

### University of Wollongong Research Online

University of Wollongong Thesis Collection

University of Wollongong Thesis Collections

2010

## Nano/composite materials for lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors

Shulei Chou
University of Wollongong, shulei@uow.edu.au

#### Recommended Citation

 $Chou, Shulei, Nano/composite \ materials \ for \ lithium-ion \ batteries \ and \ supercapacitors, Doctor \ of \ Philosophy \ thesis, Faculty \ of \ Engineering, University \ of \ Wollongong, 2010. \ http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/3125$ 

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au



#### NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

#### UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

#### **COPYRIGHT WARNING**

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

# NANO/COMPOSITE MATERIALS FOR LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES AND SUPERCAPACITORS

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of

#### DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

from

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

SHULEI CHOU, B. Sc., M. Sc.

INSTITUTE FOR SUPERCONDUCTING &
ELECTRONIC MATERIALS, FACULTY OF
ENGINEERING

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I, Shulei Chou, declare that this thesis, submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Institute for Superconducting & Electronic Materials, Faculty of Engineering, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

Shulei Chou

29/May/2010

#### **DEDICATION**

For my parents, brother, and Bo.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I wish to express my deeply appreciation to my supervisors, *Prof. Hua Kun Liu*, *Prof. Shi Xue Dou*, and *Dr. Jiazhao Wang* for their encouragement, understanding, invaluable advice, and constant support during my study at University of Wollongong (UOW).

Technical assistance from people at UOW, such as *Dr. David Wexler* (TEM), Mr. *Darren Attard* (FE-SEM), *Dr. Konstantin Konstantinov* (TGA, BET, and SEM), Dr. *Jun Chen* (Electrochemical measurement), *Dr. Zhixin Chen* (TEM), *Mr. Ron Kinnell* and *Mr. Robert Morgan* (mechanical works), *Dr. Yue Zhao* and *Mr. Greg Tillman* (AFM), *A/Prof. Peter Innis* (Raman) and *Dr. Germanas Peleckis* (XRD) is also highly appreciated.

I want to thank *Prof. Jeff R. Dahn* (Dalhousie University, Canada) for teaching and giving me an opportunity to broad my knowledge on Li-ion battery during my visiting from June 2009 to December 2009. People from Dahn's group, such as *Dr. Wenbin Luo*, *Dr. Fu Zhou*, *Mr. Aaron Smith*, *Ms. Xuemei Zhao*, *Mr. Peng Liao*, *Mr. Andrew van Bommel* and *Mr. Xin Xia* are also acknowledged.

Other collaborators in Australia or overseas such as, *Prof. Gordon Wallace* from IPRI, UOW, *Prof. John A. Stride* and *Mr. Mohammad Choucair* from University of New South Wales, *Dr. Jia-Zeng Sun*, *Prof. Maria Forsyth* and *Prof. Douglas R. MacFarlane* from Monash University, *Dr. Mihail Ionescu* from ANSTO, *A/Prof. Xuebin Zhu* and *Prof. Yu-Ping Sun* from Institute of Solid State Physics, CAS, China, and *Prof. Petr Novák* from Paul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland, is also highly

appreciated.

Financial support provided by the Australian Research Council (ARC) through DP0987805, ARC Centre of Excellence funding (CE0561616), 2009 ECS Summer Fellowship, University postgraduate awards (UPA), and International postgraduate research scholarship (IPRS) is gratefully acknowledged.

The critical reading of the papers and this thesis by *Dr. Tania Silver* is greatly appreciated.

