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Abstract
The Nihewan Basin is a key region for studying the Palaeolithic archaeology of East Asia. However, because of the lack of suitable dating methods and representative lithic technologies in this region, the ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites in this basin have been designated based mainly on stratigraphic correlation, which may be unreliable. In this study, three Palaeolithic sites, Motianling, Queergou and Banjingzi, which have been assigned previously to the ‘Middle Palaeolithic’, are dated based on luminescence dating of K-feldspar grains. Our results show that the cultural layers at Motianling, Queergou and Banjingzi have ages of 315 ± 13, 268 ± 13 and 86 ± 4 ka (corresponding to Marine Isotope Stages 9, 8 and 5), respectively, suggesting that Motianling and Queergou should be assigned to the Lower Palaeolithic, while the age of Banjingzi is consistent with a Middle Palaeolithic attribution. Our results suggest that reassessing the age of ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites in the Nihewan Basin, and elsewhere in North China, is crucial for understanding the presence or absence of the Middle Palaeolithic phase in China. Our dating results also indicate that the Sanggan River developed sometime between about 270 and 86 ka ago.
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1. Introduction

The Chinese ‘Middle Palaeolithic’

The division of Palaeolithic stages, especially the ‘Middle Palaeolithic’, in China and more broadly in East Asia has been hotly disputed since the mid-20th century (e.g., Movius, 1948; Ikawa-Smith, 1978; Gao, 1999; Huang, 2000; Gao and Norton, 2002; Huang et al., 2009; Norton et al., 2009; Yee, 2012). Traditionally, the Chinese Palaeolithic has been divided into three stages: Lower, Middle and Upper Palaeolithic (see reviews in Gao, 1999 and Huang, 2000). However, unlike in western Eurasia, where the Middle Palaeolithic is characterised by distinctive lithic technology (e.g., Levallois prepared cores), the ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ in China has been defined on the basis of chronology and association with the remains of archaic *Homo sapiens* (Lin, 1996), due mainly to the lack of distinct progress in lithic technology compared to the Lower Palaeolithic (Gao, 1999). In China, sites dated to between the late Middle Pleistocene and the middle Upper Pleistocene (140–30 ka), and associated with archaic *H. sapiens* remains, have been designated as ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ (Gao and Norton, 2002). However, the poverty of human remains at Palaeolithic sites in China has resulted in the 140–30 ka interval being the most commonly used criterion to assign archaeological sites to the ‘Middle Palaeolithic’. In the past few decades, more than 40 archaeological sites in China have been so assigned, but the ages of most of these sites remain controversial and the most recently obtained ages for some of the sites are much older than proposed originally (see summaries in Gao, 1999 and Norton et al., 2009).

Based on the reanalyses of stone artefacts from ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites in China using four criteria – raw material procurement, core reduction, retouch and typology in stone artefact technology – Gao and colleagues (Gao, 1999; Gao and Norton, 2002; Li, 2014) suggested that the ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ term should be abandoned in China and proposed, instead, a two-stage model of the Early and Late Palaeolithic. In the latter, the transition from Early Palaeolithic to Late
Palaeolithic is defined by the emergence of blade and microblade technologies (Gao and Norton, 2002). This definition is the same as that used to mark the transition from the Middle Palaeolithic to the Upper Palaeolithic in the three-stage model. The two-stage model has been questioned by some other archaeologists (e.g., Huang, 2000; Huang et al., 2009; Yee, 2012). Yee (2012), for example, reassessed the four criteria described above and concluded that stone artefact technology in the Middle Palaeolithic phase shows a gradual transition between the Lower and Upper Palaeolithic phases. In addition, Yee (2012) argued that the two-stage model assumes that changes in human behaviour must occur rapidly, which ignores variability across time and space in the real world (Kleindienst, 2006; Monnier, 2006).

The Nihewan Basin

The Nihewan Basin is an ideal region for studying the palaeoenvironments, palaeontology and Palaeolithic archaeology of East Asia, because of the relatively continuous deposition of fluvio-lacustrine sediments and the abundance of mammalian fossils and stone artefacts found within them (e.g., Zhu et al., 2001, 2004; Ao et al., 2010a, 2010b; Tong, 2012). The basin is located at the northeastern edge of the Chinese Loess Plateau, ~150 km west of Beijing, in Hebei and Shanxi Provinces (Fig. 1a). It is a fault-formed Cenozoic basin (Yuan et al., 2011), which has at times been covered by a large palaeo-lake (the so-called ‘Nihewan palaeo-lake’) resulting in fluvio-lacustrine sedimentary deposits (the so-called ‘Nihewan Formation’) over 100 m thick (Yuan et al., 1996; Zhu et al., 2007). Today, the Nihewan palaeo-lake has disappeared and the Sanggan River runs through the basin from west to east (Fig. 1b). Its tributary, the Huliu River, runs from south to north in the eastern part of this basin (Fig. 1c). Abundant gullies developed along both banks of the Sanggan River during and after the demise of the Nihewan palaeo-lake, creating abundant outcrops of fluvial, lacustrine and overlying loess sediments. The Nihewan Formation does not include the later fluvial deposits of the Sanggan River and its tributaries (Xie et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2011).
More than 100 Palaeolithic sites have been discovered in this basin (Xie et al., 2006). The Palaeolithic artefacts found in this region are characterised by the ‘small tool’ industry that may reflect the poor quality of the local raw material, and which spans the time period from Early to Late Pleistocene (Xie et al., 2006). It has been suggested that no distinct progress in stone artefact technology in this basin occurred before the emergence of micro-blade technologies around ~29 ka ago (Nian et al., 2014). Consequently, like other sites in China, the time interval of 140–30 ka has been used to subdivide Palaeolithic periods in the Nihewan Basin (Xie et al., 2006). However, most of these Palaeolithic sites, and especially those ascribed to the ‘Middle Palaeolithic’, have not been dated in detail. This is due mainly to the lack of suitable dating methods and the lack of a sound chronological framework for the Nihewan Formation, and for the Middle Pleistocene sediments in particular. Consequently, most of these sites have been broadly assigned to the Lower, Middle or Upper Palaeolithic phases based solely on stratigraphic correlations or comparisons of stone artefact technology (Xie et al., 2006). Such approaches may be unreliable and can potentially result in misunderstanding how lithic technologies associated with the small tool industry have developed through time in the basin.

**Optical dating**

Optical dating can be applied to sediments deposited within and beyond the age range (~50 ka) of radiocarbon (\(^{14}\)C) dating and, hence, it provides a suitable means of constructing chronologies for ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites in the Nihewan Basin (Guo et al., 2015). Optical dating has been widely applied to estimate the depositional age of Quaternary sediments around the world (Aitken, 1998; Lian and Roberts, 2006; Wintle, 2014; Roberts et al., 2015) since the technique was first proposed more than 30 years ago (Huntley et al., 1985; Roberts and Lian, 2015). It is used to determine the time elapsed since crystals of minerals such as quartz and potassium-rich feldspar (K-feldspar) were last exposed to sunlight. Quartz is commonly used to date sediments younger than ~200 ka, while K-feldspars can be used to date much older sediments, as the infrared stimulated luminescence (IRSL)
signals from K-feldspars saturate at a much higher radiation dose than does the conventional optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) signal from quartz (Roberts et al., 2015). However, the ‘anomalous fading’ (Wintle, 1973) of luminescence signals in K-feldspars can lead to age underestimation, unless appropriate corrections are made (Huntley and Lamothe, 2001) or fading is greatly reduced or avoided altogether by isolating suitable signals (Li et al., 2014b). A fading-correction method based on laboratory measurements of the fading rate (g-value) is typically only applicable to feldspars younger than ~50 ka (Huntley and Lamothe, 2001). As a result, procedures have been developed recently that decrease or avoid the fading in IRSL signals, including a two-step post-IR IRSL (pIRIR) procedure (Thomsen et al., 2008; Buylaert et al., 2009) and a multiple elevated temperatures (MET) pIRIR (MET-pIRIR) procedure (Li and Li, 2011, 2012). The MET-pIRIR dating procedure and the newly developed pre-dose MET-pIRIR (pMET-pIRIR) procedure (Li et al., 2013, 2014a) can successfully isolate non-fading IRSL signals in feldspars and extend the dating range of the method to the early Middle Pleistocene or possibly the late Early Pleistocene (e.g., Li et al., 2014a; Gong et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Meng et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2015). Guo et al. (2015) tested pMET-pIRIR procedures on deposits in the Nihewan Basin, and found that sediments with ages of up to ~500 ka (and perhaps as old as 650 ka) could be dated reliably.

In this study, we applied these optical dating procedures to three representative ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites in the Nihewan Basin: Motianling, Queergou and Banjingzi (Figs. 1, 2–4, respectively). The Motianling and Queergou sites have been tentatively attributed to the Middle Palaeolithic based on stratigraphic correlations (Xie et al., 1996, 2006), while the Banjingzi site was so assigned based on U-series ages of about 74–108 ka for a horse tooth recovered from this site (Li et al., 1991; Xie et al., 2006). The lithic technologies at these three sites belong to the small tool industry of the Nihewan Basin. However, the stone artefacts from Motianling and Queergou are claimed to be relatively simple and ‘primitive’, similar to those found at Lower Palaeolithic sites in the basin, while those from Banjingzi are claimed to be relatively ‘advanced’ (Xie et al., 2006). These perceived differences in lithic development for three ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites in a small region
highlight the need to reassess the chronology of these two distinctive small tool technologies. To determine if they were contemporaneous or not, we have dated the sediments containing the stone tools using single-aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) pMET-pIRIR procedures for multi-grain single aliquots of K-feldspar and SAR MET-pIRIR procedures for individual K-feldspar grains (Li and Li, 2011; Li et al., 2014a).

2. Palaeolithic setting

The Motianling site was discovered in 1992 and a total area of 53 m², including Localities 1 and 2, was excavated in 2002 (Fig. 2a, b) (Xie et al., 2006). The stone artefacts recovered from the two Localities included cores (n = 6), flakes (n = 10), other tools (n = 20), and several 'chunks' and flaking debris. Four of the stone artefacts excavated from this site are shown in Fig. 5. The tool assemblage is simple, including scrapers (n = 11), notches (n = 4), hammers (n = 4) and a chopper (n = 1); the blanks for scrapers and notches are flakes and the flaking method used was hammering. The raw materials mainly comprise flint (56%), siliceous limestone (14%), and quartz sandstone (14%) (Xie et al., 2006). The typologies and manufacturing techniques of the stone artefacts recovered from Motianling are relatively simple, unitary and primitive compared to those from other ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites in the Nihewan Basin (e.g., Xujiayao, Banjingzi and Xinmiaoazhuang) (Xie et al., 2006). A total of 146 animal fragments were also recovered and anthropogenic percussion marks were identified on one animal limb bone. *Coelodonta antiquitatis*, *Equus przewalskyi* and *Cervidae gen. et sp. indet* (i.e., Woolly rhinoceros, Przewalski’s horse and deer) were identified from these fossils. The cultural remains were considered to be buried in situ, owing to their good state of preservation, and the site was considered as an artefact manufacturing or butchery site (Xie et al., 2006).

