



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

University of Wollongong Thesis Collection
1954-2016

University of Wollongong Thesis Collections

2010

The White-bellied sea-eagle in the Jervis Bay region: an exploration of the cultural, ecological and conservation significance

Amanda Baldwin
University of Wollongong

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author.

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Recommended Citation

Baldwin, Amanda, The White-bellied sea-eagle in the Jervis Bay region: an exploration of the cultural, ecological and conservation significance, Master of Science thesis, University of Wollongong - School of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, 2010. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/3166>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

University of Wollongong



The White-bellied Sea-Eagle in the Jervis Bay region:

An Exploration of the Cultural, Ecological and
Conservation Significance



By Amanda Baldwin

A thesis submitted in part fulfilment of the requirements of the research degree of Master of Science in the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, 2010

The information in this thesis is entirely the result of investigations conducted by the author, unless otherwise acknowledged, and has not been submitted in part, or otherwise, for any other degree or qualification.

Amanda Baldwin, May 27th, 2010

.....

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project would not have been possible without the help of so many extraordinary people. I would like to first of all acknowledge all of the people who participated in this project, for taking the time out to give this project life. I would like to thank those members of the Jerrinja Aboriginal Community who participated in this project. You welcomed me into your home, on your own time, brought me out and introduced me to your Country. I would also like to thank the participant from Wreck Bay who also kindly shared with me your stories and your Country. I am forever grateful for these experiences! I would like to make a special thanks to Sue Feary and everyone at the Nowra Area Office, who tolerated me going through the library for two weeks. Your advice for this project is very much appreciated! A special thanks to Fran Clements and Nathan Knott from the Marine Park Office who also helped with the initial stages of this project. Your guidance was most helpful!

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Michael Adams, Professor Lesley Head, and Dr. Jack Baker for reading through drafts (even at the last minute), helping me clear my thoughts and challenging me to lengths I never thought possible. Very special thanks to Michael and Lesley for your guidance and supervision with this project throughout its duration. I owe so very much to you both!

I would like to thank my close friends Peta, Lexi, and Hayley for talking me through the rough patches and ensuring I remained social every now and then. A special thanks to my study buddies Benny, Toby, Ollie for working alongside my 23 hour routines. To the O'Neil's for welcoming me into your home, and giving much needed advice. I am truly so lucky to have such wonderful friends! A special thank you to my close friend April and her grandparents for allowing me to stay in their home during the fieldwork portion of this research, this project financially would not have been possible without your assistance. Thank you for your generosity! I would like to acknowledge my housemates Daniel, Andres, Hannah, and Kail for tolerating me during the stressful write up period and ensuring I retain some level of sanity.

To my Mom & Carl, my brother Matt, and my best-friend, Priscillia, for all of your long distance support with this project. I truly could not have done this without you. I love and miss you so very much! And last but certainly not least, to my partner, Nick, and his family, the McMullens, for all of your emotional support with this project and for the special way you make me feel at home with you. I love you all so very much!

ABSTRACT

This research project seeks to challenge the historical and contemporary exclusion of Aboriginal people by negotiating more effective and collaborative relationships between settler and Aboriginal Australians. This is achieved through a cross-cultural and collaborative design which employs two primary theories within environmental management and conservation topics; ‘nature is contested’ and ‘nature is more than human’. The thesis explores the questions of how Aboriginal and settler knowledge systems, conceptualisations of space and cultural values are represented and how, in comparison, they form commonalities and differences. In acknowledgement of Australia’s diverse ecological systems and the heterogeneity of the people who constitute a part of those systems, the thesis questions are most appropriately applied to a small scale geographic location within a focused field. Subsequently, the thesis questions are examined through the fields of human geography and environmental management, and are specifically applied to a well recognised species in the study area; the White-bellied Sea-Eagle. The geographic location for the research is the Jervis Bay region on the south coast of NSW.

