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ABSTRACT
This paper presents a way to model the cross-talk effect in CMOS image sensors. Two algorithms are derived from the model; both of them work on the Bayer raw data and have low computational complexity. Experiments on Macbeth color chart and real images have shown the effectiveness of the modeling to eliminate the cross-talk effect and produce better quality images with traditional color interpolation and correction algorithms designed for CCD image sensors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Complementary Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor (CMOS) imaging technology [1,2] is emerging as an alternative solid-state imaging technology to charge coupled device (CCD) due to low cost (compatible to standard CMOS technologies), low power consumption and easy integration with other CMOS signal processing modules that would lead to one-chip solution for many applications.

A typical digital color imaging system with one-sensor CMOS imager, as shown in Figure 1, consists of three parts: optical, analogue and digital.

Figure 1. A schematic of one-sensor CMOS imaging system

The analogue part is composed of an array of CMOS sensor elements, read-out circuits, amplifiers and analogue-digital (A/D) converters. The color filter array (CFA) in the optical part is used to filter the incident light such that each sensor element is only exposed to one of the primary colors (Red, Green, and Blue) or one of the complementary colors (Cyan, Yellow and Magenta). Figure 2 gives a typical CFA for RGB primary colors.

![Figure 2](link)

Figure 2. A typical RGB color filter array

Since the primary/complementary colors are only sparsely sampled, i.e. only one of the color components is sampled at each sensor element, recovery of missing colors from the sampled ones is necessary in order to generate a color image. This is usually achieved by color interpolation and correction in the digital processing part.

Compared to CCD image sensors, however, CMOS image sensors often perform less satisfactorily due to its unique problems including dark current, fixed-pattern noise (FPN), pixel cross-talk and high random noise. Though recent improvement in CMOS sensor and circuit technology has combated some of the problems [1] like dark current and FPN, cross-talk [3] and random noise [4] remain unsolved.

This paper presents a signal processing based solution to the problem of pixel cross-talk. Section 2 discusses in detail the pixel cross-talk and its impact on a finished color image. In Section 3, a mathematical model and two derived algorithms from the model are described for compensating the pixel cross-talk. Experimental results on both Macbeth color checker and real images are presented in Section 4. The article concludes with some remarks in Section 5.

2. PIXEL CROSS-TALK

Pixel cross-talk is a phenomenon wherein neighboring pixels interfere with each other [3]. In other words, the response of the sensor at a given pixel depends not only on the incident light at this pixel, but also on its neighbors. It has been observed that the horizontally adjacent pixels
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interfere with each other much more than vertically adjacent pixels [4] possibly due to the pixel layout.

Considering a CMOS sensor with the RGB CFA as shown in Figure 2, the red pixels interfere with their green neighbors, referred as Gr hereafter, and so do the blue pixels with their green neighbors, referred as Gb hereafter. As a result of the cross-talk, Gr and Gb may appear different even though they receive the same amount of incident light. Figure 3 shows light skin color block from Macbeth color checker and the blocky effect caused by the cross-talk. Its average Gr and Gb are 184 and 169 respectively, nearly 10% difference.

![Figure 3. Blocky effect on finished color images caused by cross-talk](image)

There are several possible factors that may contribute to the cross-talk. Optically, light may pass through one pixel filter at such an oblique angle that it strikes its adjacent pixels by the time it propagates down to the sensor surface. Electrically, sensor read-out circuits may allow for signal read from one pixel to influence the signal read from another pixel. Architecturally, carriers generated by penetrating photons under a pixel diffuse to a nearby pixel depletion region and are collected by the nearby pixel. The depth by which a photon will penetrate a silicon substrate before generating a carrier is strongly wavelength dependent [7] and the longer the wavelength, the deeper the penetration. As a result, the diffusion causes a strong cross-talk between the red pixels and their Gr neighbors.

To combat the cross-talk problem, a mathematical model is proposed based on the observed characteristics of the cross-talk. The model and two algorithms derived from the model are described in the next section.

### 3. COMPENSATION OF CROSS-TALK

From signal processing perspective, cross-talk can be considered as a random noise or noise having certain pattern. Application of median filter or its variations [8-10] appears to be a straightforward choice for its simplicity. However, median filter is good at removing random impulse noise. The fixed pattern characteristic should be explored as well in order to remove the cross-talk effect effectively.

According to the three hypotheses (physical, electrical and architectural) presented in Section 2 with respect to the source of the cross-talk, the amount of the cross-talk can be estimated locally and its effect can be removed by compensating the difference between the Gr and Gb channel.

#### 3.1. Modeling

Let us consider a 45-degree diagonal line on which Gr and Gb are sampled at every other pixel location. The intensity profiles of these Gr and Gb pixels on the line are plotted in Figure 4, where \( f_{Gr}(x) \), \( f_{Gb}(x) \) and \( f_G(x) \) are Gr, Gb and assumed G intensity profiles respectively.

![Figure 4 Modeling of cross-talk effect](image)

As a result of the cross-talk, the Gr curve is usually above the Gb curve. The cross-talk compensation can be formulated as follows.