The Queergou site was found in 1990, and a total area of 16 m² was excavated in 1995 (Xie et al., 1996). A total of 40 stone artefacts and 76 animal fossils have been collected from this site (Xie et
The stone artefacts are made from tuff (~45%), quartz (~30%), quartzite, flint, siliceous limestone and quartz sandstone (Xie et al., 1996). The raw materials are available in the nearby area, suggesting that the stone artefacts were made from local materials (Du, 2003). The stone artefacts include cores (n = 5), flakes (n = 6), crude scrapers (n = 3), debris (n = 12) and ‘chunks’ (n = 14) (Xie et al., 1996). Two stone artefacts excavated from this site are shown in Fig. 6. The stone artefacts are similar in their simplicity to those found at the Early Pleistocene sites of Majuangou, Xiaochangling, Donggutuo and Cenjiawan (Fig. 1c) in the eastern part of the Nihewan Basin (Xie et al., 2006). *Strothio* sp., *Myospalax fontanieri*, *Cervus* sp., *Equus* sp. and *Rhinoceros* sp. (i.e., Ostrich, Chinese Zokor, deer, horse and rhinoceros) were identified from bone fragments, and anthropogenic percussion marks were identified on one bone fragment (Xie et al., 1996). The cultural remains at this site are thought by some to have been exposed on the ground surface for a long period before being transported to the site by water flows, owing to traces of physical and chemical weathering on the stone artefacts and animal bones (Xie et al., 1996). However, Yuan et al. (2011) regarded the abundant mollusc fossils in the artefact-bearing layer as evidence that the water flows were shallow and gentle; in addition, the cultural remains recovered from the sands and gravels lens are poorly sorted, indicating that the cultural remains were not transported far by water. The cultural and fossil remains can, thus, be considered to have been buried not far from their original place of deposition. The IRSL ages for the artefact-bearing sediments in this study may, therefore, be contemporaneous with artefact deposition, but younger than the age of manufacture of the artefacts if they have been reworked from the original deposit.

The Banjingzi site was discovered in 1984 and has been excavated five times between then and 1991 (Xie et al., 2006). Stone artefacts recovered in 1988 consist of 215 cores, 1557 flakes, 22 hammers, 329 other tools, 1260 ‘chunks’ and thousands of debris (Li et al., 1991). The tool assemblage is dominated by scrapers (n = 279), points (n = 16), notches (n = 15), end-scrapers (n = 11), choppers (n = 6) and awls (n = 2); the blanks of tools are mainly flakes. The flaking method used was hammering and raw materials are dominated by flint. Based on field investigations, Du (2003)
inferred that the raw material was sourced from the Huoshigou area, near the site of Youfang site ~5–6 km away (Fig. 1c), and was processed there before being taken to Banjingzi. The lithic technology at this site is considered to be more ‘advanced’ than those at Motianling or Queergou, with several prepared cores (Fig. 7e), similar to those produced using the Levallois-Mousterian technique, recovered during excavation (Xie et al., 2006). Fig. 7 shows a selection of stone artefacts from this site. Abundant animal fossils were also recovered from the Banjingzi deposits, but bone tools are rare. *Canidae gen.et sp.indet.*, *Equus przewalskyi*, *Coelodonta antiquitatis*, *Cervidae gen.et sp.indet.*, *Cervus canadensis*, *Spirocerus* sp., and *Bovinae gen.et sp.indet.* (i.e., dog, Przewalski’s horse, Woolly rhinoceros, deer, Canadian red deer, topis and cattle) were identified from the animal fossils. Banjingzi is the only known Middle Palaeolithic site in the Nihewan Basin with evidence of fire use, including a hearth (~40 cm in diameter) containing pieces of charcoal (<1 cm in diameter) and stone artefacts surrounding it (Xie et al., 2006). It is indicated that it might have been a manufacturing site according to the abundance of debris, debitage and ‘chunks’ (Xie et al., 1996). The preservation and composition of the animal fossils, and the thickness of the cultural layer, suggest that the site was occupied for a lengthy period (Xie et al., 2006). Based on the state of preservation of the stone artefacts and faunal remains, and their spatial distribution, Xie et al. (2006) concluded that the deposits are in situ.

The lithic technologies of the three sites belong to the small tool industry, which has existed in the Nihewan Basin since the Early Pleistocene (Xie et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2013). In the basin, the small tool industry is generally thought to have developed continuously (Liu et al., 2013). As mentioned above, however, the lithic technologies at Motianling and Queergou are claimed to be similar (i.e., relatively simple and ‘primitive’) to those found at Lower Palaeolithic sites in the Nihewan Basin, but distinctly different to the more ‘advanced’ stone artefacts at Banjingzi (Xie et al., 2006). A straightforward explanation for this is that the development of the small tool industry in the basin may not have been continuous, so the relatively ‘advanced’ technology at Banjingzi, for example, might have co-existed for only a short period with the simpler technology used since the
Early Pleistocene. An alternative explanation is that these sites have been ascribed to the same time period incorrectly, due to the lack of a reliable chronology. In particular, an older site incorrectly assigned to a younger period may lead to the erroneous conclusion that there was no development in technology over time. To solve this problem requires that a reliable geochronological framework be established for different Palaeolithic sites. This is especially important for the Nihewan Basin, given the large number of Palaeolithic sites and the long duration of the small tool industry.

3. Stratigraphic and sample descriptions

The Motianling site (40°10′59″N, 114°41′30″E; 946 m asl) is located at the northeastern edge of the Nihewan Basin (Fig. 1b). It is situated on the south bank of the Housigou gully, a tributary of the Huliu River (Fig. 1c). The site consists of two localities (Localities 1 and 2, Fig. 2a). The sediments at the two localities are both composed of loess, silty clay and silt layers (Fig. 2c). Based on our field investigations, we think that this section might be a terrace of the Housigou gully and the base of the terrace is the Nihewan Formation. In the excavated profile, the lower lacustrine silt layer and the upper fluvial silty clay layer are separated by an impermeable clay layer, ~30 cm thick (Fig. 2c), which marks the boundary between the lower Nihewan Formation and the upper fluvial sediments of the Housigou gully. The thickness of the loess layer at this site is ~3.5 m, while the fluvial silty clay layer ranges from about 1 m to 3 m in thickness. The outcrop of the Nihewan Formation extends to the bed of the modern Housigou gully. Horizontal bedding was observed in the lower clay and silt layers. Cultural remains were excavated from a silt layer (< 50 cm thick) in the Nihewan Formation (Fig. 2c). This site has been tentatively assigned to the Late Pleistocene by the excavators, based on stratigraphic correlation to the Hutouliang and Xishuidi sections (Xie et al., 2006). However, one sample from the cultural layer at this site was previously dated to ~320 ka by us using luminescence methods (Guo et al., 2015). In the present study, 10 samples (MTL-OSL-01 to -10) were collected from the exposed section at Locality 2 (Figs.2b and c). This includes two samples from the loess layer
(MTL-OSL-01 and -02), two from the upper part of the Nihewan Formation (MTL-OSL-03 and -04), one from the fluvial silty clay layer (MTL-OSL-05), and five from the lower Nihewan Formation (MTL-OSL-06 to -10). Samples MTL-OSL-07 and -08 were collected from the top and bottom of the cultural layer, respectively. The dating results of samples MTL-OSL-01, -07 and -10 have been reported (Guo et al., 2015), so only the results for the other seven samples are presented here.

The Queergou site (40°09’56”N, 114°28’45”E; 889 m asl) is located on the north bank of the Sanggan River, about 300 m from the modern Sanggan River (Figs. 1b, c and 3a). The sediments in the excavated profile consist of fluvial sands with oblique bedding in the upper part and cross-bedding in the lower part (Fig. 3d). Cultural remains were recovered from the lens composed of sands and gravels (~0.2–1 cm in diameter) at the base of the excavation. The artefact-bearing layer varies in thickness (but is usually less than ~30 cm thick) and contains abundant mollusc shell fragments (Fig. 3c, d). From this, we infer that the artefact-bearing sediments are lakeshore deposits associated with the Nihewan palaeo-lake. This site was first reported to belong to the upper part of the Nihewan Formation (Xie and Mei, 1996), based on stratigraphic correlations with two stromatolite layers in the upper part of the Nihewan Formation at the nearby Hutouliang site (Fig. 1c). The stromatolites from the Hutouliang site were dated to about 90 and 130 ka using U-series and ESR dating methods, respectively (Yang et al., 1993). They were subsequently re-analysed using U-series techniques, from it was deduced that U-series and probably also ESR dating are not applicable to the stromatolite, due to their cryptocrystalline structure and the open-system geochemical behaviour of the aragonite (Han et al., 2005). The ages of the two stromatolite layers were re-dated to about 73 and 274 ka by comparing the lightness (L*) of the strata at Hutouliang with the aeolian flux record in the North Pacific Ocean. These age estimates are consistent with the pollen composition of the samples collected from this site (Xia and Han, 1998; Han et al., 2005). A fossil rhinoceros rib from Queergou yielded a U-series age of ~200 ka, but this estimate is also compromised by the open-system behaviour of bone. Other late Early Pleistocene (Yuan et al., 2011) or early Middle Pleistocene (Xie et al., 2006) age estimates for Queergou have been based on
stratigraphic correlations to the sites of Cenjiawan (~1.1 Ma; Wang et al., 2006) or Maliang (~0.79 Ma; Ao et al., 2010b) (Fig. 1c) on the eastern margin of the Nihewan Basin. These ages may be unreliable, however, because they are located ~20 km from Queergou (Xie et al., 2006). Despite the disputed ages for Queergou, archaeologists still tentatively assign this site to the 130–90 ka time interval. To resolve the age of this site, we collected six samples (QEG-OSL-1 to -6) for luminescence dating (Fig. 3b, d). Sample QEG-OSL-2 was collected from the sand and gravel lens (cultural layer) and samples QEG-OSL-OSL-1 and -3 were collected from immediately below and above the lens (Fig. 3b and d), with the remaining three samples collected from the overlying deposits (Fig. 3b, d).

