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Abstract

Using simultaneously fine and coarse resolution geoarchaeological studies of the deposits of the Middle Stone Age site of PP5-6 at Pinnacle Point, Mossel Bay, South Africa, we were able to reveal different patterns of anthropogenic input and behavior and how these changed through time. Through the microfacies approach using micromorphology we documented the various geogenic and anthropogenic processes that formed the deposits of the site. By deciphering large scale rate differences in the production of these microfacies we estimated anthropogenic input rates and therefore gained understanding of occupational duration and intensity.

The PP5-6 sediments document occupations characterized by small groups and short visits during Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 5. This part of the sequence is characterized by numerous single and mostly intact hearth structures in a roofspall-rich matrix. During this time the sea was very close to the site and the people were focused on exploiting the rocky shores. With the advent of the glacial conditions of MIS4, the occupation of the site becomes much more intense. The occurrence of thick palimpsests of burnt remains, sometimes disturbed by small-scale sedimentary gravity processes, supports to this conclusion. As sea level dropped and the coastline retreated, the geogenic input shifted to predominately aeolian sediments implying an exposed shelf probably
associated with a rich but more distant coastal environment. The occupants of PP5-6 turn their preference to silcrete as a raw material and they began to make microlithic stone tools. Since sites dating to MIS4 are abundant in the Cape, we suggest that populations during MIS4 responded to glacial conditions with either demographic stability or growth as well as technological change.
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**Introduction**

Over the last few years rockshelters and caves in South Africa have provided important information regarding anthropogenic depositional processes during the Middle Stone Age (MSA). Detailed studies at the microscopic scale have revealed fine-scale activities (e.g. Goldberg et al., 2009) or have disentangled complex stratigraphic sequences and reconstructed sedimentary histories (Karkanas and Goldberg, 2010). In these studies a similar approach and methodology was used but the focus was different, mainly because of the differences in the structure and formation of the sites. In the case of Pinnacle Point 13B (PP13B) the study targeted long-term environmental changes and how these regulated absence or presence of humans in the site (Karkanas and Goldberg, 2010).
2010) whereas in the case of Sibudu the study focused on fine scale human activities and thus fine-grained behaviors (Goldberg et al., 2009).

Undoubtedly, the site structure and temporal scale of site formation processes delimits the degree of understanding of behaviors and temporal patterns in those behaviors inferred from archaeological finds in those sites. Therefore, the resolution of any site and consequently its maximum capacity to reveal behavioral information are constrained by the accumulation rates of anthropogenic and natural sediments (cf. Malinki-Bullet et al., 2011). Many sites with varying accumulation patterns have been studied and discussed (Butzer et al., 1978; Goldberg, 2000; Goldberg et al., 2009; Miller et al., 2013), but there are few sites where changes in sedimentary processes can be directly linked to behavioral and cultural changes through time.

Pinnacle Point Site 5-6 (PP5-6) on the south coast of South Africa (Figure 1) provides a good example of such a site because it has a long, high-resolution depositional sequence with various types of sediment, and it records major changes in stone artifacts that are argued to represent significant shifts in behavior (Brown et al., 2009; Brown et al., 2012). To gain the maximum information from PP5-6 and therefore exploit its intrinsic resolution, we propose here that in addition to using microstratigraphic analysis and capturing minutes in remote time (cf. Henry, 2012) we should also focus on studying both short and long term changes in depositional rates of the major contributors to site formation and understand the dynamics of anthropogenic and geogenic depositional processes. In this way we can reveal the pattern of anthropogenic input and how the
latter changes though time. In other words, we advocate here that understanding past human behavior can be accomplished by using simultaneously fine and coarse resolution studies of the deposits. Finer scale spatial observation and analysis can be used to understand single depositional and behavioral events. These phenomena are rarely found isolated and pristine, and more commonly they are found distorted and as palimpsests. Even when found as the latter, we argue they can be safely interpreted when they are contextualized within the larger scale. In PP5-6 we often find isolated single depositional events. Regardless if they are found pristine or distorted, the coarser scale can act to guide the interpretation (Bailey, 2007).

This work is also innovative in the way we interpret geogenic depositional processes. The typical unstated goal in most archaeological studies of anthropogenic deposits such as living floors or palimpsests is that the archaeological materials need to be cleaned of the noise that natural formation processes produce and therefore distort the archaeological record. However, a more pragmatic approach may be to interpret the archaeological record as a product of these natural processes, conditioning the anthropogenic sedimentation and possibly even enhancing the visibility of the archaeological record. We therefore analyze here geogenic depositional processes with the premise that they shape and eventually reveal the conditions of occupation rather than that they destroy the evidence of occupation. In the same line, anthropogenic activities are considered as depositional agents that produce certain sedimentary patterns that structure the site in the same way geogenic processes do.
The site

PP5-6 is a rockshelter and cave site in the quartzitic cliffs at Pinnacle Point near Mossel Bay, South Africa (Figure 1). We divided the site into two main portions, PP5-6 North and PP5-6 South, which were probably connected in the past but are now separated by a major eroded area and cliff collapse. Our study is concerned with the analysis of the deposits of the PP5-6 North which has the form of a slit-cut rockshelter (Figures 1 and 2). It is divided into three areas – the Northwest Remnant, the Long Section, and the South Remnant (Figures 2 and 3). The Long Section is the main deposit at PP5-6 North and is a ~30 m cone of sediments built up against a cliff face and also partially under the rock shelter. The development of the rockshelter follows a main south-trending diagonal fault breccia visible at its back wall. As all other rockshelters and caves in Pinnacle Point, PP5-6 site has been formed by more than one sea level highstand (Karkanas and Goldberg, 2010; Pickering et al., 2013), and the main linear cutting of PP5-6 probably followed a series of regressing sea level highstands commencing more than 1.0 ma (Pickering et al., 2013). The slit-cut configuration of the cave also defined the morphology of the deposits. The topography of the substrate is not exposed but it probably follows the development of the main fault breccia. Therefore deposition probably leveled any floor irregularities at the very bottom of the shelter initially and then progressively built up the sequence. This is actually observed in the structure of the horizontally stacked sedimentary sequence (Figure 2). Although small variations in
the type of sediments are expected along the cave, its shallow configuration, linear
development, and constant dipping rather suggest that most of the large-scale geogenic
depositional processes were acting throughout the whole horizontal extension of the
cave (Figures 2 and 3).

As also observed today, along the drip-line a small linear ridge made mainly of collapsed
material from the overhang always existed and therefore rain wash was guided towards
the interior of the ridge along the line of extension of the cave. The present
manifestation of this process is an active erosion gully between the upper half of the
cone and a cliff-face located at the southern edge that has cut through stratified
deposits (Figure 3). Also, we have observed flood-waters running down the rockshelter
face during extreme rains and flowing down-slope near the contact of the sediments
and the cliff-face, and the north-south pitch of the area near the cliff-face resembles a
water-cut gulley. The excavated and revealed portion of the Long Section is a
continuous >14 m section of exposed MSA deposit. The Northwest Remnant is a small
portion of sediment stacked against the back wall of the rockshelter in the upper
northwest part of the site. At the base of the Long Section excavation a thick aeolian
sand deposit was encountered that continues for at least ~ 2.7 m meters as revealed
with a hand auger. The auger hit rock at ~12 m asl and this could either be a shelf or
rockfall. We do not know if the sedimentary sequence continues below this
encountered rock. The dune at the base of the Long Section is an aeolian event that has
been recognized at several sites at Pinnacle Point and is tightly constrained by many OSL
ages as well as uranium-thorium ages on overlying speleothem that date it to ~90 ka (Marean et al 2010, Jacobs 2010, Bar-Matthews et al. 2010). The top of the PP5-6 section is dated by OSL to ~50 ka (Brown et al. 2012), providing a ~40,000 year sequence across ca. 14 m of sediment.

Much of the Long Section is an eroded cliff-face created by two or more erosion events that washed away and undercut the sediments of PP5-6 and removed much of the original sediment stack, creating two cliff-faces that were the target of our excavations (Figures 2 and 3). Remnants of this eroded sediment are still visible cemented to the quartzite cliff walls at the far southern edge of the Long Section between PP5-6 North and South, and likely at one time wrapped around the cliff and connected PP5-6 North and South. The result is that today there is a preserved cone of deposit at PP5-6 North that rises up c. 14 vertical m from the base of the cone on the far southern end of the Long Section and the Northwest Remnant. The erosion profile at the southern end is sharp and vertically steep, and resembles more the result of a catastrophic detachment of sediment that was undercut and not a long process of rain wash erosion along a slope. This erosion event must post-date the youngest MSA deposits at the top of the sediment stack since these are also eroded away. We think that the major erosion event included rising sea levels (likely the early and middle Holocene high sea levels) cutting away at the deposit from the east and south, undermining and washing away deposit that projected out onto the now submerged coastal platform and once wrapped around to PP5-6 South. The basal sediments of the loose dune would have been highly
susceptible to such erosion. Following this erosive phase, the entire eroded section was draped with sediments of mixed colluvial and aeolian nature that eventually formed a weakly developed soil on top and are now vegetated. The first seasons of excavation focused on removing this “modern” drape of sediment to reveal the sediments of interest. During the first few seasons we had no clue that this drape obscured such a long profile, since only ~2m of MSA sediment was exposed at the surface. The following seasons focused on excavating intact sediment from the entire length of the profile.