The research provides insight into both Aboriginal and non-Indigenous experiences pertaining to conservation in the Jervis Bay region, with considerable overlap between the two study-groups, each informing the other. The research findings from both participant groups highlight a continued contestation of Aboriginal knowledge and management practices, due to privileged methods of measurement and comparison to ‘traditional’ Aboriginal contexts which rely upon continuity and very little change. Results also indicated conflict between cross-cultural conceptualisations of space, promoting disparity between the two Aboriginal Communities in the study area as well as highlighting existing gaps in the acknowledgement and understanding of Aboriginal and ecological conceptualisations. Finally, the research indicates some commonality between the two groups regarding the cultural significance of the White-bellied Sea-Eagle as well as challenging the science based human-nature dichotomy. The embodied and affective dimensions of human-nonhuman encounters, demonstrates that the Settler science model thus reveals the falsity of its own binary logic. These research findings assist in negotiating cross-cultural differences, encourage further understanding, and empower both Aboriginal and non-Indigenous knowledge systems, conceptualisations of space, and cultural values for the overall interest of improved environmental conservation and management.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	III
ABSTRACT.....	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	V
LIST OF TABLES.....	VIII
LIST OF BOXES.....	VIII
LIST OF MAPS.....	VIII
LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS.....	IX
LIST OF ART WORK.....	IX
LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	X
TERMINOLOGY.....	XII
1. INITIATING COLLABORATIVE AND CROSS-CULTURAL RESEARCH IN THE JERVIS BAY REGION.....	1
1.1. Introduction	
1.2. Selecting the Study Area and Initiating Research	
1.2.1. <i>The Jervis Bay Study Area</i>	
1.3. Conceptual Framework	
1.3.1. <i>Knowledge, Space, and Cultural Significance</i>	
1.3.2. <i>Protected Areas</i>	
1.4. Thesis Aims	
1.5. Significance and Originality	
1.6. A Cross-cultural Study	
1.7. Thesis Outline	
1.8. Thesis Design	
2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	16
2.1. Introduction	
2.2. The Establishment of National Parks: A ‘One-Sided’ History	
2.3. Indigenous Involvement in Conservation Management	
2.4. Commonwealth vs. State Managed Protected Areas	
2.5. Theoretical Framework	
2.5.1. <i>Nature as Contested</i>	
2.5.2. <i>Nature Beyond Human</i>	
2.6. The Cultural Significance of Raptors Internationally	
2.6.1. <i>Native Americans: The Bald Eagle and Golden Eagle</i>	
2.6.2. <i>North and South American Indian tribes: The California Condor, Andean Condor, and Harpy Eagle</i>	
2.7. Conclusion	
3. ETHICS & METHODOLOGY.....	34
3.1. Introduction	
3.2. Rigour and Qualitative Research	
3.3. Negotiating Ethics	
3.3.1. <i>Western Scholarship and Application Processes</i>	
3.3.2. <i>Critiques</i>	
3.4. Critical Reflexivity, Positionality, and Politics in Research	

3.5. My Positionality	
3.5.1. <i>Ethical Dilemmas as non-Indigenous and non-native Australian</i>	
3.5.2. <i>Positionality as a Post-graduate Student and Researcher</i>	
3.5.3. <i>Addressing Risks</i>	
3.6. Positionality, Power and Politics: Participants	
3.6.1. <i>Non-Indigenous participants</i>	
3.6.2. <i>Indigenous participants</i>	
3.6.3. <i>Indigenous park staff participants: conflicting roles and responsibilities</i>	
3.7. Positionality Conclusions	
3.8. Ethical Design	
3.8.1. <i>Cross-cultural and Collaborative research methods</i>	
3.8.2. <i>Cultural protocols and two-way benefits</i>	
3.9. Research Design and Methods: Achieving Rigour	
3.9.1. <i>Literature Review</i>	
3.9.2. <i>Participant Recruitment</i>	
3.9.3. <i>Interview, Engagement, Participant Observation and Peer Review</i>	
3.9.4. <i>Discourse Analysis</i>	
3.10. Thesis Scope and Acknowledgement of limitations	
3.11. Conclusion	
4. NON-INDIGENOUS WAYS.....	71
4.1. Introduction	
4.2. Ways of ‘Knowing’ the White-bellied Sea-Eagle	
4.2.1. <i>Science</i>	
4.2.2. <i>Agency Staff Knowledge</i>	
4.2.3. <i>Nature-Culture Binary</i>	
4.3. <i>Expressions in Law: Conservation status of the White-bellied Sea-Eagle</i>	
4.3.1. <i>International</i>	
4.3.2. <i>National</i>	
4.3.3. <i>NSW</i>	
4.3.4. <i>Other States</i>	
4.3.5. <i>Research Conservation Perspectives</i>	
4.3.6. <i>Agency Staff Perspectives</i>	
4.4. Conceptualisations of Space: Jervis Bay	
4.4.1. <i>Local Government Area: Shoalhaven</i>	
4.4.2. <i>Protected Area Management: Jervis Bay</i>	
4.4.2.1. <i>NSW Jervis Bay National Park</i>	
4.4.2.2. <i>NSW Jervis Bay Marine Park</i>	
4.4.2.3. <i>Booderee National Park</i>	
4.4.3. <i>Department of Defence</i>	
4.4.4. <i>Integrated Management</i>	
4.5. Personal Connections and Cultural Significance	
4.6. Conclusion	
5. ABORIGINAL WAYS.....	117
5.1. Introduction	
5.2. Ways of ‘Knowing’ the White-bellied Sea-Eagle	
5.2.1. <i>Contested Knowledges</i>	
5.2.2. <i>Guarded Knowledge</i>	
5.2.3. <i>Indigenous Environmental Knowledge ‘At Work’</i>	

