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Abstract
We present long-term time-series of strato-mesospheric CO vertical columns measured from stations located in Antarctica, mid-latitudes and the Arctic, covering the period from 1997 to 2005. The instrument and the measurement technique allows the separation of tropospheric and strato-mesospheric contributions to the CO column, therefore providing information on the chemistry and dynamics both at low and high altitudes. Data from polar stations show a similar annual variability of strato-mesospheric CO with a strong maximum in late winter and spring. A small enhancement in late summer for some stations, which we call the "summer bulge", can be seen occasionally. Generally, the mid-latitude stations show no significant annual variability of strato-mesospheric CO columns. Measurements were compared with a two-dimensional chemistry-transport model of the middle atmosphere. The annual and latitudinal variations of CO are reproduced well by a model run including thermospheric CO. Comparison with two model scenarios show that the polar winter maximum is due solely to downward transport of thermospheric CO, while CHOx chemistry in the stratosphere could probably contribute to the summer maximum.
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Abstract. We present long-term time-series of strato-mesospheric CO vertical columns measured from stations located in Antarctica, mid-latitudes and the Arctic, covering the period from 1997–2005. The instrument and the measurement technique allows the separation of tropospheric and strato-mesospheric contributions to the CO column, therefore providing information on the chemistry and dynamics both at low and high altitudes. Data from polar stations show a similar annual variability of strato-mesospheric CO with a strong maximum in late winter and spring. A small enhancement in late summer for some stations, which we call the “summer bulge”, can be seen occasionally. Generally, the mid-latitude stations show no significant annual variability of strato-mesospheric CO columns. Measurements were compared with a two-dimensional chemistry-transport model of the middle atmosphere. The annual and latitudinal variations of CO are reproduced well by a model run including thermospheric CO. Comparison with two model scenarios show that the polar winter maximum is due solely to downward transport of thermospheric CO, while CHO₅ chemistry in the stratosphere could probably contribute to the summer maximum.

1 Introduction

The high latitude regions have a larger seasonal variation in atmospheric properties than anywhere else on earth (Notholt et al., 1997). To study this variation, we use carbon monoxide (CO), which is an excellent tracer for global transport and air mass descent rates in the polar stratosphere and lower mesosphere. Its importance as an indicator of vertical transport in the mesosphere was highlighted in results from ground-based measurements e.g. Künzi and Carlson (1982). At altitudes of about 50 km, the photochemical lifetime of CO is about 7 days, which is comparable to the vertical and horizontal advection time scales at these altitudes (Dupuy et al., 2004; Solomon et al., 1985).

The primary source of carbon monoxide in the upper mesosphere and lower thermosphere is the photolysis of carbon dioxide. In the stratosphere, CO is produced through the oxidation of atmospheric methane, but OH rapidly destroys it through oxidation. This reaction acts as the main sink of CO. This process does not take place during the polar night, since OH is produced by reactions involving photolysis, e.g. of H₂O, and since the concentration of OH diminishes rapidly during polar night. Carbon monoxide follows the meridional circulation towards the winter hemisphere polar night region. The consecutive downward motion induces a sharp gradient in the CO concentrations down to the stratosphere (Solomon, et al., 1985). In summer, uplifting of air masses with low CO content takes place. Solomon et al. (1985) predicted that very large abundances should accumulate in the polar night mesosphere because of the absence of photochemical destruction processes.

Previous ground-based measurements of mesospheric CO were shown in pioneering works such as that of Künzi and Carlson (1982); Clancy et al. (1982); Zander et al. (1981); Farmer et al. (1980); Goldsmith et al. (1979); etc. However,
there is a lack of long term ground-based observations of strato-mesospheric CO in the literature. Recently, satellites have been able to measure high-altitude CO (e.g. Lopez-Valverde et al., 1993; Dupuy et al., 2004; Clerbaux et al., 2005). But until now, the longest reported time series of CO in the upper atmosphere was done by Forkmann et al. (2003) over the Onsala Space laboratory, Sweden (57.4°N, 12°E). The time series spanned from September 2000 to September 2002.

