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Abstract
The Lajishan ophiolite complex in the Qilian Orogen is one of several ophiolites situated between the Qaidam and North China blocks that record episodic closure of the Proto-Tethyan Ocean. Detailed field relations and geochemical and geochronological studies are critical to unraveling the tectonic processes responsible for an extensive period of intra-oceanic subduction that produced juvenile ophiolite/island arc terranes, which were obducted onto continental margins during ocean closure. The Lajishankou ophiolite complex crops out along the northern margin of the South Qilian belt and was thrust over a Neoproterozoic-Ordovician passive margin sequence that was deposited upon the Proterozoic Central Qilian block. The mafic rocks in Lajishankou ophiolite complex are the most abundant slices and can be categorized into three distinct groups based on petrological, geochemical, and geochronological characteristics: massive island arc tholeiites, 509-Ma back-arc dolerite dykes, and 491-Ma pillow basaltic and dolerite slices that are of seamount origin in a back-arc basin. These results, together with spatial relationships, indicate that the Cambrian island arc rocks, ophiolite complex, and accretionary complex developed between 530 and 480 Ma as a single, intra-oceanic arc-basin system as a result of south-directed subduction of the Proto-Tethyan Ocean prior to Early Ordovician obduction of this system onto the Central Qilian block. Final continental amalgamation involved continental collision of the Central Qilian block with the Qaidam block during the Late Ordovician. This model solves the long-lasting discussion on the emplacement of the Lajishan ophiolite and contributes to an improved understanding of multiple accretionary and collisional processes in the Qilian Orogen.
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Abstract The Lajishankou ophiolite complex in the Qilian Orogen is one of several ophiolites situated between the Qaidam and North China blocks that record episodic closure of the Proto-Tethyan Ocean. Detailed field relations and geochemical and geochronological studies are critical to unraveling the tectonic processes responsible for an extensive period of intraoceanic subduction that produced juvenile ophiolite/island arc terranes, which were obducted onto continental margins during ocean closure. The Lajishankou ophiolite complex crops out along the northern margin of the South Qilian belt and was thrust over a Neoproterozoic-Ordovician passive margin sequence that was deposited upon the Proterozoic Central Qilian block. The mafic rocks in Lajishankou ophiolite complex are the most abundant slices and can be categorized into three distinct groups based on petrological, geochemical, and geochronological characteristics: massive island arc tholeiites, 509-Ma back-arc dolerite dykes, and 491-Ma pillow basaltic and dolerite slices that are of seamount origin in a back-arc basin. These results, together with spatial relationships, indicate that the Cambrian island arc rocks, ophiolite complex, and accretionary complex developed between 530 and 480 Ma as a single, intraoceanic arc-basin system as a result of south directed subduction of the Proto-Tethyan Ocean prior to Early Ordovician obduction of this system onto the Central Qilian block. Final continental amalgamation involved continental collision of the Central Qilian block with the Qaidam block during the Late Ordovician. This model solves the long-lasting discussion on the multiple accretionary and collisional processes in the Qilian Orogen.

1. Introduction

Many ophiolites represent relicts of ancient oceanic crust and upper mantle that have been tectonically emplaced onto continental margins during collision and/or subduction-accretion events (Coleman, 1977; Dewey & Bird, 1971; Dilek & Furnes, 2011; Nicolas, 1989; Moores, 1970). They commonly occur in association with suture zones as large well-preserved ophiolite bodies, such as the Coast Range ophiolite, which developed as elements of the upper plate above a subduction zone (Shervais, 1990; Wakabayashi, 2015, 2017a). Other smaller fragments of uppermost oceanic crust occur within accretionary complexes such as the Franciscan Complex. Such rocks are most likely to have been scabbed off the subducting oceanic plate and emplaced tectonically within the accretory prism (Wakabayashi, 2017b, 2017c). Detailed studies of the Franciscan Complex and the Coast Range ophiolite and their analogues in ancient orogens like the Appalachians show that mafic rocks from the accretionary complex and upper plate ophiolites have distinctly different petrologic, structural, metamorphic, and geochemical characteristics (Hsü, 1968; Shervais, 1990; Zagorevski & van Staal, 2011; van Staal & Barr, 2012; Wakabayashi, 2015). Knowledge of these differences significantly improved our ability to discriminate between subduction-accretion and other geological processes. Field mapping and related studies of ophiolitic rocks, as well as discrimination between rocks from the upper plate or the accretionary complex, are essential to constraining the tectonic evolution of ancient subduction zones. This also provides insights into the multiple accretionary and collisional processes and
mechanisms of continental growth in complex orogenic systems such as central Asia (Buckman & Aitchison, 2004; Şengör et al., 1993; Xiao et al., 2015), Qinling (Dong & Santosh, 2016; Z. Wang et al., 2009), Qilian (Xia et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2009), East Kunlun (Dong et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2017), and West Kunlun (Xiao et al., 2002, 2005) orogenic belts, where numerous Precambrian microcontinents formed and broke up during the Proterozoic and subsequently collided and were amalgamated during the Phanerozoic. This process of continental reassembly involved the periodic accretion and addition of numerous juvenile, oceanic terranes as well as the development of active continental margins (Aitchison & Buckman, 2012; Şengör et al., 1993; Xiao et al., 2015).

The Qilian orogenic belt (Figures 1a and 1b) formed in response to several discrete subduction events with different polarities as a result of closure of branches of the Proto-Tethyan Ocean and amalgamation of the Alxa and Qaidam blocks along the NE margin of Tibet (Song et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2015; J. X. Zhang, Yu, & Mattinson, 2017). A distinctive structural feature of this complicated orogenic belt is a Proterozoic microcontinental fragment (Central Qilian block) that appears to separate the North Qilian and South Qilian suture zones (Figure 1b). Ophiolitic rocks are widely distributed within both the North and South Qilian belts (Fu & Yan, 2017; Qiu et al., 1997; Song et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2009, and references therein).

In the South Qilian belt, basalt, gabbro, ultramafic cumulate rocks, chert, limestone, and rare mantle peridotites of Cambrian age are exposed discontinuously and display the characteristic features of a dismembered ophiolite (Fu & Yan, 2017; Yan et al., 2015). The Lajishan ophiolite belt is the largest single zone within the belt with a length of 200 km and a width of 10–30 km. Therefore, its origin and tectonic setting are of importance for understanding the tectonic evolution of the orogen. Due to structural complexity and a lack of systematic field and petrologic studies, exact lithological assemblages, timing of emplacement, and tectonic settings remain unclear making regional correlations difficult. For instance, volcano-sedimentary units in Lajishan are assigned a Cambrian age based on trilobite faunas from limestones (Lin et al., 2015, and references therein). However, isotopic ages for critical lithologies and sedimentary provenance study are lacking and whether the limestones are autochthonous or they represent older allochthonous blocks slumped into younger sequences remains to be determined. Geochemical data indicate that Cambrian-aged basalts are mainly transitional between mid-ocean ridge basalts (MORB) and within plate basalt (Hou et al., 2005; Qiu et al., 1997) or ocean island basalt (OIB) (Hou et al., 2005; Y. Zhang, Song, et al., 2017) with some exhibiting island arc affinity (Qiu et al., 1997). Several models such as a continental rift (Qiu et al., 1997), back-arc basin (Gao, 2000), multistage uplifted structure window (E. Wang et al., 2000), and a subduction-accretionary complex (Xiao et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2015, and references therein) have been proposed to explain the tectonic evolution of the South Qilian belt without any consistent consensus being reached. A key problem within such a fragmented orogenic zone is that some “ophiolitic” rocks may represent small, disrupted bodies within subduction complexes that were accreted from the downgoing plate. Alternatively, they may simply represent relicts of suprasubduction zone (SSZ) ophiolites. Geological mapping, geochronology, and geochemistry are critical to regional-scale correlation between multiple ophiolitic rocks.