**Methodology**

*Recording and Analyzing the Stratigraphy*

We employ several stratigraphic groupings of different scales in order to capture visible changes in sedimentation and the finer details in behavioral variation embedded within the sedimentary shifts (Figure 4). The excavations were conducted within individual stratigraphic lenses and features, collectively titled Stratigraphic Units (StratUnits), with all archaeological finds and sedimentary observations recorded by total station. These high resolution units typically represent subtle anthropogenic or geogenic units, for example combustion events, roofspall events of low occupation, etc., recognized primarily by field observations. These StratUnits are then grouped into larger aggregates termed Sub-Aggregates (SubAggs). These typically take the form of palimpsests of combustion features and anthropogenic artifactual/ecofactual clusters,
with inter-bedded layers of low to non-occupation geogenic units. Assignment of StratUnits to Sub-Aggregates is typically done in the field but they are then refined through GIS-based 2D and 3D study of patterning within plotted finds and plots of the StratUnits. SubAggs are then grouped into Stratigraphic Aggregates (StratAggs), our higher hierarchical level of analysis (Figures 2 and 4). These are horizontally continuous across large excavated areas, are typically 50 cm to several meters thick, and reflect a homogeneous set of formation processes recognized by field observations, micromorphological study and GIS-based analysis of structure within plotted finds. They are sedimentary units that reflect major changes in geogenic and anthropogenic sedimentary processes.

_Facies and Microfacies_

An important step in interpreting the stratigraphy of a site is to understand the related depositional processes. A single depositional process can produce a single visible layer, but so can a set of depositional processes operating in a repetitive consistent manner. For example, dumping hearth raked-out material in the same location in the back of a cave can produce a single combustion layer. Therefore disentangling the stratigraphy of a site is primarily about understanding sedimentary processes and their visual manifestation, which is a facies (Figure 4). A facies type is a group of sediments having the same appearance (color, content, structure and fabric) and thus recording distinct depositional processes or groups of processes acting at the same time. In the field facies typically are defined macroscopically but micromorphology can also define microscopic
facies (microfacies). The microfacies approach is a recent analytical approach (Courty, 2001), but it has already been widely applied with successful results (Goldberg et al., 2009; Villagran et al., 2009; Karkanas, 2010; Karkanas et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2013). It is important to stress that all microfacies are stratigraphic entities that have the potential for both significant lateral extent and repetitive occurrence (Figure 4). Only processes that result in the formation of stratigraphic units, however thin they are, can be recorded as facies or microfacies and since grouping is an indispensable part of this differentiation some lumping is inevitable. This spatial dimension and at the same time the diachronic nature of microfacies enables spatial reconstruction of human activities in a site (Miller et al., 2013). An additional very important aspect of the concept of facies and microfacies is that there is a causal connection between different deposits and therefore only certain transitions to other facies are possible (Middleton, 1973). For instance, trampled hearth microfacies can be vertically or laterally associated with intact hearth microfacies and vice versa. It is this knowledge of facies relationships that allows us to correlate and interpret anthropogenic and geogenic processes within an archaeological site in a comprehensive way. Following this line of thought we adopt here the strategy of first describing and interpreting sedimentary facies and then building stratigraphy from those descriptions and interpretations.

*Micromorphology*

Field observations defined the major sedimentary facies and stratigraphic breaks. These two features were the primary focus of more detailed analysis using micromorphology,
the study of undisturbed sediment and soil samples under the microscope (Courty et al. 1989). In this way each macroscopically defined facies were further refined under the microscope and analyzed to one or more microfacies (MF) (Table 1). In addition, several samples along the same combustion feature were also collected in order to define its lateral variation. In total 21 large monoliths (15 to 70 cm long) were collected in the field. The samples were jacketed with plaster of Paris bandages to secure safe removal. The samples were oven dried for several days at ca. 50°C and then impregnated with polyester resin diluted with styrene. The hardened blocks were then cut into 1 cm thick slabs, photographed and processed into 50 x 75 mm petrographic thin sections by Spectrum Petrographics (Vancouver, WA). In total, 83 thin sections from PP5-6 were observed in plane-polarized and cross-polarized light at magnifications ranging from 12.5X to 400X. High resolution images of the entire thin sections were also produced and examined for mesoscopic features. Descriptive terminology of thin sections follows that of Bullock et al. (1985; modified by Stoops, 2003) and Courty et al. (1989) but standard sedimentary terminology is also used for non-pedogenic features.

**Results**

**Facies description**

*Aeolian facies* are sediments dominated by sorted and well-rounded sand. Several microfacies (MF) were recognized, each one recording a different depositional process.
Aeolian sand microfacies (MF) consist of rounded to sub-rounded medium coarse sand with fluctuating amounts of silt and occasionally randomly distributed quartzite roofspall. Sand grains consist mostly of quartz, quartzite, shale, feldspar, glauconite and heavy minerals. Several types of aeolian sand MF were identified. Beige Sand MF contains, in addition, large amounts (ca. 20-30%) of calcareous well-rounded bioclasts with elongated shape. Brown dusty clay microaggregates are rarely observed associated with a few anthropogenic inputs (mostly fine, burnt bone). Brown Sand MF is decalcified and contains locally brown organic-rich and black charred microaggregates and some excremental pedofeatures (Figure 5a). Red Sand MF has a higher silt amount with thin to thick reddish dusty clay coatings and bridged grain microstructure. There are also areas with voids completely filled with dusty light reddish clay that occasionally gives way to reddish crudely laminated undulated limpid clay coatings (Figure 5b). A variety of the latter MF is particularly enriched in roofspall (Roofspall-rich Red Sand MF) and shows preferred orientations of clasts but also clustering, banding of the coarser elements and often deformation rotational features (Figures 6a and 7a). Roofspall is of varying sizes but often reach decametric sizes. Grey Sand MF is also decalcified and has thin silty clay coatings, single-grain microstructure with some silty clay aggregates and microaggregates of organic-rich excrements and root remnants. This MF is particularly enriched in fine roofspall.
Roofspall facies are seen as finely stratified sequences of sediment dominated by roofspall of various sizes but predominately of centimetric size. They usually have light yellowish to brownish color.

All roofspall microfacies are characterized by large amounts of almost clast-supported angular roofspall (Figures 5c, 6b). The aeolian sand component is relatively small. A few light yellowish phosphatic aggregates were also observed. The Typical Roofspall MF often consists of overlapping coarser and finer lenses (clast sizes from coarse gravel to fine sand). The fabric is rather random without any preferred orientation. This type of MF contains little fine matrix with only some fine dusty clay and silty coatings (Figure 5c).

Another type of roofspall microfacies is Matrix-rich Roofspall MF (Figures 5d and 6c) with voids between the larger roofspall occasionally filled with rounded sand and angular sand-sized roofspall implying some kind of sorting by infiltration. It is also characterized by silty-clay speckled coatings and fine intergrain microaggregates consisting of silty excrements. Two types of silty coatings are observed often close to each other. The first consists of decalcified fine elongated lath-like illitic clay and silty quartz with black microcontrasting particles, presumably fine charcoal. The coatings are in the form of non-isopachous coatings but also relatively thick vadose features (pendants and bridges) suggesting that the fine component is infiltrated. The second type of coating consists of dirty grey micrite with very fine black microcontrasting particles. It is also in the form of pendants and bridges. Characteristic features often
identified are rotational deformation features and banding and clustering of coarser components with often tails of fine clasts (Figure 6c). Occasionally, fine lamination of crudely sorted sediment is also observed (Figure 5d).

Combustion facies are here described as all sediments that bear large amounts of burnt remains in the form of ashes, charred or burnt material or their alteration products (e.g. cemented ash) that give a distinct aspect to the sediment. This facies includes several microfacies as well.

White Ash MF shows a layered appearance and consists of grey micrite preserving ash crystals and articulated ash pseudomorphs of plant structures (Figure 5e). Some charcoal is occasionally preserved particularly at the base of this type of sediment. Large amounts of burnt, sometimes exfoliated shell, cracked bone, and dispersed reddish silty clay sediment are also associated with this MF. Shell and bone show varying degrees of burning. Whole shell fragments are often preserved almost intact suggesting minimum disturbance. Bioturbation is often intense in the form of channels and few excremental aggregates. Recrystallization leads to formation of a new MF (Recrystallized White Ash MF) where micritic ash is transformed to sparite and microsparite speckled with micro-charcoal and reddish fine silty clay concentrations (Figure 5h). Nevertheless, ash crystals and articulated pseudomorphs are still visible.

Roofspall-rich Ash MF consists of angular and rounded aggregates of recrystallized ashes inside a mixture of aeolian sand and roofspall (Figure 5f). Roofspall tends to be
horizontally aligned. Inter-grain micro-aggregates consisting of silty excrements are
often observed as well as micritic coatings and bridges.

Sand-rich Ash MF is aeolian sand embedded in a dense close-porphyric matrix that
consists of reworked ashes mixed with fine charred material, exfoliated shell fragments
and burnt bone (Figures 5g and 6d). Ash crystals are occasionally preserved. Channel
voids imply some bioturbation and there is also some movement of material in the form
of grey micritic coatings and even coatings rich in fine ashy material. Variable amounts
of roofspall are observed together with lithics. Large clasts tend to be horizontally
aligned.

Banded Sand-rich Ash MF is a variety of the above MF. It shows banding and preferred
orientation of coarse clasts in roofspall-rich zones also associated with burnt remains,
mainly shell and bone but also ash aggregates and microcharcoal (Figure 6e). Sand-rich
zones attain a coarse stratified appearance with layers of clean elutriated sand
alternating with silt-rich layers.

Closely associated with the above MF is the Deformed Ash-rich Sand MF. It has the same
content with the previous MF but it is characterized by rotational deformation features
also visible sometimes by naked eye (Figure 7c). This and also all the other observed
Deformed types of MFs (see also below) often show coarse particles inclined at the
same angle towards the flow direction with the sand fraction flowing and wrapping
around the coarse clasts (Figures 6c and f). At the front and back of the large clasts,
lenses of aligned finer clasts are observed. Although in some cases rotational features
can superficially resemble bioturbation features, they are clearly differentiated by the
distinct concentration of coarse clasts with the same inclination often in arcuate
arrangements, presence of sharp linear features that represent shearing planes and
continuous complex arcuate and linear grain alignments (Figures 6c and f, 7c, 8a and b)

Cemented Roofspall is another MF related to combustion features (Figure 5h). The
calcite cement is grey micrite recrystallized to sparite completely filling the voids. Dirty
micritic vadose features are also observed. Micro-charcoal is ubiquitously present within
the cement. Almost all of the times the cementation is associated with charred remains,
large or small amounts of shell fragments, some of them burnt, and very few
occasionally burnt fine bone. Roofspall in this type of MF is very often parallel aligned
and sometimes shows indication of oxidation due to burning.