Strato-mesospheric CO has been measured for the first time using ground based FTIR spectrometry by Kasai et al. (2005). However, measurements for only 2 years were presented. This study supports the work of Kasai et al. (2005) but we present longer time series measurements from the northern and southern polar regions and the mid-latitudes. This allows us to have a better idea of the seasonal variation of strato-mesospheric CO and confirm that the current ground-based FTIR instruments and retrieval procedures are able to exploit the information on strato-mesospheric CO from existing spectra. Furthermore, current measurements provide very little evidence on the reversal of the accumulation of CO in the mesosphere brought about by the meridional circulation. By showing measurements from both the northern and southern hemispheres, we verified this reversal.

1.1 Instruments

Ground-based measurements of solar absorption spectra were taken from three Arctic stations (Ny Alesund 79°N, Kiruna 68°N and Poker Flat 65°N), one Antarctic station (Arrival Heights 78°S) and two mid-latitude stations (Bremen, Germany 53°N and Lauder, New Zealand 45°S). All stations are equipped with Bruker 120 HR Fourier transform spectrometers except for Arrival Heights (Bruker 120 M) and Bremen (Bruker 125 HR from June 2004). Automatic solar trackers allow for the continuous measurements of direct sunlight from the ground. For the polar stations, measurements are limited by the polar night. Solar spectra in Ny Alesund can be recorded between March and early October. In Kiruna, the polar night is between early December and mid January. At the Arrival Heights station in Antarctica, the polar night is between late April and mid August. Measurements in Poker Flat are possible between early February and mid October.

1.2 Retrieval of strato-mesospheric CO

It is possible to derive vertical profiles of CO with a maximum resolution of about 4 km up to approximately 16 to 20 km (Velazco et al., 2005). Above 20 km, the vertical resolution becomes very coarse that useful information cannot be derived, i.e. the individual layers of the stratosphere and mesosphere cannot be separated. This is due to the limited information content arising from the nature of the retrieval method. However, information can still be gained by considering these inseparable layers of the atmosphere as one partial column layer, and retrieving the CO concentration in this layer.

Partial columns of CO were retrieved from the absorption lines in two steps. First, volume mixing ratio (VMR) profiles from the surface up to about 100 km were retrieved. The profiles were then converted into number densities using height-temperature-pressure profiles and integrated to yield partial columns. The pressure and temperature profiles necessary for the forward model were obtained from balloon sondes that were launched daily from the stations. Above the altitude limits of the sondes (approximately 30 km), standard pressure and temperature profiles were taken. In Kiruna, pressure and temperature profiles were taken form NCEP (Kanamitsu, 1989).

The program used for the retrieval of CO VMR profiles for Ny Alesund, Poker Flat, Bremen, Lauder and Arrival Heights is SFIT-2 version 3.8 (Spectral Least Squares Fitting Program) developed at NASA Langley Research Center and the National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research in New Zealand. For further descriptions see e.g. Rinsland et al. (1998). The main element of the software is the forward model that creates a synthetic spectrum from a simulated atmosphere, which is divided into 29 layers (45 for Kiruna). It then fits the measured spectrum and the synthetic spectrum using the optimal estimation method (Rodgers, 2000).

The program used to retrieve the Kiruna data is PROFFIT 9 developed by Hase (2002). The code is capable of handling general covariance matrices. For the efficient construction of simplified empirical covariances, the formalism described by Tikhonov and Philips is used (Hase et al., 2004). An inter-comparison between SFIT2 and PROFFIT has shown excellent agreement of profiles and total column amounts. The averaging kernels are consistent and the results are compatible for independently chosen constraints (Hase et al., 2004).