In this paper, we describe field occurrences of the Lajishan ophiolite and accretionary complex in the Liutai, Xigou, and Lajishankou areas, based on observations made during our geological mapping program. Zircon U-Pb ages, mineralogy, petrology, geochemistry, and Sr-Nd data from mafic rocks of the Lajishankou ophiolite complex are also reported. Finally, we discuss the geodynamic setting and likely mantle source of these mafic rocks in order to determine the tectonic processes responsible for generation of rocks occurring within the Lajishan ophiolite and accretionary complex.

2. Geological Setting

The ~300-km-wide, ~1,200-km-long Qilian Orogen belt trends NW-SE along the NE margin of the Tibetan Plateau and is also the northernmost orogenic collage of the Tethyan domain. It is separated from the Tarim block to the west by the Altyn Tagh Fault, while a Cenozoic basin runs along the boundary with the Qinling-Dabie orogen to the SE (Figure 1a). It is subdivided into three fault-bounded belts. From north to south these are (1) North Qilian, (2) Central Qilian, and (3) South Qilian belts (Figure 1b; Feng et al., 2002). The North Qilian belt is ~1,200-km-long, ~100-km-wide and lies between Akta block to the north and the Qilian block to the south (Figure 1b). This belt is considered to be the most extensively studied part and is...
Figure 1. (a) Tectonic framework of China and location of the Qilian Orogen. (b) Simplified geological map of the Qilian Orogen and location of the study area. (c) Geological map and cross section of the Lajishan and adjacent areas. For additional details of part of legend, see Figure 2.
typical of a Marianan-type intraoceanic subduction system in that it includes elements recognizable as parts of an accretionary prism, ophiolite, seamount, island arc, and back-arc/fore-arc basins (Xiao et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2010, and references therein).

The Central Qilian belt is approximately 1,000-km long and 50- to 80-km wide and separated from the North Qilian and South Qilian by SW verging thrust faults, respectively. It is dominated by Precambrian lower greenschist- to amphibolite-facies metamorphic rocks (Wan et al., 2003, and references therein) intruded by Paleozoic S- and I-type granitoids (Huang et al., 2015; Xiao et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2016). In the central part of Central Qilian belt, the 240-km-long and 80-km-wide zone of Precambrian metamorphic rocks near Huangyuan area were originally defined as the Neoproterozoic Huangyuan and Huashishan groups respectively by the Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Qinghai Province (BGMRQP, 1964). Together with the Neoproterozoic Hualong Complex in the South Qilian belt, they are interpreted as microcontinental blocks that separated from the South China plate in the late Neoproterozoic (Guo & Li, 1999; Wan et al., 2003; Yan et al., 2016).

The Huangyuan Group is composed of schist, amphibolite, gneiss, marble, quartzite, and phyllite, which was subdivided into four lithostratigraphic units, in ascending order: Liujiatai, Dongchagou, Moshigou, and Qingshipo formations based on lithology and geologic ages (Figures 1c and 2; BGMRQP, 1964). The Liujiatai, Dongchagou, Moshigou, and Qingshipo formations are repeated by folding or faulting and have thicknesses of ~1,100, 750–1,700, 540–670, and 420–2,400 m, respectively (BGMRQP, 1964). In 1991, the Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Qinghai Province separated the Moshigou and Qingshipo formations from the Huangyuan Group and redefined them as the Huangzhong Group according to their different rock assemblages and metamorphic grades. However, Guo et al. (2000) suggested that they share...
similar protoliths and referred them as the Huangyuan Group. Lithological assemblages and abundant quartzites within the Liujiatai, Dongchagou, and Mosigou formations indicate deposition in a littoral, neritic environment (Guo et al., 1999). On the other hand, greywacke, siltstone, and mudstone in the Qingshipo Formation show features typical of turbidites deposited in deepwater environment of a passive continental margin (Guo et al., 1999; Guo & Li, 1999). The formation age of the Huangyuan Group is traditionally assigned to the Paleoproterozoic, based on earlier single-grain zircon U-Pb and whole rock Rb-Sr and Sm-Nd isotope age determinations (BGMRQP, 1991). More recent zircon U-Pb age determinations from granitoids, granitic gneisses, and metavolcanic rocks in the Huangyuan Group range from circa 930 to 750 Ma, indicating that much of the Huangyuan Group formed during Neoproterozoic time (Guo et al., 2000; Wan et al., 2003). We recently obtained a youngest detrital zircon age peak of circa 488 Ma from greywacke of the Qingshipo Formation (Figure 2). This result, together with Ordovician ages for diorites, which intruded into the Qingshipo Formation, further suggests that the upper age limit for the Qingshipo Formation is Ordovician.

The Huashishan Group mainly consists of weakly metamorphosed dolomite and limestone, with minor phyllite and chert interlayers attaining a thickness of 500–1,400 m (BGMRQP, 1964). Carbonates in the Huashishan Group are characterized by oolites, breccias, and stromatolites (Guo et al., 1999; Guo & Li, 1999), indicating a high-energy littoral and neritic environment. This unit is assigned to Mesoproterozoic Jixian period based on reports of algal fossils (BGMRQP, 1991). However, the angular unconformity between the Huangyuan Group and the Huashishan Group and fragments of Chanceloria sp., trilobites, ostracoda, pelecypod, and crinoid stem fragments in the Huashishan Group suggest that it may represent a stable sedimentary cover of Sinian to Cambrian age deposited on passive continental margin rather than the Precambrian basement of Central Qilian block (Guo et al., 1999; Guo & Li, 1999, and references therein).

The South Qilian belt is approximately 800-km long and 50- to 120-km wide and is dominated by the Neoproterozoic Hualong complex, Cambrian-Ordovician volcano-sedimentary and ultramafic rocks, and minor Silurian-Devonian siliciclastic rocks (Figures 1b, 1c, and 2) (BGMRQP, 1964, 1991). The Hualong Complex is around 140-km long and 5- to 40-km wide and is mainly composed of felsic magmatic rocks, cherts, turbiditic sediments and mafic rocks metamorphosed to gneisses, schists, and amphibolites (Figures 1c and 2; Fu et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2015) that are intruded by early Paleozoic granitoids and mafic rocks (Yan et al., 2015). It has been interpreted as an arc-accretionary complex formed by the subduction-accretion of oceanic plate material during Ordovician times (Xiao et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2015, and references therein). Cambrian volcano-sedimentary rocks consist of pillow basalt, andesite, rhyolite, volcanic breccias, chert, and lenses of limestone, while the Ordovician rocks mainly consists of andesite, rhyolite, andesitic and rhyolitic breccias, and volcaniclastic rocks (Figures 1c, 2, and 3). They are unconformably over lain by Silurian to Devonian nonmarine deposits, mainly comprising conglomerate, pebbly sandstone, and siltstone with trough cross-beding and imbricated pebbles. Regional mapping demonstrates that ultramafic rocks include slices of peridotite, serpentinite with little or no olivine and pyroxene relics, and pyroxenite of different sizes up to 3 km by 1.5 km in map dimension that crop out discontinuously at Yanchiwan, Muli, and Lajishan along the northern margin of the South Qilian belt (Figure 1c). Together with ultramafic rocks at Lajishan, Cambrian gabbro, plagiogranite, mafic rocks, chert, and limestone constitute the Lajishan ophiolite complex (Figure 3; Fu & Yan, 2017; Yan et al., 2015).