Decalcified Clay MF consists of mm thin bands of decalcified orange silty clay sediment
with occasional preservation of dissolving calcitic ash remnants (Figure 5i). It is always
associated with some burnt bone and charred remains and overlies Cemented Roofspall
MF.

Black Sand facies is characterized by large amounts of black charred material (20-30%)
in a predominately sand matrix (Figures 6f, 7d and 8). The sediment is decalcified,
devoid of bioclasts and shell in general. Sand is medium coarse aeolian well-rounded to
subrounded sand in fluctuating amounts between 30 to 60% and the rest is roofspall of
various sizes more often of decimetric sizes. Therefore a Roofspall-rich Black Sand MF is recognized.

Typical Black Sand microfacies contain high amounts of coarse and fine black microaggregates in between the sand grains (Figure 8c). Most of the aggregates are angular black amorphous charred plant material but a few charcoal pieces with plant structure also occur. This MF is also closely associated with a Clay-rich Black Sand MF where the voids between the sand and the black microaggregates are completely filled with reddish dusty clay coatings and a well-developed close porphyric related distribution is observed (Figure 8d).

A distinct variety of black sand microfacies is Deformed Black MF (Figures 6f and 8a and b). This MF is particularly characterized by widespread development of microscopic rotational features and the presence of almost 10-20% of subangular to subrounded sand-sized burnt bone and microaggregated black rounded burnt material in between the aeolian sand. Sand grains also have fine dusty clay coatings. The sediment has dense concentrations of stone artifacts and particularly ones made on silcrete showing often evidence of burning, i.e. oxidized reddened halos and cracks. Some areas are coarser, having large amounts of roofspall and coarse bone. Coarse elements are often parallel aligned and rotational deformation features are preferentially developed above the coarser increments. Some parts of this MF are characterized by a banded arrangement of coarse and fine roofspall. The variety of Clay-rich Black microfacies has been also found in close association with the Deformed Black Sand microfacies. A variety of the
latter MF has relatively less burnt remains and a dark brownish color (Deformed Dark Sand MF).

**Interpretation of microfacies**

Beige Sand MF is aeolian sand sediment showing minimum chemical alteration as attested by the preservation of calcareous bioclasts. However, the lack of sedimentary structures such as lamination and cross-stratification implies disturbance of the original deposit by physical processes (e.g. sliding) or anthropogenic activities. We have excavated and examined pristine aeolian deposits in similar contexts in several nearby Pinnacle Point caves and all show well-developed sedimentary structures. Moreover, the occurrence of some areas with microaggregates and anthropogenic inputs suggests that part of the disturbance is associated with human activities. The association of this and Brown Sand MF with horizontally laying occupational deposits rather implies flattening and leveling of the sand by the occupational activities since aeolian deposition is not expected to make horizontal surfaces in such dune systems stacked on cliff faces.

In the Brown Sand MF all calcareous bioclasts have been dissolved and therefore the sediment is decalcified. Interestingly, this MF is also devoid of anthropogenic shell inputs even in sediments close to combustion features which contain shell suggesting that they have been decalcified after settled in their final position. Reworking of these sands by human activities is supposed by the frequent presence of black charred microaggregates. It has to be stressed that all aeolian MF except the beige one are also decalcified.
Typical Black Sand MF represents decalcified combustion features mixed with the sand substrate. Indeed, this microfacies together with its clay-rich variety have been observed at the base of modern intact hearths made by the fishermen in the caves. Modern hearths in addition contain an upper ash and shell-rich layer which has not yet been decalcified by the dripping water. Based on this resemblance we may argue that decalcification alone has produced an intimate mixture of burnt remains and the aeolian sand substrate. Moderate trampling cannot be totally excluded. Indeed, the spatial development of most occurrences of this microfacies attests to this conclusion as will be described below.

Red Sand MF is characteristic of pedogenically altered aeolian sands showing well developed red clay coatings and void fillings. In particular, the occasionally observed finely laminated limpid clay coatings are the result of slow transport of clay and are characteristic of clay illuviation in Bt horizons (Kühn et al. 2010). Its spatial development supports this conclusion as well (see discussion of RBSR Stratigraphic aggregate).

Roofspall-rich Red Sand MF is a pedogenically altered roofspall-rich aeolian sand that shows strong evidence of mobilization by gravity flow processes. The fabric of the coarse component and the microscopic deformation features are typical features of debris flow sediments (Phillips, 2006; Miedema and Jongmans, 2002; Bertran and Texier, 1999). Note that these features are also macroscopically visible implying relatively large scale movements. The fact that the pedogenic clay features are not disturbed suggests that pedogenesis affected the sediment after its mobilization.
Grey Sand MF is mixed aeolian roofspall sediment showing strong evidence of bioturbation and reworking in general. This type of sediment is restricted in the modern sediment cover on the top of the sedimentary sequence.

Free fall of weathered quartzite bedrock produces Typical Roofspall MF on the floor of the rockshelter. However, comparison with samples of modern roofspall deposits shows that this microfacies is conspicuously devoid of the silty roofspall component suggesting that the sediment is rather washed out of the silty component. Indeed, the frequent occurrence of vadose-type coatings in the closely associated Matrix-rich Roofspall MF supports this interpretation. What is also important for the latter MF is the frequent presence of microscopic rotational deformation features (cf. Phillips, 2006) which imply small-scale gravity flow processes since macroscopic analogous features were not observed. Indeed, the sediment often preserves fine layering which implies that each layer was moved gently by a combination of sheetwash and gravity flows at different times independently.

White Ash MF obviously represents intact ash remains with a typical layered appearance which most likely represents overlapping fire episodes (Meignen et al., 2007). The recrystallized type of this MF preserves several of the features of the intact ash but layering is one of the first to be destroyed.

Roofspall-rich and Sand-rich Ash MFs are typically disturbed hearth remains each one in a different sediment substrate. Although bioturbation and some translocation are evident the dense fabric of these sediments and the horizontal random alignment of the
coarser clasts rather imply displaced and trampled hearth remains (Meignen et al., 2007; Miller et al., 2011).

Cemented Roofspall MF is ubiquitously associated with combustion features and always contains burnt remains. The cementing material appears to be recrystallized ashes. Preferred orientation determined by visual inspection of the clasts is also a characteristic of this type of microfacies. Other sedimentary features that will imply sheetwash or other sorting and stratification processes were not observed. Therefore, it appears that this type of sediment represents disturbed raked-out and trampled outer parts of combustion features. Indeed, these features are always laterally or vertically connected with intact cemented hearth remains. The Decalcified Clay MF is always found on the top of Cemented Roofspall MF and therefore appears to be one of the sources of the cementing calcite. The fine clastic component of this MF constitutes the lag of the decalcified ashes. Similar clastic material is found dispersed inside pure White Ash MF becoming more apparent in the recrystallized ashes. The growth of larger calcite crystals leads to expelling of the clastic impurities and concentration in certain areas.

Small scale water flows produce Banded Ash-rich Sand MF with elutriated sand and silty roofspall-rich alternating laminae. These water flows rather represent hyperconcentrated flows (thin slurries) since complete separation of bed and suspended load is not observed (c.f. Bertran and Texier, 1999). Deformed Ash-rich Sand MF is the product of small scale gravity flows.
Larger scale movements due to gravity flows produce Deformed Black and Dark Sand MFs with characteristic turbulent fabric. Typical rotational microscopic features of debris flow deposits are very often observed (Phillips, 2006; Miedema and Jongmans, 2002). The finer anthropogenic burnt content is usually sub-rounded implying that the material has been reworked during movement. What is important with these MFs is that they have high artifact densities with large amounts of burnt material, hence their dark color. However, the sediment is totally decalcified implying that shell, if once present, would have been dissolved during the process of its formation. Since such types of large scale processes in PP5-6 are always associated with burnt remains it is suggested that the presence of burnt remains have somehow facilitated the formation of debris flows. Several studies have reported that the effect of ash on soil water retention increased with increased ash addition and that ash particles absorb water and swell (Stoof et al. 2013, and references therein). Therefore, in an unstable sloping sandy substrate transient presence of oversaturated ash may have led to abrupt failure and flow of the burnt remains.

**Stratigraphy**

A single StratUnit can sometimes consist of a single microfacies but normally more than one are included because during excavation it is not always easy to differentiate subtle changes in the geogenic depositional processes. On the excavated profiles a single macroscopically identified StratUnit consists of one microfacies, or a group of microfacies, at a spatial scale that produces a macroscopically homogenous deposit
(Figure 4). A SubAgg consists of multiple StratUnits, is between a StratUnit and StratAgg in thickness and complexity, and might be similar to what many archaeologists would call a ‘layer’. The highest hierarchical stratigraphic entity, the StratAgg, is a group of SubAggs that overall show a distinct lateral and vertical distribution of microfacies producing a deposit with a higher order of homogeneity. However, the concept of a stratum in addition to its content includes also the attributes of its geometry and particular the nature of its contacts (Courty et al., 1989; Goldberg and Berna, 2010).

Note, that with erosional hiatuses we do not necessarily imply significant time gaps. Although single StratUnits in PP5-6 can show a variety of contacts from gradational to sharp and erosional the nature of most contacts between StratAggs can be described as erosional or as a depositional hiatus. Obviously, such large changes in the type of sediments imply major environmental or anthropogenic changes which normally employ destabilization of the depositional system before returning to a new equilibrium.

