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I. INTRODUCTION

The angle of repose is one of the most important macroscopic parameters in characterizing the behavior of granular materials. It is related to many important phenomena, including avalanching [1–3], stratification [4,5], and segregation [6–8], and is therefore a research focus for years. It has been found that the angle of repose strongly depends on material properties such as sliding friction coefficient [9,10], rolling friction coefficient [11] and density of particles [12], and particle characteristics such as size [8,13] and shape [12,14], in addition to the way to form a heap or sandpile [15,16].

The bulk behavior of a particle system depends on the collective interactions of individual particles, and hence particle scale analysis plays a critical role in elucidating the underlying mechanisms of the effects mentioned above. In the past, various modeling techniques have been used to investigate the behavior of particles in granular media at such a scale, including Monte Carlo (MC) [17,18], cellular automaton (CA) [19,20], and distinct element method (DEM) [21,22]. Amongst these techniques, DEM is probably the most realistic one, because it explicitly takes into account not only the geometrical factors but also the forces involved in the formation of a sandpile. However, previous DEM studies are largely limited to two-dimensional and also suffer the problem of stabilizing a heap composed of spheres as there is no mechanism to stop spheres from rolling [9,23]. As a result, arbitrary treatments have to be implemented in a simulation, which may distort the reality and generate inaccurate information.

We have recently found that a stable three-dimensional heap can be formed naturally with the incorporation of a rolling friction model into the DEM [11]. The resulting modified DEM would provide an effective way to study the angle of repose and the complex dynamic internal state of sandpiles under well controlled conditions. As a first step in this direction, this paper presents a detailed parametric study of the dependence of the angle of repose on key variables such as rolling friction coefficient, sliding friction coefficient, particle size, and container thickness.

II. SIMULATION METHOD

A. Discrete particle simulation

The simulations were performed based on the DEM originally proposed by Cundall and Strack [21] but modified by incorporating a rolling friction model in the rotational equation of a particle. Since this modified DEM has been detailed elsewhere [11], this section only outlines its key features for the purpose of completeness.

According to this model, the translational and rotational motions of particle $i$ in a system at time $t$, caused by its interactions with neighboring particles or walls, can be described by the following equations:

$$m_i \frac{dV_i}{dt} = m_i g + \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (F_{c,ij} + F_{d,ij})$$

and

$$I_i \frac{d\omega_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (T_{ij} + M_{ij}),$$

where $m_i$, $I_i$, $V_i$, and $\omega_i$ are, respectively, the mass, moment of inertia, translational, and rotational velocities of particle $i$. As shown in Fig. 1, the forces involved are: gravitational force $m_i g$, and interparticle forces between particles $i$ and $j$, which include the contact force $F_{c,ij}$, and viscous contact damping force $F_{d,ij}$. These interparticle forces are
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summed over the $k_i$ particles in contact with particle $i$. The interparticle forces are determined from their normal and tangential components, i.e., $F_{cn,ij}$ and $F_{dn,ij}$, and $F_{ct,ij}$ and $F_{dt,ij}$, which depend on the normal and tangential deformations $\delta_n$ and $\delta_t$, respectively. Torque, $T_{ij}$, is generated by tangential forces and causes particle $i$ to rotate, because the interparticle forces act at the contact point between particles $i$ and $j$ rather than the particle center. $M_{ij}$ is the rolling friction torque that opposes the rotation of the $i$th sphere. Table I summarizes equations and parameters used to calculate the forces and torques involved in Eqs. (1) and (2).

**B. Simulation conditions**

A sandpile can be formed in various ways such as injecting, discharging, and tilting methods [14–16]. This work is however focused on one of them only, i.e., the discharging method, in connection with our previous study [11]. Thus, the simulations were carried out in a rectangular container with a fixed middle plate and two side outlets. Its geometrical details are shown in Fig. 2. The container size can be scaled up or down corresponding to particle diameter used in different cases.

A simulation was started with the random generation of spheres without overlaps in the container above the fixed middle plate with two outlets closed, followed by a gravitational settling process for 1.0 sec to form a stable packing. Then, the instantaneous opening of the outlets started a discharging process in which spheres dropped into the bottom of the container under gravity. Some spheres remained on the middle plate after the discharging, forming a stable stagnant zone—a heap or sandpile. The angle of repose could then be determined from the surface profile of the heap, as shown in Fig. 3.