3. Lajishan Ophiolite and Accretionary Complex

The Lajishan ophiolite complex is 200-km long and 10- to 30-km wide and located between the Central and South Qilian belts (Figures 1b and 1c). It extends from Riyueshan Mountain in the west in an easterly direction to Guanting village in Minhe County. It is fault-bounded and juxtaposed against the Proterozoic Huangyuan Group to the north and the Neoproterozoic Hualong Complex to the south (BGMRQP, 1964; Yan et al., 2015). Structural and geological cross sections across the Lajishan ophiolite complex indicate that the structures along the southern margin of the South Qilian belt are dominated by NNW-SSE trending thrust faults, tight folds, and foliations (Figures 1c and 2a; Guo & Li, 1999). Early stage deformation is characterized by compressive deformation recorded by NE verging thrust faults, folds, and SW dipping foliations resulting in NE direct thrusting of Cambrian volcano-sedimentary and ultramafic rocks onto the Qingshipo Formation (Figure 2). In the southern parts of the cross sections, the Lajishan Cambrian volcano-sedimentary series and Ordovician
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volcanic and sedimentary rocks have been thrust onto the Neoproterozoic Hualong Complex. SW verging thrust faults which crosscut the NE verging faults and Ordovician diorites (Figure 2) developed in the Lajishan area and the South Qilian block during late-stage deformation.

The Lajishan ophiolite complex is varied and different lithological assemblages crop out at each location. At Xigou, it consists of mantle peridotite, serpentinite, gabbro, dolerite, and basalt (Figure 3), whereas at Liutai it is mainly composed of serpentinite, pyroxenite, gabbro, plagiogranite, dolerite, and basalt (Figures 1c, 3, and 4a; Fu & Yan, 2017). To the south, the ophiolite complex is in fault contact with Middle Cambrian volcanic rocks including basalt, andesite, dacite, rhyolite, and volcanic breccias with island arc geochemical affinity (Figures 3, 4b, and 4c; Fu & Yan, 2017). To the north, the ophiolite-arc rocks are tectonically separated from the Cambrian accretionary complex by a NE verging thrust fault (Figure 3). The Donggoumeikuang accretionary complex is dominated by pillow basalts (Figure 3) with MORB and OIB affinities (Fu & Yan, 2017) and also

Figure 3. Geological map and cross sections of the central part of Lajishan and inferred composite crustal column through the Lajishan ophiolite complex. The geological map is based on our geological mapping results in the Liutai, Donggoumeikuang, and Xigou areas as well as published results from BGMRQP (1977).
Figure 4. Photographs of field occurrences of ophiolite complex (a), island arc rocks (b, c), and accretionary complex (d–g) from the Liutai area (for locations see Figure 3). (a) Ophiolite complex at Liutai (foreground) consisting of serpentine, pyroxenite, gabbro, and dolerite in fault contact with Silurian conglomerate and sandstone (36°16'43.70"N, 102°2'48.74"E). (b, c) Volcanic breccia with clasts of andesite and rhyolite deposited upon andesite (36°16'4.18"N, 102°2'3.75"E). (d) Radiolarian chert in outcrop and under plane polarized light (36°17'20.53"N, 102°6'46.65"E). (e) Erosional surface and normal grading in turbidite (36°17'33.35"N, 102°6'31.23"E). (f) Basalt blocks embedded in siliciclastic matrix (36°16'35.21"N, 102°6'8.83"E). (g) Chert blocks embedded in siliciclastic matrix (36°16'25.26"N, 102°9'5.87"E).
includes black and red radiolarian chert (Figure 4d), limestone, and turbiditic sandstone (Figures 3 and 4e). The basalts and associated sedimentary rocks are repeated by NE verging thrusts in duplexes (Figure 3). Locally, the basalt or chert is highly disrupted and enveloped within a siliciclastic matrix (Figures 4f and 4g). Our geological mapping and petrological investigations suggest that the Lajishankou ophiolite complex consists of numerous different thrust slices, which were emplaced onto the Qingshipo Formation (Figures 2 and 5). At Lajishankou, the Qingshipo Formation is mainly composed of fine-grained gray sandstone, silty mudstone, and muddy limestone (Figures 5, 6a–6d, and 7e). Basal erosion, graded bedding (Figure 6a), ripple, and parallel lamination indicate turbiditic origins. These sedimentary rocks are strongly deformed and characterized by compressive and shear deformation recorded by imbricate thrusts (Figure 5), isoclinal folds (Figures 6b and 6c), foliations (Figures 6c and 6d), and boudinage (Figure 6d). Sandstones mainly consist of quartz and minor plagioclase and lack any fragments of ophiolitic provenance (Figure 6b). The quartz-arenite, conglomerate, limestone, and thin muddy limestone assemblage is lenticular and in fault contact with turbidites composed of shale and muddy siltstone (Figure 6e). However, oblique beddings (Figure 6f), planar cross bedding (Figure 6g), and pebble imbrication (Figure 6h) within these blocks indicate that they were deposited in a tidal environment. Detrital zircon U-Pb age-dating results demonstrate that the quartz-arenites were derived from 1183- to 2073- and 2400- to 3266-Ma sources, which is distinctly different from turbiditic siltstone with a mixed source of 906 and 488 Ma. This suggests that these lenticular assemblages should be of exotic origin and older than the turbidites.

The Lajishankou ophiolite complex includes ultramafic rocks, cumulate gabbro, dolerite, and basalt that occur in a series of elongate fault-bounded slices (Figures 5 and 7a). Ultramafic lithologies include serpentinite, wehrlite, and pyroxenite representing a former mantle-crust transition zone that once lay between mantle peridotites and gabbros (Figures 7a and 7b) (Boudier & Nicolas, 1995; Jousselin & Nicolas, 2000). Cumulate layering is preserved in gray to dark green fine to medium-grained gabbro that is intruded by Ordovician syenite (Figures 5 and 7a). Serpentinites are strongly deformed and sheared without olivine and pyroxene relics. Several slices of wehrlite, pyroxenite, and gabbro form nodular or lenticular bodies striking WNW (Figure 7a).

Dolerites and basalts are the predominant slices of the Lajishankou ophiolite complex. Based on field occurrences and petrography, dolerites are classified into two types: (1) dolerite dykes and (2) dolerite slices. The dykes intrude gray massive basalts (Figures 8a and 8b). Subvertical calcite veins are common within massive basalts and dolerite dykes. The ~100-m-wide dolerite slices are in fault contact with serpentinite and
Figure 6. Photographs of field occurrences and photomicrographs of sedimentary rocks from the Qingshipo Formation at Lajishankou. (a) Incomplete Bouma sequence with distinct basal erosion (arrowheads), normal grading (Ta), and parallel lamination (Tb; 36°22′14.15″N, 101°28′26.80″E). (b) Tight isoclinal folds preserved in sandstone (36°22′4.15″N, 101°28′6.42″E). The sandstone is composed of quartz and minor plagioclase. (c) Folded mudstone under plane polarized light. (d) Sandstone boudins within a foliated siltstone matrix (36°22′11.84″N, 101°28′38.23″E). (e) Exotic sedimentary rocks consisting of quartz sandstone, conglomerate, and limestone in fault contact with turbidites (36°22′8.74″N, 101°29′13.08″E). (f) Limestone with oblique bedding. (g) Gray, medium quartz arenite with cross bedding. (h) Conglomerate with subrounded pebbles of argillaceous limestone, and calcarenite.
Tectonically overlie the accretionary complex (Figures 5 and 7d). Other basalts commonly show pillow structures (Figure 8c) in outcrop and minor limestone interlayers (Figure 8d) also occur. The pillows vary between 0.25 and 1 m in diameter.

