. Mitsubishi Rayon Co. Ltd. has succeeded in the development of series of core-sheath multifunctional acrylic fibres whose core is filled with different materials using a wet process in 2006.³⁰⁴ A. Niekraszewicz *et al.* also reported of producing alginate-chitosan fibres characterised by very high water retention values of up to 1300% makes them suitable for use in sanitary and medical products.³⁰⁵ Later on, A. Granero *et al.* described a method for fabrication of CNT–biopolymer core-skin fibres thru injecting either a dispersion of CNT into a coagulation bath based on carrageenan and chitosan with opposite charge.²⁷³ Recently, another researcher has taken a step forward by loading with an antibiotic

drug of ciprofloxacin hydrochloride into PEDOT: PSS as the inner core to the electropolymerised outer shell layer of Ppy.¹²²

1.3.3.2.3. Coaxial Wet-spinning

Despite those preliminary studies to produce fibres with similar structures to that of the coaxial fibres such as hollow and core-skin fibres mentioned previously,^{122,273,3,274} there are only a few reports in the literature of fabrication of coaxial fibres using a coaxial spinnerets for wet-spinning.²⁷⁵ The major difference of conventional wet-spinning with the coaxial method is that in the coaxial process, two different polymer solutions are injected into a coaxial spinneret together and are co-extruded into a bath while retaining a coaxial structure. A schematic of coaxial wet-spinning setup is shown in **Figure 1-11**.

Figure 1-11: Coaxial wet-spinning setup

Coaxial wet-spinning produces hollow or core-shell fibres that can be used for quite a lot of purposes such as controlled release applications, electronic textiles, sensors and actuators.^{306,307} As a matter of fact, for the successful production of coaxial fibres, several parameters are needed to be controlled and regulated. Thus, development of a simple yet effective wet-spinning approach for directly preparation

of sheath-protected fibre electrodes is still remained a challenge. Among those, solution properties are of great importance such as material concentrations, viscosities, surface charges, surface tensions, polymer natures and functionalities and etc. However, process parameters could also influence the process as well as the final fibre properties significantly. G. Park and his co-workers were successful to spin CNT/Poly (vinyl alcohol) fibres with a sheath-core structure *via* wet-spinning.³⁰⁸ Recently, Liang Kou *et al.* have also reported on the production of CMC/wrapped graphene/CNT coaxial fibres for supercapacitor applications.²⁷⁵

1.4. A Brief Overview of Fibre-based Scaffolds

Applications of CPFs in biological field were expanded later on with the discovery that these materials were compatible with many biological molecules in late 1980s.¹¹⁵ Most CPs present a number of important advantages for biomedical applications, including biocompatibility, ability to entrap and controllably release biological molecules, ability to transfer charge from a biochemical reaction, and the potential to alter the properties of the CPs to better suit the nature of the specific application.¹¹⁵ Conducting fibres can provide self-supporting three-dimensional, flexible structures suitable for in-vitro and in-vivo bionic applications compared to the films. These functional aspects may also require the overlap of certain characteristics for example for uses in implantable batteries and bio-actuators.^{115,309} In more detail, storage or conversion of energy and provide the required biocompatibility. Today, the major bioapplications of CPFs are generally within the area of electrical stimulation and signal recording,^{116,310,311} drug-delivery devices,¹²² tissue-engineering scaffolds,^{114,154,312} and biosensors.^{111,115} Recently, there is a growing interest in using conducting fibres for neural tissue engineering applications. These conductive fibrillar pathways may provide appropriate replacements for nerve

fibres after injuries. Electrical stimulation has been shown to enhance the nerve regeneration process and this consequently makes the use of electrically conductive polymer fibres very attractive for the construction of scaffolds for nerve tissue engineering. For instance, Li et al investigated the feasibility to generate novel electrospun PANi-gelatin blended scaffolds as potential scaffolds for neural tissue engineering.³¹³ They reported that as-prepared fibers are biocompatible, supporting attachment, migration, and proliferation of rat cardiac myoblasts. In another study, the feasibility of fabricating a blended fibre of PANi–polypropylene as a conductive pathway was studied for neurobiological applications.³¹² In addition, production of conducting fibres for controlled drug release applications is currently of particular interest of many research groups. Fabrication of PEDOT:PSS-chitosan hybrid fibres was described using a novel wet spinning strategy to achieve a controlled release of an antibiotic drug.¹²² Still, there remain limitations for use of CPs due to their manufacturing costs, material inconsistencies, poor solubility in solvents and inability to directly melt process. Moreover, oxidative dopants could diminish their solubility in organic solvents and water and hence their processability.

1.5. Thesis Objectives

This thesis aims to develop coaxial and triaxial electroactive fibres to be potentially used as electrodes for biomedical applications. In this study, a novel wet spinning approach is developed that employs a coaxial spinneret for production of core-sheath fibres which could potentially provide a mechanism for the safe electrical stimulation of tissue whilst also avoiding undesirable cell damage. The electroactive nature of organic conductors such as graphene and conducting polymers enables improved mechanical and electrical properties for electrical stimulation purposes, while the

biofriendly character of hydrogels would be beneficial in enhancing the electro-cellular communication process.

Among the many production techniques available, advanced fibre processing methods such as coaxial and triaxial spinning has attracted a great deal of attention. Coaxial electrospinning which has been extensively utilised to generate hollow and core-sheath nanofibres, showed some deficiencies such as unfeasibility of testing the mechanical properties of individual fibres, difficulties for fibres preparation from charged polymer backbones and limitations for using viscous spinning solutions as well as selection of solvent. Thus, although this method shows a lot of promise, these restrictions are placed on the spinnability of certain polymers by solution parameters like viscosity and surface charging. Recently, wet-spinning has been utilised to enable a simple yet effective approach to directly prepare sheath-protected fibres. The fabrication of wet-spun coaxial fibres appears a straightforward task at first glance; however, many parameters are needed to be controlled and regulated in order to hold both components in a coaxial arrangement which mainly include the solution parameters combined with process variables. Coaxial electrospinning were applied by many researchers to produce coaxial fibres; however, only a few reports described the successful fabrication of hybrid fibres *via* coaxial wet-spinning methodology to the knowledge of author. This might be due to the complexity of this method because of plurality of parameters involved in the successful formation of a core-sheath structure inside a coagulation bath.

Specifically, we have developed a one-step wet-spinning method to produce coaxial fibres using a couple of conductors and hydrogels *via* a facile continuous technique. Subsequently, we tried CVD technique which facilitates deposition of another organic conductor on the surface of coaxial fibres for the structural simulation of

battery structures. Spinnability of coaxial fibres considering spinning conditions such as appropriate choice of hydrogel, appropriate concentrations and viscosity, injection flow rate of each spinning solution, identification of suitable reducing agent selection and reduction method have been investigated in this thesis. As-prepared fibres were also characterised in terms of mechanical, electrical, electrochemical, swelling and biological properties to be optimized for use in biomedical applications.

1.6. References

- 1 J. Sun and H. Tan, *Materials (Basel)*, 2013, **6**, 1285–1309.
- 2 M. Dash, F. Chiellini, R. M. Ottenbrite and E. Chiellini, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2011, **36**, 981–1014.
- 3 A. Chwojnowski and C. Wojciechowski, *Biocybern Biomed Eng*, 2009, **29**, 47–59.
- 4 C. Descoteaux, J. Provencher-Mandeville, I. Mathieu, V. Perron, S. K. Mandal, E. Asselin and G. Berube, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2003, **13**, 3927–3931.
- 5 P. Ducheyne and Q. Qiu, *Biomaterials*, 1999, **20**, 2287–2303.
- 6 Y. Ramaswamy, C. Wu, H. Zhou and H. Zreiqat, *Acta Biomater.*, 2008, **4**, 1487–1497.
- 7 T. Rae, *Biomaterials*, 1986, **7**, 37–40.
- 8 D. J. Wever, A. G. Veldhuizen, M. M. Sanders, J. M. Schakenraad and J. R. Van Horn, *Biomaterials*, 1997, **18**, 1115–1120.
- 9 Y. Kimura, A. Hokugo, T. Takamoto, Y. Tabata and H. Kurosawa, *Tissue Eng.*, 2008, **14**, 47–57.
- 10 J. M. G. Swann and A. J. Ryan, *Polym Int*, 2009, **58**, 285–289.
- 11 B. Y. Osada and J. Gong, *Adv. Mater*, 1998, **10**, 827–837.
- 12 J. L. Drury and D. J. Mooney, *Biomaterials*, 2003, **24**, 4337–4351.
- 13 L. Ionov, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 2014, **17**, 494–503.
- 14 E. W. H. Jager, in *Proc. of SPIE Vol.*, 2012, vol. 8340, pp. 1–10.
- 15 S. K. De, N. R. Aluru, B. Johnson, W. C. Crone, D. J. Beebe and J. Moore, *J. Microelectromech. Syst.*, 2002, **11**, 544–555.
- 16 J. L. Jain, J. Sunjay and J. Nitin, in *Fundamentals of Biochemistry*, 2005, pp. 114–131.
- 17 A. Martínez, A. Fernández, E. Pérez, M. Benito, J. M. Teijón and M. D. Blanco, in *The Delivery of Nanoparticles*, InTech, 2010, pp. 185–222.
- 18 J. Wang, X. Huang, J. Xiao, W. Yu., W. Wang, W. Xie, Y. Zhang and X. Ma, *J.*

- 19 B. He, M. Leung and M. Zhang, *J. Undergrad. Res. Bioeng.*, 31–35.
- 20 L. S. Nair and C. T. Laurencin, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2007, **32**, 762–798.
- 21 P. B. Malafaya, G. a Silva and R. L. Reis, *Adv. drug. Del. Rev.*, 2007, **59**, 207–233.
- 22 J. Suh and H. Matthew, *Biomaterials*, 2000, **21**, 2589–98.
- 23 P. Dutta, K. Rinki and J. Dutta, *Chit. Biomater. II*, 2011, **244**, 45–80.
- 24 K. El-Tahlawy and S. Hudson, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2006, **100**, 1162–1168.
- 25 *Br. Pat.*, 1881, 142.
- 26 V. Bansal, P. Sharma and N. Sharma, *Advan. Biol. Res.*, 2011, **5**, 28–37.
- 27 A. Moshaverinia, S. Ansari, C. Chen, X. Xu, K. Akiyama, M. L. Snead, H. H. Zadeh and S. Shi, *Biomater.*, 2013, **34**, 6572–6579.
- 28 A. F. Quigley, K. J. Bulluss, I. L. B. Kyratzis, K. Gilmore, T. Mysore, K. S. U. Schirmer, E. L. Kennedy, M. O’Shea, Y. B. Truong, S. L. Edwards, G. Peeters, P. Herwig, J. M. Razal, T. E. Campbell, K. N. Lowes, M. J. Higgins, S. E. Moulton, M. A. Murphy, M. J. Cook, G. M. Clark, G. G. Wallace and R. M. I. Kapsa, *J. Neural Eng.*, 2013, **10**, 1–17.
- 29 A. Costa-Pinto, R. Reis and N. Neves, *Tissue Eng. B*, 2011, **17**, 331–47.
- 30 Y. Qin, *Polym. Int.*, 2008, **57**, 171–180.
- 31 A. Mirabedini, J. Foroughi, T. Romeo and G. G. Wallace, *Macromol. Mater. Eng.*, 2015, **300**, 1217–1225.
- 32 Y. Qin, *Text. Res. J.*, 2005, **75**, 165–168.
- 33 Y. Qin, *Int. wound J.*, 2005, **2**, 172–176.
- 34 A. B. G. Lansdown, *Curr Probl Dermatol.*, 2006, **33**, 17–34.
- 35 M. Walker and D. Parsons, *Int. wound J.*, 2014, **11**, 496–504.
- 36 Y. Qin, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2004, **91**, 2–6.
- 37 Y. Qin, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 2008, **19**, 6–14.
- 38 T. Sonia and C. Sharma, *Adv. Polym. Sci.*, 2011, **243**, 23–54.
- 39 O. Agboh and Y. Qin, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 1998, **8**, 355–365.

- 40 E. Khor and L. Yong, *Biomaterials*, 2003, **24**, 2339–2349.
- 41 M. Kumar, *Bull Mater. sci.*, 1999, **22**, 905–915.
- 42 R. Jayakumar, M. Prabakaran, P. Kumar, S. Nair and H. Tamura, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2011, **29**, 322–337.
- 43 S. Hirano and E. Bash, *Macromol. Symp.*, 2001, **168**, 21–30.
- 44 Y. C. Wei, S. M. Hudson and J. M. Mayer, *J. Polym. Sci.A*, 1992, **30**, 2187–2193.
- 45 R. Song, R. Xue, L. He, Y. Liu and Q. Xiao, *Chinese J. Polym. Sci.*, 2008, **26**, 621–630.
- 46 H. Xie, S. Zhang and S. Li, *Green Chem.*, 2006, **8**, 630–633.
- 47 K. Y. Lee and D. J. Mooney, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2012, **37**, 106–126.
- 48 C. Han, L. Zhang, J. Sun, H. Shi, J. Zhou and C. Gao, *Biomed & Biotechnol*, 2010, **11**, 524–530.
- 49 C. Tangsadthakun, S. Kanokpanont, N. Sanchavanakit, T. Banaprasert and S. Damrongsakkul, *J. Met. Mater. Miner.*, 2006, **16**, 37–44.
- 50 L. Ma, C. Gao, Z. Mao, J. Zhou and J. Shen, *Biomater.*, 2003, **24**, 4833–4841.
- 51 X. Wang, Y. Yan, Z. Xiong, F. Lin, R. Wu, R. Zhang and Q. Lu, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B Appl. Biomater.*, 2005, **75B**, 91–98.
- 52 M. Iqbal and S. Æ. Xiaoxue, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2009, **44**, 5713–5724.
- 53 T. H. Ang, F. S. A. Sultana, D. W. Hutmacher, Y. S. Wong, J. Y. H. Fuh, X. M. Mo, H. T. Loh, E. Burdet and S. H. Teoh, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C*, 2002, **20**, 35–42.
- 54 A. A. Abbas, S. Y. Lee, L. Selvaratnam, N. Yusof and T. Kamarul, *Eur. Cell. Mater.*, 2008, **16**, 50–51.
- 55 N. M. Breyner, A. A. Zonari, J. L. Carvalho, V. S. Gomide, D. Gomes and A. M. Góes, in *Biomaterials Science and Engineering*, InTech Published, Institute of Biologic Science, Department of Biochemistry and Immunology, Brazil, 2011, pp. 211–226.
- 56 A. Hussain, G. Collins, D. Yip and C. H. Cho, *Biotech. Bioeng.*, 2012, **110**, 1–11.
- 57 A. Martins, R. L. Reis and N. M. Neves, *Nanomedi.*, 2007, **2**, 929–942.

- 58 T. Zhang, L. Q. Wan, Z. Xiong, A. Marsano, R. Maidhof, M. Park, Y. Yan and G. Vunjak-novakovic, *J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med.*, 2012, **6**, 748–756.
- 59 S. Koo, S. J. Ahn, Z. Hao, J. . C. Wang and E. K. Yim, *Cell. Mol. Bioeng.*, 2011, **4**, 399–410.
- 60 K. I. Draget, P. O. Smidsrød and P. G. Skjåk-brink, in *Polysaccharides and Polyamides in the Food Industry. Properties, Production and Patents.*, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2005, pp. 1–30.
- 61 L. Wang, C. Li, Y. Chen, S. Dong, X. Chen and Y. Zhou, *Biomed. Res. Int.*, 2013, **2013**, 1–13.
- 62 N. Bhattarai, J. Gunn and M. Zhang, *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.*, 2010, **62**, 83–99.
- 63 M. P. Patel, R. R. Patel and J. K. Patel, *J Pharm Pharm. Sci*, 2010, **13**, 536–57.
- 64 G. East and Y. Qin, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2003, **50**, 1773–1779.
- 65 Y. Wan, X. Cao, S. Zhang, S. Wang and Q. Wu, *Acta Biomater.*, 2008, **4**, 876–886.
- 66 U. Longo, M. Loppini, A. Berton, F. Spiezia, N. Maffulli and V. Denaro, *Stem Cells Int.*, 2012, **v.2012**, 175038.
- 67 B. M. Doucet, A. Lam and L. Griffin, *Yale J. Biol. Med.*, 2012, **85**, 201–215.
- 68 M. Hsu, S. Wei, Y. Chang and C. Gung, *sensors*, 2011, **11**, 1932–1942.
- 69 S. Meng, M. Rouabhia, Z. Zhang, D. De, F. De and U. Laval, in *Applied Biomedical Engineering*, 2011, pp. 37–62.
- 70 A. Rupp, U. Dornseifer, A. Fischer, W. Schmahl, K. Rodenacker, J. Uta, P. Gais, E. Biemer, N. Papadopoulos and K. Matiassek, *J. Neurosci. Methods*, 2007, **166**, 266–277.
- 71 Z. Wei, *Science (80-.)*, 2014, **1373**, 1372–1376.
- 72 Y. Chao, E. Y. Chao and N. Inoue, *Eur. Cell. Mater.*, 2003, **6**, 72–85.
- 73 W. Lafayette, *Anal . Biomed. Eng.*, 2003, **31**, 879–890.
- 74 R. A. Green, R. T. Hassarati, J. A. Goding, S. Baek, N. H. Lovell, P. J. Martens and L. A. Poole-Warren, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2012, **12**, 494–501.
- 75 D. O. K. Kim, *Platin. Met. Rev.*, 2001, **45**, 74–82.
- 76 S. P. Lacour, S. Benmerah, E. Tarte, J. Fitzgerald, J. Serra, S. McMahon, J. Fawcett,

- O. Graudejus, Z. Yu and B. Morrison, *Med Biol Eng Comput*, 2010, **48**, 945–954.
- 77 K. a Ludwig, J. D. Uram, J. Yang, D. C. Martin and D. R. Kipke, *J. Neural Eng.*, 2006, **3**, 59–70.
- 78 M. S. Humayun, J. D. Weiland, G. Y. Fujii, R. Greenberg, R. Williamson, J. Little, B. Mech, V. Cimarusti, G. Van Boemel, G. Dagnelie and E. De Juan, *Vision Res.*, 2003, **43**, 2573–2581.
- 79 E. Ben-jacob and Y. Hanein, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, **18**, 5181–5186.
- 80 G. Wallace, S. Moulton, R. Kapsa and M. Higgins, in *Organic Bionics*, 2012, p. 240.
- 81 M. I. Katsnelson, *Mater. Today*, 2007, **10**, 20–27.
- 82 R. Jalili, University of Wollongong, 2012.
- 83 D. Li, M. Müller, S. Gilje, R. B. Kaner and G. G. Wallace, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2008, **3**, 101–106.
- 84 H. Cheng, C. Hu, Y. Zhao and L. Qu, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2014, **6**, e113.
- 85 Z. Xu and C. Gao, *Nat Commun*, 2011, **2**, 571–579.
- 86 H. Cong, X. Ren, P. Wang and S. Yu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, **613**, 1–6.
- 87 R. Jalili, H. Aboutalebi, D. Esrafilzadeh, R. Shepherd, J. Chen, S. Aminorroaya-yamini, K. Konstantinov, A. Minett, J. Razal and G. Wallace, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 5345–5354.
- 88 X. Fan, W. Peng, Y. Li, X. Li, S. Wang, G. Zhang and F. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 4490–4493.
- 89 J. Rourke, P. Pandey, J. Moore, M. Bates, I. Kinloch, R. Young and N. R. Wilson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 3173–3177.
- 90 H. Shirakawa, E. J. Louis, A. G. MacDiarmid, C. K. Chiang and A. J. Heeger, *J.C.S. Chem. Comm.*, 1977, **16**, 578–580.
- 91 T. C. Street and G. B. Clarke, *IBM J. Res Dev.*, 1981, **25**, 51–57.
- 92 A. G. Macdiarmid, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 2581–2590.
- 93 P. Chandrasekhar, *CONDUCTING POLYMERS: Fundamentals and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.

- 94 G. G. Wallace and L. A. P. Kane-maguire, *Adv. Mater.*, 2002, **14**, 953–960.
- 95 A. F. Diaz, K. K. Kanazawa and G. P. Gardini, *J.C.S. Chem. Comm.*, 1979, 635–636.
- 96 G. M. Spinks, B. Xi, V. Truong and G. G. Wallace, *Synth. Met.*, 2005, **151**, 85–91.
- 97 J. Wang, C. O. Too, D. Zhou and G. G. Wallace, *J. Power Sources*, 2005, **140**, 162–167.
- 98 Y. Lin and G. G. Wallace, *J. Control. Release*, 1994, **30**, 137–142.
- 99 J. N. Barisci, R. Stella, G. M. Spinks and G. G. Wallace, *Synth. Met.*, 2001, **124**, 407–414.
- 100 L. A. . Kane-Maguire, I. D. Norris and G. G. Wallace, *Synth. Met.*, 1999, **101**, 817–818.
- 101 L. A. P. Kane-maguire, A. G. Macdiarmid, I. D. Norris and G. G. Wallace, *Synth. Met.*, 1999, **106**, 171–176.
- 102 C. Wang, A. Ballantyne, S. Hall, C. Too, D. Officer and G. Wallace, *J. Power Sources*, 2006, **156**, 610–614.
- 103 C. Liu, C. Lin, C. Kuo, S. Lin and W. Chen, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2011, **36**, 603–637.
- 104 C. Li, H. Bai and G. Shi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 2149–2496.
- 105 M. Wan, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2009, **30**, 963–975.
- 106 J. Stejskal, I. Sapurina and M. Trchová, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2010, **35**, 1420–1481.
- 107 C. Laslau, Z. Zujovic and J. Travas-sejdic, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2010, **35**, 1403–1419.
- 108 A. J. Heeger, *Synth. Met.*, 2002, **125**, 23–42.
- 109 B. Semire and O. A. Odunola, *Aust. J. Bas. Appl. Sci.*, 2011, **5**, 354–359.
- 110 U. Tamer, Ç. Kanbeş, H. Torul and N. Ertaş, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 2011, **71**, 933–937.
- 111 L. Xia, Z. Wei and M. Wan, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2010, **341**, 1–11.
- 112 N. Gupta, S. Sharma, I. Mir and D. Kumar, *J. Sci. Ind. Res*, 2006, **65**, 549–557.
- 113 E. W. H. Jager, C. Immerstrand, K. Magnusson, O. Inganas and I. Lundstrom, in *Annual International IEEE-EMBS Special Topic Conference on Microtechnologies in Medicine & Biology*, 2000, vol. c, pp. 58–61.

- 114 Z. B. Huang, G. F. Yin, X. M. Liao and J. W. Gu, *Front. Mater. Sci.*, 2014, **8**, 39–45.
- 115 N. K. Guimard, N. Gomez and C. E. Schmidt, *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 32, 2007, **32**, 876–921.
- 116 B. A. F. Quigley, J. M. Razal, B. C. Thompson, S. E. Moulton, M. Kita, E. L. Kennedy, G. M. Clark, G. G. Wallace and R. M. I. Kapsa, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 1–5.
- 117 Y. Min, Y. Yang, Y. Poojari, Y. Liu, J. Wu, D. J. Hansford and A. J. Epstein, *Biomacromol.*, 2013, **14**, 1727–1731.
- 118 X. Lu, W. Zhang, C. Wang, T.-C. Wen and Y. Wei, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2011, **36**, 671–712.
- 119 B. E. Smela, *Adv. Mater.*, 2003, **15**, 481–494.
- 120 J. Foroughi, G. Spinks and G. Wallace, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2011, **155**, 278–284.
- 121 I. F. Perepichka, M. Besbes, E. Levillain, M. Salle and J. Roncali, *Chem. Mater.*, 2002, **14**, 449–457.
- 122 D. Esrafilzadeh, J. Razal, S. Moulton, E. Stewart and G. Wallace, *J. Control. Release*, 2013, **169**, 313–320.
- 123 S. Seyedin, J. M. Razal, P. C. Innis, A. Jeiranikhameneh, S. Beirne and G. G. Wallace, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 21150–21158.
- 124 A. Esfandiari and Department, *World Appl. Sci. J.*, 2008, **3**, 470–475.
- 125 G. G. Wallace, P. R. Teasdale, G. M. Spinks and L. A. P. Kane-Maguire, *Conductive electroactive polymers*, 2009.
- 126 B. Weng, R. L. Shepherd, K. Crowley, A. J. Killardb and G. G. Wallace, *Analyst*, 2010, **135**, 2779–2789.
- 127 H. H. Kipphan, *handbook of print media*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2001.
- 128 A. Earls and V. Baya, *Disruptive Manuf. Eff. 3D Print.*, 2014, 14.
- 129 T. C. Gomes, C. J. L. Constantino, E. M. Lopes, A. E. Job and N. Alves, *Thin Solid Films*, 2012, **520**, 7200–7204.
- 130 M. V Kulkarni, S. K. Apte, S. D. Naik, J. D. Ambekar and B. B. Kale, *Sens.*

- Actuators, B*, 2013, **178**, 140–143.
- 131 B. Weng, A. Morrin, R. Shepherd, K. Crowley, A. J. Killard, P. C. Innis and G. G. Wallace, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2014, **2**, 793–799.
- 132 M. F. Mabrook, C. Pearson and M. C. Petty, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2006, **115**, 547–551.
- 133 I. Zergioti, M. Makrygianni, P. Dimitrakis, P. Normand and S. Chatzandroulis, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2011, **257**, 5148–5151.
- 134 J. E. Fischer, X. Tang, E. M. Scherr, V. B. Cajipe and A. G. MacDiarmid, *Synth. Met.*, 1991, **43**, 661–664.
- 135 A. D. Jannakoudakis, P. D. Jannakoudakis, N. Pagalos and E. Theodoridou, *Electrochim. Acta*, 1993, **38**, 1559–1566.
- 136 K. T. Tzou and R. V. Gregory, *Synth. Met.*, 1995, **69**, 109–112.
- 137 B. R. Mattes, H. L. Wang and D. Yang, *Synth. Met.*, 1997, **84**, 45–49.
- 138 S. M. Unni, V. M. Dhavale, V. K. Pillai and S. Kurungot, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 14654–14661.
- 139 A. Dadras M. and A. Entezami, *Iran Polym. J.*, 1993, **3**, 2–12.
- 140 J. Roncali, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **92**, 711–738.
- 141 B. L. Groenendaal, F. Jonas, D. Freitag, H. Pielartzik and J. R. Reynolds, *Adv. Mater.*, 2000, **12**, 481–494.
- 142 X. Zhang, A. G. Macdiarmid and S. K. Manohar, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, **12**, 5328–5330.
- 143 M. Åkerfeldt, University of Borås, 2015.
- 144 E. Environ, D. Alemu, H. Wei, K. Ho and C. Chu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 9662–9671.
- 145 X. Guo, X. Liu, F. Lin, H. Li, Y. Fan and N. Zhang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 1–9.
- 146 M. M. Islam, A. T. Chidembo, S. H. Aboutalebi, D. Cardillo, H. K. Liu and E. Al, *Front. Mater. Sci.*, 2014, **2**, 1–21.
- 147 B. H. Kim, D. H. Park, J. Joo, S. G. Yu and S. H. Lee, *Synth. Met.*, 2005, **150**, 279–284.