5.3. Conceptualisations of Space: Jervis Bay	
5.3.1. <i>The Yuin and Tharawal Nations</i>	
5.3.2. <i>Aboriginal People in a Bureaucratic Framework</i>	
5.3.2.1. Wreck Bay Aboriginal Community Council	
5.3.2.2. Jerrinja Aboriginal Land Council	
5.3.3. <i>Country as tangible, Country as intangible</i>	
5.4. Personal Connections and Cultural Significance	
5.4.1. <i>Creative Art as Expression: Aboriginal Artists</i>	
5.4.2. <i>Sharing</i>	
5.4.3. <i>Separated</i>	
5.5. Conclusion	
6. COMPARISON & DISCUSSION OF IMPLICATIONS.....	161
6.1. Introduction	
6.2. Ways of Knowing	
6.3. Conceptualisations of Space	
6.3.1. <i>'Managing' a Mobile Organism</i>	
6.4. Personal Connections and Cultural Significance	
6.5. The Road Ahead	
7. CONCLUSION.....	167
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	169
APPENDICES.....	188
A. Structure Charts of DECCW and National Parks Australia (NPA)	
B. University of Wollongong, Human Research Ethics Committee Application	
C. Correspondence, University of Wollongong Human Research Ethics Committee	
D. Booderee National Park, Permit	
E. Participant Information Sheet for Aboriginal Community Member	
F. Participant Consent Form for Aboriginal Community Member	
G. Participant Information Sheet for non-Indigenous staff	
H. Participant Consent Form for non-Indigenous staff	
I. Interview Questions/Topic Guide	
J. Email Correspondence, Initiating Research Project	
K. List of Participants	
L. Contact Record of Participants not interviewed	
M. Potential Participants and Contact Record	

(Section)	LIST OF TABLES	(Page)
------------------	-----------------------	---------------

Table 3.1	Methods to Achieve Rigour in Research Design.....	37
Table 3.2	Aboriginal Community benefit and research utility.....	51
Table 3.3	Guidelines for Ethical Conduct in Aboriginal Research.....	61
Table 4.1	Birdlife International Methodology: Bird Species Listing.....	86

(Section)	LIST OF BOXES	(Page)
------------------	----------------------	---------------

Box 3.1	Researcher ‘Autobiography.....	44
Box 3.2	Indigenous Staff and Cultural Protocol.....	61
Box 4.1	Nature-Culture Binary.....	83
Box 5.1	Sharing with the White-bellied Sea-Eagle.....	154

(Section)	LIST OF MAPS	(Page)
------------------	---------------------	---------------