**Figure 7.** Photographs of field occurrences and photomicrographs of representative rocks from the Lajishankou ophiolite and accretionary complex. (a) Relationships between serpentinite, wehrlite, pyroxenite, cumulate gabbro, and syenite (36°21′34.78″N, 101°30′51.26″E). (b) Wehrlite intrusive into pyroxenite (36°21′40.30″N, 101°31′9.59″E). (c) Microtexture of mélangé with sandstone blocks (S) in a muddy (M) matrix. (d) Serpentinite and dolerite resting on accretionary complex consisting of basalt, chert, and mélangé (36°21′44.97″N, 101°30′23.51″E). (e) Mélangé containing blocks of plagiogranite, dolerite, basalt, chert, and sandstone in a muddy matrix. (f) Foliated basalt composed of primary plagioclase (Pl) and secondary actinolite (Act). (g) Foliated radiolarian chert.
The accretionary complex lies structurally beneath the ophiolite complex and is composed mainly of basalt, radiolarian chert, sandstone, mudstone, and mélangé (Figures 5 and 7d–7g). Together, these rocks represent highly deformed tectonic slices bounded by faults and zones of mélangé. The basalt and radiolarian chert are strongly sheared (Figures 7f and 7g). The foliated basalts mainly consist of primary plagioclase, secondary actinolite, and minor epidote commensurate with greenschist facies metamorphism (Figure 7f). About 10% of this accretionary complex consists of a mud-matrix mélangé composed of various exotic blocks, including plagiogranite, dolerite, basalt, chert, and sandstone, within a highly sheared muddy matrix (Figures 7c and 7e).

These structural and petrological investigations suggest that the Lajishan ophiolite complex structurally overlies the accretionary complex, which crops out discontinuously along the northern margin of the Lajishan ophiolite. Abundant felsic-intermediate rocks of arc origin distributed near the ophiolite complex also suggest that the arc and ophiolite rocks are elements of the same intraoceanic subduction complex that was thrust over the passive continental margin of the Central Qilian block.

4. Petrography of Mafic Rocks in the Lajishankou Ophiolite Complex

Massive basalts exhibit intergranular textures characterized by subhedral plagioclase with a grain size of 0.02–0.15 mm (Figure 9a) and subhedral clinopyroxene with a grain size of 0.02–0.1 mm. Some contain secondary epidote, chlorite, quartz, and minor actinolite (Figure 9b). These secondary minerals may have formed during hydrothermal activity associated with the intrusion of the dolerite dykes (Figure 8b).

Dolerite dykes display ophitic textures and consist of 55% plagioclase, 40% clinopyroxene, minor chlorite, pyrite, and calcite (Figure 9c). Plagioclase laths are euhedral but severely altered to kaolinite and/or sericite (Figure 9c). Clinopyroxenes are interstitial to plagioclases and their borders are transformed into chlorite. Dolerite slices exhibit gabbroic-diabasic textures, with an assemblage of 50% plagioclase, 45%
clinopyroxene, chlorite, and Fe-Ti oxides (Figure 9f). Plagioclases are relatively subhedral and vary from 0.2 to 0.5 mm in size. The clinopyroxenes are subhedral and partly metamorphosed to chlorite.

Pillow basalts exhibit porphyritic textures with phenocrysts of clinopyroxene and minor plagioclase (Figures 9d and 9e). Clinopyroxene phenocrysts are 1–2 mm in size and display crossed twinning. Minor plagioclase phenocrysts are 0.5–2 mm in size and display polysynthetic twinning (Figure 9e). The groundmass is composed of plagioclase microlites, granular clinopyroxene, chlorite, and opaque (Fe-Ti oxide) minerals (Figures 9d and 9e). Plagioclases in the groundmass are subhedral and range from 0.1 to 0.2 mm in size. Many clinopyroxenes are partially to completely replaced by chlorite and opaque minerals (Figure 9e).

These petrographic and structural characteristics indicate that the less deformed mafic rocks from the Lajishankou ophiolite complex are distinct from those within the underlying strongly deformed...
accreionary complex, which have underwent high-grade (greenschist facies) burial metamorphism. Therefore, the Lajishankou ophiolite complex may be part of the upper plate above a subduction zone.

5. Analytical Methods

5.1. Mineral Chemistry

Pyroxenes from mafic rocks were analyzed at the Institute of Mineral Resources, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, using a JEOL JXA-8800R electron probe with a 20 kV accelerating voltage, 20-nA beam current and a 5-μm beam.

5.2. Major and Trace Elements

Twenty-two representative and relatively fresh samples were collected for geochemical analysis. Samples were cleaned and all weathered and veined surfaces were removed. Each sample was powdered using an agate mill, and fused glass beads were prepared for major element analysis utilizing a Phillips PW 4400 X-ray fluorescence spectrometer with a rhodium X-ray source. FeO content was determined by digestion with HF and H2SO4 and titration with standard potassium dichromate solution, using the diphenylamine sulphphonate indicator. Loss on ignition was measured by weighing before and after 1 hr in a furnace at 1000 °C. All major and trace elements were analyzed at the National Research Center, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, Beijing. International standards GSR1, GSR2, and GSR3 were used to monitor analytical quality control. Detection limits for major elements were < 0.01% (except for TiO2 and MnO, which had detection limits <0.001%). Detection limits for minor and trace elements were 1–0.05 ppm. Based on the analyses of international reference materials, the analytical precision for all major oxides by X-ray fluorescence is estimated to be better than 1%. Trace element concentrations were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry using a VG Elemental PQII Plus system. All measurements of the trace elements were corrected for instrumental drift using the peak intensities of 115 In and 185 Re internal monitors. The results of standard analyses are consistent with their reference values within the published error ranges, respectively, with the differences for trace elements, including rare earth element (REE), within 5–10%.

Details of trace element analytical procedures have been described by Liang et al. (2000).

5.3. Zircon U-Pb Ages

Fifty zircon grains from a 20-kg dolerite dyke sample (13LJ) and 250 zircon grains from a 20-kg dolerite slice (11LJS81) were extracted using standard heavy liquid and magnetic techniques. They were handpicked under a binocular microscope and mounted in epoxy resin. The sample mount was polished to expose the center of the zircon grains and then gold coated. Reflected and transmitted light photographs and cathodoluminescence (CL) images were acquired to reveal internal structures and to target specific areas therein. The CL images were obtained by scanning electron microscopy using a FEI PHILIPS XL 30 SFEG instrument with a 2-min scanning time at conditions of 15 kV and 120 nA. U-Th-Pb zircon dating was performed on the SHRIMP II at Beijing SHRIMP Center, Institute of Geology, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences. Operation and data processing follow Williams (1998). Standard M257 (561 Ma) was measured to calibrate U, Th, and Pb contents, and the standard zircon TEM (417 Ma) was used for element fractionation correction. All the data were assessed using the Squid and Isoplot programs. Common Pb was corrected using the measured 204Pb. Ages were calculated using International Union of Geological Sciences recommended coefficients. The errors given in the data tables for individual analyses are quoted at the 1σ level, whereas the errors for weighted mean ages are at the 2σ confidence level. Discussion of age data is based on206Pb/238U ages for zircons younger than 1000 Ma and207Pb/206Pb ages for those older than 1000 Ma.