However, depositional stasis followed or not by pedogenesis and surface alteration can also divide the stratigraphic sequence in higher hierarchical units which are separated by mainly horizontal and sharp contacts. Therefore StratAggs are packets of layers that are bounded by major erosional unconformities or depositional discontinuities.

As we have already noted, the spatial organization of microfacies and the rhythm of their alternation as well as the geometry of the filled space that is produced in this way make the stratigraphic sequence of the site. The geometry of the fill is heavily dependent on the topography of the depositional surface; therefore, the morphology
produced by each deposited layer conditions the deposition of the following layer.

These elementary concepts are followed in the following description and interpretation of the stratigraphy of PP5-6 rockshelter. The main characteristics of the StratAggs, their microfacies composition and age are shown in Table 2.

**Description and formation of strata**

To date the lowermost exposed StratAgg is the Yellow Brown Sand (**YBS**) which consists solely of Beige Sand MF devoid of any anthropogenic input. The aggregate is barely exposed at the foot of the sheared face of YBSR and LBSR StratAggs in the southernmost edge of the sedimentary cone of the rockshelter (Figure 2). Obviously, this sediment played a major role in shaping the present morphology of the sedimentary sequence because it probably acted as an unstable substrate and triggered the shearing of the sequence and the formation of the vertical cliff in this part of the sediment cone.

Yellowish Brown Sand and Roofspall (**YBSR**) overlies with a sharp contact the sand unit YBS. This StratAgg and the overlying Light Brown Sand and Roofspall (**LBSR**) compose a thick sequence consisting of Typical and Matrix-rich Roofspall MF (Figure 5c, d and 6b, c) with intervening lenses of combustion microfacies at an almost constant proportion both in lateral and vertical dimensions (Figures 7b and 9). Occasionally, lenses and thin layers of aeolian microfacies are observed, particularly in the YBSR but in general the aeolian component is small although persistent. The individual layers of the LBSR StratAgg dip gently towards the center line of the rockshelter and parallel to the back wall, where the stratigraphy consists of more StratUnits. As evident in the different
roofspall microfacies of this StratAgg, washing out and translocation of fine grained material as well as recrystallization and local decalcification of ashes suggest differential loss of material in the center line of the cave where water flow was probably more intense. Indeed, the indications of small scale movement of the roofspall sediment would be the result of this gentle flow that would have been enhanced by the gradual compaction of the central area and the formation of a shallow depression zone.

Combustion features are mostly found with circular to oval shapes of less than 1 m diameter. They have irregular outlines wedging out to the surrounding roofspall sediment. On the excavated profile the combustion lenses are sometimes cemented and appear with variable thickness and length but their maximum thickness is less than about 4-5 cm (Figures 7b and 9). Distinct, isolated, complete hearth lenses show a reddened, oxidized substrate occasionally overlain by a blackish dusty roofspall-rich StratUnit typically capped by a cemented white and/or gray ashy often cemented StratUnit (Figures 9b, c and d). The reddish and black StratUnits are generally less laterally extensive than the overlying StratUnits. Interestingly, the red oxidized substrates, clear in the field, are hardly visible under the microscope, and are generally represented by Typical or Cemented roofspall MFs. This is due to the fact that the strong red coloration in the field is the result of the change in the state of the iron mineral impurities of the roofspall or of the finely disseminated clastic content of the ash, something that cannot be detected easily under the microscope (cf. Mallol et al 2007). The same is true with the dusty black StratUnits that are not so distinct in the
microscopic scale. This type of sediment is often just a variety of Cemented Roofspall MF with a higher micro-charcoal content.

The above described complete combustion features represent single relatively intact hearth structures which are often reported in the literature (Mentzer 2014 and references therein). Obviously, incomplete hearth structures also appear in the excavated profiles because each time the profile is excavated back it cuts across different portions of a hearth. Several of the hearth features appear as remnants of intact hearths as these are often sharply truncated by roofspall layers. In other cases, the combustion lenses are thicker and longer composed of superimposed complete and incomplete hearth features. However, careful field examination and micromorphological analysis revealed that these apparently complex features consist of superimposed single complete or incomplete hearth features, each one separated by a roofspall layer. This separation is more clearly discerned at the edges of the complex combustion features whereas in the center they appear as multi-sequence burnt layers (Figure 9d). Moreover, every cemented microscopic layer can be safely connected with an adjacent hearth structure and generally most of the hearths are separated by several cms of loose roofspall deposits. Cemented Roofspall MF implying trampling and reworking are also observed particularly in the outer fringes of the combustion lenses but also as SratUnits in complex combustion sequences. Nevertheless, the characteristic feature of the LBSR is the presence of numerous, generally intact, single hearth structures showing relatively small disturbance and trampling in their periphery. For
sediments of this age, the preservation of so many single and intact hearth structures is rather remarkable.

The overlying StratAgg the Ashy Light Brown Sand (ALBS) starts with a layer of Beige Sand MF (Figures 7e and 10). The contact of this aeolian deposit with the underlying LBSR StratAgg is erosional towards the inside of the cave and it drapes quartzite blocks of roof collapse towards the outside of the cave (Figure 10b). The collapse probably marks a retreat of the cave roof because it is found almost immediately above a relatively strongly cemented column of sediment (in the underlying LBSR) that is related to the ancient dripline (close to the -83726 grid line, Figure 3). The cemented column of sediment is characterized by a chaotic distorted appearance of the coarser components with some of them having vertical positions. This feature is most likely the result of liquefaction of wet sediments below the dip line. However, the adjacent combustion zones continue crudely inside this zone implying that the reworking was not so intense to destroy the overall stratigraphic integrity of the area. There are also thin to thick rhizo-concretions pointing to vegetation cover in this zone. After this event the dripline gradually moved closer to the wall but the cave roof probably continued to protect most of the overlying SADBS area preventing, thus, erosion and alteration of the ashy sequence in that stratigraphic aggregate. The final retreat to the west of the excavated area probably happened much later, after the deposition of BCSR as seen in the present gully formation (Figure 3).
Combustion microfacies, mainly ash-rich sand, are found in the lower part of ALBS which through a thick pure aeolian sand SubAgg gives way to the Shelly Ashy Dark Brown Sand (SADBS) StratAgg, a thick sequence of overlapping StratUnits of mostly trampled combustion microfacies (Figures 5g and 6d) in a crudely stratified appearance (Figures 7e, f and 10). This StratAgg is subdivided by a thin oxidized aeolian sand StratUnit to an upper and lower part. The SADBS lower has generally a higher sand component. In both parts, sediment lay horizontally on the sand substrate and therefore flattening and leveling of the space may have occurred through regular occupation and/or intentional flattening. What is important with this latter sequence is that it has a totally different appearance from the combustion features in the lower LBSR sequence. SADBS consists mainly of horizontally extensive layers of Sand-rich Ash MF occasionally interrupted by lenses of pure White Ash MF. However, complete single hearth features are not discernible and the overall picture is of a cumulative palimpsest with a few isolated lenses of intact hearth features identified mostly microscopically. Importantly, this StratAgg is characterized by the first appearance of microlithic technology (Brown et al. 2012) and also documents a significant increase in the use of silcrete for stone tool manufacture (Brown et al. 2009).

Through a clear undulating erosional contact the Orange Brown Sand 1 (OBS1) StratAgg overlies the SADBS (Figures 10 and 11a). This contact is also demarcated by pockets of typical Black Sand MF which in this case represent in-situ decalcified Sand-rich Ash MF. The OBS1 StratAgg consists mainly of Beige Sand MF and subordinate Brown Sand
regularly interrupted by tabular layers of Sand-rich Ash MF thinning out laterally to
Banded and Deformed Ash-rich Sand MFs (Figures 6e and 7c). A few Intact hearth
features were observed (White Ash MF) towards the inside of the cave but generally all
combustion features represent trampled and reworked ashes occasionally redistributed
by gentle water and gravity flow processes. The aeolian sand SubAggs are generally
sterile with punctuated SubAggs of strongly anthropogenic material that are thin (5-10
cm) palimpsests of combustion features rich in burnt bone and shell and lithics.
Interestingly, all anthropogenic-rich SubAggs are always associated with conspicuously
elevated roofspall content. Note that knapped quartzite lithic artifacts can be
recognized microscopically and differentiated from roofspall (cf. Angelucci 2010).

The OBS1 is overlain by sediments of the Shelly Gray Sand (SGS) StratAgg which show an
increased input of disturbed combustion MF (Ash-rich Sand), but still in an aeolian
dominated environment resembling the SADBS (Figure 11a). Throughout most of the
StratAgg there are high frequencies of lithics, faunal fragments that appear burnt,
shellfish fragments, and centimetric roofspall. Local decalcification is observed where
the aggregate wedges out to the overlying StratAggs BCSR and RBSR (Figure 10).

The overlying StratAgg OBS2 resembles the OBS1 but the aeolian sand is almost
exclusively represented by the decalcified sands of Brown Sand MF and the intervening
combustion SubAggs are also decalcified (typical Black Sand MF: Figure 7c). All
occupational SubAggs are in the form of horizontally lying tabular bodies with generally
diffuse but macroscopically well-defined contacts with the overlying and underlying
sandy SubAggs implying minimal physical disturbance but also flattening and leveling of the substrate intentionally or by regular occupation (Figure 12a). Moderate trampling was responsible for the horizontal tabular development of these SubAggs but occasionally the presence of lenses of Deformed Black Sand MF implies gentle gravity flow processes in local dipping parts of the layers. Between these concentrations of archaeological finds the aeolian sands are for the most part sterile.