**Cross-talk Compensation:** To reconstruct \( f_G(x) \) from \( f_{Gr}(x) \) and \( f_{Gb}(x) \), such that the error in gradient between \( f_G(x) \) and the sampled \( f_{Gr}(x) \) and \( f_{Gb}(x) \) is minimized in order to guarantee the sharpness of the image unchanged. That is

\[
\int_0^b \left( \sqrt{\frac{\partial f_G(x)}{\partial x}} - \sqrt{\frac{\partial f_{Gr}(x)}{\partial x}} - \sqrt{\frac{\partial f_{Gb}(x)}{\partial x}} \right)^2 \, dx = \min
\]

(1)

To solve Equation (1) further assumptions are needed about the G curve. Reasonable assumptions include the local average of the G curve is close to either the Gr or Gb curve or is between the Gr and Gb curves. In the former case, Equation (1) can be solved subject to

\[
\tilde{f}_G(x) = \tilde{f}_{Gr}(x)
\]

(2A)

or

\[
\tilde{f}_G(x) = \tilde{f}_{Gb}(x)
\]

(2B)

where \( \tilde{f}_G(x) \), \( \tilde{f}_{Gr}(x) \), and \( \tilde{f}_{Gb}(x) \) are local averages around \( x \).

In the latter case, Equation (1) can be solved subject to

\[
\tilde{f}_G(x) = \left( \tilde{f}_{Gr}(x) + \tilde{f}_{Gb}(x) \right) / 2
\]

(3)

the local average can be estimated from the neighborhood of a pixel centered at \( x \).
Without loss of generality, consider the following (shown in Figure 4) 5x5 local RGB Bayer raw data where G_t could either be Gr or Gb. Solutions of Equation (1) can be found using the constrains in Equations (2) or (3).

\[
\begin{align*}
G_t & = G_r G_b G_t \\
X & = G_r X_g G_t \\
G_r & = G_r G_t G_r \\
X & = G_r X G_t X
\end{align*}
\]

\[G_b Y G_e = G_0\]

Figure 5. A 5x5 local window from GRBG Bayer pattern.

3.2 Algorithm I

Let either constrain (2A) or (2B) be applied. The G channel can be reconstructed by modifying either Gb or Gr channel respectively.

With constrain (2B), G_t at position G_t shall be modified as

\[
G_t^\text{new} = G_t + \Delta G_t
\]  

(1)

where \(\Delta G_t\) is the average difference of the local average Gb and its surrounding Gr pixels.

Notice that only the green values at G_t pixels need to be modified using the method described above if constrain (2B) is applied. Similarly, only Gb values need to be modified if constrain (2A) is applied.

3.3 Algorithm II

Assume condition (3) is applied. The G channel can be reconstructed as follows. For a Gr pixel

\[
G_t^\text{new} = G_t + (\bar{G}_r - \bar{G}_t)/2
\]

(2)

For a Gb pixel,

\[
G_t^\text{new} = G_t + (\bar{G}_r - \bar{G}_b)/2
\]

(3)

where \(\bar{G}_r\) and \(\bar{G}_b\) are local averages.

3.4 Color processing chain with Gr/Gb compensation

Since the proposed algorithms work on the Bayer raw data, it must be placed as the first step in the digital color processing chain, as shown in Figure 5. After the cross-talk compensation, most existing color interpolation and correction algorithms can be applied.

Figure 6 Color processing chain with cross-talk compensation

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Macbeth color checker and real images captured by a MCM20014 CMOS sensor are used for evaluating the performance of the proposed algorithms. For color interpolation, we applied an edge-based algorithm as described in [6] together with a color correction with 3x3 matrix.

Table 1 presents the average Gr and Gb values of six color boxes from the Macbeth color checker before and after Gr/Gb compensation using a media filter and the proposed methods. Notice there is about 10% difference between Gr and Gb channel for the same color. The proposed algorithms removed the Gr/Gb difference very well with maximum difference of 1, which is in some case due to numeric computation error.

The median filter operated on every green pixel and its 4 nearest neighbors. However, it just swapped the Gr and Gb channel (column M-flt). This is because at every Gr pixels, there are 4 nearest Gb pixels and every Gb pixel has 4 nearest Gr pixels.

Figure 7, 8, 9 are the finished Macbeth color checker without Gr/Gb compensation and with compensation using Algorithm I and algorithm II respectively. The blocky effect in Figure 7 is usually not noticeable until it’s zoomed in. Therefore, a small block of the yellow color was zoomed in by a factor of 3.

Figure 10, 11 and 12 are real images without Gr/Gb compensation and with Gr/Gb compensation using the proposed algorithm I and II respectively.

Table 1 The average Gr and Gb values of 5 color boxes from Macbeth color checker before and after Gr/Gb compensation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After M-flt</th>
<th>Alg I</th>
<th>Alg II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Gr 184</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gb 169</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Gr 18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gb 20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Gr 95</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gb 92</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Gr 71</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gb 57</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey</td>
<td>Gr 207</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gb 199</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. SUMMARY

We proposed two simple and efficient algorithms for removing the cross-talk effect in CMOS image sensors without degrading the sharpness of the images. The algorithms work only on the Green channel of the Bayer raw data.

Figure 7 Without Gr/Gb compensation

Figure 8 With Gr/Gb compensation using Algorithm I

Figure 9 With Gr/Gb compensation using Algorithm II

Figure 10 Without Gr/Gb compensation

Figure 11 With Gr/Gb compensation using Algorithm I

Figure 12 With Gr/Gb compensation using Algorithm II

6. REFERENCES