5.4. Sr-Nd Isotopes

Eight samples were selected for Sm-Nd isotopic analysis and measured at Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The Rb-Sr and Sm-Nd isotopic analysis followed procedures similar to those described by Li et al. (2011). Whole rock powders for Sr and Nd isotopic analyses were dissolved in Savillux Teflon screw top capsule after being spiked with the mixed 83Rb, 84Sr and 146Sm, 150Nd tracers prior to HF + HNO3 + HClO4 dissolution. Rb, Sr, Sm, and Nd were separated using the classical two-step ion exchange chromatographic method and measured using a Finnigan MAT262 multicollector thermal ionization mass spectrometer at Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The whole procedure blank was lower than 300 pg for Rb-Sr and 100 pg for Sm-Nd. The isotopic ratios were corrected for mass
fractionation by normalizing to $\frac{^{88}\text{Sr}}{^{86}\text{Sr}} = 8.375209$ and $\frac{^{146}\text{Nd}}{^{144}\text{Nd}} = 0.7219$, respectively. The international standard samples, NBS-987 and JNd-1, were employed to evaluate instrument stability during the period of data collection. The measured values for the NBS-987 Sr standard and JNd-1 Nd standard were $\frac{^{87}\text{Sr}}{^{86}\text{Sr}} = 0.710267 \pm 0.000011$ ($n = 8; 2\sigma$) and $\frac{^{143}\text{Nd}}{^{144}\text{Nd}} = 0.512111 \pm 0.000013$ ($n = 7; 2\sigma$), respectively. U.S. Geological Survey reference material BCR-2 was measured to monitor the accuracy of the analytical procedures, with the following results: $\frac{^{87}\text{Sr}}{^{86}\text{Sr}} = 0.705037 \pm 0.000010$ ($n = 2; 2\sigma$) and $\frac{^{143}\text{Nd}}{^{144}\text{Nd}} = 0.512624 \pm 0.000015$ ($n = 2; 2\sigma$). The $\frac{^{87}\text{Sr}}{^{86}\text{Sr}}$ and $\frac{^{143}\text{Nd}}{^{144}\text{Nd}}$ data of BCR-2 show good agreement with previously published data by thermal ionization mass spectrometer and multicollector-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry techniques (Li et al., 2011).

6. Results

6.1. Mineral Chemistry

Representative electron microprobe analyses of clinopyroxenes in mafic rocks are listed in Table S1 in the supporting information. Clinopyroxenes from massive basalts are entirely diopsides (Wo$_{47-49}$En$_{41-46}$Fs$_{7-10}$) with Mg$^+$ = 80–86 (Table S1 and Figure 10a). They have high CaO contents of 23.0–24.0 wt.% and low Al$_2$O$_3$ (1.52–3.25 wt.%) and FeO (4.71–6.41 wt.%) contents. The clinopyroxenes from a dolerite dyke are diopsides (Wo$_{47-48}$En$_{45-47}$Fs$_{5-8}$) with Mg$^+$ = 86–90 and augites (Wo$_{39-42}$En$_{38-47}$Fs$_{14-20}$) with Mg$^+$ = 65–77 (Table S1 and Figure 10a). Diopsides are similar to those from the massive basalt. The augites have low CaO contents of 18.8–19.6 wt.% and high Al$_2$O$_3$ (2.17–3.97 wt.%) and FeO (8.78–12.1 wt.%) contents. Clinopyroxene phenocrysts in pillow basalt are diopsides (Wo$_{47-48}$En$_{41-47}$Fs$_{5-11}$) with Mg$^+$ = 80–90 (Table S1 and Figure 10a), but the groundmass of pillow basalt also contains diopsides (Wo$_{48-49}$En$_{37-42}$Fs$_{11-14}$) with Mg$^+$ = 73–80 and augites (Wo$_{40-43}$En$_{40-43}$Fs$_{13-20}$) with Mg$^+$ = 57–64 (Table S1 and Figure 10a). Compared to phenocrysts, groundmass diopsides have relatively low Al$_2$O$_3$ (2.07–2.08 wt.% contents) but high FeO (6.54–8.60 wt.%) contents. Augites from massive basalt have lower CaO contents of 12.2–15.4 wt.% and high FeO contents of 13.3–16.5 wt.%. Clinopyroxenes from dolerite slice are all augites (Wo$_{40-43}$En$_{38-43}$Fs$_{17-20}$) with Mg$^+$ = 66–71 (Table S1 and Figure 10a). They have high TiO$_2$ contents (0.80–1.98 wt.%), Al$_2$O$_3$ (2.39–5.59 wt.%), and FeO (10.2–12.2 wt.%).

Clinopyroxenes compositions in mafic rocks are an effective tool for classifying tectonic settings (Nisbet & Pearce, 1977). In general, the clinopyroxenes from dolerite slices have high TiO$_2$, FeO, and MnO contents relative to those from the massive basalts and dolerite dykes (Table S1) and they resemble OIBs. On a discriminant diagram (Figure 10b), the clinopyroxenes from the massive basalt plot within the volcanic arc basalt (VAB) field and those from dolerite dykes plot in the VAB and ocean floor basalt (OFB) fields. However, clinopyroxenes from the pillow basalts and dolerite slices plot within VAB, OFB, and within plate tholeiite (WPT) fields. Collectively, these signatures indicate development in a SSZ environment.

Figure 10. (a) Compositions of clinopyroxenes (after Morimoto, 1988) and (b) discriminant diagram (after Nisbet & Pearce, 1977) for clinopyroxenes from mafic rocks of the Lajishankou ophiolite complex. OFB = ocean floor basalt; VAB = volcanic arc basalt; WPA = within plate alkalic basalt; WPT = within plate tholeiite.
6.2. Major and Trace Elements

Twenty-two samples, including two massive basalts, five dolerite dykes, seven pillow basalts, and eight dolerite slices, were analyzed for major and trace element concentrations. The analytical results are listed in Table S2, and all concentrations are expressed in weight percent for major elements and ppm for REEs and trace elements. Variable loss on ignition values (1.27–4.39 wt.%) in mafic rocks reflect secondary alteration, which is indicated by presence of mineral phases (e.g., epidote, calcite, and chlorite; Figures 9b and 9e). As low-grade alteration may lead to selective element mobility (Pearce & Cann, 1973). When plotted against Zr (immobile element), large ion lithophile elements (LILE; e.g., Sr, K, and Rb) show scattered distribution, whereas immobile high field strength elements (HFSE) and REE display well-defined trends (Figure 11). Therefore, relatively immobile elements such as Th, Nb, Zr, Ti, V, and REE are used in the discussion that follows to characterize the mafic rocks with respect to their original composition and possible tectonic settings (Pearce, 2008, 2014; Shervais, 1982).

On the basis of Zr/Ti versus Nb/Y diagram, all samples have subalkaline compositions (Figure 12a). Except for three altered samples with high SiO2 contents that probably result from SiO2 addition during alteration (Pearce, 2014), most belong to tholeiitic basalts on the FeOT/MgO versus SiO2 diagram (Figure 12b). The major element concentrations of massive basalts are constant overall, with 47.0–48.1 wt.% SiO2, 6.08–8.55 wt.% MgO, 10.2–11.5 wt.% FeO, and 0.77–0.82 wt.% TiO2 (Table S2). Chondrite-normalized REE patterns are right inclined and enriched in light REE (LREE; LaN/YbN = 9.23–9.41; Figure 13a). The massive basalts display weak to negligible negative Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu* = 0.87–0.90), strong enrichment in Th and apparent depletion in Nb, Ta, Zr, Hf, and Ti (Figure 13b). Such features are typical of island arc rocks (Pearce & Peate, 1995).
Dolerite dykes have low SiO₂ (45.3–47.2 wt.%), TiO₂ (0.89–1.06 wt.%), and FeO (8.66–10.4 wt.%) contents along with high MgO (9.33–11.1 wt.%) contents (Table S2). They are distinctly different from other mafic rocks with nearly flat chondrite-normalized REE patterns (LaN/YbN = 1.12–1.20; Figure 13a). These dolerite dykes have insignificant positive Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu* = 1.04–1.11) and slight depletion in Nb and Ti (Figure 13b). Immobile element patterns normalized to N-MORB reveal that the dolerite dykes have similar geochemical characteristics to back-arc basin basalts (BABBs) (Figure 13b; Pearce et al., 2005).

Figure 12. (a) Zr/Ti versus Nb/Y diagram (after Pearce, 2014) and (b) SiO₂ versus FeO/MgO diagram (after Miyashiro, 1974) of mafic rocks from the Lajishankou ophiolite complex.