The OBS2 is overlain by the Black Compact Sand and Roofspall (BCSR), a thick, very black, sometime greasy, complex StratAgg very rich in burnt remains that is also very dense in finds (Figures 12a, b and 13a). Towards the middle of this unit a mostly intact white ash feature is observed that was displaced downwards (White Ash MF). The rest of the unit is characterized mostly by Deformed Black Sand MF with the upper part being more roofspall-rich (Figure 8b). This is the oldest StratAgg in the stratigraphic sequence that dips strongly both to the west and to the south making a fan-like feature and implying that the topography of the cave changed and the present gully feature running along the center line of the cave started forming at about this time or before.

StratAgg Dark Brown Compact Sand (DBCS) occurs at a lower elevation than the BCSR but it is actually a lateral variation of this and includes eroded sediments of the OBS2 StratAgg (Figure 12). It is also strongly dipping but mainly to the south and east. It has a characteristic wavy appearance and truncates OBS2, SGS and OBS1 StratAggs.

Archaeological finds are extremely dense and include high frequencies of lithics and
faunal fragments. It consists exclusively of Deformed Black and Dark Sand MFs (Figures 6f and 8a).

Most of the SubAggs of this complex sequence have their origin in the OBS2 and BCSR units. In particular, the BCSR can be followed downwards to DBCS although not physically connected because it is truncated by a channel feature of the overlying RBSR StratAgg (Figure 12a). In addition, each dark occupational layer of the OBS2 StratAgg loses its horizontality, dipping and flowing to the south at its point of truncation and gradually coalescing downwards to one complex aggregate together with the lateral variation of BCSR (Figure 12). The different SubAggs that make up this sequence vary both laterally and vertically. Upwards and to the north they are dominated by an alternation of dark reddish brown coarse roofspall-rich sandy lenses with sharp lower contacts and dark brown sandy layers with relatively small amounts of roofspall. The contact of this alternation with the OBS2 unit makes a step-like feature where each time a SubAgg of the DBCS truncates a higher standing the OBS2 sand SubAgg. Transverse small channel features are also seen in the areas of truncation filled with roofspall-rich sand (Figure 12a). In the most southern and lower parts, the DBCS consists of crudely stratified medium and fine roofspall in a dark brown sandy matrix with some dark intervening lenses more sandy than the northern parts (Figure 6d). The lower contact of this sequence is sharp and has the form of a small channel flowing to the south (Figure 11b). In the same area the upper most part consists of a lenticular feature with matrix supported coarse decimeter roofspall having variable and even vertical orientations.
Downwards, this lenticular feature consists of a clear coarse roofspall concentration making an arcuate head which presumably is a debris flow plug (Blikra and Nemec 1998). At the very distal area the DBCS StratAgg truncates the underlying SGS aggregate and at the same time they are sharply truncated by the overlying RBSR aggregate in a similar step-like feature. In sum, the overall geometry and development of the DBCS StratAgg show that it is a lateral variation of mainly the BCSR and partly of the OSB2, dominated by gravity flow processes and shallow sheetwash. However, although the DBCS is vertically lower than the OBS2 and BCSR, it is derived from them and stratigraphically younger. In general, each occupation SubAgg of the OBS2 can be crudely followed in the lower parts of the DBCS although sometimes more than one SubAgg of the OBS2 has contributed to its formation. In the same way, the distal and upper parts of the DBCS contain more anthropogenic material from the BCSR to which are laterally connected.

The sediments of the NWR were excavated early on in our excavations at PP5-6 as it is at high risk of complete erosion, being a small remnant stuck to the north-west cliff face of the rockshelter. We have not yet connected it stratigraphically through excavation to the Long Section, and in fact this may not be possible. Even though it is the vertically highest portion of the PP5-6 sediment stack so far excavated, it is not the youngest set of deposits. Its lateral equivalent in the Long Section must be stratigraphically below the RBSR StratAgg. It is also the only excavated portion of the upper sequence at the back of the rockshelter and therefore is of major importance for understanding spatial
variations of depositional processes. The sequence of sediments comprises Brown Sand and Deformed Black and Dark Sand MFs. The uppermost dark SubAgg containing Deformed Black and Dark Sand MF is the thickest and richest in artifacts including lithics, fauna, ochre and few pieces of fragmentary shell. Some of the artifacts also appear to have been burnt and the presence of charcoal was also noted. It also contains some large roofspall pieces floating inside the sandy matrix (Figure 13b). Overall the arrangement of the coarser component makes a wavy flowing fabric. The type of microfacies and the overall appearance resembles the debris flow sequences of BCSR and the underlying brown sands of OBS2 unit but based on its dating it is probably an earlier phase of the same depositional process that produce BCSR (Table 2).

The last StratAgg in the sequence is the Reddish Brown Sand and Roofspall (RBSR). The majority of this aggregate is composed of reddish to brown aeolian sand with varying amounts of centimetric and decimetric sized roofspall (Figures 7a, 11b and 12). The lower part is dominated in areas by massive fine and coarse roofspall and therefore a subdivision of the upper aeolian dominated part (upper RBSR) and lower roofspall dominated part (lower RBSR) is suggested. Find densities are low, and when present, occur as thin lenses suggestive of short occupations. However, it is possible that more occupational layers could be found as we move upwards to the north in the areas that are not yet excavated. The finds are composed primarily of stone tools and some faunal specimens.
Most of the aggregate has a reddish color having darker hues in the upper part fading downwards (Figure 11b). The dominant MF is that of Red Sand (Figure 5b) characteristic of pedogenically altered dune sand (Bt horizon) that reflects considerable landscape stability and a prolonged stasis. The overall character of the RBSR is analogous to other paleosols exposed in nearby fossil dune systems (Oestmo et al. 2014). The lower part of this aggregate (s.s. lower RBSR) consists of Roofspall-rich Red Sand MF (Figures 6a and 7a). Coarse clasts have multiple orientations, including sometimes vertical. Coarse clasts are often in clusters, lines and or tail-like structures implying gravity flow processes (Blikra and Nemec 1989). Fine-grained pockets with signs of crude sorting and lamination are also observed suggesting sheetwash processes (Figure 7a). In most areas the contact with the underlying aggregate is sharp, wavy and erosional and dips southwards truncating OBS2 and OBS1 (Figures 11 and 12a). Occasionally, channelized pockets are also observed. In the upper portion of the StratAgg the roofspall content decreases and is concentrated in rather discrete, mostly horizontal levels (Roofspall-rich Sand MF). However, dispersed roofspall continues to occur inside firm aeolian sand which dominates the upper part of the StratAgg.

The top of the StratAgg is characterized by an abrupt change to firm light Grey Sand MF through a sharp strongly wavy contact. The 3D geometry of this contact is highly complex forming a rugged topography sometimes making false stratigraphic reversals due to the formation of steep narrow channels with vertical walls and sometimes even undercutting the walls. Fragments of reddish clay sandy aggregates coming from below
are found inside the fill of these channels. This marks a major hiatus related to the erosion of the dune soil and formation of the modern vegetation cover, and close analogs are found in nearby paleosol exposures.

Discussion

Most of the geogenic microfacies appear in all StratAggs but their relative proportion is what defines the overall stratigraphy of the site. The relative proportion and rhythm of appearance of each geogenic microfacies is depicting the contribution of each source’s input rate which in turn is controlled by local and regional environmental factors. The above pattern of geogenic sedimentary processes under certain circumstances can reveal and enhance the visibility and resolution of the anthropogenic input rate. Therefore, the appearance of isolated but numerous hearth complexes in the lower part of the sequence (YBSR and LBSR) is the result of the high and relatively constant rate of geogenic input and particularly roofspall. In addition, low rates of anthropogenic activity and particularly trampling prevented their destruction. In contrast, the upper part of the sequence is the result of a high, but punctuated rate of aeolian input with intervening fast accumulating combustion palimpsests that have also been affected by extensive and relative constant trampling.

Roofspall production is enhanced by sea salt-induced spalling of the quartzite bedrock (see also Miller et al., 2013) along the fault zone at the back of the cave and therefore
its accumulation rate could be partially related to the distance of the site from the sea.

Today with the site close by, the sea halite crystallization is widespread in the surface sediments and the sheared quartzite bedrock (Karkanas and Goldberg, 2010). Indeed, the most recent Gray Sand MF is overloaded with roofspall although mixed with the sand substrate by erosional and biological processes and generally in all rockshelters active roofspalling is observed predominately along faulted zones. In the past, roofspall production in South African quartzite caves has been attributed to frost action (Butzer et al., 1978 and references therein) but in PP5-6 roofspall rich-layers are found during a period of warmer climatic conditions and high sea levels (see Table 3).

On the contrary, dune formation is expected during sea regression and transgression (coastline movement in general provided there is an exposed shelf) and exposure of the submerged sandy coastal areas in front of the sites (Bateman et al., 2004). Erosional or stasis events do not contribute in the stratigraphic formation of the LBSR sequence but they do contribute in part of the overlying aeolian sand dominated sequence. However, erosional activity in the sequence above LBSR has not led to a major loss of material, except locally, but in redistributing it. Only at the end of the sequence, in the RBSR StratAgg, has stasis played a significant role and produced the red paleosol. Minor stasis is observed in several parts of the sequence such as cementation and dusty silty-clay coatings in LBSR. However, in contrast with paleosol these types of stasis do not produce major stratigraphic brakes. Therefore we have a generally intact stratigraphy - with disturbed parts that can be crudely reconstructed - high rates of geogenic input
and fluctuating rates of anthropogenic input. It is this combination that gives us the opportunity to interpret in a more constructive way the anthropogenic remains and the associated human behavior.