- 148 W. Baik, W. Luan, R. H. Zhao, S. Koo and K. Kim, *Synth. Met.*, 2009, **159**, 1244–1246.
- 149 H. Okuzaki and M. Ishihara, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2003, **24**, 261–264.
- 150 H. Okuzaki, Y. Harashina and H. H. Yan, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2009, **45**, 256–261.
- 151 R. Jalili, J. M. Razal, P. C. Innis and G. G. Wallace, *Adv. Func. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 3363–3370.
- 152 D. Han, H. J. Lee and S. Park, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2005, **50**, 3085–3092.
- 153 T. V. Vernitskaya and O. N. Efimov, *Russ. Chem. rev.*, 1997, **443**, 443–457.
- 154 D. Ateh, H. Navsaria and P. Vадgama, *J. R. Soc. Interface*, 2006, **3**, 741–752.
- 155 S. Virji, J. Huang, R. B. Kaner and B. H. Weiller, *Nano Lett.*, 2004, **4**, 491–496.
- 156 J. Wu. and J. Pawliszyn, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2001, **909**, 37–52.
- 157 J. Foroughi, University of Wollongong, 2009.
- 158 D. Kim and Y. D. Kim, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2007, **13**, 879–894.
- 159 S. Kakuda, T. Momma and T. Osaka, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1995, **142**, 1–2.
- 160 C. J. Cui, G. M. Wu, H. Y. Yang, S. F. She, J. Shen, B. Zhou and Z. H. Zhang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, **55**, 8870–8875.
- 161 X. Li, X. Hao, H. Yu and H. Na, *Mater. Lett.*, 2008, **62**, 1155–1158.
- 162 P. Saville, *Polypyrrole, Formation and Use*, 2005.
- 163 N. M. Rowley and R. J. Mortimer, *Sci. Prog.*, 2002, **85**, 243–262.
- 164 J. Foroughi, G. M. Spinks, G. G. Wallace and P. G. Whitten, *Synth. Met.*, 2008, **158**, 104–107.
- 165 L. A. Mccullough, B. Dufour and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromol.*, 2009, **42**, 8129–8137.
- 166 Y. Li, X. Y. Cheng, M. Y. Leung, J. Tsang, X. M. Tao and M. C. W. Yuen, *Synth. Met.*, 2005, **155**, 89–94.
- 167 S. Xing and G. Zhao, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2007, **104**, 1987–1996.
- 168 B. Grunden and J. O. Iroh, *Polym. J.*, 1995, **36**, 559–563.
- 169 O. Flores, A. Romo-Urbe, M. E. Romero-Guzman, A. E. Gonzalez, R. Cruz-Silva

- and B. Campillo, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2009, **112**, 934–941.
- 170 F. Granato, A. Bianco, C. Bertarelli and G. Zerbi, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2009, **30**, 453–458.
- 171 S. Nair, S. Natarajan and S. Kim, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2005, **26**, 1599–1603.
- 172 H. Wang, N. Leukosol and Z. He, *Cellul.*, 2013, **20**, 1587–1601.
- 173 US 5795953, 1998, 7.
- 174 G. J. Lee, S. H. Lee, K. S. Ahn and K. H. Kim, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2002, **84**, 2583–2590.
- 175 E. J. Oh and K. S. Jang, *Synth. Met.*, 2001, **119**, 109–110.
- 176 Z. Qi and P. G. Pickup, *Chem. Mater.*, 1997, **9**, 2934–2939.
- 177 B. S. Li, C. W. Macosko and H. S. White, *Adv. Mater.*, 1993, **5**, 575–576.
- 178 C. Xu, P. Wang and X. Bi, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 1995, **58**, 2155–2159.
- 179 J. W. Cho and H. Jung, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 1997, **32**, 5371–5376.
- 180 M. B. Gholivand, M. M. Abolghasemi and P. Fattahpour, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2011, **704**, 174–9.
- 181 A. Maziz, A. Khaldi, N. Persson and E. W. H. Jager, in *Proc. of SPIE*, 2015, vol. 9430, pp. 1–6.
- 182 I. S. Chronakis, S. Grapenson and A. Jakob, *Polym. J.*, 2006, **47**, 1597–1603.
- 183 Y. Srivastava, I. Loscertales, M. Marquez and T. Thorsen, *Microfluid Nanofluid*, 2007, **4**, 245–250.
- 184 S. Sen, F. J. Davis, G. R. Mitchell and E. Robinson, *J. Phys.*, 2009, **183**, 12–20.
- 185 S. Maity and A. Chatterjee, *J. Compos.*, 2015, **2015**, 1–6.
- 186 S. Hamilton, M. J. Hepher and J. Sommerville, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2005, **107**, 424–432.
- 187 B. Geiger, A. Bershadsky, R. Pankov, K. M. Yamada and B. G. Correspondence, *Nat. Rev.*, 2001, **2**, 793–805.
- 188 N. Farra, University of Toronto, 2008.
- 189 D. H. Reneker and I. Chun, *Nanotech.*, 1996, **7**, 216–223.

- 190 S. Agarwal, J. H. Wendorff and A. Greiner, *Polymer (Guildf)*., 2008, **49**, 5603–5621.
- 191 Z. Huang, Y.-Z. Zhang, M. Kotaki, S. Ramakrishna and S. R. Zheng-Ming Huang, Y.-Z. Zhang, M. Kotaki, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2003, **63**, 2223–2253.
- 192 P. Bhattarai, K. B. Thapa, R. B. Basnet and Saurav Sharma, *IJBAR*, 2014, **5**, 79–80.
- 193 A. Ziebigki, *Fundamentals of Fibre Formation*, Wiley, London, 2010.
- 194 Y. Srivastava, M. Marquez and T. Thorsen, *Biomicrofluidics*, 2009, **3**, 12801.
- 195 J. Kameoka, R. Orth, Y. Yang, D. Czaplewski, R. Mathers, G. W. Coates and H. G. Craighead, *Nanotech.*, 2003, **1124**, 1124–1129.
- 196 F. Elahi, W. Lu, G. Guoping and F. Khan, *J. Bioeng. Biomed. Sci.*, 2013, **3**, 1–14.
- 197 S. N. Khan, Ohio University, 2007.
- 198 R. Dersch, T. Liu, A. K. Schaper, A. Greiner and J. H. Wendorff, *J. Polym. Sci.A*, 2003, **41**, 545–553.
- 199 J. Wang, X. Huang, J. Xiao, N. Li, W. Yu, W. Wang, W. Xie, X. Ma and Y. Teng, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2010, **21**, 497–506.
- 200 A. Greiner, J. H. Wendorff, A. L. Yarin and E. Zussman, *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, 2006, **71**, 387–393.
- 201 R. Jayakumar, M. Prabakaran and P. T. S. Kumar, in *Biomedical Engineering, Trends in Materials Science*, InTech, Amrita Centre for Nanosciences and Molecular Medicine, India, 1990, pp. 3–25.
- 202 Y.-S. Lee and T. Livingston Arinzeh, *Polymers (Basel)*., 2011, **3**, 413–426.
- 203 S. I. Jeong, D. Ph, M. D. Krebs, C. A. Bonino, J. E. Samorezov, S. A. Khan and E. Alsberg, *TISSUE Eng. Part A*, 2011, **17**.
- 204 B. Dong, O. Arnoult, M. E. Smith and G. E. Wnek, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2009, **30**, 539–542.
- 205 M. H. Xuejun Xin, *Biomaterials*, 2007, **28**, 316–325.
- 206 X. Zong, H. Bien, C. Y. Chung, L. Yin, D. Fang, B. S. Hsiao, B. Chu and E. Entcheva, *Biomaterials*, 2005, **26**, 5330–5338.
- 207 L. Ghasemi-Mobarakeh, M. P. Prabhakaran, M. Morshed, M. H. Nasr-Esfahani and

- S. Ramakrishna, *Tissue Eng.:A*, 2009, **15**, 3605–3619.
- 208 X. Xu, X. Chen, P. Ma, X. Wang and X. Jing, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, 2008, **70**, 165–170.
- 209 X. Xu, X. Chen, Z. Wang and X. Jing, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, 2009, **72**, 18–25.
- 210 Y.-C. Ho, F.-M. Huang and Y.-C. Chang, *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater.*, 2007, **83**, 340–344.
- 211 Z. M. Huang, C. L. He, A. Yang, Y. Zhang, X. J. Han, J. Yin and Q. Wu, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. - Part A*, 2006, **77**, 169–179.
- 212 K. Kim, Y. K. Luu, C. Chang, D. Fang, B. S. Hsiao, B. Chu and M. Hadjiargyrou, *J. Control. Release*, 2004, **98**, 47–56.
- 213 X. Xu, X. Chen, X. Xu, T. Lu, X. Wang, L. Yang and X. Jing, *J. Control. Release*, 2006, **114**, 307–316.
- 214 J. Xie and C. H. Wang, *Pharm. Res.*, 2006, **23**, 1817–1826.
- 215 H. Jiang, Y. Hu, Y. Li, P. Zhao, K. Zhu and W. Chen, *J. Control. Release*, 2005, **108**, 237–243.
- 216 S. Y. Chew, J. Wen, E. K. F. Yim and K. W. Leong, *Biomacromolecules*, 2005, **6**, 2017–2024.
- 217 H. Nie and C. H. Wang, *J. Control. Release*, 2007, **120**, 111–121.
- 218 A. Repanas, W. Wolkers, M. Müller, O. Gryshkov and B. Glasmacher, *J. Silico Vitro. Pharmacol.*, 2015, **1**, 1–10.
- 219 L. Maleknia and Z. Rezazadeh Majdi, *Orient. J. Chem.*, 2014, **30**, 2043–2048.
- 220 K. A. Khalil, H. Fouad, T. Elsarnagawy and F. N. Almajhdi, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2013, **8**, 3483–3493.
- 221 S. Talebian, M. M. Mehrali, S. Mohan, H. rao Balaji raghavendran, M. M. Mehrali, H. M. Khanlou, T. Kamarul, A. M. Afifi and A. A. Abass, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 49144–49152.
- 222 E. A. Krogstad and K. A. Woodrow, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2014, **475**, 282–291.
- 223 C. Hu, R. H. Gong and F. L. Zhou, *Int. J. Polym. Sci.*, 2015, **2015**, 1–12.

- 224 M.-S. Khil, D.-I. Cha, H.-Y. Kim, I.-S. Kim and N. Bhattarai, *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater.*, 2003, **67**, 675–679.
- 225 H. R. ao Balaji Raghavendran, S. Puvaneswary, S. Talebian, M. Raman Murali, S. Vasudevaraj Naveen, G. Krishnamurithy, R. McKean and T. Kamarul, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, e104389.
- 226 S. Ali, Z. Khatri, K. W. Oh, I. S. Kim and S. H. Kim, *Macromol. Res.*, 2014, **22**, 562–568.
- 227 X. Ren, A. Akdag, C. Zhu, L. Kou, S. D. Worley and T. S. Huang, *J Biomed Mater Res.A*, 2009, **91**, 385–390.
- 228 K. A, A. A, P.-B. N, T. F and A. N, *Curr Drug Deliv.*, 2015.
- 229 J. Venugopal, L. L. Ma, T. Yong and S. Ramakrishna, *Cell Biol. Int.*, 2005, **29**, 861–867.
- 230 M. Shin, O. Ishii, T. Sueda and J. P. Vacanti, *Biomaterials*, 2004, **25**, 3717–3723.
- 231 W. Li, C. T. Laurencin, E. J. Caterson, R. S. Tuan and F. K. Ko, *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater.*, 2002, **60**, 613–621.
- 232 P. o. Rujitanaroj, N. Pimpha and P. Supaphol, *Polymer (Guildf).*, 2008, **49**, 4723–4732.
- 233 R. W. Moncrieff, *Man-Made Fibres*, Wiley, Illustrate., 1970.
- 234 M. N. Gupta, A. K. Sengupta and V. Kothari, *Manufactured Fibre Technology*, Springer Science & Business Media, 1997.
- 235 US 8277711 B2, 2012, 3–6.
- 236 J. Jia, Georgia Institute of Technology, 2010.
- 237 C. Woodings, *Regenerated Cellulose Fibres*, CRC Press, illustrate., 2001.
- 238 K. Dogine, *Formation of fibers and development their structure: wet spinning and dry spinning*, The society of fiber science and technology, 1970.
- 239 E. Kang, G. S. Jeong, Y. Y. Choi, K. H. Lee, A. Khademhosseini and S.-H. Lee, *Nat Mater*, 2011, **10**, 877–883.
- 240 K. Tuzlakoglu, I. Pashkuleva, M. T. Rodrigues, M. E. Gomes, G. H. Van Lenthe, R.

- Muller and R. L. Reis, *J Biomed Mater Res. A*, 2010, **92**, 369–377.
- 241 D. Puppi, A. M. Piras, F. Chiellini, E. Chiellini, A. Martins, I. B. Leonor, N. Neves and R. Reis, *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*, 2011, **5**, 253–263.
- 242 H. Liu, W. Xu, H. Zou, G. Ke, W. Li and C. Ouyang, *Mater. Lett.*, 2008, **62**, 1949–1952.
- 243 J. M. Caves, W. Cui, J. Wen, V. A. Kumar, C. A. Haller and E. L. Chaikof, *Biomaterials*, 2011, **32**, 5371–5379.
- 244 D. Puppi, C. Mota, M. Gazzarri, D. Dinucci, A. Gloria, M. Myrzabekova, L. Ambrosio and F. Chiellini, *Biomed. Microdevices*, 2012, **14**, 1115–1127.
- 245 K. G. Cornwell and G. D. Pins, *Tissue Eng.:A*, 2010, **16**, 3669–77.
- 246 C. M. Hwang, A. Khademhosseini, Y. Park, K. Sun and S. H. Lee, *Langmuir*, 2008, **24**, 6845–6851.
- 247 H. H. Lu, J. A. Cooper, S. Manuel, J. W. Freeman, M. A. Attawia, F. K. Ko and C. T. Laurencin, *Biomaterials*, 2005, **26**, 4805–4816.
- 248 J. M. Razal, K. J. Gilmore and G. G. Wallace, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2008, **18**, 61–66.
- 249 D. M. Lavin, M. W. Harrison, L. Y. Tee, K. A. Wei and E. Mathiowitz, *J Biomed Mater Res.A*, 2012, **100 A**, 2793–2802.
- 250 E. M. Cronin, F. a Thurmond, R. Bassel-Duby, R. S. Williams, W. E. Wright, K. D. Nelson and H. R. Garner, *J Biomed Mater Res. A*, 2004, **69**, 373–381.
- 251 P. Yilgor, K. Tuzlakoglu, R. L. Reis, N. Hasirci and V. Hasirci, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**, 3551–3559.
- 252 M. R. Jung, I. K. Shim, E. S. Kim, Y. J. Park, Y. Il Yang, S. K. Lee and S. J. Lee, *J. Control. Release*, 2011, **152**, 294–302.
- 253 C. Y. Chiang, C. M. Mello, J. Gu, E. C. C. M. Silva, K. J. Van Vliet and A. M. Belcher, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 826–832.
- 254 N. K. Palakurthi, Z. M. Correa, J. J. Augsburger and R. K. Banerjee, *J. Ocul. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 2011, **27**, 151–156.
- 255 S. C. Neves, L. S. Moreira Teixeira, L. Moroni, R. L. Reis, C. A. Van Blitterswijk, N.

- M. Alves, M. Karperien and J. F. Mano, *Biomaterials*, 2011, **32**, 1068–1079.
- 256 D. Enea, F. Henson, S. Kew, J. Wardale, A. Getgood, R. Brooks and N. Rushton, *J Mater Sci Mater Med*, 2011, **22**, 1569–1578.
- 257 I. B. Leonor, M. T. Rodrigues, M. E. Gomes and R. L. Reis, *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*, 2010, **4**, 524–531.
- 258 H. L. Nie, Z. H. Ma, Z. X. Fan, C. J. Branford-White, X. Ning, L. M. Zhu and J. Han, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2009, **373**, 4–9.
- 259 C. Meier and M. E. Welland, *Biomacromolecules*, 2011, **12**, 3453–3459.
- 260 M. A. De Moraes and M. M. Beppu, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **130**, 3451–3457.
- 261 J. Li, D. Liu, C. Hu, F. Sun, W. Gustave, H. Tian and S. Yang, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2016, **136**, 1137–1143.
- 262 D. G. Yu, X. X. Shen, Y. Zheng, Z. H. Ma, L. M. Zhu and C. Branford-White, in *2nd International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering, iCBBE*, 2008, pp. 1375–1378.
- 263 T. Majima, T. Funakosi, N. Iwasaki, S.-T. T. Yamane, K. Harada, S. Nonaka, A. Minami and S.-I. I. Nishimura, *J. Orthop. Sci.*, 2005, **10**, 302–7.
- 264 D. Zhang, *Advances in Filament Yarn Spinning of Textiles and Polymers*, Woodhead Publishing, 2014.
- 265 US3485800 A, 1969, 6–11.
- 266 S. Hooshmand, Y. Aitomäki, A. P. Mathew and K. Oksman, in *Green Chemistry and Nanotechnologies in Polymer Chemistry*, 2014.
- 267 C. Zhang, Y. Zhang, H. Shao and X. Hu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 3349–3358.
- 268 A. Yarin, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 2011, **22**, 310–317.
- 269 D. Yu, K. Branford-White, N. Chatterton, L. Zhu, L. Huang and B. Wang, *Express Polym. Lett.*, 2011, **5**, 732–741.
- 270 D. Han, S. T. Boyce and A. J. Steckl, *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.*, 2008, **1094**, 33–38.

- 271 X. Lu, Q. Zhao, X. Liu, D. Wang, W. Zhang, C. Wang and Y. Wei, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2006, **27**, 430–434.
- 272 J. Chang, Y.-H. Lee, M. Wu, M.-C. Yang and C. Chien, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2012, **87**, 2357–2361.
- 273 A. Granero, J. Razal, G. Wallace and M. Panhuis, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 7953–7956.
- 274 R. Nohemi, R. Araiza, C. Rochas, L. David and A. Domard, *Macromol. Symp.*, 2008, **266**, 1–5.
- 275 L. Kou, T. Huang, B. Zheng, Y. Han, X. Zhao, K. Gopalsamy, H. Sun and C. Gao, *Nat Commun*, 2014, **5**, 1–10.
- 276 V. Gupta, in *Manufactured Fibre Technology*, eds. V. B. Gupta and V. K. Kothari, Chapman & Hall, London, 1997, pp. 124–138.
- 277 C. Buragotaiin, M. Vojta, Y. Uchtyama, M. Nohara, T. Ino, I. Terasaki, A. Aharony, R. A. Cowtey, H. Yoshiiawa, A. Oguchi, A. H. C. Neto, M. Imada, W. Sandvik, A. H. C. Neto, R. J. Birgeneau, M. Greven, B. I. Halperin, D. R. Nelson, M. A. Kastner, H. E. Stanley, B. Harris, R. J. Birfieneau and A. H. Castro, *Science (80-.)*, 2002, **295**, 1695–1699.
- 278 D. H. Reneker and A. L. Yarin, *Polym. J.*, 2008, **49**, 2387–2425.
- 279 M. Wei, B. Kang, C. Sung and J. Mead, *Macromol. Mater. Eng.*, 2006, **291**, 1307–1314.
- 280 A. K. Moghe and B. S. Gupta, *Polym. Rev.*, 2008, **48**, 353–377.
- 281 I. C. Liao, S. Y. Chew and K. W. Leong, *Nanomedicine*, 2006, **1**, 465–71.
- 282 Y. Su, X. Li, H. Wang, C. He and X. Mo, *J Mater Sci Mater Med*, 2009, **20**, 2285–2294.
- 283 Y. Fu and W. J. Kao, *NIH Public Access*, 2011, **7**, 429–444.
- 284 Y. Li, F. Chen, J. Nie and D. Yang, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2012, **90**, 1445–1451.
- 285 S. Wongsasulak, M. Patapeejumruswong, J. Weiss, P. Supaphol and T. Yoovidhya, *J. Food Eng.*, 2010, **98**, 370–376.

- 286 Y. Zhang, Z. Huang, X. Xu, C. T. Lim and S. Ramakrishna, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **12**, 3406–3409.
- 287 K. Jalaja, D. Naskar, S. C. Kundu and N. R. James, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2016, **136**, 1098–1107.
- 288 X. Xu, X. Zhuang, X. Chen, X. Wang, L. Yang and X. Jing, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2006, **27**, 1637–1642.
- 289 Y. Yang, X. Li, W. Cui, S. Zhou, R. Tan and C. Wang, *J Biomed Mater Res.*, 2008, **86**, 374–385.
- 290 C. Luo, S. Stoyanov, E. Stride, E. Pelan and M. Edirisinghe, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 4708–4735.
- 291 F. Li, Y. Zhao and Y. Song, in *Nanofibers*, ed. A. Kumar, 2010, pp. 419–438.
- 292 J. T. McCann, M. Marquez and Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.*, 2006, **6**, 2868–2872.
- 293 I. Cabasso, E. Klein, J. K. Smith and G. South, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 1976, **20**, 2377–2394.
- 294 I. M. Wienk, F. H. A. O. Scholtenhuis, T. Van Den Boomgaard and C. A. Smolders, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 1995, **106**, 233–243.
- 295 P. Aptel, N. Abidine, F. Ivaldi and J. P. Lafaille, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 1985, **22**, 199–215.
- 296 G. Britain, M. P. Macmillan, G. D. Republic, P. Chemistry and E. Correns, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 1989, **14**, 597–627.
- 297 G. Polacco, M. G. Cascone, L. Lazzeri, S. Ferrara and P. Giusti, *Polym Int*, 2002, **51**, 1464–1472.
- 298 S. H. Lee, *Biomicrofluid.*, 2011, **5**, 22208-1-8.
- 299 USO0546445OA, 1995, 7.
- 300 M. J. Eenink, *Biomaterials*, 1987, **9**, 7–11.
- 301 US 2009/0220612 A1, 2009, 22.
- 302 US4965128, 1990, 6.
- 303 US007033603B2, 2003, 32.
- 304 S. Wakamiya, *Business Partners to Commercialize High Performance Core-Sheath*

- 305 A. Niekraszewicz and D. Ciechańska, *Fiber Text. East.*, 2006, **14**, 25–31.
- 306 W. E. Teo and S. Ramakrishna, *Nanotechnology*, 2006, **17**, R89–R106.
- 307 B. Gupta, N. Revagade and J. Hilborn, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2007, **32**, 455–482.
- 308 G. Park, Y. Jung, G. Lee, J. P. Hinstroza and Y. Jeong, *Fibers Polym.*, 2012, **13**, 874–879.
- 309 S. Li, K. Shu, C. Zhao, C. Wang, Z. Guo, G. Wallace and H. K. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 16679–16686.
- 310 G. G. Wallace, G. M. Spinks, A. P. Leon and P. R. Teasdale, *CONDUCTIVE ELECTROACTIVE Intelligent Materials Systems*, 2003.
- 311 S. Tsukada, H. Nakashima and K. Torimitsu, *PLoS One*, 2012, **7**, 1–10.
- 312 D. K. Cullen, A. R. Patel, J. F. Doorish, D. H. Smith and B. J. Pfister, *J. Neural Eng.*, 2008, **5**, 374–84.
- 313 M. Li, Y. Guo, Y. Wei, A. G. Macdiarmid and P. I. Lelkes, *Biomater.*, 2006, **27**, 2705–2715.

2. GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Components and Spinning Solutions

2.1.1. Materials

Chitosan (medium molecular weight (MMW)) with a degree of deacetylation of about 80.0%), chitosan (high molecular weight (HMW)) and alginic acid sodium salt from brown algae (medium molecular weight) were obtained from *Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC*. Acetic acid was supplied from *Ajax Finechem* and used directly without further purification. Calcium chloride fused dihydrate (molecular weight of 147.02 obtained from *Chem-supply*) was used as the coagulating agent. Sodium hydroxide pellets (obtained from *Ajax Finechem*) was also used as the coagulating agent. Isopropanol (ISP) was obtained from *Merck Chemicals*. PEDOT:PSS pellets were purchased from *Agfa* (Orgacon dryTM, Lot A6,000 AC) and used as supplied. GO in its Liquid Crystal form was synthesized in house as described in previous studies based on modified Hummers method.¹ L-Ascorbic acid were purchased from *Sigma-Aldrich Co*. Pyrrole was supplied in house and used as obtained.

2.1.2. Gel Spinning Precursors

Chitosan powder 3% ($w\ v^{-1}$) was dissolved in water containing 2.5% ($v\ v^{-1}$) acetic acid. The powder was dissolved in water and stirred overnight at a temperature of $\sim 50\ ^\circ\text{C}$ to form a homogenous solution. For the purpose of coaxial spinning, chitosan solution was prepared with three different concentrations of calcium chloride, 0.5, 1 and 2% ($w\ v^{-1}$), as the cross-linking agent for the sodium alginate.

Alginate spinning solution was produced by dissolving alginate powder in water while stirring overnight at $\sim 50\ ^\circ\text{C}$ to provide homogeneity for the spinning. The

sodium alginate in solution was then precipitated in a bath of aqueous calcium chloride (Ethanol/H₂O 1:5).

2.1.3. Graphene Oxide Liquid Crystal Dispersion

Liquid Crystal Graphene Oxide (LC GO) dispersions were prepared in house based on the typical previously reported modified Hummers method^{1,2} in which firstly 1 g of EG and 200 mL of sulphuric acid were mixed and stirred in a three neck flask for 24 hrs. After that, 5 g of KMnO₄ was added to the mixture and stirred at room temperature for 24 hrs. The mixture was then cooled in an ice bath and 200 mL of deionised water and 50 mL of H₂O₂ were poured slowly into the mixture resulting in a colour change to light brown followed by stirring for 30 min. The resulting dispersion was washed and centrifuged three times with a HCl solution (9:1 vol water: HCl). Repeated centrifugation-washing steps with deionised water were carried out until a solution pH ≥ 6 was achieved. Large GO sheets were re-dispersed in deionised water by gentle shaking without the need for sonication. Liquid crystalline (LC) GO dispersions formed spontaneously above a GO concentration of 0.02 w v⁻¹.

2.1.4. PEDOT: PSS Dispersion

Aqueous dispersions were made from PEDOT:PSS pellets holding the concentrations of up to 2.5% w v⁻¹. The dispersions were then undertaken a homogenising step (Labtek IKA^R T25) at 18,000 rpm for 15 min followed by 1 hr bath sonication (Branson B5500R-DTH). Another PEDOT:PSS solution was also made using the previously explained procedure. However, Polyethylene glycol (PEG) (Mw 2000) (10% w v⁻¹) was finally added to the formulation directly, homogenised at 18,000 rpm for more 2 min followed by 10 min bath sonication.

Wet-spinning of formulations prepared only by mechanical stirring or homogenization without further sonication, would cause relatively bigger particles in the dispersion to be accumulated at the needle tip and formed a frequent breaking during fibre formation (clearly visible in the fibre structure inside the coagulation bath). The formulations were furthered filtered with 1 μm sterile filters.

2.2. Experimental Methods

2.2.1. Spinning Techniques

Two types of fiber wet-spinning methods were utilized, rotary wet-spinning and long bath for coaxial wet-spinning.

2.2.1.1. Rotary Wet-spinning Approach

Pure hydrogel and GO fibres were spun *via* a rotary wet-spinning method. For this purpose, the spinning solutions were injected into a rotating petri-dish contained an appropriate coagulation bath through the thin nozzle of spinneret as depicted in **Figure 2-1**. In addition, to demonstrate continuous fibre wet-spinning, spinning trials were carried out using a custom-built wet-spinning setup (depicted in Figure 1-8, previously).

Figure 2-1: A schematic of rotary wet-spinning method

2.2.1.2. Coaxial Wet-spinning

Core-sheath fibres were successfully spun using a coaxial spinneret. For this purpose, a novel coaxial spinneret with two input ports was designed (schematically shown in **Figure 2-2**). Spinning solution of the core component was injected through port B and extruded through the centre outlet nozzle into the proper coagulation bath. Simultaneously, the sheath spinning solution was extruded as the sheath of the fibre, providing an outer casing for the core, by injection through port A which facilitates extrusion through the outer segment of the spinneret nozzle.

Figure 2-2: A schematic of coaxial spinneret

A schematic of the coaxial wet-spinning setup was previously shown in Figure 1-11. The setup consists of two injection syringes and pumps (*KDS100, KD Scientific Inc.*), connected to port A and B of the predesigned coaxial spinneret, a coagulation bath and a stretching collector (*Nakamura Service Co.*). Also the rates at which spinning solutions are injected with are quite important in successful formation of coaxial fibres. Generally speaking, the core component is needed to be delivered at a lower rate compared to the sheath material because it is needed to have sufficient time to be covered by the sheath material.

2.2.1.2.1. Calculation of Output Flow Rate

Considering V_i for each component the outlet sectional area diameter, the output flow rate was calculated according to the equation, $Q = VA$ ³ where Q represents the volumetric flow rate (m^3/hr), V the linear velocity (mL/hr) and A the cross-sectional area of spinneret head (m^2) during coaxial spinning.

Assuming a Newtonian flow, the shear rate during injection can be estimated from the flow rate (Q) and the inner radius of the spinneret (R), using **Equation 2-1** as below:

$$\gamma' = \frac{4Q}{\pi R^3} \quad \text{Equation 2-1}$$

Consequently, the viscosities of core and sheath solutions during the process could be approximately calculated.

2.2.1.3. *Rheological Characterisation of Spinning Solutions*

An understanding of the rheological properties of spinning solutions is essential to determine the optimum conditions for wet-spinning. This feature is regarded as the primary criterion for the selection of suitable concentrations of materials for the purpose of coaxial spinning. Viscosity changes in spinning solutions were measured in flow mode (cone and plate method) (angle: 2°, diameter: 60 mm) by Rheometer- (AR-G2 TA Instruments, USA) and repeated three times for each sample. Approximately 2 mL of spinning solutions/dispersions was loaded into the rheometer plate carefully not to shear or stretch the sample. Shear viscosity measurements were carried out three times for each sample at room temperature (~25 °C).

2.2.1.4. *Stereomicroscope Observation*

Digital images and measurements of prepared fibres (in their wet/dry states) were obtained. The fibre diameters were also measured using a LEICA M205 stereomicroscope and LAS 4.4 software.

2.2.1.5. *Low Vacuum Scanning Electron Microscopy*

The morphology of fibres, surface and cross-sectional structure, were examined in a JSM-6490LV SEM. Samples were prepared for imaging by immersion in SBF (for about 30 min) beforehand and then short lengths (about 5mm) were removed, drained of excess medium and inserted into holes (1 or 1.5 mm diameter) which had been pre-drilled into a small brass block (approximately 25 mm diameter x 10mm) (See **Figure 2-3** (a)). The holes allow the fibres to be inserted upright and protrude from the brass block (See Figure 2-3 (b)). The block containing the mounted fibres was then plunged into liquid nitrogen for about 45 seconds and a liquid nitrogen cooled razor blade was run across the surface of the block to fracture the fibres. The block was then quickly transferred to the LVSEM for examination. SEM images were taken in HV mode at 15 kV operating voltage and a spot size setting of 45. Due to their inherent water content, the fibres remained conductive for a period of 25 – 30 min in the SEM vacuum and so no coating was required.