Map 1.1	Jervis Bay Study Area.....	6
Map 4.1	Shoalhaven Local Government Area Boundary.....	93
Map 4.2	NSW Jervis Bay National Park and Boundaries.....	98
Map 4.3	NSW Jervis Bay Marine Park Boundary and Zones.....	100
Map 4.4	Booderee National Park Boundary.....	103
Map 4.5	Department of Defence Locations and Boundaries.....	106
Map 5.1	Aboriginal Country in a Traditional Context: Jervis Bay.....	132
Map 5.2	Contemporary Aboriginal Community Council Locations and Boundaries.....	135
Map 5.3	Map of <i>Mak Mak</i> Dreaming.....	148

**Please note: All photographs, unless otherwise stated, belong to the author.*

(Section)	LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS	(Page)
Title Page	St. George’s Lookout, Bherwerre Peninsula, Jervis Bay	
Chapter 1	St. George’s Basin, Jervis Bay.....	1
Chapter 2	Magnifying Glass Over an Open Book (N. Cohen).....	16
Chapter 3	White-bellied Sea-Eagle, HMAS Creswell (J. Spencer).....	34
Chapter 4	White-bellied Sea-Eagle nest, Wreck Bay (J. Spencer).....	71
Section 4.2.2	Scientific Chart of the White-bellied Sea-Eagle.....	75
Section 4.2.2	Juvenile White-belled Sea-Eagle, Beecroft Peninsula.....	76
Chapter 5	Red Point, Jerrinja Aboriginal Community Land Grant.....	117
Section 5.3.2.2	Bindijine Jerrinja Camping Area, Beecroft Peninsula.....	144
Section 5.3.2.2	Campers at Red Point, Jerrinja Land Grant.....	147
Section 5.4.2.	White-Bellied Sea-Eagle, BNP Visitor’s Centre.....	156
Chapter 6	Adult White-belled Sea-Eagle, St. George’s Lookout.....	161
Chapter 7	Jervis Bay from the southern Bherwerre Peninsula.....	167

(Section)	LIST OF ARTWORK	(Page)
Section 5.4.1	Ginger Riley Munduwalawala, <i>Ngak Ngak in Limmen Bight River Country</i>	151
Section 5.4.1	Georgina ‘Coopy’ Parsons, <i>The Lost Bugain</i>	152

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AIATSIS:	Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies
ATSIC	Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission
ANPWS	Australian National Parks and Wildlife Service
BNP	Booderee National Park
CAMBA	China-Australia Migratory Bird Agreement
CITES	Convention on International Trade of Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna
DECCW	Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (NSW)
DDET	Department of Defence Environment Team (Beecroft Peninsula, Jervis Bay, NSW)
DEWHA	Australian Government, Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts
DIPNR	Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources (NSW), now divided into two separate departments, The Department of Planning and The Department of Natural Resources
DPIPWE	Department of Primary Industry, Parks, Water and Environment (TAS)
EPBC Act	<i>The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> , Australian Government
IUCN	The World Conservation Union (formerly the International Union for the Conservation of Nature)
JBMP	Jervis Bay Marine Park (NSW)
JBNP	Jervis Bay National Park (NSW)
LALC	Local Aboriginal Land Council
MoU	Memorandum of Understanding
MPA	NSW Marine Parks Authority
NCAI	National Congress of American Indians

NPWS	NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service (NSW), a division of DECCW
NPA	National Parks Australia
TSC Act	<i>Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 (NSW) and Threatened Species Conservation Amendment Act 2002 (NSW)</i>

Terminology:

‘Country’- The term ‘Country’ has a different meaning in Aboriginal English than its standard Western English definition. While the definition in Aboriginal English is rather complex and ambiguous, a basic understanding is that ‘Country’ is an ‘ecological web’, a ‘nourishing terrain...a place that gives and receives life’ (Rose, 2002: 18, 14). ‘Country’ is used in Aboriginal English as both a proper and common noun; as if one is speaking to a person (Rose, 2002). Deborah Rose illuminates:

...they speak to country, sing to country, visit country, worry about country, grieve for country and long for country. People say that country knows, hears, smells, takes notice, takes care, is sorry or happy. Country is a living entity with a yesterday, today and tomorrow, with consciousness, action, and a will toward life. Because of its richness in meaning, country is home and peace; nourishment for body, mind and spirit; and heart’s ease (Rose, 2002:14).