I also wish to thank Dr. Sau Yen Chew, Dr. Zhengwei Zhao, Dr. Dongqi Shi, Dr. Shi Zhong, Prof. Chao Zhang, Prof. Xiaolin Wang, Dr. Scott Needham, Dr. Caiyun Wang, Dr. Xun Xu, Dr. Dapeng Chen, Dr. Zaiping Guo, Dr. Rong Zeng, Dr. Feng Gao, Dr. Xinglong Gou, Dr. Yong Liu, Dr. Weimin Zhang, Dr. Chunhai Jiang, Dr. Zhenxiang Cheng, Mr. Md Mokhlesur Rahman, Mr. Chao Zhong, Mr. Peng Zhang, Mr. Wenxian Li, Mr. Brad Winton, Dr. Yun Zhang, Mr. Guodong Du, Mr. Hao Liu, Ms. Lu Lin, Ms Nurul Indris, Ms. Lin Wang, Mr. Qi Li, Mr. Yi Du, Mr. Lukman Noerochim, Dr. Fábio R. Bento, Mr. Romain Davoine, and Ms. Xuanwen Gao, for helping me or sharing knowledge with me.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents and my brother for their love and support. My special thanks go to my wonderful wife, *Mrs. Bo Zhang*, who is always there and gives me a lot of support during my PhD study.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CERTIFICATION	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
ABSTRACT	ix
NOMENCLATURE	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF TABLES	xxvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1. 1 General background	1
1. 2 Statement of Problem	2
1.2.1 Lithium-ion battery	2
1.2.2 Supercapacitors	4
1. 3 Importance of Study	6
1. 4 Objectives and Scopes of Research	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2. 1 Lithium-ion batteries	11
2.1.1 Basic Concepts and Principles	13
2.1.2 Anode Materials	20
2.1.3 Cathode Materials	26
2. 2 Electrochemical Capacitors	33
2.2.1 Basic Concepts and Principles	34
2.2.2 Double Layer Materials	39
2.2.3 Redox Reaction Materials	44
CHAPTER 3 EXPERIMENT	47
3. 1 Materials	47
3. 2 Experiment Procedures	50
3. 3 Synthesis Method	51
3.3.1 Electrochemical Deposition	51
3.3.2 Spray Pyrolysis	52

3.3.3	Hydrothermal method	53
3.3.4	Filtration Technique	54
3.3.5	Sonication	55
3.4 P	hysical and Structural Characterization	55
3.4.1	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	55
3.4.2	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy-Dispersive 2	X-ray
(EDX	) Spectroscopy	57
3.4.3	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	58
3.4.4	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	59
3.4.5	Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET)	59
3.4.6	Raman Spectroscopy	61
3.4.7	Fourier Ttransform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy	61
3.4.8	Four-Point Probe Conductivity	62
3.5 E	Electrode Preparation and Cell Assembly	62
3.5.1	Lithium-ion cell	62
3.5.2	Supercapacitor s	64
3.6 E	Electrochemical Characterization	64
3.6.1	Cyclic Voltammetry (CV)	64
3.6.2	Charge and Discharge	65
3.6.3	Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)	65
CHAPTER	4 TIN DIOXIDE NANOMATERIALS AND CARBON COATED T	ΓIN
DIOXIDE	NANOCOMPOSITE	67
4. 1 I	ntroduction	67
4. 2 S	nO <sub>2</sub> Nanotubes	68
4.2.1	Synthesis Method	68
4.2.2	Physical and Structural Characterization	69
4.2.3	Formation Mechanism	72
4.2.4	Electrochemical Performance	73
4.3	Carbon-coated SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	79
4.3.1	Synthesis Method	79
4.3.2	Physical and Structural Characterization	80
4.3.3	Electrochemical Performance	82
4. 4 S	ummary	89