The Banjingzi site (40°15’32”N, 114°42’17”E; 849 asl) is located north of Banjingzi village in Yangyuan County, where the Xiashagou gully meets the Sanggan River (Fig. 1b, c). The deposits are situated on the third terrace (T3) of the Sanggan River (Li et al., 1991). The Nihewan Formation forms the base of the terrace, and the overlying deposits consist of horizontally bedded layers of fluvial silty sand, gravels and cobbles (~0.5–25 cm in diameter) in the lower part and loess in the upper part (Fig. 4c). The latter is ~6.5 m thick, while the outcrops of fluvial deposit are more than 30 m thick (Li et al., 1991). The base of the fluvial deposits has not been exposed at this site, but the boundary between the Nihewan Formation and the overlying terrace deposits is exposed at Banjingzi village (Yuan et al., 2011). Cultural remains were recovered from the upper part of the fluvial sediments. The thickness of the cultural layer was reported as up to ~3 m by Li et al. (1991), but our field investigation exposed only the lower portion (~1.4 m thick) at the margin of the excavation wall; most of the original wall of the excavation trench has since collapsed (Fig. 4a). The age of Banjingzi was estimated to be around 74–108 ka from U-series dating of a horse tooth recovered from the deposits (Li et al., 1991), whereas Wei (1997) estimated the age of the site as ~20 ka, based on geomorphological investigations and stratigraphic correlations with the upper part of the Zhiyu site (Fig. 1a). Given the aforementioned difficulties of dating fossil remains using U-series methods, and the fact that Zhiyu is located at the opposite end of the Nihewan Basin from Banjingzi, we collected five samples (BJZ-OSL-1 to -5) from the outcropping portion of the cultural
layer at Banjingzi (Fig. 4b, c) to determine the age of the artefacts. To achieve the latter, three of the 5 samples (BJZ-OSL-1, -3 and -5) were measured, spanning the entire depth interval of the exposed cultural layer.

All the samples in this study were collected in the field by hammering opaque plastic tubes (5 cm in diameter) into the exposed sections or by directly taking blocks of sediments from the cleaned section faces. After the tubes or blocks were removed, they were immediately wrapped in light-proof plastic and transported to the Luminescence Dating Laboratory at the University of Wollongong for analysis. K-feldspar grains of 63–90, 63–106, 90–150 or 180–212 μm in diameter from the samples were extracted following standard mineral separation techniques (Aitken, 1998), and the polymineral fine-grain fraction (4–11 μm in diameter) was prepared following the procedures proposed by Zhang and Zhou (2007) (Table 1). Details about sample preparation methods, measurement facilities and data analysis procedures are given in Supplementary Data.

4. IRSL and dose rate measurements

The depositional age of the K-feldspar grains is estimated by dividing the equivalent dose (Dₑ), determined from measurements of the IRSL signal, by the dose rate delivered to those grains (throughout the period of burial) from environmental sources of ionising radiation.

*Environmental dose rates*

The environmental dose rate for coarse (>63 μm diameter) K-feldspar grains consists of beta, gamma and cosmic radiation contributions external to the grains, plus an internal beta dose rate from the radioactive decay of ⁴₀K and ⁸⁷Rb. The environmental dose rate for fine (4–11 μm in diameter) polymineral grains consists of the external alpha, beta, gamma and cosmic radiation contributions. The beta dose rates for all the samples in this study were estimated using low-level beta counting (Bøtter-Jensen and Mejdahl, 1988) and the procedures described in Jacobs and
Roberts (2015). The gamma dose rates for three of our samples (QEG-OSL-2, BJZ-OSL-1 and -5) were measured in the field using in situ gamma-ray spectrometry to account for the inhomogeneity of the sediments within ~30 cm of these samples; the gamma dose rates for the other samples were calculated from laboratory measurements of U and Th activities and K concentrations. The U and Th contents were estimated from thick-source alpha counting (Aitken, 1985) and the K content was measured using X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy (see Supplementary Data). For the polymineral sample (MTL-OSL-05), the alpha efficiency (α-value) was assumed to be 0.10 ± 0.01 (Kreutzer et al., 2014). The internal dose rate for coarse-grain K-feldspars was estimated by assuming K and Rb concentrations of 13 ± 1% and 400 ± 100 ppm, respectively (Huntley and Baril, 1997; Huntley and Hancock, 2001; Zhao and Li, 2005; Li et al., 2008). The cosmic-ray dose rates were estimated from the burial depth of each of the samples, and the latitude, longitude and altitude of each site (Prescott and Hutton, 1994). The long-term water contents were assumed 10 ± 3% for the loess samples, 15 ± 5% for the fluvial samples and 20 ± 5% for the lacustrine samples (Table 1) in this study (See details in Supplementary Data), which are similar to those used by Zhao et al. (2010) for their samples from the Haojiatai section. Table 1 lists the dosimetry data for our samples.

$D_e$ determinations

Single aliquots

For the Motianling and Queergou samples, we applied the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure (Li et al., 2014a) to obtain $D_e$ values for the K-feldspar grains. The pMET-pIRIR procedure has been tested previously on samples from Motianling and Donggutuo, and it was found that sediments with ages up to ~500 ka (and possibly 650 ka) could be reliably dated using this procedure (Guo et al., 2015). In the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure (Supplementary Table 1a), IRSL measurements are performed successively at five temperatures (50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 °C) to isolate the non-fading (or least fading) signal at the highest stimulation temperature. A key feature of this procedure is the use of a solar simulator bleach for ~2 hr before each of the regenerative doses to ‘reset’ the dose-dependent
sensitivity of the MET-pIRIR signals; this negates the need to correct for sensitivity changes between measurement cycles and, hence, each of the Lx, Tx and Lx/Tx (and Lnx, Tn and Lx/Tn) signals can be used to determine De values. Typical IRSL decay curves and dose response curves for samples from these two sites are shown in Supplementary Figs. 2–5.

To check that the MET-pIRIR signals are satisfactorily bleached by sunlight, a residual dose test was carried out on samples MTL-OSL-02, -03 and -05 and QEG-OSL-2 and -3. The results of these tests are shown in Supplementary Fig. 7. The residual dose increases with IR stimulation temperature, as reported in previous studies (Li and Li, 2011; Li et al., 2014b). For these five samples, the residual doses measured at 250 °C are 11.5 ± 0.4, 12.0 ± 0.4, 43.7 ± 8.3, 15.7 ± 3.6 and 15.2 ± 2.2 Gy, respectively, which represent about 2.3%, 1.2%, 4.3%, 1.7% and 1.7% of their measured De values. Since the residual doses are small compared to the De values, we simply subtracted the residual dose from the corresponding De estimate for each sample for purposes of age calculation (see Supplementary Data).

Dose recovery tests using the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure were carried out on samples MTL-OSL-05 and QEG-OSL-2 to validate the applicability of the procedure for samples from these two sites. Two laboratory doses (920 and 800 Gy, respectively) were successfully recovered for the signals measured at 250 °C (see Supplementary Fig. 8), suggesting that the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure can reliably determine De values for the samples from Motianling and Queergou under controlled laboratory conditions. We also conducted anomalous fading tests to check that the MET-pIRIR procedure isolates the non-fading signals in our samples; negligible fading rates (g-values) of less than 1% per decade (normalised to a delay time of 2 days) were obtained at IR stimulation temperatures of 250 °C and higher (Guo et al., 2015; Supplementary Fig. 9).

Based on the performance tests described above, we used the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure to estimate the De values for the Motianling and Queergou samples. Given the large De values of our samples, regenerative doses up to 2000 Gy are required to bracket the natural doses, which is time
consuming. To reduce instrument time, we established standardised growth curves (SGCs) for each of these two sites, following the method proposed by Li et al. (2015a, 2015b). Samples MTL-OSL-02, -07 and -09 and QEG-OSL-1, -2 and -6 were measured using a full SAR procedure to construct their dose response curves. For the 250 °C L\textsubscript{0}/T\textsubscript{x}, L\textsubscript{x} and T\textsubscript{x} signals, the dose response curves were then ‘re-normalised’ (Li et al., 2015a, 2015b) to establish SGCs for each site. The dose response curves for the three samples from each site are indistinguishable (Supplementary Figs. 3 and 4), and the D\textsubscript{e} values obtained from the full SAR curves and the SGCs are consistent at 1σ for the same samples (Fig. 8). Based on this finding, D\textsubscript{e} values for the other samples (MTL-OSL-03, -04, -06 and -08, and QEG-OSL-3, -4 and -5) were obtained by measuring only their natural signal, one regenerative-dose signal and the corresponding test dose signals, and then projecting the re-normalised natural IRSL signals on to the L\textsubscript{0}/T\textsubscript{x}, L\textsubscript{x} and T\textsubscript{x} SGCs (Supplementary Figs. 3 and 4). For polymineral sample MTL-OSL-05, the D\textsubscript{e} values for 12 separate aliquots were measured using the full SAR procedure (Supplementary Fig. 5).

The D\textsubscript{e} values obtained from the L\textsubscript{0}/T\textsubscript{x}, L\textsubscript{x} and T\textsubscript{x} signals are plotted against the corresponding IR stimulation temperatures for MTL-OSL-05 and QEG-OSL-2 in Supplementary Fig. 10. The pattern of D\textsubscript{e} values versus stimulation temperature is consistent with the dose recovery test results for these two samples (Supplementary Fig. 8) and with those reported for MTL-OSL-07 in our previous study (Guo et al., 2015), and indicate the existence of non-fading signals at elevated stimulation temperatures. On the basis of these findings, we conclude that D\textsubscript{e} values obtained for the 250 °C L\textsubscript{0}/T\textsubscript{x}, L\textsubscript{x} and T\textsubscript{x} signals accurately reflect the natural doses of the samples from Motianling and Queergou. Given the higher precision and accuracy of the L\textsubscript{x} signal and its potential for measuring higher doses, we used the D\textsubscript{e} values obtained from the 250 °C L\textsubscript{x} signals for final age determination of the samples from these two sites (Table 1).

**Single grains**

D\textsubscript{e} measurements were made on single grains of K-feldspar for the three Banjingzi samples (BJZ-OSL-1, -3 and -5), owing to inhomogeneity of the fluvial sediments around the sampling positions (Fig. 4c). Fluvially transported sediments may be insufficiently bleached before deposition, and at
archaeological sites they may also suffer from post-depositional disturbance due to human activities. We used a single-grain MET-pIRIR procedure similar to that proposed by Blegen et al. (2015), which is based on the conventional SAR MET-pIRIR procedure (Li and Li, 2011), except that the 250 °C IRSL stimulation using IR diodes is replaced by an IR laser for stimulation of individual grains at this temperature (Supplementary Table 1b). This procedure does not benefit from the solar stimulator bleach used to reset the dose-dependent sensitivity of the MET-pIRIR signals (as used in the pre-dose procedure), so only the sensitivity-corrected signals (Lc/Tn and Ld/Tn) can be used for Dn estimation.

The IRSL signal from a representative grain of sample BJZ-OSL-3 and its corresponding dose response curve are shown in Supplementary Figs. 11a and b, respectively. Several criteria have been proposed to reject quartz grains that are ill-suited to single-grain measurements (Jacobs et al., 2006), and these have been adapted to single grains of K-feldspar (Li et al., 2011; Blegen et al., 2015). Further details about the measurement procedures and characteristics of the rejected grains are provided in Supplementary Data and Supplementary Table 2.