Figure 2-3: (a) 25 mm x 10mm pre-drilled brass block, (b) wet fibres inserted upright into the holes and protrude from the brass block to be imaged under LVSEM

2.2.1.6. *Scanning Electron Microscopy*

The morphological structures of the fibre surface and cross-sections were observed by a JEOL JSM-7500 FESEM. Samples for imaging were prepared by cutting cross sections in liquid nitrogen using a scalpel blade. They were then coated (EDWARDS Auto 306) with a thin (10 nm) layer of Pt to aid with imaging and minimise beam heating effects. Cross-sections were analysed at 25 kV accelerating voltage and a spot size setting of 13 under High Vacuum (ultimately is of the order of 0.1mPa).

2.2.1.7. *Fourier transform infrared*

The Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was employed to examine which functional groups might be present in the structure. FTIR spectroscopy was performed in KBr pellet on a Shimadzu FTIR Prestige-21 spectrometer, in the 700–4000 cm^{-1} range with 4 cm^{-1} resolution.

2.2.1.8. *Dynamic Mechanical Analysis*

The mechanical properties of fibres were measured *via* performing tensile experiments. Tensile tests were carried out on a Dynamic Mechanical Tester (EZ-L

Tester from Shimadzu, Japan), at 2 mm min^{-1} and a gauge length of 10 mm. Average values of tensile strength and maximum strain were determined after repeating the test three times.

2.2.1.9. Thermogravimetric Analysis

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) was used to determine the decomposition temperature of both materials in produced fibres. As a result, the weight ratio of each hydrogel involved in forming the fibres can be roughly determined. Thermogravimetric measurements were made using a TA instruments Thermogravimetric Analyser (TGA) model TGA/SDTA851e. The temperature range studied was $25\text{--}800 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ at the heating rate of $2 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ under an air atmosphere. The mass of the sample pan was continuously recorded as a function of temperature.

2.2.1.10. UV-Visible Spectroscopy

An absorbance calibration curve for sample analysis was constructed using a Shimadzu UV 1601 spectrophotometer. UV–vis spectra of SBF solutions containing TB with different concentrations were recorded between 200 nm and 1100 nm. The details of the experiments are given in Section 3.2.3.2.

2.2.1.11. Cyclic Voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry was used to investigate the redox/electrochemical properties and determine the specific capacitance of as-prepared fibres. The experiment was performed on different fibre types inside both an aqueous and organic solutions of 1 Molar aqueous NaCl and phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBABF₄), respectively. A three-electrode cell composed of the coaxial fibre as the working electrode, an Ag/AgCl reference

electrode (for aqueous electrolytes) and a Pt mesh as an auxiliary electrode was utilized and an E-Corder 401 interface and a potentiostat (EDAQ) was employed. In case of organic electrolytes 0.1 M TBABF₄ in acetonitrile was used as the reference electrode. Steel wire with the average diameter of 25 μm was inserted into the fibre core while spinning. Each data point in the EC plots is an average result of three experiments.

In order to investigate the electrical storage and retention characteristic of the coaxial fibres, the specific capacitance can be calculated from capacitance versus time ($C-t$) curves achieved from the CV curve using the **Equation 2-2** given below:⁴

$$C = \frac{Q}{2 \times \Delta V \times m} \quad \text{Equation 2-2}$$

Where Q is the average integral area of CV curve, ΔV is the working voltage window and m is mass (g) of the electrode material which get gravimetric capacitance in $F\ g^{-1}$.

2.2.1.11.1. Insertion of Cotton-Steel Wire into Coaxial Fibre

To make a connection to the conductive core of the coaxial fibres, a cotton-steel wire with the average diameter of 20 μm was inserted into the fibre core during the spinning process. The flexible cotton-steel thread assembled into the core showed very low resistivity with an insignificant impact on the CV results (as shown is CV curves later on) providing also a conductive pathway for electrochemical measurement. To this end, a novel triaxial spinneret with three input ports was designed (schematically shown in **Figure 2-4**. The cotton-steel fibre was inserted into the port C which is embedded at the back of spinneret, while spinning solution of the core was injected through port B and the sheath component injected through port A, simultaneously.

Figure 2-4: Schematic of (a) lateral view and cross-section of triaxial spinneret

2.2.1.12. Conductivity Measurements

To determine electrical conductivity, a potential difference is applied across a sample material and the current flow measured based on “Ohm’s law” which is indicated in **Equation 2-3**.

$$I = V/R \quad \text{Equation 2-3}$$

Then, the conductivity of a material (σ) is defined as the reciprocal of the resistivity, according to the **Equation 2-4**;

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{1}{R_s d} \quad \text{Equation 2-4}$$

where ρ is the resistivity of the sample and d is the diameter of the fibre. To calculate the number, a linear in-house built four-point probe conductivity set-up with equal probe spacing of about 2.3 mm was employed to measure the room temperature conductivity of the monofilament fibers using a galvanostat current source (Princeton Applied Research Model 363) and a digital multimeter (HP Agilent 34401A). It is necessary for the fibres to entirely attach to the probes using silver paint. Conductivity is calculated from the surface of the fibres. Therefore, this method did not seem to be applicable on coaxial fibres.

2.2.1.13. *In-vitro Bioactivity Experiments*

The cytotoxicity, biocompatibility and proliferation of cells on the fibres were determined for different types of cells. Cell adhesion and proliferation on as-prepared fibres was evaluated without adding extra-cellular matrices to the fibres. Prior to use fibres in cell culture, about 30 mm lengths of fibres were fixed onto microscope slides with 4-well chamber wells (Lab Tek^RII, Thermo Fisher Scientific) glued on top which were then allowed to get dry overnight. Then, the samples underwent a sterilization process consisted of two washes in sterile condition of 70% ethanol (each for 30 min) and then four washes (each for 30 min) in sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS, *Sigma-Aldrich Co.*). Finally, they were kept in cell culture media overnight to remove all excess acid.

2.3. References

- 1 R. Jalili, H. Aboutalebi, D. Esrafilzadeh, R. Shepherd, J. Chen, S. Aminorroayayamini, K. Konstantinov, A. Minett, J. Razal and G. Wallace, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 5345–5354.
- 2 S. H. Aboutalebi, M. M. Gudarzi and Q. Bin Zheng, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 2978–2988.
- 3 R. S. Subramanian, *Pipe Flow Calculations*, 2012.
- 4 D. Yu, K. Goh, H. Wang, L. Wei, W. Jiang, Q. Zhang, L. Dai and Y. Chen, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **9**, 555–562.

3. PREPARATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF NOVEL HYBRID HYDROGEL FIBRES

Aspects of the work detailed in this chapter have been published (A. Mirabedini, J. Foroughi, T. Romeo, G. G. Wallace, “Development and characterisation of novel hybrid hydrogel fibres” *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering*, Volume 300 (12), pages 1217-1225 2015.)

3.1. Introduction

Tissue scaffolds can be synthesised from synthetic or biologically based bioresorbable polymers that act as a functional or inert framework for missing or malfunctioning human tissues and organs. The primary role of a scaffold is to provide a temporary substrate to which cells can adhere.¹ A scaffold should ideally promote attachment, migration, proliferation and differentiation of cells, as appropriate.¹ To achieve this, scaffolds must have certain physical characteristics tailored to tissue requirements, such as porosity, structural integrity, defined pore size and controlled degradability. Furthermore, the scaffold should provide the optimal biochemical microenvironment for the seeded cells.

Biopolymers are commonly used in clinical and biological applications for tissue engineering purposes.^{2–16} Chitosan and alginate are promising, naturally occurring, biopolymers. They possess several unique properties including biodegradability, biocompatibility, low toxicity, low immunogenicity and antimicrobial activity.¹⁷ These biopolymers can be obtained from different sources such as microbial and animal¹⁸ and are used in various applications such as wound dressings,^{19–23} tissue scaffolds,^{24–31} cell encapsulation,^{32,33} drug delivery^{34–40} and also as cell delivery vehicles.⁴¹ Due to their simple processability, they can be fabricated in various forms such as gels, fibres, nano and microspheres and scaffolds.^{14,42} An ongoing quest of several investigators is focused towards tissue engineering and repair through the transplantation of cells seeded onto biodegradable polymer scaffolds.^{43–45} Porous chitosan matrix has been used as a scaffold for skin,^{3,4,46} liver,⁵ bone and cartilage,^{7–10} cardiac,^{11,12,47} corneal⁴⁸ and vascular regenerative tissue remodelling.^{14,49,50}

Alginate has been extensively used as a scaffold for liver,¹⁶ bone,⁵¹ nerve⁵² and cartilage engineering.⁵³

Chitosan and alginate are two of the most important members of the “polysaccharides from non-human origin” group.⁵⁴ Chitosan is a cationic polymer derived from crustacean skeletons, while alginic acid is an anionic polymer, typically derived from brown algae.⁵⁵ Alginate is a linear, binary copolymer composed of 1, 4-linked β -D-mannuronic acid (M) and α -L-guluronic acid (G) monomers. The composition of alginate (the ratio of the two uronic acids and their sequential arrangements) varies with the source. Salts of alginic acid with monovalent cations such as sodium alginate are all soluble in water.⁵⁶ The high acid content allows alginic acid to undergo spontaneous and mild gelling in the presence of divalent cations, such as calcium ions. However, calcium alginate fibres have proven to be unstable structures as tissue scaffolds or drug vehicles for in-vivo usages due to its tendency to swell and dissolve in various ionic solutions which are present in the body.^{57,58} Structurally, chitosan is a semi-synthetically derived aminopolysaccharide which is the N-deacetylated product of chitin, i.e. poly-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-2-amino-2-deoxy- β -D-glucose.^{2,55,59,60} This hydrogel is highly reactive due to free amine groups and is readily soluble in weakly acidic solutions resulting in the formation of a cationic polymer with a high charge density.^{61–64} Chitosan can be produced in a variety of forms including films, fibres, nanoparticles and microspheres. Nevertheless the strong alkaline condition (pH>12) needed to form chitosan-based structures, can limit its utilization for loading most of drugs or bioactive molecules into it. Chitosan can also form ionic complexes with water-soluble anionic polymers such as alginate. Therefore, there has been an increased interest in fabricating alginate-chitosan polyion systems for several bioapplications such as cartilage and bone regeneration.⁶⁵

Both alginate and chitosan have been approved by the FDA for clinical use. Incorporating these two materials together in the one structure allows us to form polyanion–polycation complexes.⁶⁶ The alginate/chitosan polyelectrolyte complex has combined properties of the two individual components, such as more stable to pH change for shape-keeping than alginate or chitosan alone in aqueous medium⁶⁷ alongside providing the opportunity to use it as drug-loaded bioscaffolds. Chitosan-alginate hybrid polymer structures have also been shown to promote biological responses including enhancing cell attachment and proliferation.⁶⁸

Synthetic fibres are usually made *via* one of four typical spinning methods - wet-spinning, melt-spinning, dry-spinning or electrospinning. Wet-spinning is the oldest among the four processes and is generally used to produce natural fibres that cannot be formed by either melt or dry-spinning.⁶⁰ Wet-spinning is the preferred approach for both chitosan^{69,70} and alginate⁷¹ fibres because it maintains the strong interchain hydroxyl forces in their chemical structure.

Wet-spun fibres have been widely used for controlled release applications. Dyes are often used to investigate the loading and release properties of polymeric matrices due to the structural resemblance with many low molecular drugs.^{72–75} Ding *et al.* showed that the loading and release of methylene blue (MB) from polyelectrolyte multilayers constructed using poly (diallyl dimethylammonium chloride) and poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) strongly depended on the pH and ionic strength of the external media.⁷⁶ Graciano *et al.* also evaluated the potential of chitosan gels delivery systems containing Toluidine blue O (TBO or TB) for use in the photodynamic therapy of buccal cancer treatment.⁷⁷ TB is a chromophore in the phenothiazinium family with a strong absorption band in the 620-660 nm region, which is located within the phototherapeutic window (600-750 nm) in which light penetration into the tissue is

maximized.⁷⁷ TB was used as an indicative dye incorporated into the core component of coaxial fibres to study the release kinetics of the fibres.

In this chapter, a new wet-spinning approach is developed that employs a co-axial spinneret for production of chitosan/alginate (Chit/Alg) core-sheath fibres. This research explores the conditions necessary to achieve optimal properties of the chitosan-alginate core-sheath fibre in one-step spinning. The secondary aim of this research was to investigate the mechanical and swelling properties of these fibres in order to optimize them for use in biomedical applications. Finally, the release kinetics of coaxial fibres have been studied and compared with its forming constituents. Significantly improved mechanical and swelling properties of coaxial alginate-chitosan fibres, compared to their alginate counterparts were reported.

3.2. Experimental

3.2.1. Materials

Medium molecular weight chitosan was used in this study as the core spinning solution. Toluidine Blue O (also known as toluidine blue or TB) as an indicator ingredient was supplied from *Sigma-Aldrich Co.* for the release experiments.

A simulated body fluid (SBF) solution, with ion concentrations approximately equal to those of human blood plasma, was prepared with the following composition; 142 mM Na⁺, 5 mM K⁺, 1.5 mM Mg²⁺, 2.5 mM Ca²⁺, 10³ mM Cl⁻, 27 mM HCO₃⁻, 1.0 mM HPO₄²⁻ and 0.5 mM SO₄²⁻ with the final adjusted pH of 7.4±0.05.²⁷ This solution was used as an aqueous medium to re-swell the dried fibres for imaging.

3.2.2. Wet-spinning of Chitosan, Alginate and Chit-Alg Coaxial Fibres

The spinning solutions were prepared as described in 2.1.2. Wet-spun chitosan fibres were produced in a coagulation bath consisting of 1M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (Ethanol/H₂O 1:5) using a rotary wet-spinning system. Uniform alginate fibres were spun in a 2% CaCl₂ coagulation bath. Core-sheath fibres of chitosan-alginate (Chit-Alg) were successfully spun using a coaxial spinneret. For this purpose, a novel coaxial spinneret described was used. The chitosan spinning solution (with different amounts of CaCl₂) was injected as the core component and extruded through the centre nozzle into the coagulation bath of calcium chloride (Ethanol/H₂O 1:5). Simultaneously, alginate was injected as the sheath of the fibre, providing an outer casing for the core, by injection through port A. In this method, by using a blend of chitosan with various percentages of calcium chloride, it is possible that the alginate sheath can be coagulated from the inner chitosan core, while also creating the opportunity to react chitosan with sodium alginate at a much faster rate. The setup is shown in **Figure 1-11**, previously. Therefore, as mentioned earlier chitosan solutions including 0.5, 1 and 2% (w v⁻¹) CaCl₂ were prepared for the core component of the fibres and alginate the sheath. The samples are named here as Chit-Alg (0.5), Chit-Alg (1) and Chit-Alg (2). Solutions were delivered at flow rates of 14 mL hr⁻¹ for chitosan and 25 mL hr⁻¹ for the sheath. Coaxial fibres of Chit-Alg (1) were then used for all imaging characterisation tests.

TB was used as an indicative dye incorporated into the coaxial fibres to track the release experiment. For the purpose of fibre preparation for release experiments, the dye was mixed with chitosan solution before spinning with the concentration of 0.1% (w v⁻¹) and then injected as the core component. These solutions were then spun into the same coagulation baths which were previously used to make pristine fibres.

Coaxial fibres containing TB were also fabricated using the method mentioned previously with the small difference of using chitosan/TB solution as the core component.

3.2.3. Characterisation Methods

3.2.3.1. *Cell Viability Experiments*

All tissue collection and handling in this chapter of my thesis was performed according to St Vincent's Hospital approved protocols by Dr. Anita Quigley. Myoblasts were prepared from human or mouse (C57Bl6) muscle tissue as described previously.⁶⁹ Purified myoblasts were expanded and maintained in proliferation media (HamsF10, Trace Biosciences) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen), 2.5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ bFGF (PeproTech), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U mL^{-1} penicillin and 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ streptomycin (Invitrogen)). All cell culture was carried out in 5% CO_2 at 37 °C. Fibres were briefly sterilized in 70% ethanol before rehydration in MilliQ water. Fibres were then equilibrated in HamsF10 and proliferation media in 12 well plates before human or rodent myoblasts were seeded at a density of 30,000 cells mL^{-1} onto the fibres. Myoblasts were allowed to attach for 3 days before analysis for cell attachment and survival.

Cells were stained with 1 μM Calcein AM (Life Technologies) and 0.5 μM propidium iodide for 15 min at 37 °C (*Sigma-Aldrich Co.*) to indicate viable and dead cells. Digital images were obtained under fluorescence using an IX70 inverted microscope (Olympus) and Spot (version 4.7.0) software (Diagnostic Instruments).

3.2.3.2. *TB Release Measurement*

The release kinetics of the prepared fibres for drug release applications was studied using TB as a model dye introduced into the fibres over a 5-day period. The amount

of released TB was determined *via* UV–vis spectroscopy by monitoring the absorption of TB at its λ_{max} 630 nm in SBF (pH 7.4, total volume is 1 mL). To construct an absorbance calibration curve for sample analysis using a Shimadzu UV 1601 spectrophotometer, UV–vis spectra of SBF solutions containing TB with different concentrations were recorded between 200 nm and 1100 nm. Approximately 5 cm of each dried sample (in triplicate) was placed in a 2 mL Eppendorf tube and 1 mL of SBF was added into it. The release medium was taken by micro-pipette at specific time points over 5 days and replaced with the same volume of fresh SBF solution to maintain the total volume constant. The percentage of released TB (%) was plotted versus time.

3.3. Results and Discussion

Initial investigations were aimed at determining the spinnability and the physical characteristics of potential dopes to ensure they were in the range required for fibre formation.

3.3.1. Spinnability *vs.* Concentration

Spinnability can be defined as the ability of a material of being suitable for spinning or the capability of being spun. In the context of wet-spinning, spinnability could be referred to the ability of a solution to form fibrillar arrangements *via* injection into a non-solvent medium which makes it precipitate, so-called a coagulation bath.⁷⁸ The spinnability of a polymer solution depends on many parameters, including the rheological properties of a solution, size of nozzle, shear rate applied during injection through spinneret and mass transfer rate difference between the extruded solution and non-solvent. Several types of either suitable solvent or coagulant could be employed depending on the chemical structure of material. Often an upper and lower

limit for polymer concentration during wet-spinning is considered facilitates spinning continuous length of fibres. The capillary break-up is widely understood as a surface-tension induced break-up of filaments into drops can occur at low concentrations of the polymer solution which determines the lower limit of spinnability.⁷⁹ Spinnable concentrations have been reported for chitosan varying from 2-15% (w v⁻¹).¹⁷⁻²¹ Here, we found that 2-5% (w v⁻¹) is the appropriate concentration range enabling wet-spinning of MMW chitosan into a coagulation bath of 1M NaOH. Observations also indicated that aqueous alginate solutions at a concentration of below 2% (w v⁻¹) would not generate a continuous fibrous structure *via* wet-spinning; increasing the alginate concentration from 2 to 4 % (w v⁻¹), the solution became highly spinnable. Then again, at concentrations above 4% (w v⁻¹), the solution became highly viscous which impeded continuous flow through the needle, rendering the solution unspinnable. A concentration of 3% (w v⁻¹) has been thus selected for both gel precursors due to the ease of spinnability, together with maintaining the suitable mechanical properties for coaxial wet-spinning. In brief, the concentrations of spinning solutions were kept constant to study the effect of spinning parameters such as injection rates and the amount of calcium chloride inside the coagulation bath as well as amount mixed with chitosan solutions.

3.3.2. Rheology

Viscosity is considered in the selection of suitable concentrations of chitosan and alginate solutions for fibre spinning. For coaxial spinning matching viscosities of the two components is also a consideration.⁶⁸

Figure 3-1 shows changes in viscosity versus shear rate was determined from aqueous solutions of chitosan at 3% (w v⁻¹) and alginate at 3% (w v⁻¹). Spinning

solutions of 3% (w v^{-1}) chitosan resulted in a solution with a viscosity of 6.4 Pa*s. The viscosity of 3% (w v^{-1}) sodium alginate solution was approximately 8.5 Pa*s.

Figure 3-1: Viscosities of spinning solutions of chitosan and sodium alginate

The viscosities of the two solutions became closer as the shear rate increased. Under shear, hydrogel chains are in a less expanded conformation and become less entangled causing the viscosity to drop. At the time of spinning, chitosan is injected with rate of 14 mL hr^{-1} while V_i is 25 mL hr^{-1} for the alginate solution. Considering the outlet sectional area diameter, the output shear rate ($\dot{\gamma}$) was calculated according to calculations in chapter 2, section 2.2.1.2.1. The shear rates calculated to be about $\sim 97 \text{ S}^{-1}$ for alginate and $\sim 75 \text{ S}^{-1}$ for chitosan solutions which resulted in a viscosity of $\sim 2.5 \text{ Pa*s}$ for chitosan and $\sim 2.8 \text{ Pa*s}$ for alginate solutions. These outcomes seem to be ideal for coaxial spinning.

3.3.3. Continuous Spinning of Coaxial Fibres

To produce continuous uniform fibres, chitosan with injection rate of 14 mL hr^{-1} and alginate solution with rate of 25 mL hr^{-1} have been simultaneously injected into the 2% (w v^{-1}) aqueous CaCl_2 coagulation bath through the ports built in the coaxial spinneret. The spinning method to achieve the optimal properties was described in section 2.2.1.2 in detail, previously. Using this method, unlimited length of fibres could be obtained which was collected using a collector as shown in **Figure 3-2**. It is worth mention that the preparation of coaxial fibres without incorporating a certain amount of CaCl_2 did not turn out to be successful as tried.

Figure 3-2: The capability of producing unlimited length of coaxial Chit-Alg (1) fibres as shown onto a collector

The woven structure of Chit-Alg fibres (containing TB) in dry and wet state were shown in **Figure 3-3** (a) and (b), respectively. This capability provides the potential for these structures to be utilized as tissue scaffolds and drug delivery vehicles applications.

Figure 3-3: The photographs of scaffold structure woven by coaxial fibres;
imaged in (a) dry and (b) wet-state

3.3.4. Morphology of As-prepared Fibres

The stereomicroscope images of wet-spun chitosan, alginate and core-sheath Chit/Alg fibres are shown in **Figure 3-4** in wet and dry-states.

Figure 3-4: Stereomicroscope images of side view of wet (a) alginate, (b) chitosan, (c) coaxial Chit-Alg (1) and (d) dry Chit-Alg (1) fibre

As can be seen in Figure 3-4 (a) and (b), the surface of chitosan fibre seemed to be very smooth and soft, while some wrinkles can be noticed spreading on the surface of the alginate fibre which will be increased during the fibre drying process. Moreover, one can see that the core-sheath fibres are straight and smooth with a core loaded in the centre of fibres, have a uniform structure and diameter of ca. 220 μm and 136 μm for the sheath and core when wet, respectively (Figure 3-4 (c)). However, the dried fibres hold the thickness of $\sim 140 \pm 10 \mu\text{m}$ (This average value was calculated after measuring the diameter under the stereomicroscope ten times). In

addition, some lines or longitudinal indentations can be observed running parallel with the fibres on totally dried core-sheath fibre as shown in Figure 4-3 (d). The chitosan core is ~90 μm which is surrounded by a thin layer of alginate sheath of ~8-12 μm . Still, the thicknesses of core and sheath materials are adjustable by changing solution feed rates and the drawing ratio (data, variables vs. dimensions). Changes in dimensions of core and sheath components for three various injection rates and two drawing ratios as a result of changing the collection rates) are presented in **Table 3-1** (determined from LV-SEM images). Considering two selected collection rates at angular velocities of 20 and 60 rpm (with the assumption of keeping the injection rates constant), it is possible to measure draw ratio upon increasing the collection rate from 20 to 60 rpm while using the same collector (constant radius). The relationship between linear velocity and angular velocity could be defined with the **Equation 3-1**;

$$v = \omega r \quad \text{Equation 3-1}$$

where ω , is the angular velocity (collection rate), v is the linear velocity (draw ratio) and r is the radius of the collector.

Table 3-1: Thickness of sheath and core, μm , in wet-state as a function of wet-spinning condition

<i>Sheath/Core injection rates (mL hr^{-1})</i>	<i>Draw Ratio</i>	
	1	3
25/14	220 $\pm$ 6.1, 136 $\pm$ 2.05	152 $\pm$ 4.3, 100 $\pm$ 7.5
40/14	231 $\pm$ 3.2, 103 $\pm$ 8	165 $\pm$ 1.2, 107 $\pm$ 7.6
25/20	Not successful	

As could be seen in Table 3-1, the fibre diameter decreased as the drawing ratio increased. In general, the molecular orientation of fibre materials obtained through the drawing process governs their properties, particularly the mechanical properties. In addition, the thickness of the sheath would have a direct relationship with

increasing its injection rate; the thickness of alginate increased as the shear rate increased while the feeding rate of core component was kept constant. However, while the application of shear is essential in obtaining orientation in the fibre, high shear rates develop beaded non-uniform fibre in the coagulation bath as a result of die swell (swelling of the free jet of solution upon injection from spinneret) and skin formation. Die swell occurs as a consequence of polymer relaxation due to its low entropy conformation after shear is applied during extrusion through the spinneret, where polymer molecules are oriented by the flow. The diameter of the jet then decreases as a result of drawing along the spinning path. A hard skin is also formed on the surface of the filament which results in the rate the jet diameter decreases. When the shear rate of chitosan increased to 20 mL hr^{-1} , formation of the coaxial structure did not turn out to be successful. It seemed that the sheath components were not thick enough to hold the core material in place.

LV-SEM images of cross-sections and the surfaces of solid and core-sheath fibres are illustrated in **Figure 3-5** (a-e). They give valuable information about the morphology of the two polymers. Before imaging fibres were immersed in SBF and imaged with LV-SEM in an attempt to capture structural information in the “wet-state”, since that is how they would be used in future possible applications.

Figure 3-5: LV-SEM images of hydrated as-prepared (a) alginate, (b) chitosan and (c) Chit-Alg (1) cross section in SBF, (d) chitosan core arrangement in cross section (e) alginate sheath construction in the cross section

Cross-sections of solid fibres fabricated based on spinning conditions mentioned in Section 3.2.2, clearly show the cylinder shaped form of the hydrated chitosan and alginate solid fibres (Figure 3-5 (a) and (b), respectively). Alginate fibres appeared to be permeable and spongy, while the cross-section of chitosan fibres appeared to be denser. In contrast, cross-sections of the coaxial fibres reveal slightly irregular, oval shaped fibres with a distinct separation between chitosan in the core and the outer alginate sheath as is indicated in Figure 3-5 (c). In addition, both polymers show an extensive porous structure in the coaxial structure. On the cross-section of chitosan, regular crystalline structures can be seen which are probably due to the presence of calcium chloride inside the core (Figure 3-5 (d), while alginate has a honeycomb structure (Figure 3-5 (e)). It is evident that the fibre is composed of two distinct areas of chitosan and alginate.

3.3.5. Mechanical Properties of As-prepared Fibres

The mechanical properties of alginate, chitosan and Chit-Alg coaxial fibres employing different concentrations of calcium chloride in chitosan core spinning dope are depicted in **Figure 3-6**. Ultimate stresses (MPa), ultimate strains (%), Young's moduli (MPa) and swelling ratios (%) were measured for alginate, chitosan, Chit-Alg (0.5), Chit-Alg (1) and Chit-Alg (2) fibres, respectively.

Figure 3-6: Stress–strain curves obtained from tensile tests of alginate single and chitosan/alginate coaxial fibres using different CaCl_2 concentrations

Mechanical analysis results revealed that with addition of more calcium chloride to the core-dope, the Young's modulus decreased. Increasing the amount of calcium chloride into fibre core will probably cause agglomerations which can lead to phase separation. Thus, there would be an upper threshold for the amount of CaCl_2 in the core at which the optimum mechanical parameters could be achieved. As a result, the

mechanical properties of as-prepared fibres such as Young's modulus and ultimate stress were decreased by addition of more than 1% (w v⁻¹) CaCl₂.

Table 3-2: Comparison of mechanical properties of solid and coaxial biofibres

<i>Sample</i>	<i>Breaking stress (MPa)</i>	<i>Strain at break (%)</i>	<i>Young's modulus (GPa)</i>	<i>Initial swelling ratio (%)</i>
<i>Alginate fibre</i>	~31±5	~26±3	1.6±0.15	(Non-measurable)
<i>Chitosan fibre</i>	~146±30	~19±5.2	6.6±0.8	~90
<i>Chit-Alg (0.5)</i>	~30±5	~22±8	0.6±0.1	~360
<i>Chit-Alg (1)</i>	~80±10	~14±3	1.9±0.2	~540
<i>Chit-Alg (2)</i>	~28±5	~37±5	0.55±0.1	~385

The results, which are presented in **Table 3-2**, also confirmed the reinforcing role played by the chitosan core in coaxial Chit-Alg fibres. Young's modulus was measured to be *ca.* 1.7 and 6.6 MPa for alginate and chitosan solid fibres, respectively. It has been also revealed that the fibres which contain 1% (w v⁻¹) CaCl₂ resulted in the highest mechanical results due to their modulus and ultimate stress compared to other coaxial fibres.