Delia Lowe, from Jerrinja Aboriginal Community explains ‘It [Country] is related to all places. It’s like a web, which branches out where all things are related, from hunting to ceremony to religion. For a long time, thousands of years, our people have lived on this land. Our very existence is our relationship to this land, and if we cannot have that something will die within us’ (Lowe, 1988). The use of the term ‘Country’ in this thesis, works to embody the richness of its meaning for Aboriginal people generally, and particularly for the Aboriginal people who belong to the Country I visited for this research project.

‘Totemism’ and ‘Dreaming’- ‘Totemism’ is a term used originally to define the beliefs and cultural practices of one Native North American tribe situated north of Lake Huron, the Ojibwa (Rose et al., 2003). Despite the unique origins of the terms ‘totem’ and ‘totemism’, an early generation of academics adopted these terms to define and group together ‘the phenomenon’ of various Indigenous cosmologies (‘cosmologies’ meaning- ‘a people’s basic assumptions about what kind of world they live in, what forces control it, and what the place of humans is’), creating what was commonly considered a universal term (Rose et al., 2003: 3). Many scholars have since critiqued the Ojibwa term’s usage to define the multitude of various Indigenous cosmologies, and the acceptance and appropriateness of this term also varies among Aboriginal Australians (Rose et al., 2003). As ‘some Aboriginal people in NSW use the term and seem to regard it as an important part of their culture (Nayutah & Finlay 1988), while others find it unfamiliar, and some find it offensive’ (Rose et al., 2003: 2). The term ‘totem’, in Australia, is interchangeable with the term, ‘Dreaming’, which is

characteristically Aboriginal Australian (Rose et al., 2003). Rose et al. (2003) best explains the complexities of the terms ‘totem’ and ‘totemism’ in this excerpt:

The terms ‘totem’ and ‘totemism’ convey three main meanings in NSW:

1. The first is an identity meaning- the ‘totem’ is a non-human species or phenomenon that stands for, or represents, the group.
2. The second is a relationship meaning- the ‘totem’ and the person or group share their physical substance, and share a kin relatedness.
3. The third is a worldview meaning- the relationships are embedded in a view of the world in which connectivity is the foundation of all life.

Several main points can be made concerning contemporary totemism in NSW:

- Totemism articulates a system of kinship with the natural world.
- Totemism is expressive of a worldview in which kinship is a major basis for all life, in which the natural world and humans are participants in life processes. Relationships are based on the kin-concepts of enduring solidarity, responsibility and care.
- In some areas totems represent individuals and groups in broader social contexts. Group representation is often achieved emblematically- the image of the totem represents the person or group to others.
- One major issue arising from the case studies is ecological connectivity. A totemic species is not treated as if it were isolated from its environment. To the contrary, the duty of care that inheres in bonds of mutual life-giving includes human care of the whole environment which enables the totemic species to thrive.
- A second major issue arising from the case studies is respect. Respect is founded in law and works across human and ‘natural’ systems. Respect for knowledge and autonomy is linked with respect for living things and their habitats.

(Rose et al., 2003: 3)

The terms ‘Dreaming’, ‘totem’ and ‘totemism’ are used throughout the thesis, with particular considerations for the various complexities in the terms meanings.

‘Indigenous’- The term ‘Indigenous’ is a universal term used to describe the original inhabitants of particular territories (Kottak, 2007). The United Nations have played a significant role in establishing international best practice regarding the acknowledgement of Indigenous peoples’ rights and interests. The United Nations, with significant input from the Special Rapporteur of the Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities, Jose R. Martinez Cobo, provided a working definition for the term ‘Indigenous peoples’ which states:

Indigenous Communities, peoples and nations are those which, having a historical continuity with pre-invasion and pre-colonial societies that developed on their territories, consider themselves distinct from other sectors of the societies now prevailing on those territories, or parts of them. They form at present non-dominant sectors of society and are determined to preserve, develop and transmit to future generations their ancestral territories, and their ethnic identity, as the basis of their continued existence as peoples, in accordance with their own cultural patterns, social institutions and legal system. This historical continuity may consist of the continuation, for an extended period reaching into the present of one or more of the following factors:

- a) Occupation of ancestral lands, or at least of part of them;
- b) Common ancestry with the original occupants of these lands;
- c) Culture in general, or in specific manifestations (such as religion, living under a tribal system, membership of an indigenous community, dress, means of livelihood, lifestyle, etc.);
- d) Language (whether used as the only language, as mother-tongue, as the habitual means of communication at home or in the family, or as the main, preferred, habitual, general or normal language);
- e) Residence on certain parts of the country, or in certain regions of the world;
- f) Other relevant factors.