Figure 13. (a, c, and e) Chondrite-normalized rare earth element patterns and (b, d, and f) N-MORB-normalized trace element patterns of the mafic rocks from the Lajishankou ophiolite complex. Chondrite and normal mid-ocean ridge basalt data are from Sun and McDonough (1989).
The pillow basalts and dolerite slices have similar major and trace element concentrations. SiO₂ ranges from 46.9 to 52.5 wt.%, with high TiO₂ (1.30–2.92 wt.%) contents. FeOΤ and MgO range widely from 8.98 to 13.1 wt.% and from 5.43 to 8.05 wt.%, respectively (Table S2). They are enriched in LREE with right-inclined REE patterns (LaN/YbN = 1.81–4.61; Figures 13c and 13e). Pillow basalts and dolerite slices show negligible Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu* = 0.87–1.08) and slight depletion in Nb and Ti (Figures 13d and 13f). Their N-MORB-normalized immobile element patterns are akin to OIB-like rocks (Figures 13d and 13f; Pearce et al., 2005).

In summary, geochemical data demonstrate compositional heterogeneity among mafic rocks in the Lajishankou ophiolite complex. Compositional variation may result from crystal fractionation and increasing degree of melting of their mantle source; however, trace element and Sr-Nd isotopic data suggest the influence of different mantle sources.

6.3. Zircon U-Pb Ages

Typical CL images of zircons from the dolerite dyke are presented in Figure 14b. The analytical data are listed in Table S3. All zircons from the dolerite dyke (13LJ) are transparent and colorless, with variable shapes, sizes, and internal structures. Three smaller zircons are fragmentary grains with lengths of 60–70 μm. Their CL images exhibit patchy and broad-spaced zoning texture (Figure 14b), resembling magmatic zircons in gabbro. The larger zircons are euhedral with lengths of 70–100 μm. Their CL images show regular oscillatory magmatic zonings, with some of them displaying dark inherited cores (Figure 14b).

Twelve zircon grains with different internal structures were analyzed by SHRIMP II and yield concordant ages ranging between 502.9 ± 4.1 Ma (206Pb/238U age) and 2622 ± 9 Ma (207Pb/236U age) (Table S3). Three zircons with broad-spaced zoning textures have relatively high and consistent U and Th concentrations (U = 445–488 ppm and Th = 175–301 ppm) resulting in high Th/U ratios of 0.41–0.64 (Table S3) and yield a weighted mean 206Pb/238U age of 509.4 ± 4.7 Ma (mean square weighted deviate = 2.8; Figure 14a), representing the age of magmatic crystallization. However, the other nine zircons with low U and Th concentrations (U = 101–356 ppm and Th = 47–263 ppm) and Th/U ratios of 0.19–0.77 yield concordant ages older than 900 Ma ranging between 906.9 ± 9.1 Ma (206Pb/238U age) and 2622 ± 9 Ma (207Pb/236U age) (Table S3). These inherited zircons with variable shapes were possibly transported as detrital grains into the mantle wedge via subduction of oceanic crust.

In addition, SHRIMP zircon U-Pb dating of a dolerite slice sample yielded a weighted mean 206Pb/238U age of 491.0 ± 5.1 Ma (n = 10; mean square weighted deviate = 1.6) without inherited grains (Fu et al., 2014), which is slightly younger than the dolerite dyke.

6.4. Sr-Nd Isotopes

Eight whole-rock samples were analyzed for Sr and Nd isotopic compositions. The results are listed in Table S4 and plotted in Figure 15a. Initial isotopic ratios of the mafic rocks are calculated based on their zircon ages. The intrusive contact relationship between the dolerite dyke and massive basalt indicates that the massive basalts may have formed at circa 514 Ma (age of Cambrian island arc felsic rock; Figure 3) earlier than...
dolerite dyke (509.4 ± 4.7 Ma). Similar geochemistry and Sr-Nd isotopes of pillow basalt and dolerite slice indicate that they were probably coeval and formed at 491.0 ± 5.1 Ma (Fu et al., 2014).

Dolerite dykes and slices have low initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios ($I_{Sr}$) ranging from 0.70336 to 0.70428 (Table S4), but massive basalts and pillow basalts have high initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios ($I_{Sr}$) of 0.70441–0.70443 and 0.70531–0.70534 (Table S4), respectively. The Sr isotopic compositions of mafic rocks could be modified by seawater alteration and drift away from mantle array (Nohda et al., 1992). Thus, the high initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios ($I_{Sr} > 0.704$; Figure 15a) of basalts probably indicate strong alteration related to interaction with seawater (Nohda et al., 1992). However, the Nd isotopic compositions were relatively stable despite a significant change in Sr isotopic values (Figure 15a). All mafic rocks have positive $\varepsilon_{Nd}(t)$ values. The $\varepsilon_{Nd}(t)$ values of massive basalts and dolerite dykes are +6.0 to +6.6 and +6.1 to +6.3, respectively, but pillow basalts and dolerite slices have lower $\varepsilon_{Nd}(t)$ values (+5.2 to +5.8 and +4.8 to +5.3). This variation suggests that the pillow basalts and dolerite slices might have been affected by the input of enriched component.

7. Discussion

7.1. Geodynamic Setting

New petrological, mineralogical, geochemical, and geochronological data from mafic rocks of the Lajishankou ophiolite complex can be used to discriminate three distinct lithological assemblages that formed in different, but not incompatible, tectonic settings with different mantle sources. Massive tholeiitic basalts (Group 1) with strong enrichment in Th and LILE but depletion of HFSE (e.g., Nb, Ta, Zr, Hf, and Ti; Figures 13a and 13b) may have developed in an island arc environment characterized by contamination of their mantle source by dehydration of subducting oceanic crust which led to partial melting and LILE enrichment of the overlying mantle wedge (Ishizuka et al., 2007; Manikyamba et al., 2015; Pearce, 2014; Pearce & Peate, 1995). This inference is further supported by clinopyroxene compositions (Figure 10b; Nisbet & Pearce, 1977). Clinopyroxenes of massive basalts plot within the VAB field, whereas those of dolerite dykes (Group 2) fall within the VAB and OFB fields. Dolerite dykes also display nearly flat chondrite-normalized REE patterns without obvious anomalies, suggesting a possible back-arc basin environment (Ishizuka et al., 2010; Pearce et al., 2005). Pillow basalts and dolerite slices (Group 3) are characterized by enrichment of LILE, LREE, and HFSE relative to island arc basalts and BABBs (Figures 13c–13f). They also have high TiO$_2$ contents (1.30–2.92 wt.%) and low LREE/HFSE and Zr/Nb (9.05–15.3) ratios, which are different from lavas erupted at destructive plate boundaries but somewhat similar to OIB (Weaver, 1991). Clinopyroxenes from dolerite slices with high TiO$_2$ (0.80–1.98 wt.%) and FeO (10.2–12.2 wt.%) contents are more akin to those from WPTs (Nisbet & Pearce, 1977). However, clinopyroxenes of pillow basalts are geochemically variable and fall within the VAB, OFB, and WPT fields, indicating a possible range of magmatic sources. In addition, spinels in serpentinites are characterized by relatively high Cr$_2$O$_3$ contents of 47.5–50.6 wt.% and low contents of Al$_2$O$_3$ (14.3–17.6 wt.%) and MgO (7.17–10.2 wt.%) with Cr$^4$ = 64–69 and Mg$^8$ = 37–50, suggesting a SSZ origin (Fu & Yan, 2017).