3.3.6. Swelling Properties in SBF

The swelling properties of the fibres were determined in SBF medium over a period of 48 hrs. Fibre diameters were measured at different time intervals. Results are shown in **Figure 3-7** and listed in **Table 3-2**. Solid fibres have shown quite different degrees of swelling; while chitosan fibres showed only ~90% calcium alginate fibre, the swelling of alginate fibres occur quite fast up to high ratios (until the fibre lose its fibrillar shape completely which make it almost impossible to be measured). This phenomenon is mostly due to the ionic exchange between the divalent cations and sodium in the environment.⁸⁰

Figure 3-7: Swelling properties of coaxial wet-spun fibres in SBF as a function of the immersion time

It can be seen in Figure 3-7 that coaxial fibres containing 0.5% ($w v^{-1}$) calcium chloride have shown the least amount of initial swelling, while fibres with 1% ($w v^{-1}$) calcium chloride in the core demonstrated the highest degree of swelling. It seems that two simultaneous events are occurring by increasing the calcium chloride content in the core (from 0.5 to 2% ($w v^{-1}$)). Increasing the number of ionic groups (Ca^{2+}) in hydrogels is known to increase their swelling capacity.⁸¹ This is mainly due to the simultaneous increase of the number of counterions inside the gel, which produces an additional osmotic pressure that swells the gel as described in Flory theory previously.⁸² Therefore, by adding more calcium chloride to the chitosan solution the degree of swelling increases. On the other hand, increasing the amount of Ca^{2+} ions also results in an increase in the ion exchange process within the sodium alginate. In fact, the ratio of calcium will increase in the alginate. The increase of the

crosslinking agent concentration leads to the formation of a hydrogel with a greater 3D network density and so results in sheaths which show less swelling.

3.3.7. Thermogravimetric Analysis

TGA was employed as a method to determine the weight ratio of each hydrogel involved in forming the coaxial fibres based on the decomposition temperature of both materials. Thermogravimetric measurements were studied in the temperature range of 25-800 °C at a heating rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ under air atmosphere. Thermal properties of as-prepared fibres are shown in **Figure 3-8**.

Figure 3-8: TG curves of alginate, chitosan and coaxial Chit-Alg (1) fibres

As depicted in Figure 3-8 (a), both chitosan and alginate showed almost the similar thermal behaviour below 300 °C. Alginate showed two main drops while heating at about 220 °C and 540 °C. The salt decomposed by dehydration followed by degradation to Na₂CO₃ at around 220 °C that decomposes in N₂ and there was also a

carbonized material that decomposes slowly at about 540 °C in air. According to study published by Newkirk *et al.*,⁸³ the Na_2CO_3 decomposition is dependent on the sample holder and atmosphere used. They reported that under N_2 the dehydration occurs in a similar way, but the decomposition of the carbonized material is slower at around 750 °C, resulting in Na_2CO_3 .⁸² Two weight losses are also observed in the TGA curve of chitosan. The first stage occurs at about 270 °C with a corresponding weight loss of about 52% which is attributed to the decomposition of chitosan. The second weight loss is around 460 °C, where a carbonized material will be decomposed in air. The total weight loss of alginate sample at about 800 °C is 70%, while it is more than 98% for chitosan. Looking at Figure 3-8, coaxial fibres had thermal properties and total weight loss occurring between the values observed for chitosan and alginate. At around 500 °C, only a negligible weight percentage of the chitosan fibre has been left. Consequently, the remained ingredients at this temperature are mainly from the alginate fibre which is about 28-40% wt. for the coaxial structures. These results indicate that the coaxial structures contain about 60-70% wt. of the chitosan core and 30-40% wt. of alginate sheath when dried completely. A vigorous liberation of CO_2 was observed while a dark insoluble residue remained in the test tube, in both cases.

3.3.8. Cytocompatibility Experiment

All tissue collection and handling in this chapter of my thesis was performed according to St Vincent's Hospital approved protocols by Dr. Anita Quigley as noted previously. In order to evaluate whether the wet-spinning process induced cytotoxicity to the coaxial fibres, two types of cells were seeded on the fibres. Both human and murine myoblasts seeded onto fibre surfaces were shown to attach and align with the morphology of the fibre surface features (**Figure 3-9** (a-c)).

Figure 3-9: Coaxial chitosan-alginate fibres were analysed for their ability to support primary cell adhesion and growth. Murine (a) and human (b) myoblasts were observed to adhere and spread along the alginate surface of the fibres. (c) Calcein AM staining of human myoblasts revealed that the majority of cells remained viable for at least 7 days in culture and cells appeared to show some alignment with the surface features of the fibres

Cell attachment was observed on all fibre formulations analysed in this study. Calcein staining (Figure 3-9 (c)) indicated that cells remained viable for at least 7 days on fibre surfaces and attached cells generally showed normal myoblast morphology on the fibre surface, although some cells remained rounded. Cells attached to the surface of the tissue culture well showed normal morphology suggesting that the effect of the fibres on cell activity was minimal. These results suggest that alginate-chitosan fibres fabricated by the wet-spinning process showed no toxicity affecting the cell survival. Further *in vivo* and *in-vitro* studies, beyond the scope of the current study, are necessary to ascertain the effects of the fibres on cell proliferation and survival and how well these fibres are tolerated *in vivo*.

3.3.9. *In-vitro* Release Measurement

The calibration curve was determined by monitoring the absorption of TB at its $\lambda_{\max}$ (630 nm) in SBF with various concentrations of TB using UV–vis spectroscopy. The ability of drug to release from the polymer matrix depend on a number of factors such as the solubility of the drug in the polymer matrix, the solubility of the drug in the medium, swelling and solubility of the polymer matrix in the medium and the diffusion of the drug from the polymer matrix to the medium.⁸⁴ The release profiles of TB from dye loaded coaxial fibres in SBF for up to 4 days were plotted vs. time and are demonstrated in **Figure 3-10**.

Figure 3-10: Time dependent TB releasing behaviour of chitosan, alginate and Chit/Alg hydrogel fibres in SBF at 37 °C. Inset; burst release of coaxial fibres in the first 30 minutes

The whole release time period varied for different types of fibres including alginate, chitosan and the core-sheath fibre depending on the period over which they could resist the media before their structure fell apart. As noted previously, calcium alginate could be easily degraded when used for *in-vivo* applications due to the ionic exchange between the divalent cations and sodium in the which are present in the body environment.^{14,85} Therefore, it is believed that the release of TB observed from alginate fibres was mainly due to the degradation of alginate fibres. On the other hand, wet-spinning of chitosan fibre is needed to be done in basic coagulation bath which is not an appropriate condition for most of loaded drugs. Coaxial fibres indicated a controlled manner of release more or less like chitosan fibres. However, with the help of coaxial spinning, their fabrication process *via* wet-spinning is performed in a neutral coagulation bath. These results provide the suitable condition to load any types of drugs into the wet-spun fibres for drug delivery applications. As can be seen in Figure 3-10, the coaxial fibres showed similar release behaviour to that of the chitosan fibres. But, they could stand the media in a shorter period of time without losing the initial structure.

In the initial period of 2 hours, a fast release of TB from alginate fibres is observed at which more than 70% of TB is released. Either chitosan or Chit/Alg coaxial fibres showed approximately 30% burst release of TB followed by a sustained release within over 4 days. Whilst alginate fibre could not stand the media more than 4 days, *ca.* 42% and 50% of the TB is released from chitosan and Chit/Alg fibres, respectively. Figure 3-10 shows a good sustained-release profile of TB from coaxial fibres. TB is a hydrophilic molecule with a greater solubility in aqueous environment, so its drug diffusion rate through the polymeric matrix is highly dependent on the swelling of the polymeric fibre. Thus, according to the swelling

ratio results, it is expected to obtain much faster release from alginate fibres than those of either chitosan or coaxial fibres.

3.4. Conclusion

The production of coaxial biofibres has been successfully developed for the first time using a wet-spinning method. The morphological, mechanical, thermal and swelling properties of these fibres are discussed. Enhanced mechanical properties of 260% in ultimate stress and more than 300% in the Young's modulus were observed by incorporating 1% (w v⁻¹) CaCl₂ into the chitosan core. SEM micrographs of the cross-section of chitosan-alginate fibres clearly show the cylinder shaped monofilament form of the chitosan fibre covered with alginate. These biofibres as delivery platforms have demonstrated great potentials toward advancing current drug delivery systems. Hybrid Chit/Alg fibres could likely be promising as a novel kind of 3D bioscaffolds in drug release studies or tissue engineering.

3.5. References

- 1 F. Elahi, W. Lu, G. Guoping and F. Khan, *J. Bioeng. Biomed. Sci.*, 2013, **3**, 1–14.
- 2 Y. Kimura, A. Hokugo, T. Takamoto, Y. Tabata and H. Kurosawa, *Tissue Eng.*, 2008, **14**, 47–57.
- 3 C. Han, L. Zhang, J. Sun, H. Shi, J. Zhou and C. Gao, *Biomed & Biotechnol*, 2010, **11**, 524–530.
- 4 L. Ma, C. Gao, Z. Mao, J. Zhou and J. Shen, *Biomater.*, 2003, **24**, 4833–4841.
- 5 X. Wang, Y. Yan, Z. Xiong, F. Lin, R. Wu, R. Zhang and Q. Lu, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B Appl. Biomater.*, 2005, **75B**, 91–98.
- 6 S. Seo, Y. Choi, T. Akaike, A. Higuchi and C.-S. Cho, *Tissue Eng.*, 2006, **12**, 33–44.
- 7 M. Iqbal and S. Æ. Xiaoxue, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2009, **44**, 5713–5724.
- 8 T. H. Ang, F. S. A. Sultana, D. W. Hutmacher, Y. S. Wong, J. Y. H. Fuh, X. M. Mo, H. T. Loh, E. Burdet and S. H. Teoh, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C*, 2002, **20**, 35–42.
- 9 A. A. Abbas, S. Y. Lee, L. Selvaratnam, N. Yusof and T. Kamarul, *Eur. Cell. Mater.*, 2008, **16**, 50–51.
- 10 N. M. Breyner, A. A. Zonari, J. L. Carvalho, V. S. Gomide, D. Gomes and A. M. Góes, in *Biomaterials Science and Engineering*, InTech Published, Institute of Biologic Science, Department of Biochemistry and Immunology, Brazil, 2011, pp. 211–226.
- 11 A. Martins, R. L. Reis and N. M. Neves, *Nanomedi.*, 2007, **2**, 929–942.
- 12 T. Zhang, L. Q. Wan, Z. Xiong, A. Marsano, R. Maidhof, M. Park, Y. Yan and G. Vunjak-novakovic, *J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med.*, 2012, **6**, 748–756.
- 13 T. Wang, I. Wang, J. Lu and T. Young, *Mol. Vis.*, 2012, **18**, 255–264.
- 14 K. I. Draget, P. O. Smidsrød and P. G. Skjåk-bränk, in *Polysaccharides and Polyamides in the Food Industry. Properties, Production and Patents.*, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2005, pp. 1–30.
- 15 C. Zhu, D. Fan, Z. Duan, W. Xue, L. Shang, F. Chen and Y. Luo, *J. Biomed. Mater.*

- 16 A. Moshaverinia, S. Ansari, C. Chen, X. Xu, K. Akiyama, M. L. Snead, H. H. Zadeh and S. Shi, *Biomater.*, 2013, **34**, 6572–6579.
- 17 K. El-Tahlawy and S. Hudson, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2006, **100**, 1162–1168.
- 18 M. G. Cascone, N. Barbani, C. Cristallini, G. Ciardelli and L. Lazzeri, *Biomater. Sci., Polym. Ed.*, 2014, **12**, 267–281.
- 19 Y. K. Y. Suzuki, Y. Nishimura, M. Tanihara, K. Suzuki, A. Ki. Kitahara, Y. Yamawaki, T. Nakamura, Y. Shimizu, *J. Artif. Organ.*, 1998, **1**, 28–32.
- 20 L. Wang, E. Khor, A. Wee and L. Y. Lim, *J Biomed Mater Res.*, 2002, **63**, 610–618.
- 21 R. Jayakumar, M. Prabakaran, P. Kumar, S. Nair and H. Tamura, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2011, **29**, 322–337.
- 22 R. Jayakumar, M. Prabakaran and P. T. S. Kumar, in *Biomedical Engineering, Trends in Materials Science*, InTech, Amrita Centre for Nanosciences and Molecular Medicine, India, 1990, pp. 3–25.
- 23 M. Kucharska, M. H. Struszczyk, M. Cichecka and K. Brzoza-malczewska, *Prog. Chem. Appl. Chitin Its Deriv.*, 2011, **XVI**, 131–138.
- 24 J. Jagur-grodzinski, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 2006, **17**, 395–418.
- 25 X. Shao and C. J. C. Hunter, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A*, 2007, **82**, 702–710.
- 26 R. Pallela, J. Venkatesan, V. R. Janapala and S. Kim, *Wiley Period. Inc.*, 2011, **100**, 486–495.
- 27 S. A. Martel-estrada, C. A. Martínez-pérez, J. G. Chacón-nava, P. E. García-casillas and I. Olivas-armendariz, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2010, **81**, 775–783.
- 28 N. Nwe, T. Furuike and H. Tamura, *Materials (Basel)*, 2009, **2**, 374–398.
- 29 A. J. Steward, Y. Liu and D. R. Wagner, *Biomater. Regen. Med.*, 2011, **63**, 74–82.
- 30 C. K. Kuo and P. X. Ma, *Biomater.*, 2001, **22**, 511–521.
- 31 A. Salimi, M. Ghollasi, N. Saki, F. Rahim, A. Dehghanifard, A. Sh, M. Hagh and M. Soleimani, *IJBC*, 2010, **3**, 11–20.
- 32 C. Young, P. D. Rekha, W. Lai and A. B. Arun, *Wiley Period. Inc.*, 2006, **95**, 76–83.

- 33 N. E. Vrana, A. O. Grady, E. Kay, P. A. Cahill and G. B. McGuinness, *J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med.*, 2009, **3**, 567–572.
- 34 K. S. V. K. Rao, B. V. Kumar, M. C. S. Subha, M. Sairam and T. M. Aminabhavi, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2006, **66**, 333–344.
- 35 Kashappa Goud H. Desai and Hyun Jin Park, *Drug Dev. Res.*, 2005, **128**, 114–128.
- 36 A. Rieux, N. Duhem and C. Je, *Adv. Polym. Sci.*, 2011, **244**, 19–44.
- 37 S. J. Sarma, K. Pakshirajan and B. Mahanty, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 2011, **86**, 266–272.
- 38 A. Belščak-cvitanović, R. Stojanović, V. Manojlović, D. Komes, I. Juranović, V. Nedović and B. Bugarski, *Food Res. Int.*, 2011, **44**, 1094–1101.
- 39 M. Bhavan and G. Nagar, *Int. J. Appl. Biol. Pharm. Technol.*, 2011, **2**, 402–411.
- 40 S. Rajeshkumar, C. Venkatesan, M. Sarathi, V. Sarathbabu, J. Thomas, K. A. Basha and A. S. S. Hameed, *Fish Shellfish Immunol.*, 2009, **26**, 429–437.
- 41 M. Machluf, *Biopolym.*, 2006, **82**, 570–579.
- 42 E. A. El-hefian, M. M. Nasef and A. H. Yahaya, *Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, 2011, **5**, 670–677.
- 43 W. T. Godbey, B. S. S. Hindy, M. E. Sherman and A. Atala, *Biomaterials*, 2004, **25**, 2799–2805.
- 44 S. H. Oh and J. H. Lee, *Biomed. Mater.*, 2013, **8**, 1–16.
- 45 J. Yao, S. L-Tao and M. J-Young, *Polym. J.*, 2011, **3**, 899–914.
- 46 C. Tangsadthakun, S. Kanokpanont, N. Sanchavanakit, T. Banaprasert and S. Damrongsakkul, *J. Met. Mater. Miner.*, 2006, **16**, 37–44.
- 47 A. Hussain, G. Collins, D. Yip and C. H. Cho, *Biotech. Bioeng.*, 2012, **110**, 1–11.
- 48 S. Koo, S. J. Ahn, Z. Hao, J. . C. Wang and E. K. Yim, *Cell. Mol. Bioeng.*, 2011, **4**, 399–410.
- 49 L. Wang, C. Li, Y. Chen, S. Dong, X. Chen and Y. Zhou, *Biomed. Res. Int.*, 2013, **2013**, 1–13.
- 50 P. Dutta, K. Rinki and J. Dutta, *Chit. Biomater. II*, 2011, **244**, 45–80.

- 51 P. B. Malafaya, G. a Silva and R. L. Reis, *Adv. drug. Del. Rev.*, 2007, **59**, 207–233.
- 52 A. F. Quigley, K. J. Bulluss, I. L. B. Kyratzis, K. Gilmore, T. Mysore, K. S. U. Schirmer, E. L. Kennedy, M. O’Shea, Y. B. Truong, S. L. Edwards, G. Peeters, P. Herwig, J. M. Razal, T. E. Campbell, K. N. Lowes, M. J. Higgins, S. E. Moulton, M. A. Murphy, M. J. Cook, G. M. Clark, G. G. Wallace and R. M. I. Kapsa, *J. Neural Eng.*, 2013, **10**, 1–17.
- 53 A. Costa-Pinto, R. Reis and N. Neves, *Tissue Eng. B*, 2011, **17**, 331–47.
- 54 L. S. Nair and C. T. Laurencin, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2007, **32**, 762–798.
- 55 M. Kumar, *Bull Mater. sci.*, 1999, **22**, 905–915.
- 56 V. Bansal, P. Sharma and N. Sharma, *Advan. Biol. Res.*, 2011, **5**, 28–37.
- 57 Y. Qin, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2004, **91**, 2–6.
- 58 Y. Qin, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 2008, **19**, 6–14.
- 59 S. Hirano and E. Bash, *Macromol. Symp.*, 2001, **168**, 21–30.
- 60 M. Dash, F. Chiellini, R. M. Ottenbrite and E. Chiellini, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2011, **36**, 981–1014.
- 61 E. Khor and L. Yong, *Biomaterials*, 2003, **24**, 2339–2349.
- 62 R. Song, R. Xue, L. He, Y. Liu and Q. Xiao, *Chinese J. Polym. Sci.*, 2008, **26**, 621–630.
- 63 H. Xie, S. Zhang and S. Li, *Green Chem.*, 2006, **8**, 630–633.
- 64 K. Y. Lee and D. J. Mooney, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2012, **37**, 106–126.
- 65 N. Iwasaki, S. Yamane, T. Majima and Y. Kasahara, *Biomacromol.*, 2004, **5**, 828–833.
- 66 J. Chang, Y.-H. Lee, M. Wu, M.-C. Yang and C. Chien, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2012, **87**, 2357–2361.
- 67 J. Wang, X. Huang, J. Xiao, N. Li, W. Yu, W. Wang, W. Xie, X. Ma and Y. Teng, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2010, **21**, 497–506.
- 68 A. Niekraszewicz and D. Ciechańska, *Fiber Text. East.*, 2006, **14**, 25–31.
- 69 M. Todaro, A. Quigley, M. Kita, J. Chin, K. Lowes, A. J. Kornberg, M. J. Cook and

- R. K. Ā, *Human.*, 2007, **28**, 1–3.
- 70 A. Konop and R. Colby, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 2803–2805.
- 71 S. A.-A. and G. O. P. Syed K. H. Gulrez, in *Progress in Molecular and Environmental Bioengineering – From Analysis and Modeling to Technology Applications*, InTech, Glyn O Phillips Hydrocolloids Research Centre, Glyndwr University, Wrexham, United Kingdom, 2003, pp. 117–149.
- 72 A. T. Paulino, M. R. Guilherme, A. V Reis, G. M. Campese, E. C. Muniz and J. Nozaki, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2006, **301**, 55–62.
- 73 X. Wang, L. Zhang, L. Wang, J. Sun and J. Shen, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 8187–8194.
- 74 M. S. Baburaj, C. T. Aravindakumar, S. Sreedhanya, A. P. Thomas and U. K. Aravind, *Desalination*, 2012, **288**, 72–79.
- 75 C. Ding, S. Xu, J. Wang, Y. Liu, P. Chen and S. Feng, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2012, **32**, 670–673.
- 76 E. S. Dragan, D. Felicia and A. Loghin, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2013, **234**, 211–222.
- 77 T. Barroso, T. Soares, C. Beatriz, C. De Paula, M. Bernadete, R. Pierre, S. Aparecida, D. L. Pereira, M. Massao, R. Cristina, M. Teresa and J. Garcia, *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther.*, 2015, **12**, 98–107.
- 78 G. Tronci, R. Sri, M. T. Arafat, J. Yin, D. J. Wood and S. J. Russell, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2015, **81**, 112–120.
- 79 H. Cong, X. Ren, P. Wang and S. Yu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, **613**, 1–6.
- 80 Y. Qin, *Text. Res. J.*, 2005, **75**, 165–168.
- 81 O. Okay and S. Durmaz, *Polym. J.*, 2002, **43**, 1215–1221.
- 82 C. K. S. Pillai, W. Paul and C. P. Sharma, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2009, **34**, 641–678.
- 83 J. P. Soares, J. E. Santos, G. O. Chierice and E. T. G. Cavalheiro, *Eclet. Quím.*, 2004, **29**, 53–56.
- 84 G. Phu, *Sci. Pharm.*, 2007, **75**, 147–163.
- 85 M. Davidovich-pinhas and H. Bianco-peled, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2010, **79**, 1020–1027.

Chapter 4

4. FABRICATION OF COAXIAL WET-SPUN BIOFIBRES CONTAINING GRAPHENE CORE

Aspects of the work detailed in this chapter have been published (Mirabedini, A., Foroughi, J., Thompson, B. and Wallace, G. G. (2016), Fabrication of Coaxial Wet-Spun Graphene–Chitosan Biofibres. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, Volume 18: Pages 284–293. doi: 10.1002/adem.201500201)

Electrical stimulation has been able to provide beneficial effects for regeneration of tissue: muscle,¹⁻⁴ nerve^{5,6} and bone.⁷ A range of metallic electrodes, most commonly Pt or Ti based, have conventionally been used.^{4,8} More recently the use of organic conductors has attracted attention since they can be loaded with bioactivity enhancing the electro-cellular communication process.^{9,10} Both organic conducting polymers¹¹⁻¹³ and graphene¹⁴⁻¹⁶ have proven to be useful in this regard. However, in both cases the mechanical properties necessary to engender adequate conductivity are not an ideal match with those of the surrounding tissue. Formation of coaxial structures, wherein the conductor is surrounded with a more cyto-friendly material, should provide alternate fabrication options when considered as the building blocks of 3D structures. Use of a coaxial structure is an excellent alternative which allows improved characteristics when compared to those of the single component fibres and has the added advantage of providing a flexible system which may be optimised for a variety of purposes. Coaxial spinning is an advanced processing technique that has just been used recently to produce hybrid fibres from natural and synthetic polymers¹⁷ for bioapplications. Using this technique, it would become possible to take the advantages of an electroactive core for electrical stimulation purposes inside the body as well as providing good biocompatibility from the hydrogel-based sheath for cell adhesion and differentiation.¹⁸

To date, several previous studies have used coaxial electrospinning which is the simplest approach in the field of coaxial spinning.¹⁹ Wet-spinning has been used to produce fibres with similar structures to that of coaxial fibres (detailed in section 1.3.3).²⁰⁻²³ There are only a few reports in the literature of fabricating coaxial fibres

using coaxial spinnerets for wet-spinning. Recently, Liang Kou et al have also reported on the production of CMC/wrapped graphene/CNT coaxial fibres for supercapacitor applications.²⁴ The key principle in wet-spinning is to make polymer fibres by transition from a soluble to a non-soluble phase.²⁵ The major difference of conventional wet-spinning with the coaxial method is that in the coaxial process, two different polymer solutions are injected into a coaxial spinneret together and are coagulated in a bath to form a fibre retaining a coaxial structure.

Chitosan is a naturally occurring aminopolysaccharide, which has recently generated great interest for its potential in clinical and biological applications.^{26–29} It can be regarded as a derivative of cellulose in which the C-2 hydroxyl group in anhydroglucose units of cellulose is replaced by a free amine group.³⁰ Alginate is a linear, binary copolymer composed of 1, 4-linked β -D-mannuronic acid (M) and α -L-guluronic acid (G) monomers. The composition of alginate (the ratio of the two uronic acids and their sequential arrangements) varies with the source. Salts of alginic acid with monovalent cations such as sodium alginate are all soluble in water.

Graphene was selected as the conductive core constituent. Graphene is a two-dimensional atomically thin mesh of carbon atoms which has recently received widespread attention. Numerous outstanding properties are exhibited by this material such as its excellent conductance of both heat and electricity, and being the strongest material ever measured.^{31,32} Despite the properties of aqueous reduced graphene oxide (rGO) suspensions, they are not directly spinnable by themselves. This could be due to their incoherent particles, low viscosity and the high tendency of graphene sheets, which have a high specific surface area, to form irreversible aggregation through van der Waals interactions.³³ However, spinning of liquid crystalline (LC)

suspensions of large sheet graphene oxide (GO) in water has been recently reported by several research groups.^{34,35} Use of large GO sheets has enabled the use of a wet-spinning route to produce strong fibres which can be easily converted to electrically conducting graphene fibres by using an appropriate chemical reducing agent. L-Ascorbic acid (L-AA) is a non-toxic naturally occurring compound known to act as a reducing agent in physiological processes and has also been used as a primary reducing agent in the laboratory.³⁶ In addition, It has been reported earlier that the epoxy groups in GO can be crosslinked easily to the primary amine groups in chitosan, and so the polysaccharide has been widely used to modify GO.³⁷ Using this interaction as well as the extensive H-bonding between the polymer and GO, it is possible to form a homogenous robust connection between chitosan and GO with favourable properties for coaxial wet-spinning.

The aim of current study is to establish a wet-spinning process to produce coaxial conductive biofibres to take the benefit from the electroactivity of the conductive core along with improving the mechanical properties of the fibres and keeping good biocompatibility and cell adhesion of the scaffold by using a biomaterial for the sheath. Both GO and chitosan have been widely applied in the studies interacting with cells^{14,38,39} Specifically, we have developed a one-step fabrication method to produce coaxial fibres of chitosan and GO *via* a facile continuous technique. Spinnability of coaxial fibres considering spinning conditions such as appropriate choice of hydrogel, appropriate GO concentration and chitosan viscosity, injection flow rate of each spinning solution, identification of suitable reducing agent selection and reduction method have been investigated in this study. Herein, we also present a simple approach for reducing GO fibres using L-AA as a reducing agent in an aqueous solution at 80 °C as also suggested elsewhere.⁴⁰ We discuss the maximum

conductivity, increment in mechanical properties and biocompatibility sufficient to support cultured cell attachment and growth. The preparation of for three fibre types (Alg/GO, Chit/GO and rGO) have been reported and compared.

4.2. Experimental

4.2.1. Materials

High molecular weight chitosan was used in this work to provide better mechanical properties. L-Ascorbic acid (L-AA) were purchased from *Sigma-Aldrich Co.* used as the reducing agents.

A simulated body fluid (SBF) solution, with ion concentrations approximately equal to those of human blood plasma, has been prepared with the following ion concentrations of 142 mM Na⁺, 5 mM K⁺, 1.5 mM Mg²⁺, 2.5 mM Ca²⁺, 103 mM Cl⁻, 27 mM HCO₃⁻, 1.0 mM HPO₄²⁻ and 0.5 mM SO₄²⁻ with the final adjusted pH of 7.4±0.05.⁴¹ This solution was used as an aqueous medium to reswell the dried fibres for imaging them in wet-state.

4.2.2. Fibre spinning

4.2.2.1. Wet-spinning of GO

Large GO sheets were obtained using the modified Hummers method.³⁴ These were dispersed in deionized water only by gentle shaking, without sonication. Two types of fiber wet-spinning methods were utilized, rotary solid wet-spinning and long-bath coaxial wet-spinning. Wet-spinning of GO fibres was performed using a coagulation bath containing aqueous 2% (w v⁻¹) calcium chloride (Ethanol/H₂O: 1:5) using a rotary wet-spinning apparatus. The GO suspension (0.63 w v⁻¹) was injected into the coagulation bath sitting on a rotating stage (~60 rpm) at a flow rate of 15 mL h⁻¹. GO

fibers were then placed into a bath of MilliQ water containing reducing agent (L-AA) with the weight ratio of 3 relative to concentration of GO and heated in an oven to 80 °C to reduce the GO fibres to rGO. Dried fibres were obtained by washing the gel-state fibers in MilliQ water and then air-dried under a slight tension to avoid being tilted when dried at room temperature. This allows us to obtain conductive fibres with the addition of a post treatment step.

4.2.2.2. Coaxial Wet-spinning of Chitosan/GO and Alginate/GO

The gel spinning precursors were prepared as described in **Section 2.1.2**. Using the same concentration of GO suspension we used earlier to make GO fibres (0.63 w v⁻¹), coaxial fibres of Alginate/GO (Alg/GO) and chitosan/GO (Chit/GO) was then spun into a bath containing 2% w v⁻¹ CaCl₂ and 1 M sodium hydroxide (Ethanol/H₂O: 1/5), respectively. The feeding rates of 10 mL hr⁻¹ and 18 mL hr⁻¹ were employed for GO and both gel precursors, respectively in order to provide sufficient time for the sheath component to cover the core. The coaxial wet-spinning process was carried out as described before in Section 2.2.1.2. The setup consists of two injection syringes and pumps (KDS100, KD Scientific Inc.), connected to the ports of the predesigned coaxial spinneret that allows for injection of two fluids, a coagulation bath and a stretching collector as illustrated schematically in **Figure 4-1**. The GO spinning solution was injected as the core component and drawn through the centre outlet nozzle into the coagulation bath. At once, alginate or chitosan spinning solution was injected as the sheath of the fibre, providing an outer casing for the GO core, by feeding through port A.