To test the suitability of the single-grain MET-pIRIR procedure for sample BJZ-OSL-3, a dose recovery test was performed: a laboratory dose of 310 Gy was given to bleached grains and then measured as the surrogate natural dose. The dose recovery ratios (recovered dose/given dose) for individual grains are displayed in Supplementary Fig. 12a. The mean ratio of recovered dose to given dose is 1.00 ± 0.03 after subtracting the residual dose (see below), which is statistically consistent with unity and suggests that the single-grain MET-pIRIR procedure (Supplementary Table 1b) is suitable for dating K-feldspar grains from Banjingzi. A residual dose test was also conducted on single grains from the same sample. All grains, except one with a residual dose of 43 ± 15 Gy, have residual doses consistent with a mean value of 12.4 ± 1.0 Gy (Supplementary Fig. 12b); the latter is reduced insignificantly (to 11.9 ± 0.5 Gy) by omitting the grain with a residual dose of ~43 Gy. A residual dose of 12.4 Gy represents less than 5% of the Dn values for the three samples, so we simply subtracted
this amount from the measured \( D_e \) of each sample. We also conducted a fading test on single
aliquots of sample BJZ-OSL-5, using the same MET-pIRIR procedure as used for sample QEG-OSL-2.
Negligible fading rates (\( g \)-values) of \( 0.8 \pm 0.3 \) and \( -0.6 \pm 0.9 \) \% per decade were obtained for the \( L/T \)
signals measured at 250 and 280 °C, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 9b).

Based on the favourable results of these performance tests, the single-grain MET-pIRIR
procedure was applied to the Banjingzi samples. A total of 500 K-feldspar grains were measured for
each sample, of which \( D_e \) values were obtained for 133, 172 and 199 grains of samples BJZ-OSL-1, -3
and -5, respectively. The \( D_e \) values for the accepted grains of each sample are displayed in Fig. 9.
Each of the distributions has a few high \( D_e \) values, with the majority of \( D_e \) values scattered around a
central value of 280–350 Gy. There is no evidence of clustering of \( D_e \) values at the leading edge of
the distribution, as has been reported for samples containing partially bleached grains (Olley et al.,
1999, 2004). To determine the \( D_e \) values of the majority of grains in each sample, we applied the
finite mixture model (Roberts et al., 2000; Galbraith, 2005) to estimate the \( D_e \) values and relative
proportions of grains in each of two components (David et al., 2007; Jacobs et al., 2008; Galbraith
and Roberts, 2012). One of these components contains most of the grains and the other consists of
the few high \( D_e \) values. The latter represent \( D_e \) values that are close to the saturation level of their
individual dose response curves (\( >3 \) times the characteristic saturation dose, \( D_s \)) and which we
consider to be unreliable estimates of \( D_e \). Well-established statistical methods (see Supplementary
Data) were used to fit two components to each \( D_e \) distribution and determine their weighted mean
\( D_e \) values and the proportion of grains in each component; Supplementary Table 3 gives a worked
example for sample BJZ-OSL-5. The lower (main) \( D_e \) component accounts for about 86.5%, 94.2% and
87.4% of the total number of accepted grains for samples BJZ-OSL-1, -3 and -5, respectively. The
corresponding weighted mean \( D_e \) values of this component (\( 328.4 \pm 10.6, 278.7 \pm 6.8 \) and \( 334.2 \pm
7.6 \) Gy) were used for age determination, after subtracting a residual dose of \( 12.4 \pm 1.0 \) Gy.

18
5. Luminescence chronologies and their regional implications

Palaeoenvironmental conditions in the Nihewan Basin

The sample ages are summarised in Table 1. For the Motianling site, the ages for the samples from the upper part of the stratigraphy (MTL-OSL-01 to -04) and the samples from the lower excavation pit (MTL-OSL-05 to -10) are in broad accord with their stratigraphic position (Fig. 2). The two ages for the cultural layer (samples MTL-OSL-07 and -08) are statistically consistent and the weighted mean value of \(315 \pm 13\) ka obtained using the central age model (Galbraith et al., 1999; Galbraith and Roberts, 2012) is considered the most accurate and precise estimate of the age of the cultural layer. The ages of the two loess samples (96 ± 7 and 166 ± 7 ka) indicate that loess began to accumulate in this area at least ~166 ka ago. The ages of the lacustrine samples (MTL-OSL-03, -04 and -06 to -10) are consistent at 1σ, and give a weighted mean age of \(319 \pm 10\) ka. There is a time gap of ~150 ka between the loess and the underlying lacustrine sediments. This loess-lacustrine sedimentation gap has also been reported for the nearby Haojiatai and Hougou localities (Fig. 1c), where time gaps of around 140 and 290 ka duration were determined using recuperated OSL dating procedures for quartz (Zhao et al., 2010) and pIRIR dating procedures for K-feldspars (Nian et al., 2013), respectively. Sample MTL-OSL-05 was collected from the upper terrace of Housigou gully and has an age of \(192 \pm 24\) ka, which indicates that there was also a gap in sedimentation of ~130 ka between deposition of the Nihewan Formation and the overlying terrace sediments. This indicates that erosion took place during and/or after the retreat of the Nihewan palaeo-lake, with the depth of erosion varying between localities.

For the Queergou site, the sample ages are also consistent with their stratigraphic positions (Fig. 3). The sediments comprising the cultural layer (sample QEG-OSL-2) were deposited 268 ± 13 ka ago, but the cultural remains might be older as they were not buried in situ and may had been exposed for a long period before burial (see earlier discussion). Sample QEG-OSL-2 was collected from the
lakeshore sediments of the Nihewan palaeo-lake, so the age of ~270 ka represents the time when the Nihewan palaeo-lake had retreated to Queergou. This age is consistent with the ~274 ka age inferred for the lower stromatolite layer at Hutouliang (Fig. 1c) (Han et al., 2005) and indicates that stromatolites were living in the shallow waters of the Nihewan palaeo-lake (Xia and Han, 1998). The Queergou site is ~50 ka younger than the top of the Nihewan Formation (~320 ka) at Motianling. This time gap may represent the time taken by the Nihewan palaeo-lake to retreat from Motianling area (946 m asl) to Queergou (889 m asl) or it could be due to erosion for a gap in sedimentation at the top of the Nihewan Formation at Motianling, so the rapid retreat of the palaeo-lake cannot be ruled out.

At Banjingzi, the ages of the three samples from the cultural layer (89 ± 5, 80 ± 4 and 89 ± 5 ka) are consistent at 1σ and give a weighted mean age of 86 ± 4 ka. This falls within the U-series age range of 74–108 ka obtained for a horse tooth recovered from this site (Li et al., 1991). The sediment samples were collected from the upper part of the third terrace (T3) of the Sanggan River at Banjingzi (Xie et al., 2006), so the age of ~86 ka should be close to the abandonment age of this terrace.

To explore the regional climatic and environmental conditions in the vicinity of these three sites, and their possible influence on human dispersal and evolution in the region (e.g., Dennell, 2013; Mu et al., 2015), we have compared in Fig. 10 the IRSL ages for the three sites to global marine isotope records (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005) and the magnetic susceptibility (MSUS) loess proxy curve from the Xifeng section in the Chinese Loess Plateau (Guo et al., 2009). The ages of the cultural layers at Motianling (315 ± 13 ka) and Banjingzi (86 ± 4 ka) correspond to the Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 9 and 5 interglacial periods and to palaeosol development during MSUS periods S3 and S1, respectively, indicating wet and warm conditions during human occupation of these two sites. The age of the cultural layer at Queergou (268 ± 13 ka) corresponds to the glacial period of MIS 8 and deposition of loess during MSUS period L3, indicating a cold and dry climate. However, as the
cultural remains at Queergou may have been redeposited (Xie et al., 1996, 2006), we cannot infer that the site was occupied under cold conditions.

Based on our new ages, we conclude that the Nihewan palaeo-lake had retreated to the Queergou–Hutouliang area ~270 ka ago, perhaps as a result of regional climatic change from warm and wet (MIS 9) to cold and dry (MIS 8) conditions. However, as the top of the lacustrine sediments at Motianling (319 ± 10 ka) may have been eroded, we cannot rule out the rapid retreat of the Nihewan palaeo-lake due to tectonic uplift (Zhu et al., 2007; Yuan et al., 2009). Some combination of tectonic and climatic effects is also possible. The Sanggan River developed sometime between about 270 and 86 ka ago, but more geomorphological and geochronological studies are needed to provide a more complete account of the development of the Sanggan River and the disappearance of the Nihewan palaeo-lake.

**Implications for the ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ of North China**

We have reassessed the chronology of three sites (Motianling, Queergou and Banjingzi) in the Nihewan Basin that have been attributed to the ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ in the traditional three-stage model. The Banjingzi site contains stone artefacts that are claimed to be more technologically ‘advanced’ than those found at Lower Palaeolithic sites in this basin (Xie et al., 2006), which would appear to favour the three-stage model. Our dating results for Banjingzi indicate that the cultural remains at this site are ~86 ka in age, which supports their previous attribution to the Middle Palaeolithic (Li et al., 1991; Xie et al., 2006). At Motianling and Queergou, however, the stone artefacts are claimed to be relatively simple and differ little from those found at Lower Palaeolithic sites (Xie et al., 2006); this would appear to support the alternative, two-stage model that posits a lack of progress in lithic technology from the Lower to the Middle Palaeolithic. Our luminescence ages indicate that the cultural remains at Motianling and Queergou are actually around 310 and 270 ka, respectively (and possibly older at Queergou), rather than 140–30 ka as proposed previously for
the Middle Palaeolithic. These two assemblages should therefore be assigned to the Lower Palaeolithic, rather than to the Middle Palaeolithic, if a three-stage model is adopted.

Given the large number of undated or poorly dated ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ and upper Lower Palaeolithic sites in the Nihewan Basin, and across North China more broadly, further studies are required to establish firm age control throughout the region. We recognise, however, that establishing a reliable chronology for Palaeolithic sites cannot, by itself, resolve the issue of the ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ in North China: this also requires detailed consideration of the technological and typological characteristics of the artefact assemblages, including methodological issues such as sample size, choice of raw material, and site and artefact function. Additional geoarchaeological information on site formation and post-depositional processes would also be valuable. Through the combination of these insights, the spatio-temporal development and spread of Palaeolithic technologies across North China can be better understood.

6. Conclusions

Three ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites (Motianling, Queergou and Banjingzi) in the Nihewan Basin of northern China have been dated in this study using newly developed (pre-dose) MET-pIRIR dating procedures for multi-grain aliquots and single grains of K-feldspar. Our results suggest that the cultural layers at Motianling, Queergou and Banjingzi were deposited 315 ± 13 (MIS 9), 268 ± 13 (MIS 8) and 86 ± 4 (MIS 5) ka ago, respectively. Our ages also indicate that the Sanggan River developed sometime between about 270 and 86 ka ago, but further research is needed to reveal the details of the late Quaternary history of the Sanggan River and the Nihewan palaeo-lake.