The angle of repose is affected by many variables that can be categorized into three groups: particle characteristics, ma-

![Graph](image)

**FIG. 1.** Two-dimensional illustration of the forces and torque acting on particle $i$ in contact with particle $j$.

**FIG. 2.** Geometry of the container used in simulations: $d$, particle diameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forces and torques</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Equations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal forces</td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{cn,ij}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{dn,ij}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damping</td>
<td>$F_{ct,ij}$</td>
<td>$-\mu_c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangential forces</td>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{ct,ij}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damping</td>
<td>$F_{ct,ij}$</td>
<td>$-c_t(6m_j\mu_s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling Torque</td>
<td>$T_{ij}$</td>
<td>$R_i(\vec{F}<em>{ct,ij}+\vec{F}</em>{dt,ij})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction torque</td>
<td>$M_{ij}$</td>
<td>$-\mu_c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity</td>
<td>$G_i$</td>
<td>$m_i g$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $\frac{1}{R^e} = \frac{1}{|\vec{R}_i|} + \frac{1}{|\vec{R}_j|}$, $E^* = \frac{E}{2(1-v^2)}$, $\delta_n = \frac{\delta_n}{|\vec{R}_i|}$, $n = \frac{|\vec{R}_i|}{|\vec{R}_i|}$, $\delta_{t,max} = \mu_s \frac{2-v}{2(1-v)} \delta_n$, $V_{ij} = \vec{V}_i - \vec{V}_j + \omega_t \times \vec{R}_i - \omega_t \times \vec{R}_j$, $V_{n,ij} = (V_{ij} \times n) \times n$, $V_{t,ij} = (V_{ij} \times n) \times n$; $\vec{R}_i$ is a vector from the mass center of particle $i$ to the contact point; $E$ = Young’s modulus; $v$ = Poisson ratio; $\mu_s$ and $\mu_c =$ sliding and rolling friction coefficients; $c_n$ and $c_t =$ normal and tangential damping coefficients; $\delta_t =$ the vector of the accumulated tangential displacement between particles $i$ and $j$.  

**TABLE I.** Components of forces and torque acting on particle $i$.  
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variables, and geometric conditions. Table II lists the variables considered in this study. For convenience, unless otherwise specified, the effect of a variable was considered within a certain range while other variables were fixed, giving a so-called base condition. Totally, 110 simulations were conducted in this work.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Essentially, all the variables listed in Table II can affect the angle of repose except for the time step that is mainly used to control the numerical stability. However, trial simulations indicated that the angle of repose slightly increases as particle density or Poisson ratio increases, and has no obvious change with damping coefficient and Young’s modulus for the ranges considered (Table II). The discussion below is therefore only focused on the variables that have significant effects on the angle of repose.

A. Effect of rolling friction coefficient

Rotation and translation are the primary states of motion of a particle. The rolling and sliding frictions provide an effective mechanism to control the two motions and largely determine the individual contact stability in a sandpile. Therefore, they have significant effects on the angle of repose. To quantify the effect of rolling friction coefficient $\mu_{r,pp}$ on the angle of repose, simulations were conducted for 10 mm spherical particles with different $\mu_{r,pp}$ and different sliding friction coefficient $\mu_{s,pp}$. As shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c), a large rolling friction coefficient gives a large angle of repose. Figure 4 shows the relationship between the angle of repose and rolling friction coefficient for different sliding friction coefficients. Obviously, increasing rolling friction coefficient can increase the angle of repose for a given slid-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of variable</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Base value</th>
<th>Variable range</th>
<th>Angle of repose (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of particles</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>2000$^a$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time step</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$10^{-6} - 5 \times 10^{-5}$ sec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle diameter</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
<td>2–10 mm</td>
<td>[38,28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling friction coefficients</td>
<td>$\mu_{r,pp}$</td>
<td>0.05 mm</td>
<td>0–0.1 mm</td>
<td>[0.34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliding friction coefficient</td>
<td>$\mu_{s,pp}$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0–0.6</td>
<td>[0.33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container thickness</td>
<td>$\xi(=w/d)$</td>
<td>$4d$</td>
<td>$4d - 24d$</td>
<td>[28,19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>2500 kg/m$^3$</td>
<td>500–5000 kg/m$^3$</td>
<td>[27,31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio</td>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1–0.7</td>
<td>[26,30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>$2.16 \times 10^6$ N/m$^2$</td>
<td>$10^5 - 10^8$ N/m$^2$</td>
<td>27 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damping coefficient</td>
<td>$c(c_i = c_n)$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1–0.8</td>
<td>28 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$N increases as $\xi$ increases.
ing friction coefficient and particle size. Similar trends have also been observed for other sized particles.