CO spectra were analyzed in the CO micro-windows based on the previous work of Rinsland et al. (1998). For this study, the micro-windows used are 2057.70–2057.91 cm\(^{-1}\), 2069.55–2069.72 cm\(^{-1}\), and 2157.40–2159.20 cm\(^{-1}\). An additional window, 2140.4–2141.40 cm\(^{-1}\), and a broader region in one of the standard micro-windows, i.e. 2153.2–2160.0 cm\(^{-1}\) were used for the Kiruna retrievals. For all stations, the HITRAN2k line list plus updates to 2001 (Rothman et al., 2003) was used. Typical averaging kernels for the retrievals in Ny Alesund and Poker Flat are shown in Fig. 1. The figure shows that the 2 partial columns from 0.2–18 km and from 18 km to 85 km can be separated. An example from Ny Alesund shows that the kernel for the 18 km to 85 km is not perfect, i.e. it does not have a maximum of 1.0. Furthermore, the stratospheric columns and the mesospheric columns are not separable, thus we provide the measurements as strato-mesospheric columns. The kernels calculated for Poker Flat are closer to unity compared to the ones for Ny Alesund. The factors that contribute most to the shape of the averaging kernels are; the optical path.
difference, the solar zenith angle, the a-priori covariance matrix, the a-priori profile and the signal to noise ratio. The kernels for Poker Flat were calculated with a slightly lower a-priori constraint above 18 km (a-priori uncertainty corresponding to 55%, compared to 20% for Ny Alesund) and a conservative estimate of 100 for the signal to noise ratio (Kasai et al., 2005).

For the strato-mesospheric CO partial columns above 24 km Kasai et al. (2005) reported an error of 15%. This error consists of random error terms including: measurement error of 5.2%, smoothing error of 8.2% and temperature error of 1%. The forward model error of 5% was been treated as a systematic error. In comparison, the total column error was reported to be less than 5%. For this study, we estimate the partial column (18 km – top of atmosphere) errors to be between 8.3% and 9.3%.

1.3 The chemical transport model

The model used is a global two-dimensional photolysis, chemistry and transport model of the stratosphere and mesosphere. It is a coupled chemistry-dynamics model which combines the THIN AIR meteorological code (Kinnersley, 1996) and the SLIMCAT chemistry code (Chipperfield, 1999). Temperature, pressure and wind fields are calculated by the THIN AIR code on isentropic surfaces from the bottom up to ~100 km with a vertical spacing of about 3 km.

The model has a horizontal resolution of about 9.5° extending from pole to pole in 19 evenly spaced latitude bins. The chemistry module uses JPL-2003 photochemistry data (Sander et al., 2003). Though the SLIMCAT model is not appropriate for the troposphere, it is applied to the entire vertical range of the model. Heating rates are calculated in the THIN AIR module, using O_3, NO_2 and CH_4 values provided by the chemistry module. CO_2 is also used to calculate heating rates, however, as this is very long-lived in the stratosphere and mesosphere, it is not accounted for in the chemistry code. In the past, the model has been used for a number of studies concerning the composition of the middle atmosphere (Sinnhuber et al., 2003; Chipperfield and Feng, 2003). Tropospheric sources of chlorine, bromine and fluorine compounds as well as greenhouse gases like CH_4, N_2O and CO_2 are based on the WMO A1 scenario (WMO, 2002, 2003).

Model runs started from 1988, and run to 2005. For this study, two model runs were carried out. The “base” scenario uses the original SLIMCAT chemistry which does not contain CO_2. This means that CO is produced solely from CH_4 oxidation. In a second model run, called “thermospheric”, CO in the uppermost model box is fixed to the CO_2 value. This means that CO_2 is transported unchanged into the thermosphere, where it is transferred into CO immediately. CO will then be transported down into the mesosphere and stratosphere during polar winter; after polar sunrise, CO will react with OH, re-forming CO_2 in a couple of days.

Fig. 1. (Left) Typical averaging kernels for the retrieval of CO for Ny Alesund (solid curves) and Poker Flat (dashed curves). The kernels from 18 km to the top most layer of the retrieval are shown by the blue curves. The green curves are the averaging kernels for the retrieval of the CO column from the ground to 18 km. (Calculated for Ny Alesund for solar zenith angle (SZA) of 58°, optical path difference (OPD) of 180 cm and a signal to noise ratio (SNR) of 200. Poker Flat SZA=63.61°, OPD=257.143 cm and SNR=100). (Right) Typical CO a-priori VMR profiles used for the retrieval for Ny Alesund (magenta) and Poker Flat (black dashed line).