As the commonly accepted time span for the Chinese ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ is about 140–30 ka ago, we infer that Motianling and Queergou should be reassigned to the Lower Palaeolithic, whereas the Banjingzi is consistent with a Middle Palaeolithic age. Our luminescence chronologies have helped resolve the conundrum of contrasting lithic technologies at these ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites,
and demonstrated the feasibility of using pMET‐pIRIR dating procedures to reassess other putative ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites in the Nihewan Basin.
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Figure captions

Figure 1: (a) Map of the Nihewan Basin showing the locations of the Motianling, Queergou and Banjingzi Palaeolithic sites (red dashed rectangle); the Xujiayao and Zhiyu sites mentioned in the paper are also marked. (b) Location of the Nihewan Basin (red rectangle) at the northeastern edge of the Loess Plateau (modified from Ao et al., 2010a). (c) Satellite image (courtesy of Google Earth) of the eastern part of the Nihewan Basin (red dashed rectangle in (a)), showing the locations of Motianling, Queergou and Banjingzi (yellow balloons). The Sanggan River, its tributary (the Huliu River), and Xiashagou and Housigou gullies are shown in blue. Other sites mentioned in the text are marked by red balloons: 1. Hutouliang, 2. Haojiatai, 3. Xiaochangliang, 4. Majuangou, 5. Cenjiawan, 6. Youfang, 7. Donggutuo, 8. Maliang and 9. Hougou.

Figure 2: (a) Photo showing the Motianling Palaeolithic site (Localities 1 and 2) and Housigou gully. (b) and (c), sedimentary profile and sample locations at Locality 2; (c) also shows sample ages.

Figure 3: Photos showing (a) the Queergou Palaeolithic site and the Sanggan River, (b) the sample locations at Queergou, and (c) the sands containing mollusc shell fragments. (d) Sedimentary profile and sample locations and ages.

Figure 4: Photos showing (a) the Banjingzi Palaeolithic site, (b) the sample locations at Banjingzi, and (c) sedimentary profile and sample locations and ages.

Figure 5: Drawings of stone artefacts at Motianling (from Xie et al., 2006): (a) single-edged scraper (no. 2002MTL |: 46), (b) double-edged scraper (no. 2002MTL ||: 17), (c) core (no. 2002MTL |: 43), and (d) notch (no. 2002MTL ||: 2).

Figure 6: Typical stone artefacts from Queergou: flake no. 1 (a) fracture face and (b) back face, and flake no. 9 (c) fracture face and (d) back face.
Figure 7: Typical stone artefacts from Banjingzi: (a) notch, (b) scraper, (c) awl, (d) point and (e) cores. Sketch map of (e) was modified after Li et al (1991). The two cores, numbered as I4:35 and H14:36, were originally described as “funnel-shaped” cores by Li et al. (1991); and the core, numbered as I4:35, was described as “similar to Levallois-Mousterian technique” by Xie et al. (2006).

Figure 8: De values obtained from individual single-aliquot dose response curves (DRCs) of samples MTL-OSL-02, -07 and -09 and QEG-OSL-1, -2 and -6, plotted against the De values obtained from the standardised growth curves (SGCs) for the (a) Lx/Tx, (b) Lx and (c) Tx signals (see Supplementary Figs. 3 and 4).

Figure 9: De distributions for all accepted K-feldspar grains for samples (a) BJZ-OSL-1, (b) BJZ-OSL-3 and (c) BJZ-OSL-5. The grey band is centred on the weighted mean De of the main component fitted using the finite mixture model. The filled triangles denote the few high De values that exceed 3D0 (see Supplementary Data). (d)–(f), corresponding De distributions after excluding De values >3D0.

Figure 10: (a) IRSL ages of the upper lacustrine sediments at Motianling (319 ± 10 ka), the lakeshore sediments at Queergou (268 ± 13 ka) and the terrace deposits of the Sanggan River at Banjingzi (86 ± 4 ka), plotted against the magnetic susceptibility (MSUS) loess proxy curve from the Xifeng section (Guo et al., 2009). S1, S3 and S4 correspond to periods of palaeosol development, and L2, L3 and L4 to periods of loess deposition. (b) IRSL ages plotted against the globally distributed oxygen isotope record of marine benthos (Marine Isotope Stage, MIS) (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Grain size, μm</th>
<th>Water, %</th>
<th>U, ppm</th>
<th>Th, ppm</th>
<th>K, %</th>
<th>Environmental dose rate (Gy/ka)</th>
<th>D_e, Gy b</th>
<th>Age, ka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motianling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL-OSL-01</td>
<td>63–90</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
<td>3.43 ± 0.13</td>
<td>7.03 ± 0.98</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL-OSL-02</td>
<td>63–90</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
<td>3.20 ± 0.13</td>
<td>6.89 ± 1.00</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL-OSL-03</td>
<td>63–90</td>
<td>15 ± 5</td>
<td>3.85 ± 0.14</td>
<td>5.99 ± 1.01</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL-OSL-04</td>
<td>63–90</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>7.10 ± 0.21</td>
<td>7.57 ± 1.19</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL-OSL-05</td>
<td>4–11</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>8.96 ± 0.21</td>
<td>7.55 ± 1.00</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL-OSL-06</td>
<td>63–106</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>7.41 ± 0.18</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.73</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL-OSL-07</td>
<td>63–106</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>4.67 ± 0.16</td>
<td>6.17 ± 1.05</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL-OSL-08</td>
<td>63–106</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>3.57 ± 0.14</td>
<td>8.61 ± 1.08</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL-OSL-09</td>
<td>63–90</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>4.26 ± 0.14</td>
<td>7.54 ± 1.02</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL-OSL-10</td>
<td>63–90</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.14</td>
<td>9.82 ± 1.22</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queergou</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEG-OSL-01</td>
<td>90–150</td>
<td>15 ± 5</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.14</td>
<td>7.39 ± 1.01</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEG-OSL-02 a</td>
<td>90–150</td>
<td>15 ± 5</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.39 ± 0.14</td>
<td>268 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEG-OSL-03</td>
<td>90–150</td>
<td>15 ± 5</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.11</td>
<td>5.61 ± 0.87</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEG-OSL-04</td>
<td>90–150</td>
<td>15 ± 5</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.13</td>
<td>7.50 ± 1.00</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEG-OSL-05</td>
<td>90–150</td>
<td>15 ± 5</td>
<td>2.38 ± 0.12</td>
<td>7.50 ± 1.04</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The external gamma, beta and cosmic-ray dose rates have been corrected for water attenuation. The cosmic-ray dose rates were estimated from the burial depth of each sample and the latitude, longitude and altitude of each site, and the final dose rates determined as the mean of the values obtained assuming rapid and steady rates of sample burial to their present depths; these dose rates have been assigned relative errors of ±15% to accommodate uncertainties in their burial history and a possible systematic error in the primary cosmic-ray intensity (Prescott and Hutton, 1994).

For the Motianling and Queergou sites, the $D_a$ value for each sample was calculated as the weighted mean of the single-aliquot $D_a$ values obtained by projecting the $L_n$ signals on to the corresponding SGCs; the over-dispersion values of the single-aliquot $D_a$ distribution of each sample are all less than 14%. Values in parentheses (n) indicate the number of the aliquots used to determine the final $D_a$ values, which were estimated using the central age model. For the Banjingzi samples, the $D_a$ values were estimated for individual grains by projecting the sensitivity-corrected natural signals on to the corresponding dose response curves; the single-grain $D_a$ distributions were then fitted using the finite mixture model and the weighted mean $D_a$ determined for the main component. The $D_a$ values minus the residual doses were used for the final age calculation. The measurement errors on each $D_a$ include photon counting statistics; instrumental irreproducibility errors of 1.5% and 2% for each single-aliquot and single-grain IRSL measurement, respectively; curve fitting errors; and, for single grains, the errors associated with calibrating the beta dose rate delivered to individual grain positions. A systematic error of 2% was also added in quadrature to the $D_a$ measurement errors for possible bias in the calibration of the laboratory beta source.

$D_a$ values reported by Guo et al. (2015) minus the residual dose. The values in parentheses (n) indicate the number of aliquots used to determine the final $D_a$ values using the SAR or MAR method (listed first and second, respectively).

Ages for samples MTL-OSL-01, -07 and -10 are calculated here based on the dose rates measured using low-level beta counting for beta dose rate determination and thick-source alpha counting (U and Th) plus X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (K) for gamma dose rate determination. In Guo et al. (2015), the ages for these 3 samples were reported as 102 ± 7, 322 ± 33 and 370 ± 50 ka, respectively, based on the dose rates measured using a combination of beta counting and thick-source alpha counting. The ages obtained using these different approaches are consistent at 1σ, but we consider that the ages reported here as more accurate.

The gamma dose rates for samples QEG-OSL-2, BJZ-OSL-1 and -5 were measured using a portable gamma-ray spectrometer, and the beta dose rates were measured by low-level beta counting.
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a. BJZ-OSL-1
Main comp. $D_e = 328 \pm 11$ Gy
OD = $46 \pm 3\%$
$n = 133$

b. BJZ-OSL-3
Main comp. $D_e = 279 \pm 7$ Gy
OD = $37 \pm 2\%$
$n = 172$

c. BJZ-OSL-5
Main comp. $D_e = 334 \pm 8$ Gy
OD = $42 \pm 2\%$
$n = 199$

d. BJZ-OSL-1
Central $D_e = 334 \pm 11$ Gy
OD = $31 \pm 2\%$
$n = 116$

e. BJZ-OSL-3
Central $D_e = 283 \pm 7$ Gy
OD = $29 \pm 2\%$
$n = 164$

f. BJZ-OSL-5
Central $D_e = 350 \pm 8$ Gy
OD = $29 \pm 2\%$
$n = 186$
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Luminescence ages for three ‘Middle Palaeolithic’ sites in the Nihewan Basin, northern China, and their archaeological and palaeoenvironmental implications
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1. Experimental procedures and analytical facilities

The samples were first treated using HCl acid and solutions of H₂O₂ to eliminate carbonates and organic matter, respectively. Grains of 63–90 and 63–106 μm in diameter were obtained from the Motianling samples by wet sieving, and grains of 90–150 μm and 180–212 μm in diameter were obtained by dry sieving of the Queergou and Banjingzi samples. K-feldspar grains were obtained by density separation using a heavy liquid solution with density of 2.58 g/cm³. Finally, the 63–90 and 63–106 μm K-feldspar grains were etched in 10% HF acid for 10 min and the 90–150 and 180–212 μm portions were etched in 10% HF acid for 40 min to remove the alpha-irradiated rinds but avoid dissolving most of the grains. Sample MTL-OSL-05 consists of silty clay, so the polymineral fine-grain fraction (4–11 μm in diameter) was isolated by settling in sodium oxalate (dispersant) solution according to Stokes’ Law (Zhang and Zhou, 2007). The K-feldspar grains and polymineral fine grains from Motianling and Queergou were measured using a pMET-pIRIR procedure (Li et al., 2014a; Guo et al., 2015) for single aliquots composed of multiple grains. The individual K-feldspar grains from Banjingzi were analysed using a single-grain SAR MET-pIRIR procedure (Blegen et al., 2015). The coarse-grain (>63 μm) multi-grain aliquots were made by loading K-feldspar grains on to the central ~5 mm-diameter portions of 9.8 mm-diameter stainless steel discs, amounting to several hundreds of grains on each aliquot. The fine-grain (4–11 μm) multi-grain aliquots of sample MTL-OSL-05 were prepared by settling in acetone on to 9.8 mm-diameter stainless steel discs, amounting ~1 mg of fine grains on each aliquot. The single-grain measurements were made using standard single-grain discs (i.e., gold-plated aluminium discs drilled with 100 holes that are each 300 μm in diameter and 300 μm deep) (Bøtter-Jensen et al., 2000). Discs were visually inspected under a microscope to ensure that each hole contained only a single grain.
The K-feldspar and polymineral IRSL measurements were performed on an automated Risø TL/OSL-Da-20 reader equipped with a $^{90}$Sr/$^{90}$Y beta source. Dose rate calibrations were made for each hole in the single-grain discs, to account for spatial differences in dose rate. Photon stimulation was achieved using IR diodes (870 $\Delta$ 40 nm) for multi-grain measurements and an IR laser (830 nm) for single-grain measurements. The luminescence emissions were detected by an Electron Tubes Ltd 9235B photomultiplier tube fitted with Schott BG-39 and Corning 7-59 filters to restrict the transmission to wavelengths of 320–480 nm. Solar bleaching treatments were carried out using a Dr Hönle solar simulator (Model: UVACUBE 400).