Figure 4-1: A schematic of coaxial wet-spinning set up for producing Chit/GO coaxial fibres

Hydroxyl and amino groups from chitosan can interact with oxygen-containing groups including hydroxyl, carbonyl and carboxyl moieties in the graphene oxide sheets forming hydrogen bonding as previously studied. In addition, it is suggested that not only amine groups can take part in reaction, also the OH, of the chitosan structure can play an important role.⁴²

4.2.3. Characterisations of rGO and Coaxial Fibres

4.2.3.1. *Material Parameters Characterisation*

Changes in viscosity have been recorded versus shear rate. The rheological properties of alginate (3% (w v⁻¹)), chitosan (3% (w v⁻¹)) and GO (0.63 w v⁻¹) solutions were examined in flow mode (cone and plate method) for each sample at room temperature (~25 °C) with the shear rates between 0.1-300 s⁻¹.

4.2.3.2. *Fourier Transform Infrared*

FTIR spectra of the chitosan and Chit/GO fibres were recorded to evaluate the possible reactions between core and sheath components. FTIR spectroscopy was performed in KBr pellet on a Shimadzu FTIR Prestige-21 spectrometer, in the 700–4000 cm^{-1} range with 4 cm^{-1} resolution.

4.2.3.3. *Contact Angle Measurement*

Contact angle measurement of distilled water on single fibres was conducted with a contact angle system OCA (dataphysics) equipped with SCA20 software. The sessile drop method, which there a sitting drop of water is resting on a fibre surface, was used at 5 $\mu\text{L s}^{-1}$ rate to measure advancing to the receding contact angles on already suspended fibres. Then, the optical tensiometer combined with dispenser is used to measure advancing and receding contact angles between water and fibres surface.

4.2.3.4. *Raman Spectroscopy*

Raman spectra were recorded on a Jobin Yvon Horiba HR800 Raman microscope using a 632 nm laser line. Individual polymer fibres were embedded in Epoxy resin (Epofix) at room temperature and polymerised overnight at 80°C. The polymer blocks were then faced off on a Leica UC7 microtome at right angles to the fibre orientation using freshly prepared glass knives. This method resulted in a smooth block surface and relatively flat cross-sections of the individual fibres. Raman spectroscopy was then carried out on the fibre cross-sections. The sample preparation method is demonstrated in **Figure 4-2**.

**Figure 4-2: (a) embedded fibres in Epoxy resin at room temperature,
(b) Fibre cross-sections through cut surface block**

Raman spectra were recorded on a Jobin Yvon Horiba HR800 Raman microscope using a 632 nm laser line and a 300-line grating to achieve a resolution of $\pm 1 \text{ nm}^{-1}$.

4.2.3.5. *In-vitro Cytocompatibility Experiments*

All cell culture studies in this chapter of my thesis were performed at University of Wollongong, Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence by Dr. Brianna Thompson. After the sample preparations previously described in 2.2.1.13, the adhesion and proliferation on coaxial and rGO fibres were determined for two cell lines, a mouse fibroblast line (L-929) and a rat pheochromocytoma line commonly used as a model of neural differentiation (PC-12). L-929 cells were seeded at 5×10^5 cells cm^{-2} of culture area in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO_2 for 3 days. After the culture period, L-929 cells were live/dead stained by addition of calcein/propidium iodide (at $1 \mu\text{M}$ calcein and $1 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ propidium iodide) into media, incubating for 15 min, then imaging with Leica DM IL LED microscope. Cell survival was assessed for fibroblast cells both on the fibres as well as cells growing on the tissue culture plastic underneath by analysis of confocal images. Live cells were calcein-stained (bright green) and dead cells were counted as any cell which had a brightly

propidium iodide stained nucleus. PC-12 cells were seeded at 2×10^3 cells cm^{-2} in proliferation media (DMEM supplemented with 10% horse serum, 5% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin) and changed to differentiation media (DMEM supplemented with 2% horse serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin) after 24 hrs in culture. The cells were allowed to differentiate for 10 days in culture (with a change to fresh differentiation media every 2-3 days). PC-12 cells were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde and stained with Alexa-488 phalloidin to visualise the cytoskeleton. The cells were imaged using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope, with a Z-stack thickness of 1.8 μm and tiled images were combined to image along the fibre.

4.3. Results and Discussion

4.3.1. Optimization of Spinning Solutions

Initial experiments involved characterisation of alginate and chitosan solutions as well as the GO suspension. The fibre formation is dependent on the rheological properties of the spinning solution as well as appropriate coagulation chemistry.⁴³

4.3.1.1. *Solution Concentration*

Often there is an upper and lower limit for each material concentration during wet-spinning facilitates spinning continuous fabrication of fibres as discussed. At low concentrations, there is insufficient chain entanglement for forming a fibrillar structure. Although, using very high concentrations of spinning solutions can lead to a formation of non-uniform fibres. The range of reported spinnable concentrations for chitosan reported so far significantly varies from 2-15% (w v^{-1}).⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷ However, water-soluble chitosan which possess a higher solubility threshold (up to 15% (w v^{-1}))

for low molecular weight) cannot form fibres inside aqueous alkaline bath as observed. Medium molecular weight chitosan in a powder form was found to be dissolved into an aqueous acetic acid solution not more than 5% (w v⁻¹). Still the upper limit cannot be wet-spun in a continuous and uniform manner. The optimum concentration range for wet-spinning into a coagulation bath of 1M sodium hydroxide was found here to be 2-5% (w v⁻¹). The mechanical properties enhance as a result of increasing the gel concentration. However, high solution viscosities due to the polyelectrolyte effect²⁸ hinder continuous flow of the solution into the nozzle for wet-spinning purposes as mentioned. Observations also indicated that aqueous alginate solutions at a concentration of below 2% (w v⁻¹) would not generate a continuous fibrous structure *via* wet-spinning; increasing the alginate concentration from 2 to 4 % (w v⁻¹), the solution became highly spinnable. Then again, at concentrations above 4% (w v⁻¹), the solution became highly viscous and this impeded continuous flow through the needle, rendering the solution unspinnable. A concentration of 3% (w v⁻¹) has been selected for both gels due to the ease of spinnability, together with maintaining the suitable mechanical properties for coaxial wet-spinning as described before in our previous study.⁴⁸

In another study researchers have investigated the rheological behaviour and spinnability of GO suspensions at concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 0.5 w v⁻¹. This study found that two different phases exist in GO suspensions at dissimilar concentrations- biphasic and nematic. These phases determined the lower and upper thresholds which GO suspensions become spinnable.³⁴ Below 0.025 w v⁻¹, GO suspensions were in the biphasic phase, and were completely isotropic and unspinnable. At concentrations between 0.025 and 0.075 w v⁻¹, a transition of biphasic to fully nematic phase is observed. As a consequence, suspensions were

found to have partial spinnability. This partial spinnability was characterised by the weak cohesion of the GO suspension upon injecting in the coagulation bath, which resulted in short lengths of gel-state GO fibres. Using GO concentration of $\geq 0.075 \text{ w v}^{-1}$, when the fully nematic phase forms, long lengths of robust gel-state fibres could be wet-spun. GO concentrations from 0.075 to 0.5 w v^{-1} showed similar ease of spinnability.³⁴ Here, to improve the mechanical properties of the fibres rather than leaving an unbroken core after the fibre formation, the concentration of 0.63 w v^{-1} has been chosen.

4.3.1.2. *Solution Viscosity*

The rheological properties of the sheath spinning solution are critical to support formation of a core-sheath structure formation.⁴⁹ It has previously been suggested that the requirements for the spinnability of the core solution are not as critical.⁵⁰ However, here we found that the break-up of the core was observed when the viscosity of the core dope was too low ($\leq 0.3 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}$). Accordingly, the core fluid must also possess a certain minimum viscosity if it is to be entrained continuously without break-up. **Figure 4-3** (a-c) relates concentration with viscosity obtained from GO at 0.63 w v^{-1} , alginate and chitosan at 3 % (w v^{-1}) at different shear rates.

Figure 4-3: The viscosity of spinning solution (a) chitosan 3% (w v⁻¹), (b) alginate 3% (w v⁻¹) and GO suspension (0.63 w v⁻¹) as a function of shear rate

It can be seen that by increasing the shear rate for all solutions, the viscosity decreased. This means that all spinning solutions exhibited shear thinning behaviour. However, the viscosity of both gel precursors was significantly higher than that of GO suspension at all shear rates. This difference reaches ~ 7 and ~ 5.5 times in the low shear rate regime for chitosan and alginate, respectively. The viscosity of the 3% (w v⁻¹) chitosan spinning solution was 10.8 Pa*s, while the viscosity of 0.63 w v⁻¹ GO solution was approximately 1.5 Pa*s in low shear rates close to zero. Alginate solution of 3% (w v⁻¹) resulted in the viscosities of approximately 8.5 Pa*s.

Nevertheless, their viscosity of the core and sheath solutions was closer at higher shear rates. Considering V_i for each component (10 mL hr⁻¹ for GO suspension and 18 mL hr⁻¹ for alginate and chitosan solution) and outlet sectional area, the flow rate can be calculated for both components. While spinning, the output shear rate of alginate or chitosan is ~ 48 s⁻¹ and 6.81 s⁻¹ for GO which is seven times more than GO (detailed in section 2.2.1.2.1.). Therefore, alginate and chitosan solution would have the viscosity of ~ 4 Pa*s during the spinning process while GO solution hold the viscosity of 1.35 Pa*s which was also shown in Figure 4-3.

4.3.2. Morphology of As-prepared Fibres

The internal microstructures of the coaxial fibres in both wet and dry states have been observed using LV-SEM and SEM, respectively.

4.3.2.1. *Morphological Observation in Wet-state*

Stereomicroscope and LV-SEM images of as-spun hydrated wet-spun rGO and coaxial Chit/GO and Alg/GO fibres are shown in **Figure 4-4** and **Figure 4-5**, respectively. The coaxial fibres showed uniform and straight structures with the graphene oxide core loaded in the centre of fibres. Moreover, the core component in

Chit/GO fibres showed fast, unexpected colour change (from yellow-brown to black) when exposed to alkali solutions suggesting the quick deoxygenation of graphene oxide as reported previously.^{51,52} In addition, exposing of GO to the acidic solution of the sheath component (chitosan + acetic acid) could lead to the chemical reduction of GO resulting in higher degree of hydrogen bonding within the structure as discussed elsewhere.⁴⁰ The diameters of GO, Chit/GO and Alg/GO fibres were $\sim 100 \pm 10 \mu\text{m}$, $\sim 260 \pm 30 \mu\text{m}$ and $\sim 290 \pm 30 \mu\text{m}$, respectively.

Figure 4-4: Illustrative optical microscopic images of (a) rGO fibre, (b) Coaxial Chit/GO and (c) Alg/GO coaxial fibre in wet-state

Fibre internal structures were also observed by LV-SEM in their wet-state. The micrographs are shown in **Figure 4-5**. Compositional analysis of the cross-sections of Chit/GO fibre was also carried out to detect a higher contrast between areas with different chemical compositions. In other words, composition determines the image contrast in a compositional image. Heavy elements (high atomic number) backscatter electrons more strongly than light elements (low atomic number), and thus appear brighter in the image. Therefore, backscattered electrons emitted from a sample could be captured which accounts for different types of information regarding to the compositional structure of the sample.⁵³

Figure 4-5: Representative LV-SEM micrographs of (a) hydrated rGO fibres, (b) higher magnification of rGO (c) cross-section of coaxial Chit/GO fibre, higher magnification of Chit/GO interface in coaxial fibres, (e) cross-section of coaxial Chit/GO fibre in compositional mode, (f) layered morphology of graphene core with dentate bends in wet-state, (g) cross-section of coaxial Alg/GO fibre and (h) higher magnification of Alg/GO interface in coaxial fibres

In the rGO fibres, the cross-sectional areas are not perfectly circular. This phenomenon has also been reported for GO fibres elsewhere.^{54,55} In contrast, the cross-section of coaxial fibres (Figure 4-5 (c)) hold the round shape of the extrusion tip much more closely. It can be seen that two materials (chitosan and GO) attached entirely together. The chitosan sheath looks porous and spongy under LV-SEM, while the surface of graphene appears to shows quite dense structure with “dentate bends”, which is similar to the sheet-like section structures of the pitch-based carbon fibres.⁵⁴ These dentate bends could originate from the orientational declinations (points or lines) in the spinning dopes⁵⁴ which usually formed during the dehydration of the gel fibres.⁵⁶

In contrast, GO appeared to blend with the alginate fibre component while spinning. This is why we ended up creating a nearly hollow fibre of Alg/GO. GO contains negative charge carriers on the edge of its chemical structure mainly in the form of hydroxyl, carbonyl and carboxyl functional groups as depicted schematically in **Figure 4-6**.⁵⁷ Sodium alginate [being $R-COO^-Na^+$, the salt of a carboxylic acid, CH_3COOH] is also negatively charged. Lack of any chemical reactions between GO and alginate along with low viscosity of GO ($\sim 1.5 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}$) due to its non-polymeric nature compared to alginate ($\sim 8.5 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}$) can lead to blending of these components.

Figure 4-6: Schematic representation of the functional groups on the edge of GO structure

4.3.2.2. *Morphology of As-prepared Fibres in Dry-state*

Cross-sections of dehydrated rGO and reduced Chit/GO fibres were imaged with SEM as indicated in **Figure 4-7**. It can be seen from SEM images that rGO fibres appear much more uniform in shape than in the hydrated state (Figure 4-7 (a)). As observed in LV-SEM micrographs previously, cross-sections of Chit/GO fibres in SEM micrographs clearly show the layered dense structure of graphene sheets which is surrounded by a thick porous chitosan sheath ($\sim 25\mu\text{m}$) in coaxial fibres (Figure 4-7(c)). The coaxial fibres were also sufficiently flexible and tough to tie into knots (Figure 4-7 (e)). GO and rGO fibres could not be tied into tight knots without breaking, although the morphology of them have previously been reported to be dense and pore-free.

Figure 4-7: Cross sections of (a) rGO fibre, (b) higher magnification of rGO fibre, (c) coaxial Chit/GO fibre, (d) surface of Chit/GO oxide fibre and (e) tied coaxial fibre and (f) brittle rGO fibre

4.3.3. FTIR Spectroscopy Results

FTIR spectroscopy was used to evaluate the possible chemical reactions between chitosan and GO as they exposed to each other. Consequently, **Figure 4-8** shows the resulting FTIR spectra of chitosan and Chit/GO fibre.

Figure 4-8 : FTIR spectra of chitosan and Chit/GO showing the possible chemical reactions between those

Pure chitosan displayed characteristic absorption bands at 3352, 2878 cm^{-1} , attributed to the -OH and -CH₃ groups as expected.⁵⁸ Furthermore, bands were identified at 1590 and 1404 cm^{-1} typical of the N-H group bending vibration and vibrations of -OH group of the primary alcoholic group, respectively. The bands at 1320 and 1077 cm^{-1} correspond to the stretching of C-O-N and C-O groups.⁵⁹ The band corresponding to free acetic acid (1706 cm^{-1}) was not identified. Interestingly, Chit/GO showed different peak intensities from pure chitosan, supporting the theory of creation of a hydrogen bonding between hydroxyl and amino groups from chitosan and oxygen-containing groups including hydroxyl, carbonyl and carboxyl moieties in the graphene oxide. Subsequently, a dramatic decrease in intensity of the -OH stretching vibration (at 3221 cm^{-1}) of chitosan might be due to the reaction of some of the hydroxyl groups. In addition, both peaks at 1590 and 1404 cm^{-1} which

assigned to the N-H bending of NH_2 groups were disappeared in Chit/GO sample followed by the emergence of peaks at 1038 cm^{-1} which corresponds to the absorbance of glucosidic bond, stretching vibration from $\text{C}=\text{O}$ of $-\text{NHCO}$.⁶⁰ Significant decreasing in the intensities of O-H and N-H groups followed by formation of the new peak of $\text{C}=\text{O}$ together with the Raman spectra results could prove the possible formation of a hydrogen bonding between chitosan and GO. Thus, not only amine groups can take part in the reaction, but also the OH, of the chitosan can play an important role.⁴² It is also worth noting that the noticeable peak at 2380 cm^{-1} was due to detection of CO_2 gas known as the error of the device which could not be even removed by purging the interferometer with N_2 gas at a low flow rate for 2-3 minutes and performing a background before the test.⁶¹

4.3.4. Mechanical and Electrical Properties

Stress-strain curves were obtained for rGO, GO, chitosan and Chit/GO fibres to examine their mechanical properties. These properties tested at room temperature and the average of the four specimens tested is given in **Table 4-1**. A comparison of the mechanical properties of coaxial Chit/GO, chitosan and rGO fibres are also shown in **Figure 4-9**.

Figure 4-9: Stress-strain curves obtained from uniaxial tensile test on chitosan and coaxial Chit/GO fibres. Inset; Stress-strain curves obtained from uniaxial tensile test on rGO fibres

Table 4-1: Mechanical properties and conductivity results of GO, rGO, chitosan and Chit/GO fibres

<i>Fibre Name</i>	<i>Young's Modulus (GPa)</i>	<i>Stress at Break (MPa)</i>	<i>Strain at Break (%)</i>	<i>Conductivity (S m⁻¹)</i>
GO	0.29±0.1	~3.2±2	1.5±0.1	19 ±0.1
rGO	0.34±0.1	~3.5±3	1.3±0.05	48± 0.48
Chitosan	10.6±0.2	~272.3±1	8.05±0.21	-
Reduced Chit/GO	9.7±0.5	~257±5.2	3.1±0.08	-

Stress–strain curves obtained from as-spun rGO and coaxial Chit/GO fibres show a significant increase in robustness of the latter. Young's moduli of these rGO fibres were shown to be ~0.29 and ~0.34 GPa for GO and rGO fibres, respectively. These data values were enhanced about 33 times up to ~10.6 GPa for the coaxial fibres which showed similar ultimate stress values to chitosan fibres but less elongation at break. Analysis of these curves also indicated a stress at break of ~157 MPa with

~3.1 % strain for coaxial fibres compared with only ~3.2 MPa and 3.5 MPa stress with ~1.5% and ~1.3% strain for GO and rGO fibres, respectively. In other words, there is a loss of ~5% of tensile strain in hybrid fibres which makes the value close to that of GO fibre and a gain of ~86% of ultimate tensile stress in the coaxial fibre. The high ultimate tensile stress achieved by coaxial fibres could be explained by the creation of strong homogenous interfacial connection between chitosan and graphene oxide which causes efficient stress transfer when strain is applied on a tensile specimen. Furthermore, it is observed from the curve that the fracture of both components happened at one step in coaxial fibres. The coaxial fibre showed a fracture in the elastic regime, while chitosan fibres revealed plastic deformation prior to fracture. This elastic deformation known as a “brittle fracture” has been also observed for GO and rGO fibres. Thus, lowering the elongation at break in coaxial fibres compared to that of chitosan fibres might be the result of strong interfacial adhesion between chitosan and GO due to the hydrogen bonding. Consequently, both chitosan and Chit/GO fibres were also able to withstand higher stresses before reaching fracture. These brittleness performances of rGO fibres compared to the ductile nature of Chit/GO fibres was also observed later on in biological experiments. These data were calculated assuming that the cross-sectional area was circle with a diameter equal to the longest width (widest diameter) of the irregular fibre.

Electrical conductivity of graphene fibres (GO and rGO) was also determined. As mentioned previously, because of the presence of an insulating chitosan layer as the sheath in coaxial fibres it was not possible to measure the conductivity through 4-point probe method. The average electrical conductivity of undrawn GO fibres was measured to be $\sim 19 \text{ S m}^{-1}$ which was improved more than 2.5 times to $\sim 48 \text{ S m}^{-1}$ for rGO fibres.

4.3.5. Surface Wettability of As-spun Fibres

Drop shape analysis is a convenient way to measure contact angles and thereby determine surface wettability. The quantitative measurement of liquid-solid interaction is the contact angle (θ), made by a water droplet placed against a solid. The contact angle may be related to the surface energies (γ)s of the three interface by Young's equation ($\gamma_{sv} - \gamma_{sl} = \gamma_{lv} \cos \theta$), where γ_{sv} is the surface free energy of the solid fibre in contact with air, γ_{sl} is the surface free energy of the solid fibre covered with water, γ_{lv} is the surface free energy of the water-air interface and θ is the contact angle. As it appears in **Figure 4-10**, the coaxial fibres can be defined as hydrophilic, as the contact angle are $\sim 45^\circ$ ($< 90^\circ$). However, the contact angles indicated to be increased to 72° and 112° in chitosan and rGO fibres, respectively which are described as a more hydrophobic behaviour. It is worth mentioning that GO fibres showed almost similar θ values as that of GO fibres ($\sim 110^\circ$). Surface free energy is one of the key parameters that guides the first events occurring at the biomaterial/biological interface.⁶² Therefore, the hydrophilic nature of coaxial Chit/GO fibre surface suggests that they may have potentially better interactions with biological cells.

Figure 4-10: Water contact angle measurement on suspended fibres

4.3.6. Cyclic Voltammetry

CV curves of as-prepared coaxial fibres have been achieved to evaluate the EC properties of rGO and reduced Chit/GO fibres. The current measured is proportional to the number of sites that can be reduced or oxidized at a given potential, and this quantity depends not only on the chemical composition of the polymer but also on structural factors such as conjugation length and local order. Thus, they could be potentially used as an accurate tool to identify a given polymer type. CV for rGO and reduced Chit/GO fibres is shown in **Figure 4-11** (a-b) in two aqueous electrolytes, PBS and aq. 1 M NaCl. To make a connection to the reduced graphene core of the fibres, a cotton-steel wire with the average diameter of 20 μm was inserted into the fibre core while spinning (detailed and schematically showed in section 2.2.1.11.1). The applied scan rate (v) was 50 mV s^{-1} and 40 cycles were performed.

Figure 4-11: Cyclic voltammograms of (a) rGO and (b) Chit/GO fibres; potential was scanned between -0.8 V and +0.8 (vs. Ag/AgCl) in PBS electrolyte and 1 M aqueous NaCl solution at 50 mVs^{-1}

The transfer of electrons from/to graphene to/from molecules is related to the amount of defects, functional groups, and impurities present on graphene. In addition, GO prepared by different preparation methods also exhibits different reduction potentials, which might be related to the oxidizing agent used in the preparation. The EC performance of individual rGO fibres have been previously studied in a three-electrode cell using different electrolytes. Zhou *et al.* reported of wide EC potential window of graphene electrodes in 0.1 M PBS.⁶³ Graphene has been also reported as an active material for electrodes in supercapacitors exhibiting superior performance in regards to specific capacitance; for example, bioinspired solvated graphene⁶⁴ based supercapacitors gave about 215 F g^{-1} , while chemically modified graphene gave about 135 F g^{-1} .⁶⁵ Looking at the Figure 4-9 (a), compared with the quite distorted shape of the CV curves of rGO fibres in PBS solution, those of the responses in 1 M NaCl were much closer to the quasi-rectangular shape, indicating the faster representative of good EC performance. The fibres showed the specific capacitance of $38 \pm 0.65 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ of and $31.7 \pm 1.5 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ of fibres at a v of 50 mV s^{-1} in PBS and aqueous 1 M NaCl solutions, respectively (Calculations for specific capacitance per electrode were performed as explained previously in section 2.2.1.11).

Reasonable electroactivity is observed in CV results from coaxial fibres. The curves showed a rectangular shape as observed in Figure 4-9 (b) which is typically displayed by graphene, implying pure electric double layer capacitive behaviour.⁶⁶ This result accompanied by the fast, unexpected colour change of the core material confirms the quick deoxygenation of graphene oxide in the core when exposed to strong alkali solutions at moderate temperatures which was also previously studied.^{51,52} The underlying reduction mechanism in alkaline baths is still unclear.

However, it is possible that oxidative debris, which mainly comprises a mixture of complex aromatic structures containing COH-rich functional groups, is stripped from graphene oxide under alkaline conditions.³⁴ Additionally, the stability of EC performance of coaxial fibres is evidenced by negligible EC changes after 40 cycles in both media as shown in **Figure 4-12** (a) and (b).

Figure 4-12: The Cyclic voltammograms of Chit/GO oxide fibres before and after 40 cycles in (a) PBS electrolyte and (b) 1 M aqueous NaCl solution at 50 mVs⁻¹ ; potential was scanned between -0.4 V and +0.3 (vs. Ag/AgCl)

The specific capacitance, or the ability of the structure to store electrical charge, was also determined for Chit/GO fibres based on CV results in two aqueous media. Herein, 1.2 cm of the coaxial fibre was inserted into the electrolyte medium which contains *ca.* 2.8 mg of the conducting element (rGO). It was found that the coaxial fibre has more specific capacitance in aq. 1 M NaCl solution compared to PBS solution. That might be due to the higher ion exchange rate in 1 M NaCl mainly due to the fact that NaCl medium only contains chloride ions, known as univalent small counterions which have little impact on the mobility of Na⁺ ions. Thus, Na⁺ ions could be easily inserted into or expelled from the fibres and participate more actively in the redox reaction, while PBS electrolyte contains high amounts of large counterions such as H₂PO₄⁻ which could retard the ion migration. Chit/GO fibres

have specific capacitances of $22.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ and $27.8 \pm 1.5 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ of fibres in PBS and aqueous 1 M NaCl solutions, respectively. These performances are significantly lower than those of measured for rGO fibres. This might be due to the harder access of the counterions to the conductive core since it has been covered with the insulating hydrogel. The electroactivity observed in these fibres suggests that they might find application as biosensors, bio-batteries and electrodes as well as stimulation of cells and smart drug release studies as previously shown for fibres from graphene and conducting polymers.^{24,67–70}

4.3.7. Raman Spectroscopy Results

Raman spectroscopy was performed on the fibre cross-section as the most direct and non-destructive technique to investigate the significant structural changes including defects, ordered and disordered structure of graphene (C-C bonds are Raman-active bonds) occurring during the chemical reduction. The two distinct peaks at 1341 ± 50 and $1572 \pm 79 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ assigned to D and G bands and are related to disorder and graphitic order, respectively.⁷¹ It is known that the G band indicates graphite carbon structure (sp^2), whereas the D band is typically an indication of disorder in the Raman spectrum of carbon materials.^{72,73} The normalised Raman spectra for rGO, Chit/GO and reduced Chit/GO fibres is shown in **Figure 4-13**.

Figure 4-13: Raman spectra from Chit/GO, reduced Chit/GO and rGO fibres

The normalised ratio of the D-peak to the G-peak (I_D/I_G) observed in the Raman spectra for Chit/GO, reduced Chit/GO and rGO fibres are presented in **Table 4-2**.

Table 4-2: I_D/I_G as observed in the Raman spectra for Chit/GO, reduced Chit/GO and rGO fibres

<i>Sample Name</i>	I_D	I_G	I_D/I_G
<i>rGO fibre</i>	94.58619	210.39275	0.449
<i>Chit/GO fibre</i>	402.00418	496.02519	0.811
<i>Reduced Chit/GO fibre</i>	321.18929	707.56676	0.454

As indicated, Raman spectra show reduction on the intensity ratio of I_D/I_G for Chit/GO fibre before and after the chemical reduction from 0.81 to 0.45. There could be seen a small shift of the D peak position comparing coaxial fibres before and after the reduction process as well. Moreover, according to the Table 4-2, I_D/I_G after the reduction process showed similar values to that of rGO fibres. I_D/I_G is known to be

related to the average size of the sp^2 domains,⁷⁴ and it is increased with oxidation, showing an increment in the number of defect sites. Therefore, our results indicate that the average size of sp^2 domains has changed significantly after chemical reduction as the intensity ratio of I_D/I_G is decreased. The reduction of I_D/I_G might also be due to the decrease in the size of the newly formed graphene like sp^2 domains.⁷⁵ It is reasonable that thermal and chemical reduction can remove the functional groups from GO; thus, the exfoliation of GO is inevitable during the reduction and these factors make the sp^2 domain size of GO to be changed after reduction. Kang *et al.* also reported that I_D/I_G ratio has decreased after thermal reduction of GO at 200 °C which appeared to be more effective in restoring electrical conductivity than chemical treatment.⁷⁶ Also noticeable is the shoulder in the G band centred at $\sim 1609\text{ cm}^{-1}$ corresponds to the 2D band, commonly associated to disorder in graphite. Some of the previous researches reported on the decrease of I_D/I_G ratio as a result of deoxygenation of GO. However, 2D band is normally ignored on the studies of GO and rGO because of the weak intensity.^{76,77} Some other researchers, on the other hand, such as Ferrari *et al.* proposed that GO is in an amorphous state and that a graphite-like state is only recovered after reduction, implying that the I_D/I_G ratio cannot be directly compared between the two states.⁷⁸

4.3.8. *In-vitro* Bioactivity Experiments

The proportion of live cells was seen to be very high on both rGO and coaxial fibres, and on the underlying cells growing on tissue culture plastic, with all cell populations showing greater than 99% viability (**Figure 4-14(a)**). This was an indication that the fibres did not release any chemicals that caused cell death. The density of cells growing on the fibre surfaces was lower than that observed on the underlying cell bed by nearly a factor of three (**Figure 4-14 (b)**), suggested that the surface properties

of the fibres were less optimal for cell adhesion and/or proliferation than the glass microscope slide surface, with no difference observed for the cell density on the rGO compared to the coaxial fibres. It is also worth noting that the fibres were quite floating in the media and it was hard to get the cells to stay on them, despite it was tried to weight them down in the dish with cell crowns. However, cells were observed to adhere, and the density of cells on the fibres increased overtime, which together with the high cell viability indicates that the fibres were capable of supporting cell adhesion and proliferation. L-929 morphology on the fibres was typical of L-929 cells, showing good adhesion to both the rGO and coaxial fibres (Figure 4-14 (c) and (d)).