The combustion features in the lower section even though sometimes appearing in the field as intact remains or as complex hearths consist actually of isolated combustion remains of less than c. 5 cm thickness that define discrete hearth features. The complex internal microstratigraphy of all studied intact single hearth features rather suggests the existence of several combustion events but for a relatively short period of time. Internal truncations, erosions, crust formations or geogenic increments were not observed. A burnt, oxidized substrate is very often preserved and, given the small thickness of the hearths, it is safe to assume that most combustion features were not severely trampled or cleaned and generally not significantly disturbed by human activities. Some hearths are protected by only a thin cover of geogenic sediments and not far away from other overlying hearths they are also well-preserved without indications of serious anthropogenic disturbance except in their periphery. In addition, the preservation of sensitive microscopic deformation and other sedimentary features in the surrounding roofspall layers further confirm that the sediments were not particularly disturbed by anthropogenic activities implying, thus, a low occupational intensity. Low-energy erosional geogenic processes have truncated several hearths whereas some do not preserve the upper ashy layer. It is also of importance to note, that given the configuration of the cave we believe that the 4 m thick exposed YBSR and LBSR units
extending from the back to the front of the rockshelter and followed for several meters along is representative of the formation processes prevailing in the whole site during this period. It can thus be deduced that the intensity of activities in the site at this time was low suggesting a relatively small group of humans staying for relatively short periods of time. On the other hand, the frequency of combustion features as it is observed in their vertical and horizontal distribution suggests frequent visits to the site perhaps even on a seasonal base. We have measured close to 150 single hearth features in a 4X3 m profile that could be considered as a representative slice in space. Note also that in general they did not use the same area for making fires but their choice was rather random and opportunistic and therefore sometimes people built fires in the same area. Finally, it can be suggested that the human sedimentary input rate in relation to the geogenic input was balanced with the result that this distinct pattern of human behavior is revealed. That is, the rate of geogenic input in the LBSR appears almost constant throughout this sequence punctuated by combustion features. Interestingly, well preserved combustion features have also been observed in the lower MSA units of Diepkloof shelter and have also interpreted as the result of relatively brief yet repetitive visits (Miller et al., 2013).

The appearance of thick and extensive sequences of ash-rich microfacies in the SADBS and SGS units points to a totally different behavior. The sequences consist of overlapping combustion features with some in situ fine hearth features still preserved but in general trampling and raked out hearth remains dominate these units. However,
the generally stratified appearance of SADBS precludes serious and extensive reworking but rather trampling of fast accumulating deposits in the form of frequent hearth construction within shorter intervals. In addition, their choice of area for making fires appears more consistent and controlled than that of the lower roofspall-dominated sequence. Therefore this area was the locus of intense combustion activities and also of intense occupation. Other indications that the ashy sequences show intensive occupation rather than long discontinuous occupation is the lack of cemented or decalcified layers inside them although as it was mentioned above this area was very close to the dripline and therefore could be affected by external weathering conditions. Indeed, true depositional hiatus is evident in the formation of the decalcified erosional interfaces of SADBS and SGS with the overlying layers of pure aeolian sand of OBS1 and OBS2 units respectively.

The thick ashy layers of the SADBS and SGS units can thus be described as cumulative palimpsests (cf. Bailey, 2007; Henry, 2012) where successive episodes of anthropogenic deposition and activities are superimposed. Generally such accumulations are reworked and mixed, but by using micromorphology we have isolated original features but also revealed the nature of reworking and mixing, allowing us to reconstruct with great detail the depositional activities that took place. As we have already shown flattening, raking out, and trampling are the dominant processes that overprint the successive hearths made in the same general area. In addition, putting this palimpsest in the larger scale perspective of the whole sequence we can understand what this depositional
patterning means when comparing it with all other different patterning observed in the rest of the sequence.

OBS1 and OBS2 aeolian StratAggs have SubAggs of strongly anthropogenic character that have the appearance of relatively thin continuous combustion features. These strongly anthropogenic SubAggs also show an interesting picture in that albeit their small extent they also show reworking by anthropogenic but also by geogenic activities. One important observation is that these occupational sediments irrespective of their thickness have high frequencies of roofspall. Apparently, each anthropogenic event is de facto associated with a pause in aeolian deposition (cf. Machado et al., 2013). This gives us the possibility to assume that the roofspall depositional rate did not vanish in this part of the sequence and the increased aeolian input acted as a diluting agent. Therefore, if the anthropogenic depositional rate was very low, pure roofspall layers may have been formed in the occupational aggregates during periods of low aeolian activity, something that is not observed.

The combustion features of OBS2 show the same picture as OBS1. They are also inside aeolian sands but they include distinct horizontal tabular combustion beds with large amounts of charred and burnt material. Most combustion SubAggs do not show in situ features but they are rather the product of moderate trampling and scuffing and locally of low-energy geogenic processes. Obviously, an aeolian substrate is a more unstable surface and thus more easily prone to disturbances than a roofspall substrate, so it is difficult to determine if this really depicts behavior that differs from that in the LBSR and
SADBS. On the other hand, severe mixing with the aeolian substrate is not observed as suggested by the preservation of the horizontal and relatively well-defined lower interfaces. Therefore the most plausible explanation is that they represent discrete short-term occupational events.

The impressive thick burnt remains of the BCSR and its partly equivalent DBCS are disturbed by medium scale gravity flow processes. The NWR should also be included in the same type of sediments and given its thickness and geometry was probably expanding towards the area of the Long Section. Today the area between is eroded by the gully that runs along the middle of the cave. All these deposits apparently extending for several square meters along the surface of the shelter characterize a type of anthropogenic accumulation that differs in intensity from most of the OBS1 and OBS2 occupational SubAggs. They should have originated from thick combustion features most likely similar to the SADBS or SGS that were redistributed and moved almost en mass as thick and dense slurries. The mobilization was triggered by water saturation probably during extreme rain storms. Furthermore, the amount and density of anthropogenic items exceed all other layers. The density is much higher than the SADBS but this can be explained by the decalcification of the debris flow sediments during their mobilization that led to considerable decrease of their volume. This also explains the absence of shell in the decalcified units which is not an original feature. For instance, combustion features inside OBS1 that are not in a decalcified environment do contain shell whereas in the decalcified OBS2 they do not. Massive decalcification of aeolian
sediments has also been observed in Die Kelders Cave I in a similar environmental and archaeological context (Goldberg, 2000).

The rhythm of aeolian accumulation is expected to vary considerably with time and therefore the rate of geogenic formation of the upper sequence could be described as quite irregular. Fast aeolian accumulation is suggested for parts of ALBS, OBS1 and OBS2 units where thick aeolian sand layers almost devoid of any anthropogenic input is observed. Fast accumulation is also suggested for the SADBS and probably the SGS and their stratigraphic characteristics and preservation is actually a combination of a high anthropogenic input rate and consequent fast burial by a high aeolian input rate.

Interestingly, in Sibudu Cave extensive trampling has been related both to lower and higher rates of deposition depending on the nature of the substrate. However, in PP5-6 the extensive and constant trampling of SADBS deposits is rather attributed to the intensity of occupation. Higher rates of deposition in the upper sequence produced thicker occupational deposits than in the lower sequence and the most probable explanation is differences in occupational intensity. The accumulation of the thick decalcified burnt remains of BCSR and DBCS although not in their original position can probably be explained in the same way. The original depositional characteristics of these units are not preserved therefore their fast accumulation can only be hypothesized by their rich anthropogenic content which would be comparable with decalcification of SADBS type sediments. In this case complete preservation was not possible because the
area was directly exposed to external weather conditions but nevertheless fast burial from the overlying thick RBSR protected the burnt remains from total erosion.

In Diepkloof rockshelter high concentrations of hearth burning and related activities in certain locations of the rockshelter were interpreted either as the result of repeated use of the cave or as a shift in spatial arrangement of activities. The latter was not actually observed but it was hypothesized as being possibly concealed in the outside the excavated areas (Miller et al., 2013). Although this may be the case for PP5-6, the fact that the shelter is very shallow and the excavations have revealed an appreciable area of the front of the rockshelter makes this possibility unlikely, particularly if we take under consideration that the NWR at the back of the rockshelter shows similar anthropogenic content and formation processes to the equivalent time period in the front area units.

The most intuitive and straightforward way to interpret the different occupational pattern between the lower (YBSR and LBSR) and the upper (SADBS, SGS, and BCSR) sequence at PP5-6 is to assign it to different geogenic processes. However, we have shown that the anthropogenic sediments of the lower sequence are undoubtedly the result of small group, short term occupations, and that at least certain parts of the upper sequence are incompatible with short term visits. The geogenic processes appear different but actually it is more about input rate differences rather than presence or absence of processes. Therefore, by deciphering these rate differences we have a better
estimation of the anthropogenic input rates and therefore gain understanding of occupational duration and intensity.

The ALBS and the rock fall unit upon which some of it sits represents a major break in the formation of the site. The behavioral change observed above the ALBS can imply a use of PP5-6 for longer and/or more frequent occupations. This suggests that above the ALBS the habitat within the daily foraging radius of the site became more attractive, or the overall population structure in the region changed in a way that populations became more packed at this time. The weighted mean OSL age estimates for the SADBS and ALBS are 71 ± 3 and 72 ± 3 ka, respectively, and ~81 ± 4 to 89 ± 5 ka for the LBSR and YBSR, respectively (Table 2). This sediment change is roughly concordant with the transition from warmer conditions of MIS5 to cooler conditions in MIS4 (EPICA COMMUNITY MEMBERS, 2004; 2006). A coastline model (Fisher et al., 2010) that projects the distance to the coast over time allows us to examine in a more quantitative manner the relation between the distance to coast and the sediment change. Table 3 provides minimum, maximum, and average coastline distances for each stratigraphic aggregate as calculated by this model. It is clear that the shift from roof-spall dominated deposition to aeolian deposition (the LBSR-ALBS contact) occurs when there is a change from the coastline being near in the LBSR to much more distant in the ALBS. Interestingly, despite the displacement of the coast during much of MIS4, the sediments show that people were still exploiting the coast and transporting shellfish back to PP5-6 during the SADBS through SGS. The SADBS also marks the introduction of microlithic
technology as well as a change in the frequency of raw materials; layers below are
dominated by quartzite in the YBSR and LBSR and the SADBS and above have higher
frequencies of silcrete and other fine grained raw materials that can be more efficiently
used for making microlithic tools. A speleothem isotopic curve for this time span from
Pinnacle Point shows a temporally concordant shift from stronger winter rain and
shrubland flora below the ALBS to stronger summer rain and C4 grasses above the ALBS
(Bar-Matthews et al., 2010). It has been hypothesized that this coastal plain supported
a substantial grassland large ungulate community that likely migrated east and west
(Marean, 2010), and during times when the plain was most exposed the ungulate
populations would have been at their maximum.