2. Environmental dose rates measurements

For samples QEG-OSL-2, BJZ-OSL-1 and -5, the gamma dose rates were measured in the field using in situ gamma-ray spectrometry (to take account of the inhomogeneity of the surrounding sediments) and their beta dose rates were estimated using low-level beta counting (Bøtter-Jensen and Mejdahl, 1988) and the procedures described in Jacobs and Roberts (2015). For the other samples, the beta dose rates were measured directly by beta counting and the gamma dose rates were measured in the laboratory using a combination of thick-source alpha counting (TSAC) and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy. The U and Th contents were determined from TSAC measurements and K concentrations were obtained by XRF spectroscopy. We compared the latter gamma dose rates with those deduced from TSAC and beta counting, and also compared the beta dose rates estimated from beta counting with those calculated from TSAC and XRF measurements. The results of these comparisons are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. The gamma dose rates (Supplementary Fig. 1b) are statistically consistent for each of the samples, whereas three of the beta dose rates differ statistically from a ratio of unity (the 1:1 dashed line in Supplementary Fig. 1a). To determine the final dose rates and ages, we used the gamma dose rates determined by TSAC and XRF spectroscopy (as this combination provides an independent measure of K) or by in situ gamma-ray spectrometry (samples QEG-OSL-2, BJZ-OSL-1 and -5), and the beta dose rates measured directly
by beta counting, which has been shown to yield accurate results using our instruments and sample preparation, presentation and measurement procedures (Jacobs and Roberts, 2015).

We corrected the dose rates for attenuation due to interstitial water content. The measured water content of loess sample MTL-OSL-02 from Motianling is 9.3%, but below 5% for the other samples. We consider these measured values as underestimates of the long-term water contents, because the sampling sections (especially at the archaeological locations) have been exposed for a prolonged period since excavation and are likely, therefore, to have dried out considerably. To better assess the probable long-term water contents of our samples, two fluvial samples were measured: a silty sand and a silt from a freshly exposed section of the Nihewan Formation near the Xiaochangliang site (Fig. 1c). These samples yielded water contents of ~9% and ~14%, respectively. For the dose rate and age calculations, therefore, we used long-term water content values of 10 ± 3% for the loess samples, 15 ± 5% for the fluvial samples and 20 ± 5% for the lacustrine samples (Table 1), which are similar to those used by Zhao et al. (2010) for their samples from the Haojiatai section. The calculated ages are not especially sensitive to the assumed water content, increasing (or decreasing) by only ~0.7% for each 1% increase (or decrease) in water content.

3. Equivalent dose measurements

3.1 Single-aliquot measurements

For the Motianling and Queergou samples, we applied the single-aliquot pMET-pIRIR procedure (Li et al., 2014a) to obtain $D_e$ values for the K-feldspar grains. The experimental procedure is listed in Supplementary Table 1a. In this procedure, IRSL measurements are made successively at five stimulation temperatures (50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 °C). Aliquots were held for 10, 10, 20, 30 and 50 s before IR stimulation at each of these temperatures, respectively, to monitor and minimise interference from isothermal decay signals (Fu et al., 2012). Aliquots were stimulated by IR photons for 100 s at each stimulation temperatures, and the net IRSL signal obtained from the first 10 s of the
IRSL decay curve was used for $D_e$ estimation, after subtracting a ‘late light’ background count from the final 10 s of decay. A preheat of 310 °C for 60 s was used for each of the natural, regenerative and test doses, and a test dose of 51 Gy was used for all the samples.

**IRSL decay curves and dose response curves**

Typical natural IRSL (50 °C) and MET-pIRIR (100–250 °C) decay curves are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2 for single aliquots of polymineral sample MTL-OSL-05 and K-feldspar samples MTL-OSL-07 and QEG-OSL-2. For all three samples, the initial intensity of the IRSL signal (50 °C) is strongest, while the initial intensity of the MET-pIRIR signals increases gradually from 100 to 200 °C before decreasing slightly at 250 °C. The dose response curves (DRCs) for signals $L_s/T_x$, $L_x$ and $T_x$ were constructed using a series of regenerative doses, including a duplicate dose to determine the recycling ratio for the $L_s/T_x$ signal (or the reproducibility ratios for the $L_x$ and $T_x$ signals; Li et al., 2014a) and a zero dose (0 Gy) to determine the extent of recuperation for each of the $L_s/T_x$, $L_x$ and $T_x$ signals. The recycling (and reproducibility) ratios for all aliquots used to establish the standardised growth curves (SGCs) for the Motianling and Queergou samples were found to be consistent with unity, and the extent of recuperation less than 5%, for all the 250 °C MET-pIRIR $L_s/T_x$, $L_x$ and $T_x$ signals.

To reduce instrument time, we employed the SGC method proposed by Li et al. (2015a, b). Li et al. (2015b) observed that, for K-feldspars from different regions of the world, the non-fading IRSL signals share similar DRCs if they are appropriately normalised using one of the regenerative dose signals; this procedure is called regenerative-dose normalisation or ‘re-normalisation’ (Li et al., 2015a, b). To compare the SGCs for the Motianling and Queergou samples, we have plotted the normalised 250 °C $L_s/T_x$, $L_x$ and $T_x$ (and $L_s/T_n$, $L_n$ and $T_n$ ) signals for samples MTL-OSL-02, -07 and -09 and QEG-OSL-1, -2 and -6 in Supplementary Fig. 6. The $L_s/T_x$ and $T_x$ signals follow a similar dose response curve for all samples, but the normalised $L_x$ signals start to diverge above a dose of ~1200 Gy. The latter phenomenon might be due to the K-feldspars at these two sites having different
luminescence properties as a result of their geological origins (e.g., crystallisation processes) or post-depositional changes (e.g., diagenesis and weathering).

Residual dose, dose recovery and anomalous fading tests

To check that the MET-pIRIR traps in these samples can be bleached sufficiently by sunlight, residual dose tests were carried out on samples MTL-OSL-02, -03 and -05 and QEG-OSL-2 and -3. Between four and six aliquots of each sample were bleached for 4 hr in the solar stimulator, and the residual doses were then measured using the conventional SAR MET-pIRIR procedure (Li and Li, 2011). This is similar to the procedure shown in Supplementary Table 1a, except that a ‘hot’ IR bleach (at 320 °C) is used in place of the solar stimulator bleach at the end of each SAR cycle. The residual doses measured at various IR stimulation temperatures are plotted in Supplementary Fig. 7. The residual doses increase with IR stimulation temperature, similar to the results reported previously for other samples (Li and Li, 2011; Li et al., 2014b). The residual doses measured at 250 °C for samples MTL-OSL-02, -03 and -05 and QEG-OSL-2 and -3 are 11.5 ± 0.4, 12.0 ± 0.4, 43.7 ± 8.3, 15.7 ± 3.6 and 15.2 ± 2.2 Gy, respectively, which correspond to about 2.3%, 1.2%, 4.3%, 1.7% and 1.7% of their respective equivalent doses. Thus, except for polymineral sample MTL-OSL-05, the residual doses are small compared to the natural dose (i.e., the measured Dn). For purposes of age calculation, a residual dose was subtracted from the Dn estimate of each sample. The values used were 11.5 ± 0.4 Gy for loess samples MTL-OSL-01 and -02, 12.0 ± 0.4 Gy for lacustrine samples MTL-OSL-03, -04 and -06 to -10, and 43.7 ± 8.3 Gy for polymineral sample MTL-OSL-05. A residual dose of 15.3 ± 1.9 Gy (weighted mean of the residual doses for samples QEG-OSL-2 and -3) was subtracted from the measured Dn values of the fluvial samples from Queergou (QEG-OSL-1 to -6) prior to age determination.

Dose recovery tests (Galbraith et al., 1999) using the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure were carried out on samples MTL-OSL-05, MTL-OSL-07 and QEG-OSL-2 to check its suitability for K-feldspars from these two sites, at least under controlled laboratory conditions. The dose recovery test on sample
MTL-OSL-07 has been presented previously (Guo et al., 2015), which showed that the measured (recovered) dose is consistent with the given dose when the stimulation temperature is above 150 °C. Here, we applied the same experimental procedures to samples MTL-OSL-05 and QEG-OSL-2 using doses of 920 and 800 Gy as the surrogate natural doses, respectively. The ratios of recovered to given dose at various IR stimulation temperatures are shown in Supplementary Fig. 8. The dose recovery ratios for the $L_d/T_x$, $L_s$ and $T_s$ signals are consistent with unity at 1σ when the IR stimulation temperature is 250 °C: ratios of 1.11 ± 0.27, 0.96 ± 0.09 and 0.67 ± 0.34 were obtained for the $L_d/T_x$, $L_s$ and $T_s$ signals of sample MTL-OSL-05, and corresponding ratios of 1.03 ± 0.09, 1.02 ± 0.08 and 0.96 ± 0.10 were obtained for sample QEG-OSL-2. For sample MTL-OSL-05, the dose recovery ratio for the $L_d/T_s$ signal is consistent with unity at IR stimulation temperatures of 100–250 °C, but the ratios have large uncertainties because the given dose is close to the saturation dose. The results of these dose recovery tests suggest that the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure is suitable for determining $D_e$ values for samples from Motianling and Queergou at the highest IR stimulation temperature (250 °C).