On an individual basis, an indigenous person is one who belongs to these indigenous populations through self-identification as indigenous (group consciousness) and is recognized and accepted by these populations as one of its members (acceptance by the group). This preserves for these communities the sovereign right and power to decide who belongs to them, without external interference (United Nations, 2004: 4).

These definitions explain the key commonality between the multitude of diverse and unique Indigenous cultures throughout the world. However, many Indigenous cultures also share similar characteristics with cultural aspects such as, knowledge, resource use, etc. which will be explored in the thesis (Eriksen & Adams, 2010). In an international context this term can incorporate all Indigenous peoples such as, Native Americans, Maori, Saami, San, First nation peoples, native people, etc. In an Australian context, this term is used to describe both Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people (Gregory *et. al*, 2009). While this thesis does recognise more specific terminology could be used to differentiate between cultures, these terms are not within the scope of the thesis. As a result, the thesis refers to the universal term ‘Indigenous’, with the exception of the term used to define Indigenous people originating from Australia.

‘Aboriginal’- the term ‘Aboriginal’ is used in this thesis to specifically describe the Indigenous people originally from Australia. This term is not inclusive of Torres Strait

Islander people and other Indigenous peoples. Although not used in this thesis, more locally or regionally appropriate classifications used by Australian Indigenous peoples, such as ‘Goori’, ‘Koori’, ‘Murri’, ‘Noongar’, etc., are acknowledged (Head, 2000a; Head, 2000b; Cavanagh, 2007; Gregory *et. al*, 2009).

‘Non-Indigenous’ and ‘Settler’ – the terms used throughout the thesis to describe people who are of Western or Colonial lineage. Non-Indigenous and Settler Australians have either ancestors who settled in Australia in the last 300 years or have recently settled in Australia themselves. Settler Australians are not of ‘Indigenous’ or ‘Aboriginal’ background.

‘Indigenous Knowledge’ and ‘Indigenous Environmental Knowledge’- Several terminologies have been created and applied to describe ‘the environmental knowledge and cultural resource practices of Indigenous peoples’ (Eriksen & Adams, 2010). These terms include ‘Indigenous Knowledge’, ‘Indigenous Environmental Knowledge’, ‘Traditional Ecological Knowledge’ (and its acronym ‘TEK’), ‘Indigenous Technical Knowledge’ and ‘Ethno-ecology’ (Berkes, 2008; Menzies, 2006; Menzies & Butler, 2006; Eriksen & Adams, in press). While recognizing all of these terms, this thesis employs what I believe to be the most appropriate and universal term, ‘Indigenous Environmental Knowledge’. This term does not emphasize a static knowledge as does the term ‘traditional’; it does not accentuate a mechanical knowledge process as does the term ‘technical’; and it does not seemingly restrict the definition to an ecologically based knowledge, as does the terms ‘Ethno-ecology’ and ‘Traditional Ecological Knowledge’. ‘Indigenous knowledge’ incorporates all ways of knowing for Indigenous people, and ‘Indigenous Environmental Knowledge’ slightly narrows ‘Indigenous Knowledge’ to focus on both traditional and contemporary environmental themes.

‘Local Knowledge’ and ‘Local Environmental Knowledge’- A term used to define a broader dimension of knowing which is at times considered more inclusive than ‘Indigenous Environmental Knowledge’. ‘Local Knowledge’ is typically excluded from the Western paradigm of ‘formal’ education and knowledge though it is not specifically identified with Indigenous or Aboriginal ways of knowing (Griffith, 2006; McGoodwin, 2006).

‘Traditional Owner’- ‘Traditional Owner’ is defined as a person who, ‘through membership of a descent group or clan, has responsibility for caring for particular country... [who is] authorised to speak for country and its heritage’ (Australian Heritage Commission, 2002).