In order to further evaluate their geodynamic setting, the analyzed samples are plotted on trace element discrimination diagrams (Figures 15c and 15d). In general, island arc basalts, mid-ocean ridge basalts, and OIBs have distinct Ti/V ratios (Shervais, 1982). Thus, a Ti/V versus Zr discrimination diagram provides an effective means of discriminating basalts from different tectonic environments (Manikyamba et al., 2015). Massive basalt and dolerite dyke samples with low Ti/V ratios plot within the island arc basalt and BABB fields, respectively (Figure 15c), but pillow basalt and dolerite slice samples with high Ti/V ratios mainly fall within the OIB field. Pearce (2014) applied the Th/Yb versus Nb/Yb diagram (Figure 15d) to identify lavas from nonsubduction settings or SSZ environment. Due to the addition of subduction-related melt to the mantle wedge, lavas in SSZs are variably displaced from MORB-OIB array to higher Th/Yb. The massive basalt samples fall into the fields associated with intraoceanic arc (Figure 15c). Their high Th/Yb ratios (3.94–4.07) can be explained by mixing with melt derived from subducted sediment (Pearce, 1982). Dolerite dyke samples are slightly displaced from MORB-OIB array and plot within the BABB field. Pillow basalt and dolerite slice samples mainly fall into the OIB field and are also slightly displaced from that array (Figure 15d).

Indeed, VABs and BABBs are dominant during the evolution of intraoceanic arc-basin systems (Ishizuka et al., 2009; Stern et al., 2003). The distinct compositions of massive basalts (Figures 13a, 13b, 15c, and 15d) coupled
with their Sr-Nd isotopes (Figure 15a) probably reflect an intraoceanic arc environment. Although dolerite dykes are indistinguishable from N-MORBs on the basis of trace element patterns, an intrusive contact with VAB (Figures 8a and 8b) coupled with clinopyroxene of VAB affinity (Figure 10b) indicates formation in a SSZ environment. We note here that OIB-type lavas without plume involvement have been reported from back-arc basins (Hickey-Vargas et al., 2006; Ishizuka et al., 2009). Pillow basalts and dolerite slices have OIB-like enriched LREE patterns (Figures 13c–13f). In addition, interbedded limestone also occurs within these pillow basalts (Figure 8d). Thus, we suggest that those mafic rocks may have originated from seamounts in a back-arc basin.

Moreover, the SSZ affinity of the Lajishankou ophiolite complex is consistent with the results of structural and petrological investigations that indicate it developed in the upper plate of the subduction zone.
7.2. Mantle Source Characteristics

Mafic rocks from the Lajishankou ophiolite complex appear to have formed in a SSZ setting. The chemical compositions of magmas in SSZ are mainly controlled by mixing of the depleted mantle wedge, subducted sediment, and altered oceanic crust (Elliott, 2003; Pearce & Peate, 1995; Taylor & Nesbitt, 1998). Massive basalts have pronounced positive Th, P, and LREE anomalies and high La/Sm and Th/Nb ratios (Figure 15b), which are diagnostic features attributable to sediment addition to the mantle wedge in a typical intraoceanic arc setting (Elliott, 2003; Manikyamba et al., 2015; Pearce & Peate, 1995). Their lower εNd(t) values (+6.0 to +6.6) are consistent with intraoceanic arc basalt (Figure 15a) and also indicate sediment addition to the mantle wedge (Ishizuka et al., 2007; Stern et al., 2003). In addition, massive basalts have a relatively higher REE contents, probably indicating that their magmas were generated from less depleted mantle sources. Gribble et al. (1996) used Yb versus TiO₂ diagram to estimate the composition of mantle sources and the degree of partial melting that generates different magmas in intraoceanic arc-basin system. The diagram shows that massive basalts were derived from high degrees (21–27%) of spinel peridotite melting (Figure 15e), reflecting an arc-like source and hydrous melting of the mantle wedge (Gribble et al., 1998).

Dolerite dykes are characterized by high Zr/Nb (19.5–20.9), Y/Nb (6.84–8.0), and low Zr/Y (2.43–3.01) ratios and similar εNd(t) values (+6.1 to +6.3) with BABBs (Figure 15a), suggesting that a depleted mantle source has been involved in their magma formation. Their N-MORB-like trace element patterns further indicate that their source region experienced a previous melt extraction (Woodhead et al., 1993). Taking into account inherited Proterozoic zircons and relatively high Th/Yb ratios at a given Nb/Yb (Figure 15d), their MORB-like mantle may have been influenced by subduction-related processes in terms of slab dehydration and slab melting. Their magmas can be accounted for by melts generated from low degrees (13–20%) of decompression melting of MORB-like mantle beneath back-arc basins (Figure 15e; Gribble et al., 1998).

In contrast to the massive basalts and dolerite dykes, lower Zr/Nb, Y/Nb, and higher Zr/Y ratios of the OIB-like pillow basalts and dolerite slices (9.05–15.3, 1.65–4.93, and 3.10–6.28, respectively) can be attributed to a more enriched source and/or generation under smaller degrees of partial melting (Hickey-Vargas et al., 2006; Ishizuka et al., 2009). Their lower εNd(t) values (+4.8 to +5.8; Figure 15a) at Th/Sm < 0.1 (0.04–0.09) and increasing Ta/Nd ratios at near constant Th/Nb (Figure 15b) confirm a contribution of enriched source to MORB-like mantle beneath back-arc basins (Aldanmaz et al., 2008; Ishizuka et al., 2009). In addition, the weak fractionation of HREE (Dy/Yb = 1.8–2.1) suggests that the primitive lavas represent partial melts of spinel peridotite (Figure 15f).

7.3. Implications for Tectonic Evolution

The Proto-Tethyan Ocean is commonly interpreted to have been subducted beneath the Central Qilian block during the Cambrian with 530- to 480-Ma SSZ-type ophiolites and arc-related volcanic rocks having formed within a marginal ocean basin before being accreted to the southern margin of the Central Qilian block (Fu et al., 2014; Fu & Yan, 2017; Huang et al., 2015; Qiu et al., 1997; Song et al., 2014; C. Wang et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2015; J. X. Zhang, Yu, & Mattinson, 2017). However, models involving ubiquitous subduction beneath continental margins create tectonic conundrums when juvenile, intraoceanic terranes are identified within and juxtaposed against continental crustal fragments. The difficulties arise because oceanic crust situated on the downgoing slab is rarely preserved on continental margins (Brown et al., 2011; Yamamoto et al., 2009). Rather, ophiolites and island arc complexes are more readily preserved on the overriding plate in a suprasubduction setting and are later thrust over a continental margin, which remains attached to the downgoing oceanic crust as it is subducted beneath the intraoceanic arc system (Brown et al., 2011; Clift & Vannucchi, 2004). This is well documented in modern day arc-continent collisions such as Taiwan where the Luzon arc is currently colliding with the continental mass of Eurasia via east dipping subduction in the south but is undergoing subduction flip along the Okinawa trough to the NE (Boutelier & Chemenda, 2011; Clift et al., 2003). These types of arc-continent collisions associated with subduction flips are also recognized in Paleozoic Tasmanides of eastern Australia as discrete “quantum” additions of juvenile oceanic crust including the Ordovician Macquarie Arc in the Lachlan Orogen and the Devonian Gamilaroi terrane and Permian Gympie terrane in the New England Orogen (Aitchison & Buckman, 2012). Andean-type margins involving continuous subduction beneath a continent are less likely to preserve any significant oceanic crustal material within the orogen apart from relatively small volumes of upper volcanic and sedimentary portions shaved off and into the accretionary complex during subduction (Clift & Vannucchi, 2004; Yamamoto et al., 2009). Our
geological mapping and new data from the Lajishankou ophiolite complex suggest that it incorporates three
different compositional groups. They represent different types of magmas (island arc tholeiite [IAT], BABB,
and OIB) generated during distinct stages in the evolution of an intraoceanic arc-basin system (Figures 16a
and 16b). The exact mechanism by which these intraoceanic rocks have been incorporated into the
Central Qilian belt is difficult to reconcile. However, as they have such distinct intraoceanic signatures and
rarely exceed subgreenschist facies metamorphism this suggests that the Lajishan ophiolite-arc rocks
probably formed far from any continental influence. Structural and geological cross sections across the
Lajishan ophiolite complex are consistent with the interpretation that it originated in the upper plate of
the subduction zone and was thrust over the Neoproterozoic-Ordovician Qingshipo Formation, which
together with the Huashishan Group, was also thrust over the Neoproterozoic basement of Central Qilian
block (Figures 1c, 2, 3, and 5; Guo & Li, 1999). The arc-continent collision responsible for their preservation
potentially involved a more complex series of subduction flips in order to preserve the juvenile oceanic
crustal elements as subgreenschist facies rocks in an upper plate position juxtaposed against Proterozoic
gneisses and schists of the Hualong Complex and Central Qilian block (Figure 16).