Anomalous fading tests were also conducted to check that the MET-pIRIR procedure was able to isolate the non-fading signals in our samples. Guo et al. (2015) conducted this test on sample MTL-OSL-07 and showed that a negligible fading rate ($g$-value of less than 1% per decade) was obtained at IR stimulation temperatures of 250 °C and above. Here, we used the same procedure as Guo et al. (2015) to test for anomalous fading in samples QEG-OSL-2 and BJZ-OSL-5. The $g$-values (normalised to a delay time of 2 days) are plotted as a function of IR stimulation temperature in Supplementary Fig. 9. The fading rate is lower at higher stimulation temperatures, as has been reported in several previous studies (reviewed by Li et al., 2014b). The signals measured at 250 and 280 °C yielded negligible fading rates, with respective $g$-values of 0.8 ± 0.6 and 0.1 ± 0.6 % per decade for QEG-OSL-2, and 0.8 ± 0.3 and −0.6 ± 0.9 % per decade for BJZ-OSL-5. The fading corrected ages for samples BJZ-OSL-1, -3 and -5 are 94 ± 6, 84 ± 5 and 94 ± 5 ka, statistically consistent with the uncorrected ages of 89 ± 5, 80 ± 4 and 89 ± 5 ka (Table 1), respectively. We, therefore, have not applied fading correction to the final ages for any of our samples.
**D$_e$ values for the Motianling and Queergou samples**

The D$_e$ values obtained for the MET-pIRIR signals of samples MTL-OSL-05 and QEG-OSL-2 are plotted against the corresponding IR stimulation temperatures in Supplementary Fig. 10. The D$_e$ values increase with IR stimulation temperature, as expected. For MTL-OSL-05, the D$_e$ values for the L$_x$/T$_x$ and L$_x$ signals are statistically indistinguishable, although the latter are systematically smaller; the D$_e$ values for the T$_x$ signals are also smaller at all stimulation temperatures. The D$_e$ values for the L$_x$/T$_x$, L$_x$ and T$_x$ signals are statistically consistent at 250 °C, and a D$_e$ plateau is obtained for the L$_x$/T$_x$ signals measured at 200 °C and above. For sample QEG-OSL-2, the D$_e$ values obtained from the L$_x$/T$_x$, L$_x$ and T$_x$ signals are consistent at each stimulation temperature, and a D$_e$ plateau is obtained for the T$_x$ signals measured at 200 °C and above. The pattern of D$_e$ values for the L$_x$/T$_x$, L$_x$ and T$_x$ signals with IR stimulation temperature is consistent with the dose recovery ratios shown in Supplementary Fig. 8 for these two samples, and with the results for MTL-OSL-07 reported previously (Guo et al., 2015). These findings demonstrate the value of performing a dose recovery test of the pMET-pIRIR procedure to validate its suitability for dating sediments from the Nihewan Basin (Guo et al., 2015).

**3.2 Single-grain measurements**

A MET-pIRIR procedure for individual K-feldspar grains (Blegen et al., 2015) was applied to the samples from Banjingzi. This single-grain procedure is similar to the conventional SAR MET-pIRIR procedure (Li and Li, 2011), except that an IR laser is used instead of IR diodes to stimulate the grains (Supplementary Table 1b). D$_e$ values were estimated from the sensitivity-corrected L$_n$/T$_n$ and L$_n$/T$_x$ signals. Each grain was measured for 1.10 s, with the IR laser turned off for the first and last 0.05 s to monitor for interference from isothermal decay (Fu et al., 2012). Supplementary Fig. 11a shows a typical natural IRSL decay curve for a K-feldspar grain from sample BJZ-OSL-3. The D$_e$ value was calculated from the IRSL signal integrated over the first 0.05 s of the 1 s stimulation decay curve, minus the counts obtained from the last 0.05 s of decay.
The DRC for each K-feldspar grain was constructed using the $L_v/T_x$ signals induced by a series of regenerative doses, including a duplicate dose and a zero dose to determine the recycling ratio and extent of recuperation, respectively. The $L_v/T_x$ and $L_v/T_n$ signals for a K-feldspar grain from sample BJZ-OSL-3 are shown in Supplementary Fig. 11b, together with the fitted DRC. Grains with unsuitable IRSL properties were rejected using the criteria employed by Blegen et al. (2015): (1) the initial $T_n$ signal is less than 3 times its corresponding background count, or the relative error on the test dose signal is greater than 20%; (2) the recycling ratio differs from unity by more than 2σ; (3) the extent of recuperation is greater than 10%; and (4) the $L_v/T_n$ value exceeds the saturation level of the DRC. A total of 500 individual grains were measured for each sample, of which 133, 172 and 199 grains were accepted for samples BJZ-OSL-1, -3 and -5, respectively, after applying these rejection criteria. Supplementary Table 2 indicates the numbers of grains rejected according to each of these criteria.

To check the suitability of the single-grain MET-piRIR procedure for the Banjingzi samples, a dose recovery test was carried out on sample BJZ-OSL-3. Two hundred grains were bleached for 4 hr using the solar simulator, after which a dose of 310 Gy was given as the surrogate natural dose. Grains were then measured using the procedure listed in Supplementary Table 1b. A total of 66 grains were accepted after applying the rejection criteria described above. The dose recovery ratios for the accepted grains are displayed in Supplementary Fig. 12a. The weighted mean ratio, calculated using the central age model (CAM) (Galbraith et al., 1999; Galbraith and Roberts, 2012), is $1.04 \pm 0.03$, with an over-dispersion (OD) value of $14.3 \pm 2.3 \%$. If the residual dose of $12.4 \pm 1.0$ Gy (see below) is subtracted from the measured dose, then the mean ratio is $1.00 \pm 0.03$. Both ratios are consistent with unity, which suggests that the single-grain MET-piRIR procedure is appropriate for the K-feldspars from Banjingzi.

A residual dose test was conducted on single grains from sample BJZ-OSL-3. A total of 200 grains were bleached for 4 hr in the solar stimulator, and the residual doses were measured using the procedure listed in Supplementary Table 1b. A total of 38 grains were finally accepted, and their $D_r$
values are plotted in Supplementary Fig. 12b. All of the grains, except one, have residual doses consistent with the weighted mean value of 12.4 ± 1.0 Gy, which represents less than 5% of the natural doses of the three Banjingzi samples. We subtracted this residual dose from the measured $D_e$ estimates to obtain the final $D_e$ values for age determination.

The measured $D_e$ values for the accepted grains of samples BJZ-OSL-1, -2 and -3 are displayed in Fig. 9a, b and c, respectively. Each of the distributions has a few high $D_e$ values that fall well outside the $D_e$ distribution for the majority of grains. The grains with unusually high $D_e$ values have natural ($L_\infty/T_n$) IRSL signals that are close to the saturation level of their individual DRCs. $D_e$ values that exceed three times the characteristic saturation dose ($D_0$) lie within 5% of the saturation level of the DRC and, thus, may yield inaccurate estimates of $D_e$. If grains with $D_e$ values $>3D_0$ are rejected as unreliable, then most of the outlying $D_e$ values are removed from the distributions (Fig. 9d–f). The remaining grains of samples BJZ-OSL-1, -2 and -3 have weighted mean $D_e$ values of 334 ± 11, 283 ± 7 and 350 ± 8 Gy, respectively, and OD values of ~30%.

To formally determine the $D_e$ values of the majority of grains in each sample, we applied the finite mixture model (FMM) (Roberts et al., 2000; Galbraith, 2005; Galbraith and Roberts, 2012) to estimate the $D_e$ values and relative proportions of grains in each fitted component; worked examples of the FMM are given in David et al. (2007) and Jacobs et al. (2008). The optimum fit was obtained for each distribution using maximum log likelihood (llik) and the Bayes Information Criterion (BIC) (Galbraith, 2005). We first fitted the distributions with a 2-component mixture, increasing the OD value progressively from 10% to 30% on the basis of the dose recovery test results and the spread in $D_e$ values remaining after excluding those $>3D_0$. A worked example is provided for sample BJZ-OSL-5 in Supplementary Table 3, listing the weighted mean $D_e$ values and relative proportions of grains in each component. The optimal fit is indicated by the smallest BIC score, which corresponds to an OD value of 24% for this sample. We also tested the FMM for a 3-component mixture, but obtained inferior fits (see Supplementary Table 3). For all three samples,
the optimum fits were obtained for a 2-component mixture with OD values of 28%, 26% and 24% for samples BJZ-OSL-1, -3 and -5, respectively. The main $D_e$ component accounts for about 86.5%, 94.2% and 87.4% of the total number of accepted grains (Fig. 9a–c) and we consider the corresponding weighted mean $D_e$ values (328 ± 11, 279 ± 7 and 334 ± 8 Gy) to represent the true burial doses for these samples. These $D_e$ values were used for age determination, after subtracting a residual dose of 12.4 ± 1.0 Gy. We note that these FMM $D_e$ values are statistically indistinguishable from the CAM $D_e$ values obtained after removing all grains with $D_e$ values $>3D_0$, thus demonstrating that the IRSL ages are insensitive to the particular model chosen for final $D_e$ determination.

**References**


**Supplementary Tables:**

**Supplementary Table 1.** The single-aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) procedure for multiple elevated temperature post-infrared IRSL (MET-pIRIR) measurements and pre-dose MET-pIRIR (pMET-pIRIR) measurements (Li and Li, 2011; Li et al., 2014a).

(a) **Single-aliquot procedure (pMET-pIRIR)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Signal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1    | Give regenerative dose, D<sub>i</sub>  
| 2    | Preheat at 310 °C for 60 s  
| 3    | IRSL measurement at 50 °C for 100 s  | L<sub>i(50)</sub>  
| 4    | IRSL measurement at 100 °C for 100 s  | L<sub>i(100)</sub>  
| 5    | IRSL measurement at 150 °C for 100 s  | L<sub>i(150)</sub>  
| 6    | IRSL measurement at 200 °C for 100 s  | L<sub>i(200)</sub>  
| 7    | IRSL measurement at 250 °C for 100 s  | L<sub>i(250)</sub>  
| 8    | Give test dose, D<sub>t</sub> (51 Gy)  
| 9    | Preheat at 310 °C for 60 s  
| 10   | IRSL measurement at 50 °C for 100 s  | T<sub>i(50)</sub>  
| 11   | IRSL measurement at 100 °C for 100 s  | T<sub>i(100)</sub>  
| 12   | IRSL measurement at 150 °C for 100 s  | T<sub>i(150)</sub>  
| 13   | IRSL measurement at 200 °C for 100 s  | T<sub>i(200)</sub>  
| 14   | IRSL measurement at 250 °C for 100 s  | T<sub>i(250)</sub>  
| 15   | Solar simulator bleach for 2 hr  
| 16   | Return to step 1 |