2 Results

A comparison of the partial column densities measured by the FTIRs in molecules/cm^2 from 18 km to the top of the atmosphere and the model results are shown in Fig. 2 for the period of 2003–2004. The partial column densities measured by the FTIRs are shown by the blue dots. The cyan curve represents the base run from the model, where thermospheric CO is neglected. Clearly, this run alone cannot reproduce the measurements. The green curve represents the complete run with the thermospheric CO. The model run smoothed by the typical averaging kernels of the FTIR is represented by magenta curve. The smoothing was done according to the formalism described in Rodgers and Connor (2003) and shown in Velazco et al. (2005) for FTIR, model and satellite data comparison of CO profiles. The smoothed curves represent what the FTIR should “see” if the model were to represent the true CO. FTIR measurements and smoothed model results compare well in the northern hemisphere polar stations. For the Arrival Heights station, the annual variation of CO is captured by the model qualitatively, however, actual values of the winter maxima are significantly higher in the model results. This might be a result of a wrong estimation of the downward transport in the model. In the mid-latitudes, the model predicts a slight enhancement of the strato-mesospheric CO columns during winter times over
Long-term time series of CO partial column densities from 18 km to the top of the atmosphere measured from FTIR (blue dots) and compared with the model (magenta dashes) are shown in Fig. 3. The measurements were taken from the three polar stations (Ny Alesund and Kiruna from the Arctic and Arrival Heights in Antarctica). The gray shaded areas represent the polar night where solar absorption measurements are not possible. Unlike the seasonal cycles of CO in the troposphere, the seasonal cycles in the strato-mesosphere show very steep gradients, with maximum values occurring in January in the Arctic and in June–July in the Antarctic. As shown by the model (and partially by the measurements), the CO column above 18 km increases from about $4.0 \times 10^{16}$ molecules/cm$^2$ in summer to about $14 \times 10^{16}$ molecules/cm$^2$ in winter (an increase of about 3.5 times). This rapid increase is followed by a rapid decrease as soon as the sun re-appears in spring. Kasai et al. (2005) reported the partial CO columns above 24 km to be approximately $4.8 \pm 0.55 \times 10^{16}$ molecules/cm$^2$ from February to April. This report is consistent with the values shown here. The seasonal variation of the strato-mesospheric CO, with maximum values occurring during winter and minimum values in summer is also consistent with the measurements of Forkmann et al. (2003).

Correlation plots and correlation coefficients (R) between the FTIR and model are shown in Fig. 4. Although the correlations of FTIR and model are quite good for high latitudes, where the strato-mesospheric CO is mainly controlled by downward transport from the thermosphere, the correlation for Kiruna is slightly worse. For Kiruna, horizontal transport and the shearing of the polar vortex possibly play a role as well. Note also that Kiruna is often at the edge of the polar vortex. These factors are not well taken into account in the model. We can tell this by the high strato-mesospheric CO values measured by the FTIR in contrast to the low values from the model. The correlation plot for Arrival Heights might be better described by a logarithmic fit instead of a linear fit. This seemingly logarithmic distribution may be due to the assumptions of 1) converting all the thermospheric CO$_2$ into CO and 2) not using a real and smooth CO$_2$ profile in the
thermosphere. These lead to too much CO descending from the thermosphere during late fall, then levelling off in winter. Compared to the high latitudes, the correlation coefficients are not so good in mid-latitudes where downward transport of thermospheric CO is not the most significant contributing factor.

A small enhancement of CO columns can be observed in late summer from the measurements at the high-latitude stations. This could be seen as a small “bulge” from the average curves from Ny Alesund and Poker Flat in Fig. 5. The average curves were calculated from the whole time series of each station. Values over 15 days were averaged to yield one data point in the curve. The Kiruna and Arrival Heights data exhibit this “summer bulge” for some years (e.g. 1998, 2002 and 2004 in Arrival Heights) but it tends to be smoothed out from the averaging, probably due to the high frequency of varying data points. This summer maximum is very slightly seen in the model, it is much clearer in the “base” model run. In the thermospheric model run, it is superimposed by the much sharper signal of mesospheric CO. This summer maximum in the model is produced by methane oxidation in the stratosphere, which occurs faster in summer. CO is an intermediate product of methane, it is then slowly transformed into CO$_2$. We speculate that transport processes of CH$_4$ are the major factors that determine the prominence of the summer bulge. However, we could not totally exclude the possibility that the sensitivity of the retrieval methods also play a role here. The average curves also indicate that, the partial column amounts above 18 km in spring in the Arctic (79° N) are generally slightly higher than in the Antarctic (78° S). Although the data points for the spring months are quite scarce, we speculate for now that this could be due to the subsidence being stronger above Ny Alesund around spring.