Figure 4-14: Cell viability (a) and cell density (b) quantified from images of live/dead stained L-929 cells grown on coaxial (c) and rGO (d) fibres and cell population growing on the underlying microscope slide. Values in (a) and (b) obtained by image analysis represent the average of at least 20 images (900 x 900 μm each), or at least 50 mm of fibre length, and error bars show one standard deviation of the mean. Scale bars in (c) and (d) represent 200 μm

In addition, rGO fibres seemed to be quite fragile for being imaged by confocal microscope (as shown in SEM images in Section 4.3.2.2 and discussed in mechanical

properties in 4.3.4, too), while Chit/GO fibres seemed to display suitable mechanical properties, also in wet-state (**Figure 4-15**).

Figure 4-15: Confocal microscopy image showing cell attachment on the surface of coaxial fibre along its length

The adhesion of less-robust PC-12 cells was lower than L-929 cells, however no collagen or adhesion molecules were used (often used in PC-12 studies to promote adhesion).^{79,80} PC-12 cells were able to undergo some degree of NGF-induced differentiation on both fibres, as shown by the presence of the neurite projections in **Figure 4-16**. However, for the long period of differentiation (10 days, compared to 3-5 often used for PC-12 studies),^{81,82} the neurite lengths are shorter than expected, and no extensive network formation was observed. It was expected that the aligned wrinkled morphology observed on the exterior of the fibres (Figure 4-16 (a)) may align neurite outgrowth, and this was observed somewhat on both the rGO and coaxial fibres, however the effect was not significant, with non-aligned neurites observed as frequently as aligned neurites. Overall, the fibres supported the adhesion and differentiation of the neural model cell line; however the fibres likely require some optimisation for a neural application.

Figure 4-16: Differentiated neural cell line (PC-12 cells) on (a) coaxial and (b) reduced graphene oxide fibres, showing small degree of differentiation (neurites indicated by arrows)

4.4. Conclusion

A one-step wet-spinning process enabled the formation of electroactive coaxial fibres using chitosan and LC GO for the first time. These fibres revealed to have a unique combination of cytocompatibility, electrical conductivity and acceptable range of mechanical properties through several characterisation methods. Drawn Chit/GO fibres indicated the resultant mechanical strength of ~ 257 MPa, and the modulus was found to be ~ 10.7 GPa which is much higher comparing to the rGO fibres. The Redox properties of the bulk material were shown by cyclic voltammetry. Two cell type behaviours have been also investigated on rGO and Chit/GO fibres. For both cell lines, the response was very similar on the coaxial fibres and the rGO fibres. Cells showed to adhere to the fibre surfaces and greater than 99% viability. The results presented here imply that these fibres hold a great promise in applications such as drug delivery, batteries and tissue scaffolds.

- 1 U. Longo, M. Loppini, A. Berton, F. Spiezia, N. Maffulli and V. Denaro, *Stem Cells Int.*, 2012, **v.2012**, 175038.
- 2 B. M. Doucet, A. Lam and L. Griffin, *Yale J. Biol. Med.*, 2012, **85**, 201–215.
- 3 M. Hsu, S. Wei, Y. Chang and C. Gung, *sensors*, 2011, **11**, 1932–1942.
- 4 S. Meng, M. Rouabhia, Z. Zhang, D. De, F. De and U. Laval, in *Applied Biomedical Engineering*, 2011, pp. 37–62.
- 5 A. Rupp, U. Dornseifer, A. Fischer, W. Schmahl, K. Rodenacker, J. Uta, P. Gais, E. Biemer, N. Papadopoulos and K. Matiassek, *J. Neurosci. Methods*, 2007, **166**, 266–277.
- 6 Z. Wei, *Science (80-.)*, 2014, **1373**, 1372–1376.
- 7 Y. Chao, E. Y. Chao and N. Inoue, *Eur. Cell. Mater.*, 2003, **6**, 72–85.
- 8 W. Lafayette, *Anal. Biomed. Eng.*, 2003, **31**, 879–890.
- 9 E. Ben-jacob and Y. Hanein, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, **18**, 5181–5186.
- 10 G. Wallace, S. Moulton, R. Kapsa and M. Higgins, in *Organic Bionics*, 2012, p. 240.
- 11 B. A. F. Quigley, J. M. Razal, B. C. Thompson, S. E. Moulton, M. Kita, E. L. Kennedy, G. M. Clark, G. G. Wallace and R. M. I. Kapsa, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 1–5.
- 12 Y. Min, Y. Yang, Y. Poojari, Y. Liu, J. Wu, D. J. Hansford and A. J. Epstein, *Biomacromol.*, 2013, **14**, 1727–1731.
- 13 D. Ateh, H. Navsaria and P. Vadgama, *J. R. Soc. Interface*, 2006, **3**, 741–752.
- 14 P. Sherrell, B. Thompson, J. Wassei, A. Gelmi, M. Higgins, R. Kaner and G. Wallace, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, **24**, 769–776.
- 15 C. Heo, J. Yoo, S. Lee, A. Jo, S. Jung, H. Yoo, Y. Hee and M. Suh, *Biomaterials*, 2011, **32**, 19–27.
- 16 D. Park, A. A. Schendel, S. Mikael, S. K. Brodnick, T. J. Richner, J. P. Ness, M. R. Hayat, F. Atry, S. T. Frye, R. Pashaie, S. Thongpang, Z. Ma and J. C. Williams, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 1–11.
- 17 D. Han, S. T. Boyce and A. J. Steckl, *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.*, 2008, **1094**, 33–

- 38.
- 18 L. Sun, S. Wang, Z. Zhang, X. Wang and Q. Zhang, *Biomed. Mater.*, 2009, **4**, 2–8.
- 19 A. Yarin, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 2011, **22**, 310–317.
- 20 A. Granero, J. Razal, G. Wallace and M. Panhuis, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 7953–7956.
- 21 A. Chwojnowski and C. Wojciechowski, *Biocybern Biomed Eng*, 2009, **29**, 47–59.
- 22 D. Esrafilzadeh, J. Razal, S. Moulton, E. Stewart and G. Wallace, *J. Control. Release*, 2013, **169**, 313–320.
- 23 R. Nohemi, R. Araiza, C. Rochas, L. David and A. Domard, *Macromol. Symp.*, 2008, **266**, 1–5.
- 24 L. Kou, T. Huang, B. Zheng, Y. Han, X. Zhao, K. Gopalsamy, H. Sun and C. Gao, *Nat Commun*, 2014, **5**, 1–10.
- 25 V. Gupta, in *Manufactured Fibre Technology*, eds. V. B. Gupta and V. K. Kothari, Chapman & Hall, London, 1997, pp. 124–138.
- 26 T. Sonia and C. Sharma, *Adv. Polym. Sci.*, 2011, **243**, 23–54.
- 27 O. Agboh and Y. Qin, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 1998, **8**, 355–365.
- 28 E. Khor and L. Yong, *Biomaterials*, 2003, **24**, 2339–2349.
- 29 M. Kumar, *Bull Mater. sci.*, 1999, **22**, 905–915.
- 30 M. Dash, F. Chiellini, R. M. Ottenbrite and E. Chiellini, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2011, **36**, 981–1014.
- 31 Y. Zhu, S. Murali, W. Cai, X. Li, J. Suk, J. Potts and R. Ruoff, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 3906–3924.
- 32 Z. Dong, C. Jiang, H. Cheng, Y. Zhao, G. Shi and L. Jiang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 1856–1861.
- 33 W. Zhang, W. He and X. Jing, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2010, **114**, 10368–10373.
- 34 R. Jalili, H. Aboutalebi, D. Esrafilzadeh, R. Shepherd, J. Chen, S. Aminorroaya-yamini, K. Konstantinov, A. Minett, J. Razal and G. Wallace, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 5345–5354.

- 35 H. Cong, X. Ren, P. Wang and S. Yu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, **613**, 1–6.
- 36 K. Iqbal, A. Khan, M. Ali and K. Khattak, *Pakistan J. Nutr.*, 2004, **3**, 5–13.
- 37 D. Han, L. Yan, W. Chen and W. Li, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2011, **83**, 653–658.
- 38 A. Costa-Pinto, R. Reis and N. Neves, *Tissue Eng. B*, 2011, **17**, 331–47.
- 39 J. Suh and H. Matthew, *Biomaterials*, 2000, **21**, 2589–98.
- 40 X. Zhu, Q. Liu, X. Zhu, C. Li, M. Xu and Y. Liang, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2012, **7**, 5172–5184.
- 41 A. Oyane, H.-M. Kim, T. Furuya, T. Kokubo, T. Miyazaki and T. Nakamura, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A*, 2003, **65**, 188–95.
- 42 R. Reaction, *Materials (Basel)*, 2013, **6**, 911–926.
- 43 D. Yu, K. Branford-White, N. Chatterton, L. Zhu, L. Huang and B. Wang, *Express Polym. Lett.*, 2011, **5**, 732–741.
- 44 R. Jayakumar, M. Prabakaran, P. Kumar, S. Nair and H. Tamura, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2011, **29**, 322–337.
- 45 X. Shao and C. J. C. Hunter, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A*, 2007, **82**, 702–710.
- 46 S. Hirano and E. Bash, *Macromol. Symp.*, 2001, **168**, 21–30.
- 47 K. El-Tahlawy and S. Hudson, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2006, **100**, 1162–1168.
- 48 A. Mirabedini, J. Foroughi, T. Romeo and G. G. Wallace, *Macromol. Mater. Eng.*, 2015, **300**, 1217–1225.
- 49 J. Yu, S. Fridrikh and G. Rutledge, *Adv. Mater.*, 2004, **78**, 1562–1566.
- 50 F. Elahi, W. Lu, G. Guoping and F. Khan, *J. Bioeng. Biomed. Sci.*, 2013, **3**, 1–14.
- 51 X. Fan, W. Peng, Y. Li, X. Li, S. Wang, G. Zhang and F. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 4490–4493.
- 52 J. Rourke, P. Pandey, J. Moore, M. Bates, I. Kinloch, R. Young and N. R. Wilson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 3173–3177.
- 53 D. Kaczmarek, *Scanning Microsc.*, 1998, **12**, 161–169.
- 54 Z. Xu, H. Sun, X. Zhao and C. Gao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 188–193.
- 55 Z. Xu and C. Gao, *Nat Commun*, 2011, **2**, 571–579.

- 56 R. L. D. Whitby, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 9733–9754.
- 57 J. Shao, W. Lv and Q. Yang, 2014, 5586–5612.
- 58 C. Velasco-santos, A. Almendarez-camarillo, C. Faculty, P. Tollocan, S. De Queretaro, C. Madero and A. Del Charro, *Polymers (Basel)*, 2014, **6**, 686–705.
- 59 H. Salehizadeh, E. Hekmatian, M. Sadeghi and K. Kennedy, *J. Nanobiotechnology*, 2012, **10**, 2–8.
- 60 P. Zuo, H. Feng, Z. Xu, L. Zhang, Y. Zhang, W. Xia and W. Zhang, *Chem. Cent. J.* 2013, 2013, **7**, 1–11.
- 61 *NIST database*, 1964.
- 62 J. Rosales-Leal, M. Rodríguez-Valverde, G. Mazzaglia, P. Ramón-Torregrosa, L. Díaz-Rodríguez, O. García-Martínez, M. Vallecillo-Capilla, C. Ruiz and M. Cabrerizo-Vílchez, *Colloid Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp.*, 2010, **365**, 222–229.
- 63 M. Zhou, Y. Zhai and S. Dong, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 5603–5613.
- 64 X. Yang, J. Zhu, L. Qiu and D. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 2833–2838.
- 65 G. Ultracapacitors, M. D. Stoller, S. Park, Y. Zhu, J. An, R. S. Ruoff, M. D. Stoller, S. Park, Y. Zhu, J. An and R. S. Ruoff, *Nano Lett.*, 2008, **8**, 3498–3502.
- 66 B. Xu, S. Yue, Z. Sui, X. Zhang, S. Hou, G. Cao and Y. Yang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 2826.
- 67 T. Ahuja and D. Kumar, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2009, **136**, 275–286.
- 68 N. Gupta, S. Sharma, I. Mir and D. Kumar, *J. Sci. Ind. Res*, 2006, **65**, 549–557.
- 69 J. Foroughi, G. Spinks, D. Antiohos, A. Mirabedini, S. Gambhir, G. Wallace, S. Ghorbani, G. Peleckis, M. Kozlov, M. Lima and R. Baughman, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, **24**, 5859–5865.
- 70 J. Foroughi, G. Spinks and G. Wallace, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2011, **155**, 278–284.
- 71 D. Torres, J. L. Pinilla, R. Moliner and I. Suelves, 2014, **1**, 2–10.
- 72 S. J. Wang, Y. Geng, Q. Zheng and J. Kim, *Carbon N. Y.*, 2010, **8**, 1815–1823.
- 73 J. Fan, J. M. Michalik, L. Casado, S. Roddaro, M. R. Ibarra and J. M. De Teresa, *Solid State Commun.*, 2011, **151**, 1574–1578.

- 74 F. Tuinstra and J. L. Koenig, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **1126**, 1126–1130.
- 75 G. Sobon, J. Sotor, J. Jagiello, R. Kozinski, M. Zdrojek, M. Holdynski, P. Paletko, J. Boguslawski, L. Lipinska and K. M. Abramski, *Opt Express.*, 2012, **20**, 19463–19473.
- 76 H. Kang, A. Kulkarni, S. Stankovich, R. S. Ruoff and S. Baik, *Carbon N. Y.*, 2009, **7**, 7–12.
- 77 S. Stankovich, D. A. Dikin, R. D. Piner, K. A. Kohlhaas, A. Kleinhammes, Y. Jia and Y. Wu, *Carbon N. Y.*, 2007, **45**, 1558–1565.
- 78 A. C. Ferrari, J. Robertson, P. Trans and R. S. Lond, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 2004, **362**, 2477–2512.
- 79 D. Attiah, R. Kopher and T. Desai, *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.*, 2003, **4**, 1005–1009.
- 80 M. Cooke, S. Phillips, D. Shah, D. Athey, J. Lakely and S. Przyborski, *Cytotechnology*, 2008, **56**, 71–79.
- 81 K. Park, H. Park, K. Shin, H. Choi, M. Kai and M. Lee, *Toxicol. Sci.*, 2012, **128**, 247–257.
- 82 J. W. Liu, M. Montero, L. Bu and M. De Leon, *J. Neurochem.*, 2015, **132**, 85–98.

Chapter 5

5. DEVELOPMENT OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL TRIAxIAL FIBRES AS POTENTIAL BIO-BATTERY STRUCTURES

Technological improvements in the development of smaller and lighter smart multi-functional structures have enabled the fabrication of many miniaturized portable electronic devices in recent years. The integration of these organo-electronic components into common textile structures could facilitate free and easy access while allowing a number of smart functionalities such as signalling, sensing, actuating, energy storage or information processing.¹ Fabrics provide a very practical basis to work with since they can be easily shaped into the human body form as well as providing easy access to the electronic equipment embedded within.² This ease-of-use solution, known as electronic textiles (E-textiles) or smart textiles, was first introduced in the early 1990s.³ The significance of this research is the interdisciplinary approach that it requires; covering textile design, chemistry, materials science and computer science. Wearable batteries or power supplies are one of the more recent applications which could clearly benefit from this transformational trend. Implantable medical devices such as pacemakers, microstimulators and drug delivery microchips could be a potentially lucrative target market for these power sources since they need to meet strict clinical and dimensional constraints.⁴ Unlike conventional batteries such as lithium cell, nuclear cell or bio-fuel cell types, which are typically made of rigid materials; so-called one-dimensional (1D) wearable batteries offer flexibility as well as low device weight. Combining solution-processable conductive materials such as conducting polymers and graphene with fabrication methods including printing and spinning has enabled the preparation of mechanically flexible, inexpensive and light-weight battery systems that are customizable.⁵⁻⁷ A numbers of approaches have been employed to develop non-bulky batteries. Previous attempts have focussed on the fabrication of

sandwich-structured batteries⁸ or development of flexible paper-like films as electrodes for use in lithium-ion batteries.^{9–11} In addition to this, novel fabrication methods have been applied to incorporate the battery components all into one single continuous unit, eliminating the need for packaging.⁴

Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) is the polymer of choice when intrinsic properties such as ease of processibility, thermal and atmospheric stability, good optical transmission and high conductivity are considered.^{12–14} Among the most significant of these properties is its water solubility and ease of use in wet-spinning.^{15,16} This has enabled the use of PEDOT:PSS in many devices such as biosensors,^{17,18} OLED displays¹⁹ and photovoltaics.^{20,21} Some research groups have also attempted to fabricate wet-spun PEDOT:PSS fibres for a wide range of use in applications such as chemical sensors, energy storage electrodes and actuators.^{12,15,22} Okuzaki and Ishihara reported the first preparation of PEDOT:PSS microfibres *via* wet-spinning showed electrical conductivities of $\sim 195 \text{ Scm}^{-1}$.²² Shortly thereafter, they fabricated highly conducting PEDOT:PSS microfibres with 5 mm diameter and a 2–6 fold increase in electrical conductivity up to 467 Scm^{-1} *via* wet-spinning followed by ethylene glycol post-treatment.¹⁵ Later on, Jalili *et al.* simplified the method to a one-step process and prepared continuous microfibres by employing a wet-spinning formulation consisting of an aqueous blend of PEDOT:PSS and poly (ethylene glycol) eliminating the need for post-spinning treatment with ethylene glycol and obtained electrical conductivities of up to 264 Scm^{-1} .¹² Recently, highly stretchable PU/PEDOT:PSS fibres have been prepared which have shown suitable properties that allow knitting of various textile structures for use in strain sensing applications.²

In this study, chitosan and alginate were chosen as the core material within fibres mostly due to their high ionic conductivities (of the order of 10^{-3} - 10^{-4} Scm⁻¹) when wet. Both biopolymers have aroused a great deal of interest for their potential in industrial, clinical (approved by FDA) and biological applications.²³⁻²⁸

PPy is also of particular interest since it is simple to prepare, has reasonable stability in the oxidised state, and has high electrical conductivity and strong adhesion to different substrates. Not surprisingly, PPy has already found applications in a wide variety of areas such as rechargeable lithium batteries,^{29,30} tissue engineering applications^{31,32} as well as drug delivery systems^{33,34} and organic compound detection.^{35,36} However, PPy prepared by conventional methods is insoluble in most organic solvents^{37,38} owing to the presence of strong interchain interactions and a rigid structure. The poor processability of PPy has motivated research into developing methods to render PPy processable by direct chemical vapour deposition (CVD)³⁹⁻⁴² or electrodeposition^{43,44} of pyrrole monomer onto various substrates and matrices.³⁹ Direct deposition techniques offer the advantage of applying the conducting material directly onto an electrode surface eliminating issues regarding adhesion of battery layers. CVD, which was employed in this research, is a well-established technique in which a monomer is introduced onto an oxidant-coated substrate in vapour form (discussed in detail previously in section 1.3.1.2.2.2 - cross-reference later on). The CVD method provides a level of control over conducting polymer film thickness, uniformity and density resulting in higher conductivities.⁴⁵

Wet-spinning techniques have been at the centre of attention for years for fabrication of different kinds of fibrillar electroactive electrodes. However, several key factors must be taken into account in designing a high performance fibre-based bio-battery. Practical considerations for the actual fabrication of a device involve selection of the

right materials with suitable viscosities and surface charges, proper coagulation processes, appropriate rates of both spinning and drawing ratios for coaxial spinning, as well as accurate experimental conditions for CVD of the selected polymer. Battery performance also needs to be monitored and regulated carefully. High ionic conductivity and well-controlled microporosity are crucial factors in the selection of a separator to provide optimal electrolyte uptake and rapid transfer of ions between two terminals. There would also be a necessity for both electrodes to show high electrical conductivity, high stability and large potential windows.

Here, with the final aim of producing compact thin fibres for a potential battery structure, a facile methodology using a coaxial wet-spinning approach followed by CVD has been established. Using this approach, unlimited lengths of fibre batteries are achievable, where all the battery elements are integrated into one unified structure. Once produced, these fabricated fibre batteries may be easily incorporated into a textile form using knitting or braiding techniques. This study initially explores the conditions necessary to achieve optimal properties in core-sheath fibres produced *via* a one-step spinning process along with their characterisation in terms of morphological, mechanical and electrochemical properties. Secondly, pyrrole was then incorporated as a third layer onto the coaxial fibres to complete the triaxial fibre battery structure. Finally, performances of the hydrogel electrolytes, as well as the EC properties of the conductor, are investigated.

5.2. Experimental

5.2.1. Materials

Iron (III) p-toluenesulfonate hexahydrate (FepTS) (Clevios C-B 40 V2) was obtained from *Heraeus Technology Company* (Germany) and used as an oxidant as supplied.

PEDOT:PSS pellets were purchased from *Agfa* (Orgacon dry™, Lot A6 0000 AC), and PEG with a molecular weight of 2000 g mol⁻¹ was purchased from *Fluka Analytical*. Sterile filters of 5 µm were supplied from *EASYstrainer™*. Sodium and lithium chloride salts, NaCl and LiCl respectively, were also sourced from *Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC* (Australia).

5.2.2. Dispersion Preparation

For the purpose of coaxial spinning, chitosan and alginate solutions were prepared such that the final concentrations of both were 3% W v⁻¹ as described previously in 2.1.2. Aqueous dispersions of PEDOT:PSS (with and without the addition of PEG) were subsequently prepared as explained in Section 2.1.4.

5.2.3. Coaxial Wet-spinning of Chit-PEDOT and Alg-PEDOT

Core-sheath fibres were successfully spun using a coaxial spinneret as explained in 2.2.1.2. PEDOT:PSS dispersions (with and without PEG) were injected through port B and extruded through the centre outlet nozzle into the appropriate coagulation bath. Simultaneously, either alginate or chitosan were extruded as the sheath of the fibre, providing an outer casing for the core, by injection through port A which facilitates extrusion through the outer segment of the spinneret nozzle. Coaxial fibres of chitosan-PEDOT:PSS (with or without PEG) and alginate-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) (abbreviated respectively as Chit-PEDOT and Alg-PEDOT, for ease of use) were spun into a bath of 1M aqueous NaOH (Ethanol/H₂O: 1/5) for Chit-PEDOT and 2% w v⁻¹ CaCl₂ for Alg-PEDOT, respectively. The applied injection rate used for PEDOT:PSS dispersion was 15 mL hr⁻¹ and 28 mL hr⁻¹ for either chitosan or alginate solution in order to provide sufficient time to cover the core material. It is worth noting that injection rates of 20 and 25 mL hr⁻¹ were tested initially for both alginate

and chitosan solutions while the injection rate of core material was kept at 15 mL hr^{-1} (the lowest rate at which a continuous filling of the core could be obtained). However, at this rate it seemed that the sheath formed was not thick enough to hold the core material in place. 28 mL hr^{-1} was determined to be the optimum rate for the sheath component injection resulting in the thinnest sheath that was still capable of supporting the core material structure. Once the sheath components were coagulated, the fibres were then transferred into an isopropanol bath for post-treatment. After that, they were soaked in a graded series consisting of 80/20, 60/40, 40/60, 20/80, and 0/100 ISP/MilliQ water mixtures gradually over 24 hrs as a washing step. This post-treatment procedure is a useful step in helping to lock the core component in place.

In an acidic medium, chitosan amino groups are positively charged and can thus react with the “free” negatively charged polystyrene sulphonate acid (PSS) groups of PEDOT:PSS, according to the polyionic complexation coagulation strategy,⁴⁶ to create hydrogen bonding at the interface between the core and the sheath in a coaxial fibre.⁴⁷ The electrostatic absorption between PEDOT:PSS and chitosan is shown in **Figure 5-1**.

Figure 5-1: The electrostatic absorption between PEDOT: PSS and chitosan

In contrast, sodium alginate [being $R-COO^-Na^+$] is negatively charged as noted earlier.⁴⁸ This will lead to repulsive effects when carboxyl groups of alginate are placed in the vicinity of the PSS groups of PEDOT: PSS. This prediction was confirmed in later parts of the study by looking at LV-SEM images.

5.2.4. Polymerisation of Pyrrole

The CVD method was used to coat the coaxial fibre with PPy and create triaxial electroactive fibres. Coaxial fibres of Chit/PEDOT were then soaked with oxidant solutions until the oxidizing agent could be fully absorbed into the fibre surface. After oxidant impregnation, the fibres were then dried at 80 °C resulting in a colour change from yellow to orange yellow which is an indication of solvent evaporation and activation of the oxidant. The oxidant-enriched fibres were then placed in a closed reactor chamber and exposed to pyrrole. The pyrrole monomer vapour was generated by heating liquid distilled pyrrole at 70°C while the monomer container was placed in the vicinity of the fibres. Exposure of oxidant-enriched fibres to the atmosphere containing the pyrrole monomer, the spontaneously initiated the polymerization reaction. There was a visible, rapid colour change from orange to black as the fibres were coated with a dark layer of PPy polymer. Finally, the coated fibres were rinsed several times with ethanol in order to remove any unreacted monomer, by-products and Fe (III) salts. The same protocol was applied to the production of triaxial fibres of PPy-Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres without success. It appears that the polymerisation of pyrrole could not be initiated on the surface of the alginate as observed previously for chitosan. The reasons for this observation are investigated in more detail in the section 5.3.1.3.2.

5.2.5. Fourier Transform Infrared

FTIR spectra of the sodium alginate and alginate treated with FepTS were recorded to evaluate the possible reactions between alginate and the oxidant. This experiment would clearly show why pyrrole polymerisation will not occur on the surface of alginate. FTIR spectroscopy was performed in a KBr pellet on a Shimadzu FTIR Prestige-21 spectrometer, in the 700–4000 cm^{-1} range with 4 cm^{-1} resolution.

5.2.6. Analysis

5.2.6.1. *Characterisations of Spinning Solutions*

5.2.6.1.1. *Rheological Measurement*

There are upper and lower practical limits which need to be considered in terms of suitable polymer concentrations for wet-spinning applications depending on the type of polymer as discussed in section 3.3.1.⁴⁹ In addition to this, viscosity is regarded as the primary criterion for the selection of suitable concentrations of materials for the purpose of coaxial spinning. Thus, an understanding of the rheological properties of spinning solutions is essential to determine the optimum conditions required for the spinning process. Changes in viscosity have been recorded versus shear rate. The rheological properties of chitosan (3% w v⁻¹), alginate (3% w v⁻¹) (with and without NaCl) and PEDOT:PSS (3% w v⁻¹) (with and without PEG) solutions were examined in flow mode (cone and plate method) by Rheometer- AR G2 (TA Instruments, USA). Changes in shear viscosity were also investigated for each sample (in triplicate) at room temperature (~25 °C) for shear rates between 0.1-300 s⁻¹.

The electrical impedance behaviour of hydrogel samples (width = 5 mm, height = 6 mm) was measured using a custom-built impedance set-up, described elsewhere.⁵⁰ In brief, an oscilloscope (Agilent U2701A) and waveform generator (Agilent U2761A) were used to apply a range of frequencies at a peak-to-peak a.c. voltage of 0.8V, while measuring the voltage drop across a known resistor (10 k Ω) and the unknown hydrogel sample. The current was calculated across the known resistor and this was then used to calculate impedance behaviour of the hydrogel samples as a function of frequency. Hydrogel samples tested varied in length (l) between 0.5 and 2.5 cm and were contacted at each end with reticulated vitreous carbon (RVC, ERG Aerospace, 20 pores per inch), as shown in **Figure 5-2**.

Figure 5-2: Hydrogel sample holder containing 5 channels varying in length between RVC electrodes from 0.5 to 2.5cm (height, 6 mm; channel width, 5 mm)

The RVC acted as a medium for contact between the hard electrodes and soft gels.

Electrical conductivity (σ) was calculated according to **Equation 5-1** as below:

$$Z_I = \frac{l}{\sigma A_C} + R_C \quad \text{Equation 5-1}$$

Where Z_I is the frequency-independent impedance, R_C is the contact resistance and A_C is the cross-sectional area of the sample.

5.2.6.2. *Characterisation of Fibres*

5.2.6.2.1. *Scanning Electron Microscopy/ Energy-dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (SEM/EDS)*

The morphological properties of the dried fibres were observed using a JEOL JSM-7500 FESEM, as described in Section 2.2.1.6. Compositional analysis of the cross-sections of Chit/PEDOT(+PEG) and Alg/PEDOT(+PEG) coaxial fibres was then carried out using a Bruker X-Flash 4010 EDS system, fitted with a 10mm² SD detector (127eV resolution) equipped with Esprit 1.9 microanalysis software. This allowed us a better understanding of where the core and sheath components were locally dispersed by creating an elemental distribution map of the cross-section surface.