(b) **Single-grain procedure (MET-pIRIR)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Signal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1    | Give regenerative dose, D<sub>i</sub>  
| 2    | Preheat at 310 °C for 60 s  
| 3    | IRSL measurement at 50 °C for 100 s  | L<sub>i(50)</sub>  
| 4    | IRSL measurement at 100 °C for 100 s  | L<sub>i(100)</sub>  
| 5    | IRSL measurement at 150 °C for 100 s  | L<sub>i(150)</sub>  
| 6    | IRSL measurement at 200 °C for 100 s  | L<sub>i(200)</sub>  
| 7    | SG IRSL measurement at 250 °C for 100 s  | L<sub>i(250)</sub>  
| 8    | Give test dose, D<sub>t</sub>  
| 9    | Preheat at 310 °C for 60 s  
| 10   | IRSL measurement at 50 °C for 100 s  | T<sub>i(50)</sub>  
| 11   | IRSL measurement at 100 °C for 100 s  | T<sub>i(100)</sub>  
| 12   | IRSL measurement at 150 °C for 100 s  | T<sub>i(150)</sub>  
| 13   | IRSL measurement at 200 °C for 100 s  | T<sub>i(200)</sub>  
| 14   | SG IRSL measurement at 250 °C for 100 s  | T<sub>i(250)</sub>  
| 15   | IR bleach at 320 °C for 100 s  
| 16   | Return to step 1 |

<sup>a</sup> For the natural sample, i = 0 and D<sub>i</sub> = 0 Gy, and the observed signals are denoted as L<sub>n</sub> and T<sub>n</sub>. The entire sequence is repeated for several regenerative doses, including a zero dose and a repeat dose.
**Supplementary Table 2.** Number of single grains measured, rejected and accepted for D<sub>e</sub> determination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>No. of grains measured</th>
<th>Weak T&lt;sub&gt;n&lt;/sub&gt; signal a or test dose relative error &gt;20% b</th>
<th>Poor recycling ratio c</th>
<th>Recuperation &gt;10% d</th>
<th>Above saturation e</th>
<th>Sum of rejected grains</th>
<th>Total number of accepted grains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BJZ-OSL-1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 (18)</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJZ-OSL-3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJZ-OSL-5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10 (14)</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a T<sub>n</sub> is the test dose IRSL signal measured after the natural IRSL signal. Grains were rejected if the initial 0.05 s of the T<sub>n</sub> signal was less than 3 times its corresponding background count (calculated from the last 0.05 s of the 1 s stimulation).

b Grains were rejected if the relative error on the test dose signal (T<sub>n</sub>) exceeded 20%.

c The recycling ratio is the ratio of the sensitivity-corrected IRSL signal obtained for duplicate doses. It is used to test the efficacy of the test dose correction procedure. Grains were rejected if the recycling ratio differed from unity by more than 2σ.

d Recuperation is the ratio of the sensitivity-corrected ‘zero dose’ IRSL signal (i.e., the signal measured after a 0 Gy regenerative dose) relative to the sensitivity-corrected IRSL signal for the natural dose. Grains were rejected if the recuperation ratio was more than 10%.

e Number of grains with sensitivity-corrected natural signals exceeding those of any of the sensitivity-corrected regenerated signals. Finite estimates of D<sub>e</sub> could not be obtained from such grains, so they were rejected. Values in parentheses are the numbers of grains with D<sub>e</sub> values more than 3 times the D<sub>0</sub> values of their individual dose response curves. As such D<sub>e</sub> values lie within 5% of the saturation limit of the dose response curves and have correspondingly large uncertainties, we consider them as potentially unreliable estimates of D<sub>e</sub> and have treated them as described in the Supplementary text (section 3.2).
**Supplementary Table 3.** A worked example of the finite mixture model (FMM) estimates of the maximum log likelihood (llik) and Bayes Information Criterion (BIC) values for the 2 or 3 discrete components fitted to the $D_e$ distribution of sample BJZ-OSL-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of components</th>
<th>Over-dispersion (%)</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Proportion (%)</th>
<th>$D_e$ (Gy)</th>
<th>llik</th>
<th>BIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>84.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>326.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>− 169.7</td>
<td>355.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.2 ± 2.8</td>
<td>903.1 ± 31.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>328.2 ± 5.2</td>
<td>− 117.7</td>
<td>251.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>902.5 ± 42.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>86.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>331.0 ± 6.5</td>
<td>− 99.6</td>
<td>215.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>913.8 ± 53.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>86.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>331.7 ± 6.8</td>
<td>− 98.1</td>
<td>212.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>917.4 ± 56.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>86.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>332.5 ± 7.1</td>
<td>− 97.2</td>
<td>210.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>921.5 ± 59.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>87.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>333.3 ± 7.3</td>
<td>− 96.7</td>
<td>209.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>926.1 ± 63.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>87.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>334.2 ± 7.6</td>
<td>− 96.5</td>
<td>208.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>931.2 ± 66.8</td>
<td>208.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>87.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>335.1 ± 7.9</td>
<td>− 96.6</td>
<td>209.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>936.7 ± 70.6</td>
<td>209.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>88.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>336.1 ± 8.2</td>
<td>− 97.0</td>
<td>209.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>942.6 ± 74.7</td>
<td>209.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>88.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>337.1 ± 8.5</td>
<td>− 97.6</td>
<td>211.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>948.7 ± 79.0</td>
<td>211.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>88.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>338.2 ± 8.8</td>
<td>− 98.3</td>
<td>212.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>955.0 ± 83.5</td>
<td>212.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>89.0 ± 2.8</td>
<td>339.2 ± 9.1</td>
<td>− 99.2</td>
<td>214.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.0 ± 2.8</td>
<td>961.3 ± 88.2</td>
<td>214.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>89.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>340.3 ± 9.4</td>
<td>− 100.3</td>
<td>216.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>967.7 ± 93.2</td>
<td>216.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of components</th>
<th>Over-dispersion (%)</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Proportion (%)</th>
<th>$D_e$ (Gy)</th>
<th>llik</th>
<th>BIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43.9 ± 6.6</td>
<td>277.2 ± 7.9</td>
<td>− 116.6</td>
<td>259.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>43.9 ± 6.4</td>
<td>401.4 ± 13.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>967.5 ± 36.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55.5 ± 16.7</td>
<td>293.8 ± 17.2</td>
<td>− 101.7</td>
<td>229.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.5 ± 15.2</td>
<td>425.5 ± 51.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.0 ± 3.1</td>
<td>990.4 ± 71.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75.7 ± nd b</td>
<td>317.7 ± nd b</td>
<td>− 96.2</td>
<td>218.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.0 ± nd b</td>
<td>508.6 ± nd b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1034.1 ± 47.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a The optimum combination is a 2-component mixture with an overdispersion value of 24%.

b Parameter redundancy is indicated by lack of model convergence (nd = not determined).
Supplementary Figures:

Supplementary Figure 1. (a) Beta dose rates for the samples in this study (except QEG-OSL-2, BJZ-OSL-1 and -5) measured by low-level beta counting, plotted against those determined by a combination of thick-source alpha counting (TSAC) and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy. (b) Gamma dose rates for the samples in this study (except QEG-OSL-2, BJZ-OSL-1 and -5) determined by a combination of TSAC and beta counting, plotted against those determined by a combination of TSAC and XRF spectroscopy.
Supplementary Figure 2. Typical IRSL (50 °C) and MET-pIRIR (100–250 °C) decay curves for samples MTL-OSL-05, MTL-OSL-07 and QEG-OSL-02.
Supplementary Figure 3. Standardised growth curves (SGCs) for the (a) Lx/Tx, (b) Lx and (c) Tx signals measured at 250 °C using the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure for samples MTL-OSL-02, -07 and -09. The natural signals are shown as the upper set of data points on the y-axis. Each data point represents the mean value for 4–6 aliquots and the vertical bars indicate the corresponding standard errors. The curves were fitted using a single saturating exponential function of the form \( I = I_0(1 - e^{-D/D_0}) + c \), where \( I \) is the normalised IRSL intensity, \( D \) is the regenerative dose, \( D_0 \) is the characteristic saturation dose, and the sum of \( I_0 \) and \( c \) is the saturation value of the exponential curve. The curves have been normalised to unity at a dose of 638 Gy.
Supplementary Figure 4. Standardised growth curves (SGCs) for the (a) \( \frac{L_x}{T_x} \), (b) \( L_x \) and (c) \( T_x \) signals measured at 250 °C using the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure for samples QEG-OSL-1, -2 and -6. Other details as in Supplementary Figure 3, except that each data point is based on 4–8 aliquots.
Supplementary Figure 5. Dose response curves (DRCs) for the (a) \( L_x/T_x \), (b) \( L_x \) and (c) \( T_x \) signals measured at 250 °C using the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure for polymineral sample MTL-OSL-05. Other details as in Supplementary Figure 3, except that the curves have been normalised to unity at a dose of 611 Gy.
Supplementary Figure 6. Normalised regenerative dose signals for (a) Lx/Tx, (b) Lx and (c) Tx for samples MTL-OSL-02, -07 and -09 and QEG-OSL-1, -2 and -6. The natural signals are shown as the upper set of data points on the y-axis.
Supplementary Figure 7. Residual (unbleachable) doses measured for samples (a) MTL-OSL-02 and -03, (b) MTL-OSL-05, and (c) QEG-OSL-2 and -3 using the SAR MET-pIRIR procedure, plotted against IR stimulation temperature. Each data point represents the mean value for 4–6 aliquots and the vertical bars indicate the corresponding standard errors.
**Supplementary Figure 8.** Dose recovery ratios for the $L_x/T_x$, $L_x$ and $T_x$ signals from samples (a) MTL-OSL-05 and (b) QEG-OSL-2 obtained using the SAR pMET-pIRIR procedure, plotted against IR stimulation temperature. Each data point represents the mean value for 4–6 aliquots and the vertical bars indicate the corresponding standard errors. For clarity, the $L_x$ and $T_x$ data points are offset laterally at each stimulation temperature.
Supplementary Figure 9. Anomalous fading rates (g-values, expressed in % per decade) for the MET-pIRIR Lx/Tx signals of samples (a) QEG-OSL-2 and (b) BJZ-OSL-5 measured at IR stimulation temperatures of 50–280 °C.
Supplementary Figure 10. $D_o$ values obtained from the $L_x/T_x$, $L_x$ and $T_x$ signals of samples (a) MTL-OSL-05 and (b) QEG-OSL-02, plotted against IR stimulation temperature. For clarity, the $L_x$ and $T_x$ data points are offset laterally at each stimulation temperature.
Supplementary Figure 11. (a) Typical 250 °C MET-pIRIR decay curve and (b) sensitivity-corrected dose response curve for a single K-feldspar grain from sample BJZ-OSL-3. The curve was fitted using a single saturating exponential function of the form $I = I_0(1 - e^{-D/D_0}) + c$, where $I$ is the normalised IRSL intensity, $D$ is the regenerative dose, $D_0$ is the characteristic saturation dose, and the sum of $I_0$ and $c$ is the saturation value of the exponential curve. The natural dose signal is shown as a filled circle on the $y$-axis in (b).
Supplementary Figure 12: (a) Distribution of dose recovery ratios (recovered dose/given dose) for all accepted K-feldspar grains of sample BJZ-OSL-3. (b) Distribution of residual dose values for all accepted K-feldspar grains of the same sample.