Between circa 530 and 510 Ma, a Cambrian intraoceanic subduction system, consisting of
Donggoumeikuang accretionary complex, Xigou ophiolite, and Liutai island arc, had developed in the
Proto-Tethyan Ocean (Figure 16a). The Donggoumeikuang accretionary complex is mainly composed of
pillow basalts, radiolarian chert, limestone, and turbiditic sandstone (Figure 3). To the south of the

Figure 16. Tectonic model for evolution of Lajishan ophiolite-arc rocks. (a) Subduction of the Proto-Tethyan Ocean and generation of accretionary complex, fore-arc
ophiolite, and intraoceanic arc. (b) Intraoceanic arc-basin system with back-arc spreading. Seamount formed in a back-arc basin. (c) Emplacement of the Lajishan
ophiolite-arc rocks onto the Central Qilian block. (d) Renewed subduction with opposite polarity and construction of a 470- to 450-Ma continental arc (after Yan et al.,
2015). (e) Closure of Proto-Tethyan Ocean and collision between Qaidam, Oulongbuluke, South Qilian, and Central Qilian blocks. Circa 450- to 420-Ma postcollisional
magmatism is in response to deep subduction of the Qaidam block.
accretionary complex, the Xigou ophiolite consists of boninites and peridotites with mid-ocean ridge and SSZ affinities (Fu & Yan, 2017; Smith & Yang, 2006), which are similar to the rock assemblages from the Mariana forearc (Parkinson et al., 1992). Then, Liutai island arc rocks, including volcanioclastic rocks, andesites, dacites, and rhyolites (Fu & Yan, 2017; Qiu et al., 1997), erupted in an intraoceanic arc setting. In the Lajishankou ophiolite complex, massive basalts with IAT affinity and positive \( \varepsilon^{147}Nd(t) \) values also indicate an intraoceanic arc environment (Figures 10b, 15a, 15c, and 15d). Associated magmas are characterized by high degrees of partial melting of arc-type mantle, modified by subduction components (Figures 15a and 15b). The spatial distribution of Liutai island arc rocks, Liutai ophiolite complex, and Donggoumeikuang accretionary complex from the south to the north (Figures 1c, 3, 4a–4c, and 16) suggest the southward subduction of Proto-Tethyan Ocean (Figure 16a).

Around 510 Ma, rifting of the intraoceanic arc generated an oceanic back-arc basin, similar to Mariana back-arc basin (Figure 16b). The development of an upwelling MORB-like mantle flow regime is required during the extension of a back-arc basin. Due to the interaction between MORB-like and arc-like mantle components, lavas of either these affinities may have erupted during the early stages of back-arc rifting (Gribble et al., 1998; Manikyamba et al., 2015). Geochemical data from dolerite dykes indicate intrusion within a back-arc basin with a detectable influence of SSZ components (Figures 10b, 15c, and 15d).

From 510 to 480 Ma, southward subduction of the Proto-Tethyan Ocean ensued and the intraoceanic arc-basin system continued to develop (Figure 16b). Island arc rocks consisting of abundant basalts, andesites, dacites, and rhyolites were erupted as a result of active arc-related magmatism in the Late Cambrian (Qiu et al., 1997). Meanwhile, OIB-like pillow basalts and dolerite with little evidence of any subduction signature also formed (Figures 10b, 15c, and 15d). OIB-like rocks with intercalated limestones confirm the existence of seamounts within the back-arc basin.

Between 480 and 470 Ma, southward subduction of the Proto-Tethyan Ocean along the southern margin of the Central Qilian belt continued. Subsequently, the oceanic island arc, which included SSZ ophiolites, back-arc basin, and accretionary complex elements collided with the Central Qilian block and was obducted over the Qingshipo Formation, resulting in formation of a series of NE vergent imbricated thrust sheets along the southern margin of Central Qilian block (Figures 1c, 2, and 16c). The timing of arc-continent collision is constrained at 480–470 Ma, which represents the interval between the youngest age of Lajishan ophiolite (Fu & Yan, 2017) and the oldest age of granitoid intrusions, respectively.

Subduction resumed from circa 470–450 Ma but with the opposite polarity. This generated the 470- to 450-Ma continental arc in the Lajishan and Central Qilian areas (Figure 16d) (Fu & Yan, 2017; C. Wang et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2015). This interpretation is supported by the presence of a voluminous Ordovician andesite, dacite, rhyolite, pyroclastic rocks, and volcaniclastic sandstones around Lajishan (BGMRQP, 1964; Feng et al., 2002; Qiu et al., 1997). In addition, SW vergent thrust faults (Figures 1c and 2) developed and voluminous coeval granitoids with continental arc affinity intruded the ophiolite and island arc in the Lajishan area (Figures 1c, 2, and 5) and Precambrian metamorphic rocks in the Central Qilian block (C. Wang et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2009). These indicate northward subduction of the Proto-Tethyan Ocean along the southern margin of the Neoproterozoic Central Qilian block.

From circa 450–420 Ma, the Proto-Tethyan Ocean was closed and microcontinents collided (Figure 16e) by the end of Ordovician (Song et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2016; J. X. Zhang, Yu, & Mattinson, 2017). Voluminous 450–420 Ma syncollisional and postcollisional granitoids intruded into the Hualong Complex and Central Qilian block (Huang et al., 2015; C. Wang et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2016). Between 450 and 410 Ma the Neoproterozoic Hualong Complex experienced metamorphism and deformation (Yan et al., 2015, and references therein). Together with Qaidam and Oulongbuluke blocks, it is likely to have accreted to the north and amalgamated with the Central Qilian block before circa 450 Ma (Figure 16e). Thus, a broad zone of 450–420 Ma postcollisional magmatism occurred along the North Qaidam UHP belt, Central Qilian, South Qilian, and Oulongbuluke blocks (Huang et al., 2015; C. Wang et al., 2017; Xia et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2016). A 440- to 420-Ma peak UHP metamorphic age has been obtained from coesite-bearing metapelites and eclogites and diamond-bearing garnet peridotites in the North Qaidam accretionary complex (Song et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2016; J. X. Zhang, Yu, & Mattinson, 2017, and references therein). These rocks are thought to have developed in response to deep subduction of the Qaidam block.
8. Conclusions

1. The Lajishankou ophiolite complex along the northern margin of the South Qilian belt consists of various tectonic slices, including serpentinite, wehrlite, pyroxenite, gabbro, dolerite, and pillow and massive basalts, which were thrust onto a passive margin sequence consisting of alternating turbiditic sandstones and mudstones deposited on the Central Qilian block.

2. Mafic rocks associated with the Lajishankou ophiolite complex are of three main types: massive IATs, back-arc dolerite dykes, and pillow basaltic and dolerite slices that are also of back-arc affinity.

3. The Lajishan ophiolite complex formed within an intraoceanic arc-basin system at circa 530–480 Ma that was located above a southward subducting slab of Proto-Tethyan oceanic lithosphere. This intraoceanic arc system was emplaced onto the Central Qilian block during the Early Ordovician.

4. Arc-continent collision was followed by a subduction flip and the development of a continental “Andean-type” convergent margin accompanied by intrusion of Cordilleran batholiths in the Middle Ordovician.

5. Collision of the Hualong Complex resulted in 450- to 420-Ma postcollisional magmatism within the Hualong Complex and Central Qilian block.
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