The rolling friction between particle and wall is also an important parameter and gives a torque resistant to the rotational motion of particles on the middle plate and front and rear walls of the container. As shown in Fig. 5, increasing the rolling friction between particle and wall can significantly increase the angle of repose. Figures 4 and 5 suggest that the rolling friction between particles $\mu_{r,pp}$ and the rolling friction between particle and wall $\mu_{r,pw}$ are both important in controlling the angle of repose. This is because a large rolling friction coefficient means a large resistance force to the rotational motion of spheres, which provides an effective mechanism to consume the kinetic energy and stop the rotational motion of spheres, leading to the formation of a sandpile of high potential

$$\Delta$$.

A rolling spherical particle will keep rolling if no rolling friction is involved. It also applies to a system of particles, thus no stable heap of spheres can be formed on a flat plate without rolling friction. This explains why extra conditions had to be used to stop particle movement in the previous dynamic simulation of sandpile formation

$$[23, 24].$$

**B. Effect of sliding friction coefficient**

Sliding friction governs the translational motion of particles. A large sliding friction coefficient can tolerate a large magnitude of the elastic deformation in the tangential direction and enhance the stability of individual contacts among particles and between particle and wall. Therefore, the effect of sliding friction coefficient is similar to that of rolling friction coefficient. That is, a large sliding friction coefficient gives a large angle of repose. This is indeed the case as shown in Figs. 3(b), 3(c), and 6.

Notably, the results in Fig. 6 indicate that the angle of repose increases nonlinearly with increasing sliding friction coefficient. This is different from the linear relationship obtained by Lee and Herrmann [9]. There are a number of reasons for this. First, the range covered in the study of Lee and Herrmann is $\mu_s<0.2$, smaller than the present range $\mu_s<0.6$. Second, the present work is three dimensional and involves the use of a rolling friction model, while the simulation of Lee and Herrmann is two dimensional and completely ignores the rotational motion of spheres. Third, the operational conditions for the two simulations are not the same. Lee and Herrmann formed a sandpile by releasing particles from one side of the box.

In a sufficiently large pile, sliding friction coefficient between particles determines the angle of repose as stated by Lee and Herrmann [9]. However, our numerical study indicates that the angle of repose was also influenced significantly by sliding friction coefficient between particle and wall $\mu_{s,pw}$, as shown in Fig. 7. No stable heap can be formed without sliding friction between particle and wall. This result is consistent with the previous experimental observation that the angle of repose is obviously higher on a high frictional surface than on a smooth surface [16]. In practice, a large sliding coefficient is often coupled with a large rolling friction coefficient, although the latter is also directly related to particle shape. The results in Figs. 4–7 suggest that both
friction coefficients affect the stability of a sandpile and their proper combination is key to generating results comparable to those physically measured.

C. Effect of particle size

The effect of particle size on the angle of repose has been studied by a number of investigators with a general conclusion that increasing particle size will decrease the angle of repose [12–14]. This relationship has also been observed in the present study, as shown in Fig. 8. However, it appears that the significance of this size effect varies with simulation conditions, the sliding and rolling friction coefficients in particular.

Carstensen and Chan [13] suggested that the size effect is related to two factors: particle cohesive force and sliding friction coefficient. In particular, under the assumptions that the cohesive force is proportional to particle size and the coefficient of sliding friction decreases with particle size, these authors obtained an equation to relate the angle of repose to particle size. However, their approach does not apply to the present study that concerns with coarse, cohesionless spheres and uses constant sliding and rolling friction coefficients in quantifying the effect of particle size. Therefore, the underlying mechanism should be further explored.

The discussion in Secs. III A and III B clearly indicates that sliding and rolling frictions are primary factors in controlling the translational and rotational movement of a particle and hence the formation and stability of a sandpile. Therefore, the effect of particle size on the angle of repose can be depicted by examining its effect on the total sliding friction force $F_{s,i} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (F_{ct,ij} + F_{dt,ij})$ and rolling friction torque $M_{r,i} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \mu_{r,ij} F_{ct,ij} \tau$ acting on a particle. Obviously, $F_{s,i}$ and $M_{r,i}$ vary temporally and spatially, as will be discussed in our future work. For simplicity, we only considered the particles above the middle plate and obtained their averaged force and torque in the present analysis. Figures 9 and 10 show the variation of the averaged magnitudes of $F_s$ and $M$ during the discharge process for a given particle size. Obviously, both magnitudes decrease with time and finally reach a steady value corresponding to a stable sandpile. Figures 11 and 12 show the plot of the final magnitude $F_s$ and $M$ as a function of particle size, after nondimensionalisation to be comparative. The results indicate that both the dimensionless sliding friction force and rolling friction torque increase with the increase of particle size. However, the decreasing rate for the rolling friction torque is much more significant than that for the sliding friction force. Therefore, it is likely that for coarse spheres, particle size affects the angle of repose mainly through its effect on rolling friction, rather than sliding friction as suggested by Carstensen and Chan [13].