Estimates from the model for the strato-mesospheric CO above Ny Alesund indicates that, generally about 20%–80% of the column above 18 km comes from the stratosphere (18–26 km), shown on Fig. 6. In winter, a significant portion of the column comes from above 56 km, i.e., the mesosphere and lower thermosphere. The summer maximum originates in the layer between 18–26 km. It cannot be seen above 56 km. This could be a strong indication that the “summer bulge” detected by the FTIR originates from the stratosphere.

The steep gradients of strato-mesospheric CO seen in the polar regions are not evident in the FTIR data over Lauder (45° S), shown in Fig. 7. Although there is a strong variability in the columns below 18 km mostly due to biomass burning (Jones et al., 2001), the strato-mesospheric columns show almost no variability. This dataset confirms that the columns
below 18 km have very little or no influence on the columns above 18 km and that the retrieval could clearly separate both columns, as indicated by the averaging kernels. The only exceptions to the monotonous strato-mesospheric CO trends in the mid-latitudes are the values measured in the winters of 2002–2003 and 2004–2005 over Bremen (53° N).

3 Conclusions

The downward transport of strato-mesospheric CO above 18 km in the winter polar regions, which is strongly influenced by the meridional circulation, as predicted by Solomon et al. (1985), can be seen in the FTIR data. The same pattern has also been reported in Kasai et al. (2005) using ground-based FTIR and in studies using ground-based microwave instruments (e.g. Aellig et al., 1995; Forkmann et al., 2003). The strong gradient showing a maximum in winter and minimum in summer are well captured by the measurements and verified by the model. We showed in this study that this feature is generally not observed in mid-latitude stations. This is in agreement with the VMR profiles shown by Dupuy et al. (2004) using data from the sub-millimeter radiometer instrument on board the Odin satellite. Although they showed zonal means only for 2 different days (7 August and 18 November 2001), it was shown that the enhancement of strato-mesospheric CO occurs mostly in the high latitude regions.
CO in the mesosphere is influenced by the competition between downward transport from the thermosphere and OH oxidation. The FTIR measurements show that the pattern of the strato-mesospheric CO columns for all years in one station are almost similar. We assign this to CO having a shorter lifetime compared to the downward vertical transport in the mesosphere-stratosphere during the measurement periods. On the other hand, the data from the average curves suggest that, partial column amounts in the Arctic spring are slightly higher than in the Antarctic spring. For now, we speculate that this could be due to the subsidence being stronger in the Arctic than in the Antarctic. However, we still could not say much for the periods with very scarce or no measurements. In winter, the intra-seasonal and inter-annual variability in of mesospheric CO alone is large, according to Forkmann et al. (2003). From two years of observations, they reported CO columns above 60 km in the winters (defined as November–March) of 2001–2002 to be twice larger than the previous year. We could not completely confirm this yet from the FTIR data due to lack of observations. Nevertheless, filling in this information gap for the future is another challenge for the FTIR

Comparisons with a global two-dimensional photolysis, chemistry and transport model in the stratosphere and mesosphere were shown. The assumption in the model that all the CO$_2$ in the thermosphere is converted into CO via photolysis has been shown to be reasonable for this study. Despite the very simple assumptions in the model, the agreement with the FTIR is quite good, especially in the high latitude regions. According to the model, the production of CO from CH$_4$ oxidation in the mid to upper stratosphere could result to a signal indicated by the “summer bulge” in the FTIR data seen in Ny Alesund, Poker Flat and occasionally in Kiruna and Arrival Heights. This will be further investigated in another study.
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