5.2.6.2.2. *Cyclic Voltammetry (CV)*

Electrochemical properties of as-prepared fibres were investigated *via* the use of cyclic voltammetry as explained previously in Section 2.2.1.11. The experiment was performed on Chit/PEDOT (+PEG) and Alg/PEDOT (+PEG) fibres in aqueous and organic media of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBABF₄), respectively. A three-electrode cell was used to study the electrochemical behaviour of the coaxial fibres. A steel wire with a diameter of ~25 µm was inserted into the fibre core while spinning to make the electrical connection to the core of the fibres. CV measurements were carried out using an E-Corder 401

interface and a potentiostat (EDAQ) in deoxygenated PBS and 0.1 M TBABF₄ in acetonitrile using a Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode and a Pt mesh auxiliary electrode. Fibres showed sufficient stability in both solutions. The applied v was 10 mV s⁻¹ and 50 cycles were performed.

5.2.6.2.3. *In-vitro Experiments with Living Cells*

The cytotoxicity of the coaxial fibres and their cytocompatibility for cell proliferation were determined with the use of a primary myoblast muscle cell line (Rosa, kindly donated by Prof. Robert Kapsa, St. Vincent's Hospital, Victoria, Australia) by Rodrigo Lozano.

Cell adhesion and proliferation on both Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) and Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) coaxial fibres was evaluated without the addition of any extra-cellular matrices to the fibres. Prior to the use of fibres in the cell culture experiments, 30 mm lengths of fibres were fixed onto microscope slides with 4-chamber wells (Lab Tek^RII, Thermo Fisher Scientific) glued on top and allowed to dry overnight. The samples then underwent a sterilization process consisting of two washes in sterile 70% ethanol (each for 30 minutes) and then four washes (each for 30 minutes) in sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS, *Sigma-Aldrich Co.*). Finally, they were kept in cell culture media overnight to remove all excess acid.

Myoblast cells were seeded at 5x10⁵ cells/cm² in a proliferation medium containing Ham's F-10 medium (*Sigma-Aldrich Co.*), supplemented with 2.5 ng mL⁻¹ bFGF (Peprotech) and 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen supplied by Life Technologies) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S, Life Technologies). This medium was changed to a differentiation medium after 24 hours in culture (50-50 mixture of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Life Technologies) and

F-12 medium (DMEM/F12, Life Technologies) supplemented with 3% FBS and 1% P/S). The cells were left and allowed to differentiate for 4 days in the media. Cell morphology was assessed after 5 days in three independent experiments containing four samples each. Myoblast cells were stained by addition of calcein AM (Invitrogen) solution at a final concentration of 5 μ M (1:200 dilution) and incubated at 37 °C for 10 mins. Image analysis was performed using a Leica TSC SP5 II microscope.

5.3. Results and Discussions

5.3.1. Characterisation of Spinning Solutions

5.3.1.1. *Rheological Properties*

5.3.1.1.1. *Concentrations of spinning solutions*

Broad ranges varying from 2-15% (w v⁻¹) have been reported as practical, spinnable concentrations for chitosan.^{51,52} Viscosity changes of both hydrogel precursors upon increasing shear rate have been discussed in detail as described in detail in **Section 3.3.1**. A concentration of 3% (w v⁻¹) has been selected here as an average value for both gels for ease of spinnability. PEDOT:PSS concentrations of less than 1.5% (w v⁻¹) showed insufficient viscosity to promote fibre production while concentrations higher than 3% (w v⁻¹) were too viscous. A concentration of 2.5% (w v⁻¹) PEDOT:PSS dispersion has been suggested to provide the most “spinnable” formulation, having been demonstrated by the production of continuous fibres over several meters in length in earlier studies.¹² Isopropanol was also the recommended choice for the coagulation bath solvent to be used in the production of these continuous fibre lengths.⁵³ In spite of this, after observation of coaxial fibre cross-

sections under the scanning electron microscope, it was seen that the PEDOT:PSS core section had an inconsistent texture. In order to achieve uniform inner structure, as well as improving its conductivity, we investigated the effect of adding PEG into the PEDOT:PSS spinning formulation (fibres abbreviated “Hydrogel-PEDOT (+PEG)” in the succeeding sections). We found that by addition of 10 % (w v⁻¹) PEG, the spinnability of the formulation was unaffected, thereby affording a one-step fibre production method. In addition to this, a uniform core texture could now be obtained routinely enclosed within the outer sheath component.

5.3.1.1.2. Viscosity of spinning solutions

Viscosity is another key factor determining the right selection of spinning solutions for wet-spinning. Viscosity of the sheath spinning solution is particularly critical in coaxial spinning as it is needed to provide a continuous protective coating over the inner core material. Additionally, the core component material must also possess a certain minimum viscosity to allow continuous spinnability without break-up as discussed previously. For coaxial spinning, matching the viscosities of the two components is also an essential consideration. **Figure 5-3** shows changes in viscosity versus shear rate at different shear rates between 0.1-500 s⁻¹ for aqueous solutions of chitosan at 3% (w v⁻¹), alginate at 3% (w v⁻¹) and PEDOT:PSS at 3% (w v⁻¹) before and after adding PEG.

Figure 5-3: Viscosities of spinning solutions of PEDOT:PSS with and without PEG, chitosan and sodium alginate solutions

The viscosity of 3% ($w v^{-1}$) sodium alginate solution was roughly $8.5 Pa^*s$, while spinning solutions of 3% ($w v^{-1}$) chitosan resulted in a solution with a viscosity of $6.4 Pa^*s$. By increasing the shear rate, the viscosities of the two solutions became closer (The reasons for this have been discussed previously in section 3.3.2). It can also be seen that by increasing the shear rate, the viscosity of PEDOT:PSS solutions generally decreased. This indicates that both solutions exhibited shear thinning behaviour. The viscosities of both gels were higher than those of PEDOT:PSS dispersions at low shear rates. It was found that the addition of 10% ($w v^{-1}$) PEG only increased the viscosity slightly as a result of raising the concentration. As mentioned, for the core fibre spinning, PEDOT:PSS (with or without PEG) was injected at a rate of $15 mL h^{-1}$ while V_i for both sheath spinning solutions was $28 mL h^{-1}$. The

viscosity of the 3% (w v⁻¹) PEDOT:PSS dispersion was 4.8 Pa^{*} s, while the viscosity of PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) was approximately 6.7 Pa^{*} s at shear rates close to zero. This might be considered as a benefit for coaxial wet-spinning as the viscosities of the core material became comparable to that of the sheath solutions. It has been established previously that PEG dispersions behave as Newtonian fluids below certain values of shear rates ($\sim 1000 \text{ S}^{-1}$).⁵⁴ However, when the applied shear rate or shear stress exceeds a certain value, gels show shear-thickening behaviour. Shear-thickening is a time-independent non-Newtonian behavior which is defined as the increase of viscosity with increase in shear rate,⁵⁵ often termed as a dilatant fluid. Shear thickening fluids (STFs) usually display a shear thinning behavior at low shear stresses or shear rate. This nonlinear rheological behaviour of dispersions arises from a micro-structural rearrangement of the particles within the system. Since the applied shear rate was not in the shear-thickening regime, the dispersion has low viscosity and flows easily; thus, the presence of PEG did not cause significant changes in the viscosity of PEDOT:PSS solution in our experiment. In addition, the viscosity of all the solutions was comparable at higher shear rates.

Considering the outlet sectional area and V_i for each component (15 mL hr⁻¹ for PEDOT:PSS dispersion and 28 mL hr⁻¹ for chitosan solution), the output flow rates were calculated. The flow rates were calculated to be about $\sim 94 \text{ S}^{-1}$ for chitosan or alginate and $\sim 62 \text{ S}^{-1}$ for PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) solutions which resulted in a viscosity of $\sim 2.4 \text{ Pa}^* \text{ s}$, $\sim 2.9 \text{ Pa}^* \text{ s}$, $\sim 0.95 \text{ Pa}^* \text{ s}$ and $\sim 1.2 \text{ Pa}^* \text{ s}$ for chitosan, alginate, PEDOT:PSS and PEDOT:PSS (+PEG), respectively during the spinning process (shown in Figure 5-3).

Figure 5-4 (a) shows an example of a series of Bode plots for chitosan 3% (w v⁻¹) aqueous hydrogel in the wet gel state. The impedance magnitude ($|Z|$) decreases with increasing frequency and becomes independent of frequency above 1 kilohertz (kHz). This can be attributed to lower ionic diffusivity at low frequencies, due to the random path motion of ions. At higher frequencies this effect is eliminated due to the more rapid switching of current flow direction. This infers that the system is dominated by ionic, rather than electronic, charge carriers. Figure 5-4 (b) shows a linear relationship between the frequency-independent impedance (Z_l) and sample length; the slope of this plot was used to calculate conductivity according to **Equation 5-1**.

This information was used to try and achieve optimal performance for the final battery device. The ionic conductivity of a polymer electrolyte is related to the ionic mobility and motion of polymer chains which determines their ability to continuously create free volume into which the ions can migrate.⁵⁰ These free volumes will facilitate the diffusion of charge through the polymeric matrix, thus enhancing the ionic conductivity.⁵⁶ Enhancement of ionic conductivity will increase the flow of electrical charge between the cathode and anode and consequently improve battery performance. Electrical impedance analysis of chitosan 3% (W v⁻¹) is shown in Figure 5-4 (a-b).

Figure 5-4: Electrical impedance analysis of chitosan hydrogel 3% ($w v^{-1}$); (a) Bode plot and (b) Impedance as a function of sample length in wet-state fibres

Table 5-1 shows the calculated electrical conductivity of hydrogels with varying salt content. As expected, the conductivity increased for increasing salt content across all chitosan and alginate hydrogels. For both chitosan and alginate hydrogels, it can be seen that 1% w v⁻¹ LiCl exhibited the highest conductivity. However, NaCl at 1% (w v⁻¹) was chosen as the desired salt to be added to both hydrogels since it showed less variability (due to the smaller errors reported in Table 5-1 based on three time measurements) as well as comparable conductivities to data from LiCl. In addition, this salt is inexpensive and abundant.

Table 5-1: Ionic conductivity results of hydrogels using two kinds of salts

<i>Sample Name</i>	<i>Conductivity (mScm⁻¹)</i>
<i>Chit wet gel</i>	0.38 ± 0.041
<i>Chit - NaCl 0.5% (w v⁻¹)</i>	11.4 ± 0.188
<i>Chit - NaCl 1% (w v⁻¹)</i>	15.1 ± 0.073
<i>Chit - LiCl 0.5% (w v⁻¹)</i>	18.9 ± 2.046
<i>Chit - LiCl 1% (w v⁻¹)</i>	17.5 ± 0.227
<i>Chit - 1M NaOH</i>	1.1 ± 0.011
<i>Alg wet gel</i>	5.8 ± 0.098
<i>Alg - NaCl 0.5% (w v⁻¹)</i>	14.5 ± 0.091
<i>Alg - NaCl 1% (w v⁻¹)</i>	22.7 ± 0.233
<i>Alg - LiCl 0.5% (w v⁻¹)</i>	4.8 ± 0.103
<i>Alg - LiCl 1% (w v⁻¹)</i>	25.4 ± 0.659
<i>Alg - CaCl₂ 2%(w v⁻¹)</i>	8.9 ± 0.219

Viscosity changes versus different shear rates (0-300 S⁻¹) were determined after the addition of 1% (w v⁻¹) sodium chloride. The changes in viscosity of both hydrogels upon addition of an inert and inorganic salt are compared in **Figure 5-5** obtained from alginate and chitosan solutions with and without NaCl 1% (w v⁻¹).

Figure 5-5: Viscosity changes of (a) Alg and (b) chitosan spinning solutions with and without addition of NaCl 1% (w v⁻¹)

It is clear from Figure 5-5 that the viscosity of alginate and chitosan solutions both decreased by increasing the shear rate. This is an indication of shear thinning

behaviour. Addition of a monovalent salt such as sodium chloride at 1% (w v⁻¹) caused a slight decrease in the viscosity of both hydrogels. It is well known that viscosity can be highly sensitive to ion type and concentration due to the way they are able to change the range and strength of the electrostatic interactions between the molecules within a sample. This phenomenon is known as electrostatic screening or screening effect.⁵⁷ Alginate and chitosan both reveal polyelectrolytic natures as they become ionised when dissolved in aqueous media. Presence of an inorganic salt invokes changes to their conformational properties.⁵⁸ When electrolytes are absent, or present only at low concentrations (dilute solutions), the polymer chains are more expanded due to intrachain electrostatic repulsion. However, when an inert salt is added (non-dilute solutions) a screening of the charge takes place, the electrostatic interactions decrease and the conformation of the chain becomes more compact.⁵⁹ This leads to a decrease in the viscosity of the solution when compared to salt-free conditions.⁶⁰ According to Figure 5-5 (a) and (b), the viscosity is strongly controlled by electrostatic molecular interactions at low shear rates. However, at higher shear rates where the size and shape of the molecules becomes the defining feature, these interactions break down. This will be confirmed by observing the variation in viscosity as the shear rate is changed.

5.3.1.3. *Microscopic Investigation of As-prepared Fibres*

5.3.1.3.1. *Morphological Observation in Wet-state*

Figure 5-6 (a-c) displays wet Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) coaxial fibres in water immediately after the spinning process⁶¹ within a 1-min time period (intervals of 30 S). These images were taken from fibres which had not undergone a post-treatment procedure with propan-2-ol or isopropanol (ISP) after preparation to highlight the

impact of the post-treatment on the formation of coaxial fibres. As seen from the micrographs, the conductive core is not well bound to the outer sheath without the post-treatment, and this can result in the formation of a hollow hydrogel fibre within a short time after preparation.

Figure 5-6: Stereomicroscope images of (a-c) surface of wet Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres without post-treatment

The same fibres were exposed to a post-treatment process straight away and imaged using the optical microscope once again. The stereomicroscopic images of wet Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) coaxial fibres are shown in **Figure 5-7** (a-d). The coaxial fibres showed uniform linear structures with the PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) core loaded into the fibre sheath. Moreover, the fibres appeared to remain quite stable in the media with a uniform and consistent core retained in place. The diameters of the fibres was measured to be about 580 μm for Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and 550 μm for Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres, in which the core was about 340 μm and 380 μm , respectively.

Figure 5-7: Stereomicroscope images of surface and (b) cross-sections of (a), (b) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and (c) and (d) Alg-PEDOT (+PEG), respectively

Also, the cross-sections of coaxial fibres of Chit-PEDOT were observed under LV-SEM before and after addition of PEG to the core component. **Figure 5-8** (a-c) reveals coaxial fibres in which chitosan was used as the surrounding sheath component. These images give valuable information about the internal structures of the fibres.

Figure 5-8: LV-SEM images of hydrated cross sections of as-prepared (a) Chit-PEDOT, (b) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and (c) higher magnification of Chit-PEDOT (+PEG)

Cross-section of both fibre structures exhibited sealed rounded forms as clearly depicted in the LV-SEM images. The core component in Chit-PEDOT fibres in Figure 5-8 (a) showed a fractured, inconsistent surface. Addition of PEG into the

core at 10% (w v⁻¹) resulted in creation of a crack-free and consistent central core compared to the pure PEDOT:PSS as shown in Figure 5-8 (b). A porous, spongy texture is noticeable in both chitosan and PEDOT sections which look as if they have reduced in size after insertion of PEG (**Figure 5-9** (a-b)). Internal cross-section of Alg-PEDOT coaxial fibres imaged under LV-SEM clearly showed the cylinder-shaped form of the fibre as indicated in Figure 5-9 (a-d). Similar to the method used for improving the consistency of the core component in regard to Chit-PEDOT fibres, PEG was also added into the PEDOT:PSS component in Alg-PEDOT fibres.

Figure 5-9: LV-SEM images of hydrated cross sections of as-prepared (a) Alg-PEDOT, (b) Alg-PEDOT (+PEG), (c) higher magnification of Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) and (d) re-hydrated Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres

Both Alg and PEDOT constituents displayed porous permeable structures with holes visually larger than that of Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres. Core and sheath components also appeared to be separated by a gap of approx. 30-50 μm. However, in contrast to the behaviour observed in Section 4.3.2.1 when GO was exposed to alginate, PEDOT:PSS does not show miscibility with alginate. This performance may arise

from its higher viscosities ($\sim 6.7 \text{ Pa}^* \text{ s}$) compared to GO solutions ($\sim 1.5 \text{ Pa}^* \text{ s}$) coming from the chain entanglements present in the chemical structure due to its polymeric nature. In order to improve core and sheath attachment by building a bridge between them, PEG was added to alginate spinning solutions as well as being a common element existing in the core. However, the results revealed that addition of PEG into the core created a larger gap between the two constituents as both sections became significantly denser as shown in Figure 5-9 (b). Shrinkage of the alginate sheath might be due to the creation of covalent bonding with the alginate. Insertion of PEG into alginate has been shown to regulate the swelling properties of alginate hydrogels.⁶² Furthermore, addition of PEG into PEDOT:PSS, with a polymeric chemical structure, decreased the porosity of the core structure. Thus, PEG was only added to PEDOT:PSS core material as previously done for the chitosan sheath fibres. These fibres also displayed the ability to restore their initial cylindrical cross-sectional shape through several dehydration and rehydration processes as demonstrated in Figure 5-9 (d).

5.3.1.3.2. *Morphological Observation in Dry-state*

Obtaining the right morphology is one of the most crucial steps in designing a triaxial structure. The microstructure of dehydrated Chit-PEDOT (+PEG), Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) and PPy-Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres were imaged under SEM and the results are shown in **Figure 5-10** (a-i). As is well known, wet-spinning yields fibres of generally round or bean-shaped cross-section.⁶³ Although hydrated coaxial Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres clearly indicated more or less disk-shaped circular cross-sections, they fully collapsed into non-regular structures after dehydration as shown in Figure 5-10 (a) and (b). Nevertheless, a clear boundary could be found between the two components. It is also worth noting that freeze

drying of fibres does not seem to be a successful method in keeping the circular cross-section. Looking at the surface patterns of coaxial fibres in Figure 5-10 (e) and (f) showed that while Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres displayed a non-uniform, irregular and porous surface pattern, Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) revealed a relatively smooth surface structure with longitudinal indentations running parallel to the fibre axis. The cross-sectional shape of PPy-Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) triaxial fibres displayed an irregular structure with three clear layers shown in Figure 5-10 (g-i). SEM micrographs of PPy-Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres in Figure 5-10 (i) clearly displayed special patterns arranged in rows covering the surface of the chitosan as has also been reported for polypyrrole microstructure elsewhere.⁶⁴

Figure 5-10: SEM images of cross sections of as-prepared coaxial fibres of (a) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG), (b) Alg-PEDOT(+PEG) and higher magnifications of (c) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and (d) Alg-PEDOT(+PEG) fibre, surface pattern of (e) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and (f) Alg-PEDOT

To investigate the internal compositions of the cross-sections and determine if each of the layers and sections maintained their original positions after dehydration, chemical analysis was carried out through EDS mapping. This analysis could also possibly help specify how the PEDOT (+PEG) core component is enclosed within the chitosan sheath. EDS, energy dispersive spectroscopy, relies on the interaction of the electron beam in the SEM with the specimen atoms. This interaction generates element specific X-rays which allows us to map the elemental distribution or relative abundance across a scanned image. Secondary electron images of coaxial Chit-PEDOT (+PEG), Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) and triaxial PPy-Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres and their corresponding X-ray maps are depicted in **Figure 5-11** (a-c), respectively. Poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) sulfonate Polystyrene or PEDOT:PSS is a polymer mixture of two ionomers.⁶⁵ One component in this mixture is sodium polystyrene sulfonate. Part of the sulfonyl groups are deprotonated and carry a negative charge. The other component poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene), or PEDOT, is a conjugated polymer and carries positive charges. Thus, it was determined that sulphur would be a suitable element to map as an indicator of the presence of PEDOT:PSS since it is not present in any of the hydrogels or PPy.

Figure 5-11: EDS maps from cross-sections of as-prepared (a) Chit-PEDOT (+PEG), (b) Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) and (c) PPy-Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres; where a,b and c(1) (dark blue), a and c(2) (red) and b(2) (light blue) shows the elemental maps of sulphur (S), carbon (C) and calcium (Ca), respectively.

The EDS maps obtained clearly confirmed the results previously obtained from SEM investigations. The bean structured cross-sections of coaxial and triaxial fibres were shown to enclose the sulphur-rich conductive core of PEDOT:PSS. A thin layer of PPy was also observed to be deposited as the third layer on the surface of Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres (Figure 5-11 (c))

As noted, the polymerisation of pyrrole could not be initiated on the surface of alginate it was previously for chitosan. To investigate the reasons for this we can possibly look back at the chemical structures of both alginate and chitosan. As discussed earlier, chitosan is a cationic polysaccharide containing amino groups which could be protonated in aqueous media.²⁶ Thus, chitosan would be able to

display antioxidative activity due to the existence of these lone so-called “unpaired electrons” in its structure. The damaging process that is prevented by antioxidants is the prevention of free radicals. Consequently, it could prevent the oxidation of other chemicals from occurring.⁶⁶ A schematic shows antioxidant activity of chitosan is presented in **Figure 5-12**.

Figure 5-12: Mechanism of how antioxidants reduce free radicals by giving the free radical an electron which inhibits other oxidation reactions

Sodium alginate, on the other hand, in the absence of antioxidant protection is predicted to undergo an oxidation process when exposed to the oxidant which results in backbone cleavage.⁶⁷ In the oxidation reaction, hydroxyl groups on carbons 2 and 3 of repetitive units were oxidized by *FepTS* leading to the formation of bis-aldehyde functionalities in each oxidized monomeric unit by cleaving the carbon–carbon bond as shown in **Figure 5-13**.⁶⁶

Figure 5-13: An oxidation reaction of alginate upon addition of oxidant

FTIR spectroscopy was used to evaluate the structural changes in the alginate backbone after the oxidation procedure. Consequently, **Figure 5-14** shows the

resulting FTIR spectra of pure alginate (Alginate) and oxidized alginate (Alginate-FepTS).

Figure 5-14: FTIR spectra of alginate and alginate-FepTS

As expected, pure alginate displayed the characteristic absorption bands of alginic acid, including the peaks at around 1603 and 1413 cm^{-1} , corresponding to asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibration of carboxylate salt groups of alginate, a peak at around 1033 cm^{-1} assigned to C-O-C stretching vibration and a peak at 3255 cm^{-1} attributed to -OH stretching vibration.⁶⁸ Interestingly, oxidized alginate showed a completely different absorption pattern from pure alginate, supporting the theory of structural substitution of functional groups due to chemical reaction between sodium alginate and the oxidizing agent (FepTS). Subsequently, a dramatic decrease in intensity of the -OH stretching vibration (at 3221 cm^{-1}) of alginate was due to oxidation at the hydroxyl groups. Identifying the newly formed aldehyde groups (as a consequence of oxidation) proved to be difficult with only a weak

absorption band at 1736 cm^{-1} assigned to symmetric vibration of aldehyde (which was due to hemiacetal formation of free aldehyde groups confirmed by observation of the characteristic absorption band of hemiacetal structure at 866 cm^{-1}).^{66,69–71} Additionally, the appearance of the band at around 1222 cm^{-1} corresponds to S=O stretching vibration of the oxidizing agent (FepTS).^{72,73} It is also noteworthy that the significant reduction in absorption bands of carboxylate groups (1603 and 1413 cm^{-1}) was due to the shielding effect of iron ions present in the oxidizing agent (FepTS).^{74,75}

5.3.1.4. Mechanical Properties

Tensile tests were carried out on a Dynamic Mechanical Tester (EZ-L Tester from Shimadzu, Japan), at 2 mm min^{-1} and a gauge length of 11.5 mm . Average values of tensile strength and maximum strain were determined for four different coaxial fibre types with each test being repeated four times. The results are summarized in **Table 5-2**.

Table 5-2: Mechanical properties of coaxial and triaxial fibres

<i>Sample Name</i>	<i>Average Young's modulus (GPa)</i>	<i>Ultimate stress (MPa)</i>	<i>Ultimate strain (%)</i>
PEDOT:PSS fibre¹²	3.3 ± 0.3	125 ± 7	15.8 ± 1.2
Chit-PEDOT fibre	3.9 ± 0.1	94.06 ± 3.4	8 ± 1.98
Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibre	5.16 ± 0.6	160.25 ± 16.8	7.52 ± 0.88
Alg-PEDOT(+PEG) fibre	4.05 ± 0.08	94.81 ± 5.3	16.73 ± 1.81
PPy- Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibre	2.2 ± 0.25	64.81 ± 3.3	3.5 ± 0.25

Results obtained from stress–strain curves for as-spun coaxial and triaxial fibres showed a significant increase in robustness for them compared to the results previously reported for PEDOT:PSS fibres by others.¹² Young's moduli of fibres

were calculated to be ~ 3.9 , ~ 5.1 , ~ 4 and ~ 2.2 GPa for Chit-PEDOT, Chit-PEDOT (+PEG), Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) and PPy- Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres, respectively. The results indicate that the presence of a hydrogel sheath plays a major role in increasing the Young's modulus. On the other hand, deposition of the third layer has decreased the Young's modulus. Analysis of this data also indicated an ultimate stress of ~ 125 MPa with ~ 15.8 % strain for solid fibres⁷⁶ compared with ~ 94 MPa with 8% strain, ~ 160 MPa with 7.5% strain and 94 MPa stress with $\sim 16.7\%$ for Chit-PEDOT, Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT(+PEG) fibres, respectively. In other words, there is a loss of $\sim 25\%$ of tensile strain in hybrid Chit-PEDOT fibres compared to that of solid PEDOT:PSS fibres which might be compensated for by addition of PEG into the core of coaxial Chi-PEDOT fibres. This outcome can be explained by the creation of a strong homogenous interfacial connection between the core and sheath sections in the presence of PEG which causes efficient stress transfer when strain is applied on a tensile specimen. Moreover, Chit-PEDOT fibres were shown to withstand less stress before breakage in the elastic regime, while Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) revealed higher strain percentages before fracture. Triaxial fibres of PPy- Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibre were shown to have ultimate tensile stress of ~ 64 MPa with $\sim 3.5\%$ elongation at breakage. The creation of multilayered fibres with different sections allowing different properties, as well as their dissimilar responses to the applied stress, might be the reason behind this reduction. These data were calculated assuming that the cross-sectional area was circular with a diameter equal to the widest diameter of the irregular fibre.

5.3.1.5. *Cyclic Voltammetry (CV)*

CV curves of as-prepared coaxial fibres were collected to evaluate the EC properties of fibres. Curves for solid PEDOT:PSS(PEG), as well as coaxial Chit-PEDOT

(+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres, are shown in **Figure 5-15** (a-b) in two electrolytes, PBS and 0.1 M TBABF₄ (CV of cotton-steel fibre has been added as the reference). To make connection to the PEDOT (+PEG) core of the fibres, a cotton-steel wire with an average diameter of 20 μm was inserted into the fibre core while spinning. The applied v was 10 mV s^{-1} and 100 cycles were performed. Reasonable electroactivity is observed in CV results from coaxial fibres which also indicated a stable behaviour after 100 cycles in both media. The EC performance of individual PEDOT:PSS fibres has been previously studied in a three-electrode cell using different electrolytes.⁷⁷

Figure 5-15: Cyclic voltammograms of PEDOT:PSS (+PEG), Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres in (a) PBS solution and (b) 0.1 M TBABF₄; potential was scanned between -0.8 V and +0.8 at 10 mVs⁻¹

The capacitive behaviour of PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) was represented by CVs showing near-rectangular shaped behaviour in aqueous and organic media in a three-electrode configuration. The near-rectangular shape of the CVs obtained from PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) suggests that the overall internal resistance is low, owing to the high fibre electroactivity. It is worth mentioning that the cotton-steel wire showed negligible electroactivity as observed in the Figure 5-15 in both media.

PEDOT:PSS(+PEG) fibres exhibited more pronounced oxidations (-0.4 and +0.4 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺) and reduction (-0.2 and -0.5 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺) peaks. However, PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres exhibited a well-defined EC behaviour corresponding to oxidation (-0.3 V) and reduction (-0.5 V) of the PEDOT backbone in the organic electrolyte. The oxidation and reduction peaks shifted to (+0.2 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺) and (+0.6 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺) for Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and (-0.3 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺) and (+0.5 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺) for Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres, respectively. These redox values for coaxial fibres are at potentials higher than the oxidation state of the PEDOT:PSS fibre core. This provides the possibility of switching between oxidised and reduced states for the coaxial fibres whilst the PEDOT:PSS layer remains in its oxidised (conducting) state. In addition, the CV curves showed obviously larger CV areas, and correspondingly, higher specific capacitances in 0.1 M TBABF₄ than those of the CVs in PBS solution. The coaxial fibres displayed a kind of tilted CV shape. Specific capacitances of 19±0.65, 11±1.2 and 9.2±0.8 F g⁻¹ (at a ν of 10 mV s⁻¹) were calculated for PEDOT:PSS (+PEG), Chit-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres, respectively, in PBS solution (based on the calculations in section 2.2.1.11). Much higher capacitances of 29.7±1.5, 21±0.2 and 13.05 ±0.6 F g⁻¹ were measured for PEDOT:PSS (+PEG), Chit-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres, respectively in 0.1 M TBABF₄.