D. Effect of container thickness

So far, we have discussed the effects of rolling and sliding friction coefficients and particle size on the angle of repose. The container used is scaled by a particle diameter, and its thickness is four times of particle diameter as illustrated in Fig. 2. The front and rear walls set up an additional constraint to limit the mobility of particles in contact with the
walls, which can propagate into the particle assembly to affect the angle of repose. In fact, Figs. 5 and 7 show changing rolling or sliding friction coefficient between particle and wall varies the angle of repose, implying that the front and rear walls, like the middle plate, have their effect here.

To quantify this effect, simulations were performed using different container thickness. Figure 13 shows that increasing the container thickness \( w \) decreases the angle of repose for given simulation conditions. However, when the thickness is larger than a critical value, about 20 particle diameters, a constant angle of repose can be obtained, this corresponding to a situation without any front and rear wall effect. The trend is very much similar to that observed by Grasselli and Herrmann \(^{15}\) who recently studied this effect for spheres ranging from 112 to 400 \( \mu \text{m} \) in size. These authors, however, observed a much larger critical container thickness \( \sim \) equal to about 180 particle diameter. This difference, together with others to be discussed later, can be attributed to different experimental conditions in size range and interparticle forces. The cohesive force between particles, which can result from the van der Waals force for dry particles less than 100 \( \mu \text{m} \) or the capillary force for humidified particles, affect the piling behavior of the particles significantly \(^{13,16,25–27}\).

Theoretically speaking, any particle in a stable sandpile must be at an equilibrium state and the sandpile as a whole, supported by its geometrical boundaries, must also be at equilibrium. The formation of strong force arches formed in boundary regions, therefore, must be a major factor responsible for the observed thickness effect. It was argued that the smaller the thickness, the easier to form arches and hence the larger the angle of repose \(^{15}\). To validate this consideration, three-dimensional force networks were constructed based on the present simulated results. The analysis is focused on the normal contact force because, as implied by the equations listed in Table I, other forces are related to this force. Because of the dominant role played by the gravity, particles are mainly supported by a complicated force network propagating into a sandpile from the middle plate, as shown in Fig. 14 where the centres of two contact spheres are linked by sticks of different thickness that is proportional to the magnitude of a normal force. This is always the case irrespective to the thickness of the container. However, as shown in Fig. 15, if the thickness is small, large forces can also be observed close to the front and rear walls. These force arches, like those originated from the bottom wall, will also contribute to the stability of a sandpile. However, such force arches vanish rapidly with increasing container thickness. In fact, for a pile of thickness 16 particle diameter, the spatial distribution of the normal forces becomes rather uniform along...
where the angle of repose is not sensitive to the thickness of container any more (Fig. 13).

It has been reported that the relationship between the angle of repose $\theta$ and the container thickness $w$ can be described by an exponential law [15]:

$$\theta = \theta_0 (1 + \alpha e^{-kw})$$

where $\alpha$ and $k$ are parameters that depend on particle characteristics and material properties, $\theta_0$ is the angle of repose without front and rear wall effect, theoretically obtained when $w = +\infty$. According to this equation, the plot of $(\theta - \theta_0)/\theta_0$ against $w$ should yield a straight line. As shown in Fig. 16, this is indeed the case for the present numerical results. Furthermore, the results suggest that $\alpha = 1$ and $k = 0.18/d$. Therefore, different sized particles give different lines for the plot of $(\theta - \theta_0)/\theta_0$ against $w$. This is contrary to the observation of Grasselli and Herrmann [15] that parameter $k$ is independent of the particle size. This result can be due to the different conditions between the experiments and simulations as noted above. However, if particle size is regarded as the only variable responsible for the difference, then the combination of previous experimental and present numerical results suggests that parameter $k$ is constant for small particles and decreases with particle size for large particles as shown in Fig. 17. Further study is necessary to clarify this issue.
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