Despite the cooler conditions of MIS4, archaeological sites dating to this time are
abundant in the Cape, unlike during the prior glacial phase of MIS6 (there is only 1 with
numeric ages, PP13B (Marean et al., 2007). In an analysis of the data from PP13B
(Marean, 2010), it was shown that between ~162-90 ka distance to coast was an
excellent predictor of site occupation intensity, and it was argued that populations
during MIS6 were so small that only the top ranked habitat (the coast) was exploited
such that site occupation intensities rose and fell as coastline approached and retreated.
This pattern breaks down late in MIS5 and 4 as shown by the results from PP5-6. The
PP5-6 sediments reported here show that during MIS5 there was repeated occupation
by small groups of hunter-gatherers staying for short visits. Overall, in the Cape of
South Africa sites dating to MIS4 are abundant (Jacobs et al., 2008a and b) and are
found in all the regions of the Cape (West, South-west, and South coasts) in both coastal and terrestrial locations. At PP5-6 the shift to microlithic technology suggests an increased importance of large mammal hunting as such microliths are typically armaments on small long throw projectile weapons (Brown et al., 2012). At this time PP5-6 would have afforded an excellent location for hunting the large ungulates foraging on the grassy plains between the site and the sea, while still providing access to the coastline and its food. The intensive occupations during MIS4 revealed by our analysis of the sediments of PP5-6 display a local site effect of a broader regional shift to larger populations, perhaps larger group sizes, and very intensive use of high yield habitats on the edges of the grassy plain yet still within collecting distance of the rich rocky shores. It appears that populations in the Cape during MIS4 were resilient in the face of global cooling and environmental change in a way that MIS6 populations were not. This may be the telltale signs of a fully modern human equipped with the cognitive, social, and technological tool-sets that make it possible to thrive during challenging climate events (Marean et al. 2014).

Conclusions

The above approach of using large scale depositional rates of unconformably bounded sedimentary units and small scale microscopic features gave us the opportunity to simultaneously observe and integrate long-term processes with small-scale perceptions and individual actions. The fine scale features depicting discrete events can reveal
certain behaviors but it is the general pattern of anthropogenic deposition and its evolution through time that revealed overall patterns and changes in behavior.

In addition, the microfacies approach and the relation of the associated formation processes to activity processes and human behavior in general offers the possibility of comparing different sites in different geographic regions. It is very promising that some microfacies observed in PP5-6 are also observed in other MSA sites in South Africa, opening the possibility of understanding changes in modes of occupation across space and time.

Our results not only document the value of a microfacies approach, but they reveal in a compelling manner a change in human behavior of broad significance. The PP5-6 sediments document occupations characterized by small groups and short visits during MIS5. At this time people used primarily quartzite as a raw material to produce large stone tools with varying amounts of blades, points, and flakes, and they regularly exploited the inter-tidal zone for shellfish, and hunted the plains for large mammals.

There is a remarkable consistency to the occupations during MIS5 across this vertically deep accumulation, though there are some shifts in the raw material frequencies. Then as the world shifted to glacial conditions with the advent of MIS4, the occupants of PP5-6 turn their preference to silcrete as a raw material, they begin to make microlithic stone tools, and while they still exploit the wider plains and more distant coast, their occupations of the site become much more intense. Elsewhere in the Cape sites are abundant and found in a variety of regions and habitats at this time. This pattern of site
abundance coincides, as we have shown, with intense occupations at PP5-6.

Populations during MIS4 responded to glacial conditions with population growth and technological change.
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**Figure captions**

Figure 1. The location of Pinnacle Point and multiple views of Pinnacle Point showing PP5-6 (the focus of this article) and other important features such as caves and fault zones. A) map of South Africa and the location of Pinnacle Point, B) aerial photograph (ortho-rectified) of Pinnacle Point and the locations of other caves and rockshelters, C) panorama photographed from boat to the south-east, and D) a low-oblique aerial photograph taken from a helicopter looking to the southwest.
Figure 2. Long Section stratigraphic silhouette showing the stratigraphic aggregates (bottom); Long Section photomosaic profile (middle); and the regional profile of PP5-6 complex (top).

Figure 3. Plan view of PP5-6 South and North showing the excavation grids of the Long Section and the Northwest Remnant. Also shown are the modern erosional gully, erosional cliff, and dripline. Note that the dripline along the Long Section was close to the -83726 grid line during most of the time period discussed in this study.

Figure 4. Schematic relationship between stratigraphic units and facies and microfacies. Stratigraphic Units (StratUnit: alphanumerical), Sub-Aggregates (SuabAggs: person’s names) and Stratigraphic Aggregates (StratAggs: abbreviations) represent the smaller, intermediate and higher hierarchical stratigraphic units respectively used in the PP5-6 excavation. A single macroscopically identified StratUnit consists of one or more microfacies (small letters) that produce the thinnest homogenous deposit identified in the field. Group of microfacies showing a distinct lateral and vertical distribution produces a higher order of homogeneity in the field defining, thus, a facies (capital letters). A facies corresponds to higher hierarchical stratigraphic entities, a Subaggregate or a Stratigraphic Aggregate. Stratigraphic Aggregates may consist of more than one facies. Stratigraphy and facies are different ways of describing a depositional sequence. Stratigraphy is based on vertical relationships of depositional units whereas facies is about grouping depositional units based on their depositional
characteristics and their vertical and lateral interrelationship, most of the times implying a genetic correlation as well.

Figure 5. Representative photomicrographs of the different microfacies. a) Brown Sand MF with some brown and black microaggregates in between sand grains, Plane Polarized Light (PPL). b) Red Clay MF showing aeolian sand with thick red laminated limpid clay coatings (XPL). c) Typical Roofspall MF showing openwork quartzite roofspall with some sandy silt coatings, Crossed Polarized Light (XPL), d) Matrix-rich Roofspall with bedded roofspall in between crudely sorted sand grains (XPL). e) White Ash MF with intact ashed and charred plant remains (PPL). f) Roofspall-rich Ash MF with bedded roofspall and sand and in between grey ash aggregates and other burnt remains (bone and microcharcoal) (PPL). g) Sand-rich Ash MF showing sand grains embedded in grey ash. Burnt and unburnt bone and shell, charcoal, channel and chamber voids can be also observed (PPL). h) Cemented Roofspall MF overlain by cemented White Ash MF. Roofspall and sand grains are embedded in dark micrite that is recrystallized to lighter-colored sparite cement. Ash crystals are still visible in the overlying pure ashy layer that is also recrystallized in areas to sparite, (XPL). i) Decalcification of cemented Roofspall leaving clay-rich patches (upper left) representing Decalcified Clay MF. Grey ash crystals are visible on the top of the quartzite roofspall (XPL).

Figure 6. Representative thin section scans. a) Crudely stratified Roofspall-rich Red Sand MF showing faint normal grading and parallel alignment of roofspall clasts. b) Openwork roofspall (Typical Roofspall) alternating with yellowish Matrix-rich Roofspall MF and
overlain by intact White Ash MF rich in burnt shell. Alignment of clasts is observed at the base of the hearth and in zones of the underlying roofspall. c) Matrix-rich Roofspall MF showing rotational features, arcuate and linear alignment of clasts and finer tails of clasts behind large rotated roofspall clasts. Straight lines mark the long axes of large elongated clasts and dotted lines main lines of fine clast alignment. d) Relatively dense Sand-rich Ash MB with most large clasts being horizontally aligned. Some bioturbation is evident in the form of channel voids. A thin discontinuous intact ash layer is shown at the lower part of the section (A). Note also the in situ fractured burnt bone at the base (B) and the large amounts of burnt shell, some exfoliated but still intact (SH); SL-silcrete lithic, C=charcoal, and R=roofspall. e) Roofspall bands and sorted laminae (left lower part) of darker (ash-rich) sediment inside lighter-colored sand. Some yellowish fine burnt bone and grey shell are also visible. f) Rotational features around two large elongated clasts in Deformed Black Sand MF. Note the alignment of finer clasts shown with dotted lines. The overall sense of movement suggests that the large clasts probably rotate past each other.

Figure 7. Photographs of representative resin-impregnated slabs of different stratigraphic aggregates. a) RBSR: Roofpall-rich Red Sand MF showing beds of fine roofspall at the lower part with crude sorting and coarse grading (lower middle layer) overlain by matrix-supported coarse roofspall with clustering and diffuse banding. b) LBSR: Single intact combustion feature inside roofspall. A red oxidized substrate is observed in the lower right base of the hearth. c) OBS1: Two thin dark combustion-rich
layers inside aeolian sand (Banded Sand-rich Ash MF). Rotational features are observed in the lower layer where fine sediment wraps around large clasts inclined in the same direction. Tails of finer clasts behind the large clasts are marked with arrows. d) SADBS: dark, combustion-rich sediment showing clustering and banding of coarse clasts (Deformed Black Sand MF). e) ALBS overlain by SADBS: Beige Sand MF is covered by banded dark and white ash-rich sediments (Sand-rich Ash MF). f) SADBS: superimposed combustion layers showing preservation of two relatively intact ash layers at the bottom and a crudely banded ash remnant in the middle (Sand-rich Ash MF).