It was expected that we would see higher electroactivities from Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres compared to that of Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) because of their higher ionic conductivities in wet-state (~5.8 for alginate vs. ~0.38 for chitosan). Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres however, demonstrated less electroactivity compared to Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres as shown by the lower current measured in CV curves. This outcome might be explained by the lack of any bonding between alginate and PEDOT:PSS in the core (and is supported by the LV-SEM images in section 5.3.1.3.1 which show a gap of approx. 30-50 μm).

5.3.1.6. *In-vitro Bioactivity Experiments*

Tests for cytotoxicity and cell adhesion are essential in assessing tissue - biomaterial compatibility integration. It is well known that the quality of cell attachment to the substrate material will determine the capacity of cells to proliferate and to differentiate into biomaterials.^{78,79} To this end, we tested cell toxicity and biocompatibility of coaxial fibres using primary cells without the use of any extra cellular matrices, such as laminin or collagen, to assess the true affinity of these cells to our synthetic substrates.

Figure 5-16: Primary cell attachment and proliferation on fibres after 5 days of differentiation. (a) Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) and Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres from (b) bottom (c) side and (d) top view, respectively. Scale bars represent 100 μm

The proportion of live cells was seen to be very high on both coaxial fibre types. This was an indication that the fibres did not release any toxic chemicals that caused cell

death, indicating that the materials are biocompatible. The tests revealed that on coaxial Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres the primary cells attached, differentiated and proliferated. Also, the cell morphology after 5 days on the fibres was typical of primary cells. Nevertheless, it was also observed that cells did not show any tendencies toward attachment to coaxial fibres coated with alginate and attached and differentiated much better on coaxial Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres than on the Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) ones as shown in **Figure 5-16**. Furthermore, it was observed, that in the case of the primary cells, the density of cells growing on the fibre surfaces (shown by the calcein-stained-green colour) was slightly lower than that observed on the underlying substrate (image not shown). Overall, the myoblast cells were observed to adhere and the density of cells on Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres increased over time which, together with the high cell viability, indicates that the fibres were capable of supporting myoblast cell adhesion and proliferation.

5.4. Conclusion

Biopolymeric continuous core-sheath fibres, with an inner core of PEDOT:PSS and either chitosan or alginate as the sheath, were fabricated for the first time without using a template *via* a simple wet-spinning process. Using a CVD method, a second thin conductive layer of PPy was grown on the coaxial fibre surface leading to the production of triaxial fibres to be utilized as potential microscale biobatteries. The morphological, mechanical, electrochemical and biological properties of these fibres are discussed. Cross-sectional images of coaxial fibres taken by LV-SEM also confirmed the role of PEG in the creation of a crack-free and consistent core texture compared to pure PEDOT:PSS. Mechanical property results obtained from stress-strain curves for as-spun coaxial fibres showed a significant increase in robustness

for them compared to results previously reported for PEDOT:PSS fibres by others. Sodium chloride at 1% (w v^{-1}) was chosen as the desired salt solution to be added to the electrolyte layer, giving the highest ionic conductivity in chitosan and alginate with lower standard deviations compared to lithium chloride. Furthermore, reasonable electroactivity was observed in CV results from coaxial fibres which also indicated stable behaviour in both media. In-vitro bioactivity experiments suggested that the fibres did not release any toxic component that caused cell death; however, primary cell lines appeared to attach and differentiate much better onto coaxial Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres compared to those coated with an alginate sheath. All prepared fibres showed good electrochemical and mechanical properties, as well as cytocompatibility, which make them useful for potential applications as biosensors, electrodes, tissue scaffolds or biobatteries.

5.5. References

- 1 M. Stoppa and A. Chiolerio, *sensors*, 2014, **14**, 11957–11992.
- 2 S. Seyedin, J. M. Razal, P. C. Innis, A. Jeiranikhameneh, S. Beirne and G. G. Wallace, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 21150–21158.
- 3 J. Davy, *Advances in Military Textiles and Personal Equipment*, Woodhead Publishing Limited, 2012.
- 4 X. Jia, Y. Yang, C. Wang, C. Zhao, R. Vijayaraghavan, D. R. Macfarlane, M. Forsyth and G. G. Wallace, *Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 21110–21117.
- 5 B. S. Shim, W. Chen, C. Doty, C. Xu and N. A. Kotov, *Nano Lett.*, 2008, **8**, 4151–4157.
- 6 G. P. Nanofiber, Q. Wu, Y. Xu, Z. Yao, A. Liu and G. Shi, *ACS. Nano*, 2010, **4**, 1963–1970.
- 7 J. S. & Y. G. Jiayou Tao, Nishuang Liu, Wenzhen Ma, Longwei Ding, Luying Li, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 1–7.
- 8 J. Liu, N. Li, M. D. Goodman, H. G. Zhang, E. S. Epstein, B. Huang, Z. Pan, J. Kim, J. H. Choi, X. Huang, J. Liu, K. J. Hsia, S. J. Dillon and P. V Braun, *ACS. Nano*, 2015, **9**, 1985–1994.
- 9 L. Wei, K. Zhang, Z. Tao and J. Chen, *J. Alloy Compod.*, 2015, **644**, 742–749.
- 10 A. M. Gaikwad, D. A. Steingart, T. N. Ng, D. E. Schwartz and G. L. Whiting, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, **102**, 233302–1 to 233302–5.
- 11 J. Wang, C. O. Too, D. Zhou and G. G. Wallace, *J. Power Sources*, 2005, **140**, 162–167.
- 12 R. Jalili, J. M. Razal, P. C. Innis and G. G. Wallace, *Adv. Func. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 3363–3370.
- 13 Y. Liu, X. Li and J. C. Lu, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **130**, 370–374.
- 14 E. Zampetti, A. Macagnano, S. Pantalei and A. Bearzotti, *Sensors Actuators B. Chem.*, 2012.

- 15 H. Okuzaki, Y. Harashina and H. H. Yan, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2009, **45**, 256–261.
- 16 D. Esrafilzadeh, J. Razal, S. Moulton, E. Stewart and G. Wallace, *J. Control. Release*, 2013, **169**, 313–320.
- 17 E. Moczko, G. Istamboulie, C. Calas-Blanchard, R. Rouillon and T. Noguer, *J. Polym. Sci.A*, 2012, **50**, 2286–2292.
- 18 Y. Gao, J. Li, X. Yang, Q. Xiang and K. Wang, *Electroanalysis*, 2014, **26**, 382–388.
- 19 M. Weis, T. Otsuka, D. Taguchi, T. Manaka and M. Iwamoto, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2015, **117**.
- 20 K. E. A. Hooper, B. Smith, P. Greenwood, J. Baker and T. M. Watson, *Mater. Res. Innov.*, 2015, **19**, 482–487.
- 21 H. P. Kim, S. J. Lee and J. Jang, *IEEE J. PHOTOVOLTAICS*, 2015, **5**, 897–902.
- 22 H. Okuzaki and M. Ishihara, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2003, **24**, 261–264.
- 23 M. Kumar, *Bull Mater. sci.*, 1999, **22**, 905–915.
- 24 A. Niekraszewicz, *Fibres Text. East. Eur.*, 2005, **13**, 16–18.
- 25 M. Prabakaran and J. F. Mano, *Drug Deliv.*, 2005, **12**, 41–57.
- 26 Y. Wan, K. A. M. Creber, B. Peppley and V. T. Bui, *Polymer (Guildf)*, 2003, **44**, 1057–1065.
- 27 L. Wang, E. Khor, A. Wee and L. Y. Lim, *J Biomed Mater Res.*, 2002, **63**, 610–618.
- 28 T. Majima, T. Funakosi, N. Iwasaki, S.-T. T. Yamane, K. Harada, S. Nonaka, A. Minami and S.-I. I. Nishimura, *J. Orthop. Sci.*, 2005, **10**, 302–7.
- 29 S. Kakuda, T. Momma and T. Osaka, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1995, **142**, 1–2.
- 30 C. J. Cui, G. M. Wu, H. Y. Yang, S. F. She, J. Shen, B. Zhou and Z. H. Zhang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, **55**, 8870–8875.
- 31 E. W. H. Jager, C. Immerstrand, K. Magnusson, O. Inganas and I. Lundstrom, in *Annual International IEEE-EMBS Special Topic Conference on Microtechnologies in Medicine & Biology*, 2000, vol. c, pp. 58–61.
- 32 Z. B. Huang, G. F. Yin, X. M. Liao and J. W. Gu, *Front. Mater. Sci.*, 2014, **8**, 39–45.
- 33 D. Svirskis, J. Travas-sejdic, A. Rodgers and S. Garg, in *AIP Conference Proceeding*,

- 2009, pp. 36–40.
- 34 D. Svirskis, B. E. Wright, J. Travas-sejdic, A. Rodgers and S. Garg, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2010, **151**, 97–102.
 - 35 H. Qin, A. Kulkarni, H. Zhang, H. Kim, D. Jiang and T. Kim, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2011, **158**, 223–228.
 - 36 S. Hamilton, M. J. Hepher and J. Sommerville, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2005, **107**, 424–432.
 - 37 N. M. Rowley and R. J. Mortimer, *Sci. Prog.*, 2002, **85**, 243–262.
 - 38 J. Foroughi, G. M. Spinks, G. G. Wallace and P. G. Whitten, *Synth. Met.*, 2008, **158**, 104–107.
 - 39 A. T. Lawal and G. G. Wallace, *Talanta*, 2014, **119**, 133–143.
 - 40 Y. Yang, L. Zhang, S. Li, Z. Wang, J. Xu, W. Yang and Y. Jiang, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2013, **5**, 40–46.
 - 41 J. W. Cho and H. Jung, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 1997, **32**, 5371–5376.
 - 42 C. Xu, P. Wang and X. Bi, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 1995, **58**, 2155–2159.
 - 43 X. C. Liangbi Chen, Wenfeng Chen, Chunhua Ma, Dan Du, *Talanta*, 2011, **84**, 104–108.
 - 44 D. Ateh, H. Navsaria and P. Vadgama, *J. R. Soc. Interface*, 2006, **3**, 741–752.
 - 45 A. Maziz, A. Khaldi, N. Persson and E. W. H. Jager, in *Proc. of SPIE*, 2015, vol. 9430, pp. 1–6.
 - 46 B. A. J. Granero, J. M. Razal and G. G. Wallace, *Adv. Func. Mater.*, 2008, **18**, 3759–3764.
 - 47 M. Tian, X. Hu, L. Qu, S. Zhu and Y. Sun, *Carbon N. Y.*, 2016, **96**, 1166–1174.
 - 48 U. Jančiauskaitė, Č. Višnevskij, K. Radzevičius and R. Makuška, *CHEMIJA.*, 2009, **20**, 128–135.
 - 49 D. Yu, K. Branford-White, N. Chatterton, L. Zhu, L. Huang and B. Wang, *Express Polym. Lett.*, 2011, **5**, 732–741.
 - 50 H. Warren, R. D. Gately, P. O. Brien and R. G. Iii, *J. Polym. Phys.*, 2014, **52**, 864–

- 51 X. Shao and C. J. C. Hunter, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A*, 2007, **82**, 702–710.
- 52 R. Jayakumar, M. Prabakaran, P. Kumar, S. Nair and H. Tamura, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2011, **29**, 322–337.
- 53 J. M. Chem, R. Jalili, J. M. Razal and G. G. Wallace, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 25174–25182.
- 54 A. Brikov, A. Markin and S. Sukhoverkhov, *Ind. Chem.*, 2015, **1**, 1–5.
- 55 D. W. Hadley, *Rheol. acta*, 1975, **14**, 1098–1109.
- 56 A. M. Christie, S. J. Lilley, E. Staunton and Y. G. Andreev, *Nature*, 2005, **433**, 50–53.
- 57 M. Sadeghi and N. Ghasemi, *Indian J. Sci. Technol.*, 2012, **5**, 1879–1884.
- 58 X. Wang, Y. Li, J. Li, J. Wang, Y. Wang and Z. Guo, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 10807–10812.
- 59 M. A. Dyakonova, A. V Berezkin, K. Kyriakos, S. Gkermpoura, M. T. Popescu, S. K. Filippov, S. Petr and C. M. Papadakis, *Macromol.*, 2015, **48**, 8177–8189.
- 60 R. Pamies, R. R. Schmidt, C. López, J. García and D. Torre, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2010, **80**, 248–253.
- 61 C. Tangsadthakun, S. Kanokpanont, N. Sanchavanakit, T. Banaprasert and S. Damrongsakkul, *J. Met. Mater. Miner.*, 2006, **16**, 37–44.
- 62 K. Y. Lee and D. J. Mooney, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2012, **37**, 106–126.
- 63 G. Cook, in *Handbook of Textile Fibres Man-Made Fibres*, 2001, pp. 392–535.
- 64 M. Grzeszczuk and R. Ozsakarya, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 22214–22223.
- 65 M. D. Irwin, D. A. Roberson, R. I. Olivas, R. B. Wicker and E. Macdonald, *Fibers Polym.*, 2011, **12**, 904–910.
- 66 B. Sarker, D. G. Papageorgiou, R. Silva, T. Zehnder, F. Gul-e-noor, M. Bertmer, J. Kaschta, R. Detsch and A. R. Boccaccini, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2014, **2**, 1470–1482.
- 67 T. Boonthekul, H. Kong and D. J. Mooney, *Biomaterials*, 2005, **26**, 2455–2465.
- 68 G. Lawrie, I. Keen, B. Drew, A. Chandler-temple, L. Rintoul, P. Fredericks and L.

Grøndahl, *Biomacromol.*, 2007, **8**, 2533–2541.

195

- 69 H. Tan, C. R. Chu, K. A. Payne and K. G. Marra, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**, 2499–2506.
- 70 A. Naderi and T. Lindstr, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 85889–85897.
- 71 X. Wang, Z. Gu, H. Qin, L. Li, X. Yang and X. Yu, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2015, **79**, 813–821.
- 72 M. Gallignani, R. A. Rondón, J. F. Ovalles and M. R. Brunetto, *Acta Pharm. Sin. B*, 2014, **4**, 376–383.
- 73 S. Puvaneswary, S. Talebian, H. Balaji, M. Raman, M. Mehrali, A. Muhammad, N. Hayaty, B. Abu and T. Kamarul, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2015, **134**, 799–807.
- 74 B. Y. Swamy and Y. Yun, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2015, **77**, 114–119.
- 75 A. Kumar and R. Bahadur, *J. Mater Res Technol.*, 2014, **3**, 195–202.
- 76 R. Jalili, J. M. Razal and G. G. Wallace, *Nature*, 2013, **3**, 1–7.
- 77 R. Jalili, University of Wollongong, 2012.
- 78 K. Anselme, *Biomaterials*, 2000, **21**, 667–681.
- 79 A. Mirabedini, J. Foroughi, B. Thompson and G. G. Wallace, *Adv. Eng. Mater.*, 2015, **18**, 284–293.

Chapter 6

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

6.1. General Conclusion

The main objective of this thesis was to develop 3D electroactive fibres by means of coaxial wet-spinning method to be utilized in a variety of bioapplications such as implantable electrodes, drug delivery systems as well as more complicated applications such as energy-storage systems. In order to fabricate coaxial electroactive fibres, a number of electrically conducting materials, i.e. PEDOT: PSS, LC GO and PPy, as well as biocompatible ionically conducting polymers including chitosan and alginate have been employed. The use of non-conducting materials as the surrounding sheath improves cell adhesion properties since they provide mechanical and structural properties that mimic many tissues and their extracellular matrix. However, the conducting elements in the core are necessary for the creation of electrical pathways required to allow electrical stimulation of cells. As a result of this research, the production of continuous multiaxial fibres has been achieved using combination of the various above-mentioned materials. The focus of this thesis is on developing and characterising coaxial biofibres using naturally occurring hydrogels and organic conductors with a view to their ultimate use as biocompatible electrodes. Wet-spinning, as the most versatile and viable fibre fabrication method, was the key approach used to develop the coaxial conducting fibres. The major difference in the coaxial wet-spinning method used through this study compared to conventional wet-spinning was that in the coaxial process, two different polymer solutions are injected into a coaxial spinneret together and are co-extruded into a bath while retaining a coaxial structure. The simple processability of both alginate and chitosan together with their biocompatibility, suitable mechanical properties as well as their ability to form polyanion–polycation complexes led to their selection as the hydrogels for use in this thesis.

Since a number of parallel solution and processing parameters are required to be optimised and controlled in order to form coaxial fibres in a coagulation bath, and also because of the limited number of existing studies in the area of effective fabrication of either coaxial or triaxial fibres *via* coaxial wet-spinning, it was necessary to initially investigate the optimum conditions for wet-spinning of hydrogel-based coaxial fibres. This was carried out and the results are presented in chapter 3.

Fabrication of coaxial Chit/Alg fibres (inner core of chitosan and alginate as the outer sheath) was made possible using coaxial wet-spinning employing a novel method of blending the chitosan core with various percentages of calcium chloride (0.5, 1 and 2% W v⁻¹). Selection of a matching solvent, as well as the appropriate injection rates for both core and sheath components were other critical factors that were successfully addressed throughout the thesis. The results showed that the fibres which contained 1% CaCl₂ yielded the optimum mechanical results with Young's modulus of ~1.9 GPa and ultimate stress of ~80 MPa compared to fibres produced with other components; however, they also demonstrated the highest degree of swelling at ~540%. In total, coaxial fibres of Chit/Alg exhibit a 260% increase in ultimate stress and more than 300% enhancement in the Young's modulus compared to their alginate counterpart. As expected, coaxial fibres had thermal properties and total weight loss occurring between the values observed for chitosan and alginate which contain about 60-70% wt. of the chitosan core and 30-40% wt. of alginate sheath when dried completely calculated from TGA results.

The biocompatibility of Chit/Alg fibres was demonstrated *via* cytotoxicity assays using two types of cells, human and murine myoblasts, in which cells were shown to be well attached to the fibre surface while having normal morphology, suggesting

that the fibres showed no toxicity affecting the cell survival. Moreover, the use of these coaxial biofibres as delivery platforms have demonstrated significant improvements with regard to releasing the dye molecules permitting the release to be achieved in a more controlled manner compared to alginate fibres. These results, together with the cytocompatibility showed by them previously showed by them suggesting those as promising candidates for developing novel kinds of 3D bioscaffolds in drug release studies or tissue engineering.

Subsequently, GO was also inserted into the core of coaxial fibres to enhance the electroactivity of the conductive core and improve the mechanical properties of the fibres whilst maintaining good biocompatibility and cell adhesion of the scaffold by using a hydrogel polymer as the sheath. Use of ultra-large GO sheets has enabled development of a wet-spinning process for incorporation of a continuous core into fibres which is then easily convertible to electrically conducting graphene using facile thermal or chemical treatment methods. Chit/GO and Alg/GO coaxial fibres were successfully spun in a 1 M NaOH and 2% ($w v^{-1}$) coagulation baths, respectively. As observed from SEM images, while the GO in the core tends to blend with the alginate sheath in Alg/GO fibres to produce a final hollow-shaped structure, both chitosan and GO were held in their initial places after fibre formation in Chit/GO fibres.

Mechanical property results obtained from stress-strain curves for as-spun rGO and coaxial Chit/GO fibres showed a significant increase in robustness of the latter by about 33 times up to ~ 10.6 GPa. Coaxial fibres also revealed an ultimate tensile stress of ~ 257 MPa and elastic modulus of ~ 9.7 GPa, improvements of 8500% and 3350%, respectively, compared to the graphene oxide fibres alone. These increases were accompanied by an enhancement in elongation at break from ~ 1.5 to 3.1%

(about 2-fold) in respect to the neat GO fibre. These superior mechanical property outcomes were shown to be in large part due to the major role played by the chitosan sheath as a result of the creation of a strong, homogenous interfacial connection between chitosan and graphene oxide. The average electrical conductivity of rGO fibres was measured to be $\sim 48 \text{ S m}^{-1}$ (an improvement of more than 2.5 times compared to $\sim 19 \text{ S m}^{-1}$ for GO fibres) after chemical treatment with ascorbic acid.

Both rGO and reduced Chit/GO fibre, demonstrated reasonable electroactivities. As calculated from CV curves, rGO fibres showed a specific capacitance of $38 \pm 0.65 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ and $31.7 \pm 1.5 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ at a v of 50 mV s^{-1} in PBS and aqueous 1 M NaCl solutions, respectively. Chit/GO fibres had specific capacitances of $22.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ and $27.8 \pm 1.5 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ in PBS and aqueous 1 M NaCl solutions, respectively. The lower efficiency of Chit/GO fibres compared to that measured for rGO fibres might be as a result of a restricted access of the counterions to the conductive core due to the barrier created by coverage with the insulating hydrogel layer. The behaviour of two cell types, mouse fibroblast line (L-929) and rat pheochromocytoma line commonly used as a model of neural differentiation (PC-12), have also been investigated on GO and Chit/GO fibres. For both cell lines, cells were shown to adhere to the fibre surfaces with greater than 99% viability – results being very similar on the Chit/GO and rGO fibres. However, they will likely require some optimisation for any neural application since the neurite lengths obtained were shorter than what would normally be expected for the relatively long period allowed for differentiation.

PEDOT:PSS as one of the most highly conductive, processable, environmentally stable and biocompatible conducting polymers, appeared to be a very suitable candidate for development of coaxial electroactive fibres. Therefore, in order to improve the electrochemical properties of previously produced coaxial fibres, the GO

core was substituted with PEDOT:PSS. The high dispersibility of PEDOT:PSS in water enabled the preparation of biocompatible, well-dispersed and homogenous spinning formulations from which Chit-PEDOT:PSS and Alg-PEDOT:PSS fibres were continuously fabricated successfully. Addition of 10 % (w v⁻¹) PEG into the PEDOT:PSS core was found to have a negligible effect on the spinnability of this formulation, whilst affording the consistent and crack-free spinning of a core component that could be entrained consistently without break into the sheath. Interestingly, despite the absence of a reaction between alginate and GO in Alg-PEDOT:PSS fibres, PEDOT:PSS does not show any miscibility with alginate as observed when GO was exposed to alginate. This may arise from its higher viscosity (~6.7 Pa*s) compared to GO (~1.5 Pa*s) as a result of the presence of internal chain entanglements due to its polymeric nature. Exposure of oxidant-enriched coaxial fibres to an atmosphere containing the pyrrole monomer spontaneously initiated a polymerization reaction which resulted in the creation of a dark layer of PPy polymer on the surface of Chit-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres. The same polymerisation of pyrrole could not be initiated on the surface of Alg-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres.

Mechanical property results obtained for as-spun coaxial fibres showed a significant increase in robustness for them compared to the results previously reported for PEDOT:PSS fibres by others (~3.3 GPa). Young's moduli of fibres were calculated to be ~3.9, ~5.1 and ~4 GPa for Chit-PEDOT, Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres, respectively. The results indicate that the presence of a hydrogel sheath plays a major role in increasing the Young modulus. Deposition of the third layer (PPy) was shown to decrease the Young's modulus to ~2.2 GPa. Furthermore, Chit-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres were shown to be able to withstand higher stresses before breakage (~160 MPa) in the elastic regime compared to Alg-

PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres which showed ultimate stresses of ~ 94 MPa. On the other hand, Alg-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres revealed higher strain percentages before fracture with $\sim 16\%$ elongation before breakage. Triaxial fibres of PPy- Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibre were shown to have an ultimate tensile stress of ~ 64 MPa with $\sim 3.5\%$ ultimate strain.

Reasonable electroactivity was observed in CV results from coaxial fibres in both aqueous and organic media. The curves showed obviously larger CV areas, and correspondingly, higher specific capacitances in 0.1 M TBABF₄ than those of the CVs obtained in PBS solution. Specific capacitances of 19 ± 0.65 , 11 ± 1.2 and 9.2 ± 0.8 F g⁻¹ at a ν of 10 mV s⁻¹ were calculated for PEDOT:PSS (+PEG), Chit-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres, respectively in PBS solution (based on the calculations in section 2.2.1.11). Much higher capacitances of 29.7 ± 1.5 , 21 ± 0.2 and 13.05 ± 0.6 F g⁻¹ were measured for PEDOT:PSS (+PEG), Chit-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) and Alg-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres, respectively in 0.1 M TBABF₄. With a view to optimising the performance of any potential battery structure, the ionic conductivities of the polymer electrolytes (hydrogel layer) were recorded and optimised by measuring the impedance behaviour using varying salt contents. Sodium chloride at 1% (w v⁻¹) was chosen as the desired salt and concentration to be added to both hydrogels and resulted in the highest ionic conductivity in chitosan (~ 15.1 mScm⁻¹) and alginate (~ 22.7 mScm⁻¹) while also displaying lower standard deviations compared to lithium chloride.

The biological tests revealed that on coaxial Chit-PEDOT (+PEG):PSS fibres the myoblast cells attached, differentiated and proliferated showing the typical cell morphology of myoblast cells. Nevertheless, it was also observed that cells did not

show any tendencies toward attachment to coaxial fibres coated with alginate and attached and differentiated much better on coaxial Chit-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) fibres than on the Alg-PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) ones. This combination of high electroactivity, remarkable Young's modulus and yield stress combined with the greater biocompatibility of these fibres implies that they hold great promise in applications such as drug delivery, batteries, power supply and tissue scaffolds in the near future.

6.2. Comparison of Fibre Properties

Electroactive biomaterials are a part of a new generation of “smart” biomaterials that allow the direct delivery of electrical, electrochemical and electromechanical stimulation to cells. Multifunctional hybrid fibres are one of the recently developed categories of smart biomaterials which have revealed a number of characteristics that show great promise for their potential use in a broad range of devices and applications including microelectrodes, neural implants, controlled release host, tissue-engineered scaffolds or actuators. The final physical properties of these fibres may be easily tailored to meet the essential requirements for a particular application. For all of those functions there is a necessity for the fibres to possess high strength - not only to be able survive the processing operations such as knitting or weaving but also vital to its continuing success *in-vivo*, particularly for long-term applications in things such as implantable devices. Other key properties, such as microstructure, electroactivity, conductivity, degradation rate and elasticity of the fibres could also be regulated for any particular application. Charts of some of those key properties of the fibres such as tensile strength, modulus, elongation at break and electroactivity

are presented below, allowing comparison of the different properties of the fibres prepared throughout this research.

6.2.1. Mechanical Properties

Mechanical properties of all fibre types produced in Chapters 3-5 are depicted in **Figure 6-1**. Enhancement of Young's modulus and tensile strength were observed in most of the coaxial fibres (except Chit/Alg) compared to their individual components. These enhancements were clearly higher for coaxial fibres containing reduced graphene oxide compared to those with PEDOT:PSS. While Young's modulus and tensile strength of Chit/Go are higher than the values obtained for Chit/PEDOT:PSS (+PEG) and Alg/PEDOT:PSS (+PEG), it was observed that the elongation at breakage is significantly lower. To take advantage of the strength and stretchability characteristics of both of these potential conductor elements, it might be useful to try a blend of them as the core component.

Figure 6-1: Mechanical properties of all fibre types produced in the course of this study

6.2.2. Electrochemical Properties

Figure 6-2 compares the electrochemical properties of all fibre types produced in this study. Generally speaking, solid conducting fibres demonstrated higher electroactivities and achieved higher specific capacitance compared to that of coaxial fibres. The poorer performance of coaxial structures could be attributed to the more

restricted access of the counterions to the conductive core since it is covered with an insulating hydrogel layer.

Figure 6-2: Electrochemical properties of all fibre types produced in the course of this study

Moreover, according to the results in Figure 6-2, incorporation of reduced graphene as the core component gave rise to the specific capacitance values in all fibres. Another interesting investigation that suggest itself from looking at this graph would be the effect of the presence of a strong chemical interconnection between two layers in the coaxial structure in achieving high capacitance values. It was obviously seen in the results from Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres. Significantly lower specific capacitance was calculated for Alg-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres compared to that of Chit-PEDOT (+PEG) fibres despite their higher ionic conductivities in wet-state. This could be attributed to the lack of any bonding between the alginate and the PEDOT:PSS.

6.3. Recommendations for Future Work

A range of multifunctional multiaxial fibres have been developed for a wide range of purposes. The main aim of this thesis was to establish a wet-spinning process to develop three-dimensional coaxial and triaxial electroactive fibres which contain a conductive core enclosed in an appropriate biomaterial sheath which enhance cellular adhesion and proliferation properties. This goal was successfully achieved using several organic conductors and hydrogel biomaterials. However, like any other research, there are many promising areas still to be pursued in future studies which could not be overcome in this one.

- Battery discharge tests are required to be carried out to test and regulate the performance of the triaxial fibres as potential batteries. Time restrictions did not allow this to be completed as part of the current thesis.
- Fabrication of triaxial fibres using a one-step wet-spinning method *via* a triaxial spinneret is also suggested. Using this approach will probably improve the attachment of the various battery layers as well as the thickness of the outer layer, resulted in better battery performance altogether.
- It would also be beneficial to try other approaches for the production of triaxial fibres such as either a combined wet/electrospinning technique or utilising a twisting method to place the second conductor on the surface of coaxial fibres. Electrochemical deposition method were not possible to investigate due to the time limitations. Comparison of the properties that each of these methodologies produced would be very useful in the successful production of an optimal battery device.

- Also, it would be desirable to fabricate these functional fibres into devices with various spatial patterns using fabricating techniques such as weaving, knitting and braiding methods. These textile structures may then find use as templates for the regeneration of fibre-shaped functional tissues that mimic muscle fibres, blood vessels or nerve networks *in-vivo*.