Figure 8. Representative photomicrographs of Black Sand MF, all in PPL. a) Rotational features marked by arcuate and linear clast alignments. Marked also are sharp discontinuities probably representing shear planes. b) Rotational feature around a silcrete artifact. Note the arcuate dense alignment of clasts particularly enhanced at the outer front of the artifact. c) Typical Black Sand MF with high amounts of black microaggregates and burnt bone in between the sand grains. d) Clay-rich Black Sand MF with reddish dusty clay coatings filling the voids between sand, also rich in black microaggregates and burnt bone.

Figure 9. a) General photograph of the cliff face of LBSR unit showing distinct combustion layers inside yellowish roofspall-rich sediment. b) Detail of a sequence of thin combustion features each one separated by a roofspall layer. c) A discrete single hearth characterized by a red oxidized substrate, a dark charcoal-rich layer and a gray ash-rich cap. d) A thick complex combustion feature is laterally differentiated to discrete
single combustion layers separated by roofspall-rich layers. Note the red oxidized substrates.

Figure 10. a) The white thick banded ash-rich SADBS unit sandwiched between the aeolian sand units ALBS and OBS1. b) Huge collapsed quartzite blocks overlain by aeolian sand with few dark combustion lenses shown in the left (ALBS) and in turn overlain by the banded ash-rich sediment of SADBS.

Figure 11. a) Stratigraphic section of OBS1, SGS and RBSR units. OBS1: aeolian sand and intervening grey bands and lenses of ash-rich sediment. Large clasts are occasionally inclined in the same direction (tilling; with arrow). SGS: thick, banded grey ash-rich sediments that laterally grade to blackish decalcified layers also showing undulating flowing fabrics. The yellow dotted line demarcates the decalcification front. RBSR lower: red sand with large roofspall floating in. b) The red paleosol of RBSR stratigraphic aggregate.

Figure 12. Stratigraphic sections showing the relation between OBS2, DBCS, BCSR and RBSR aggregate units. a) Note the sequence of transverse channel features truncating OBS1 and the grading of the horizontal combustion layers of OBS2 into the inclined DBCS unit. b) Note, the complex multilayered structure of DBCS originating from OBS2 and BCSR and the channelized features in the lower part of DBCS. A debris-flow plug is evident in the middle upper part of DBCS.
Figure 13. a) The thick multilayered black BCSR unit overlying erosionally OBS2 unit. Note the preservation of the white ashy layer in the middle. b) Dark combustion-rich sediment of NWR having a characteristic undulating flowing appearance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facies</th>
<th>Microfacies</th>
<th>Micromorphology description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aeolian Sand</td>
<td>Beige Sand</td>
<td>Rounded to subrounded sand-grains, mostly quartz and bioclasts; coarse monic c/f related distribution; packing voids; rarely brown dusty clay microaggregates and bridges; very few excremental pedofeatures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brown Sand</td>
<td>Rounded to subrounded sand-grains, mostly quartz; coarse monic c/f related distribution with locally chitonic to enaulic c/f related distribution; often brown organic-rich and black charred microaggregates; packing voids; often excremental pedofeatures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Red Sand</td>
<td>Rounded and subrounded quartz sand grains; gefuric and chitonic c/f related distribution; packing voids; red clay coatings and infillings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roofspall-rich Red Sand</td>
<td>Mixture of rounded sand and quartzite roofspall; packing and channel voids; gefuric and chitonic c/f related distribution; red clay coatings and infillings; banded and clustered roofspall distribution; deformation features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grey Sand</td>
<td>Mixture of rounded sand, quartzite roofspall and soil aggregates; silty clay coatings; chitonic and enaulic c/f related distribution; complex packing voids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roofspall</td>
<td>Typical Roofspall</td>
<td>Quartzite roofspall; coarse monic c/f related distribution; packing voids; thin dusty clay coatings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matrix-rich Roofspall</td>
<td>Quartzite roofspall with sandy silt; enaulic and gefuric c/f related distribution; few excremental pedofeatures; packing, chamber and channel voids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion</td>
<td>White Ash/ Recrystallized</td>
<td>Bedded calcitic ash, burnt bone and burnt shell; massive microstructure; few excremental pedofeatures; some vughs and channels; crystallitic b-fabric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roofspall-rich Ash</td>
<td>Mixture of burnt material calcitic ash and quartzite roofspall; complex microstructure; enaulic and gefuric c/f related distribution; few excremental pedofeatures; vughs, chamber and packing voids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sand-rich Ash</td>
<td>Mixture of round quartz sand calcitic ash and other burnt material; close porphyric c/f related distribution; few excremental pedofeatures; some channel voids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Banded Sand-rich Ash</td>
<td>Mixture of rounded quartz sand, roofspall, some calcitic ash and burnt material; banded roofspall and sand distribution; coarse monic to enaulic c/f related distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deformed Ash-rich Sand</td>
<td>Mixture of rounded quartz sand, roofspall, some calcitic ash and burnt material; deformation features; coarse monic to enaulic c/f related distribution;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfacies</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemented Roofspall</td>
<td>Quartzite roofspall with some burnt material cemented with calcite; massive microstructure; porphyric c/f related distribution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decalcified Clay</td>
<td>Orange clay with few burnt remains; vughy microstructure; speckled b-fabric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical Black Sand</td>
<td>Mixture of rounded sand, subrounded burnt material, and some quartzite roofspall; enaulic c/f related distribution; complex packing voids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformed Black/DarkSand</td>
<td>Mixture of rounded sand, subrounded burnt material, and some quartzite roofspall; enaulic c/f related distribution; deformation features; complex packing voids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay-rich Black Sand</td>
<td>Rounded sand and subrounded burnt material with red clay; enaulic c/f related distribution; red clay coatings and infillings; complex packing voids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Summary of microscopic description for the microfacies
Table 2. Summary of Stratigraphic Aggregates. The error for the OSL ages is 1 sigma. *Note that there is no excavated stratigraphic connection yet between the NWR and the rest of the reported Long Section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratigraphic Aggregate</th>
<th>Approximate Thickness</th>
<th>Microfacies</th>
<th>Main formation processes</th>
<th>Weighted mean age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reddish Brown Sand and Roofspall - RBSR</td>
<td>2.75 m</td>
<td>Red Sand, Roofspall-rich Red Sand</td>
<td>Aeolian, debris flow; pedogenesis</td>
<td>51 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Compact Sand and Roofspall - BCSR</td>
<td>0.5 m</td>
<td>White Ash; Deformed Black Sand</td>
<td>Combustion; aeolian; debris flow; decalcification</td>
<td>52 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Western Remnant – NWR*</td>
<td>0.7 m</td>
<td>Typical and Deformed Black Sand Brown Sand</td>
<td>Aeolian, debris flow, combustion; decalcification</td>
<td>61 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark Brown Compact Sand - DBCS</td>
<td>0.75 m</td>
<td>Deformed Black and Dark Sand</td>
<td>Debris flow; aeolian; combustion; decalcification</td>
<td>62 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange Brown Sand 2 - OBS2</td>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>Brown Sand; Typical and Deformed Black Sand; Sand-rich Ash</td>
<td>Aeolian; decalcification; trampling; combustion; debris flow</td>
<td>63 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelly Gray Sand - SGS</td>
<td>0.3 m</td>
<td>Sand-rich Ash</td>
<td>Combustion; trampling; aeolian</td>
<td>64 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange Brown Sand 1 - OBS1</td>
<td>0.7 m</td>
<td>Beige and Brown Sand; Typical Black Sand; Sand-rich Ash and Banded Sand-rich Ash</td>
<td>Aeolian; trampling, combustion; debris flow; sheetwash; partial decalcification</td>
<td>69 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelly Ashy Dark Brown Sand - SADBS</td>
<td>0.7 m</td>
<td>White and Sand-rich Ash</td>
<td>Trampling; aeolian; combustion</td>
<td>71 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashy Light Brown Sand - ALBS</td>
<td>0.8 m</td>
<td>Beige Sand; White and Sand-rich Ash</td>
<td>Aeolian, combustion; trampling</td>
<td>72 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Brown Sand and Roofspall - LBSR</td>
<td>4.5 m</td>
<td>Typical, Matrix-rich, and cemented Roofspall; White and Roofspall-rich Ash; Decalcified Clay</td>
<td>Free-fall roofspall; sheetwash; small-scale debris flow; combustion; trampling; cementation</td>
<td>81 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowish Brown Sand and Roofspall - YBSR</td>
<td>1.25 m</td>
<td>Typical, Matrix-rich, and Cemented Roofspall; White and Roofspall-rich Ash; Decalcified Clay</td>
<td>Free-fall roofspall; aeolian; sheetwash; small-scale debris flow; combustion; trampling</td>
<td>89 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Brown Sand - YBS</td>
<td>3m</td>
<td>Beige Sand</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>96 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StratAggr.</td>
<td>Min Distance (meters)</td>
<td>Max Distance (meters)</td>
<td>Average Distance (meters)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBSR</td>
<td>7757</td>
<td>14433</td>
<td>11559</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCSR</td>
<td>5734</td>
<td>14433</td>
<td>10102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS2</td>
<td>3689</td>
<td>29558</td>
<td>17333</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS</td>
<td>6802</td>
<td>29558</td>
<td>20744</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS1</td>
<td>11617</td>
<td>29403</td>
<td>20467</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SADBS</td>
<td>2472</td>
<td>23826</td>
<td>15081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALBS</td>
<td>1777</td>
<td>22047</td>
<td>10671</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBSR</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>1568</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBSR</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>4072</td>
<td>2582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBS</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>3272</td>
<td>1446</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Coastline distances from the PP modern coast for each stratigraphic aggregate as calculated by the coastline model of Fisher et al. (2010) that projects the distance to the coast over time. The model calculates distance at 1500 year time steps. A 1500 year time step was included if the sigma of the mean weighted age overlaps with that time increment.
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