CHAPTER 5 HIGH-SURFACE-AREA, HOLLOW-STRUCTURED AL	PHA-IRON
OXIDE/CARBON COMPOSITE	90
5. 1 Introduction	90
5. 2 Experimental	91
5.2.1 Synthesis	91
5.2.2 Electrochemical characterizations	94
5. 3 Structure and Morphologies	94
5. 4 Electrochemical characterization	100
5. 5 Summary	109
CHAPTER 6 FREE-STANDING POLYPYRROLE AND POLYPYRRO	DLE-
LITHIUM IRON PHOSPHATE COMPOSITE FILMS	110
6. 1 Introduction	110
6. 2 Experimental	112
6.2.1 Synthesis of free-standing polypyrrole film	112
6.2.2 Synthesis of free-standing polypyrrole-LiFePO <sub>4</sub> composite f	ĭlm 113
6. 3 Free-standing Polypyrrole Film	113
6.3.1 Physical and Structural Characterization	113
6.3.2 Electrochemical Characterization	116
6. 4 Polypyrrole-LiFePO <sub>4</sub> composite film	118
6.4.1 Physical and Structural Characterization	118
6.4.2 Electrochemical Charaterization	122
6. 5 Summary	125
CHAPTER 7 LITHIUM BATTERY USING VANDIUM OXIDE	
NANOMATERIAL CATHODE AND ROOM TEMPERATURE IONIC	LIQUID
ELECTROLYTE	126
7. 1 Introduction	126
7. 2 Synthesis	128
7. 3 Structure and morphology analysis	128
7.3.1 XRD and BET	128
7.3.2 SEM and TEM	131
7. 4 Electrochemical characterization	132
7.4.1 Charge and discharge curves	132
7.4.2 Possible Reason for enhanced cycling stability	135

7.4.3 Cyclic voltammetry (CV)	. 139
7.4.4 Cycling stability	. 140
7.4.5 High-rate capability	
7.4.6 Kinetics investigation	
7. 5 Summary	. 146
CHAPTER 8 POROUS VANADIUM OXIDE, COBALT HYDROXIDE AND	
MANGANESE OXIDE NANOWIRE FILMS FOR FLEXIBLE	
SUPERCAPACITORS	. 147
8. 1 Introduction	. 147
8. 2 Synthesis method	. 148
8. 3 MnO <sub>2</sub> nanowires and porous VOx	. 149
8.3.1 Structure and Morphologies	
8.3.2 Electrochemical characterization	
8. 4 Porous Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	. 154
8.4.1 Physical properties and morphology	
8.4.2 Electrochemical Characterization	
8. 5 Summary	. 161
CHAPTER 9 MANGANESE DIOXIDE NANOWIRES ON CARBON	
NANOTUBE PAPER AS FREE-STANDING, FLEXIBLE ELECTRODE FOR	
SUPERCAPACITORS	. 163
9. 1 Introduction	. 163
9. 2 Synthesis method	. 164
9. 3 Physical Structure and Morphology	
9. 4 Electrochemical Characterization	
9. 5 Summary	. 171
CHAPTER 10 GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK	. 172
10. 1 General Conclusions	. 172
10.1.1 Lithium-ion battery	. 172
10.1.2 Supercapacitor	
10. 2 Outlook	
REFERENCES	. 177
APPENDIX A: LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	. 201
APPENDIX B: RECEIVED AWARDS	. 205

#### **ABSTRACT**

Lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors are both important energy storage systems and can make energy storage and usage more efficiently than with previous solutions. Both systems would be excellent choices for Electrical Vehicles (EVs) or Hybrid Electrical Vehicles (HEVs), and also other portable devices requiring both high power and high energy density. The key aspect for improving the performance of both kinds of energy devices is to improve the performance of the active materials. The use of nanostructured materials and conductive composite materials is designed to enhance both ion transport and electron transport by shortening the diffusion lengths of ions (such as, Li<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>+</sup>, and OH<sup>-</sup>) and increasing the conductivity within the electrode materials, respectively. In this doctoral work, several nanostructured materials and conductive (carbon or conducting polymer) composites were examined and characterized for possible application as electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries or supercapacitors. For the Li-ion battery, tin dioxide (SnO<sub>2</sub>) nanotubes, carbon-coated SnO<sub>2</sub> composite, hematite (α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) carbon composite, lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO<sub>4</sub>) polypyrrole composite, and vanadium pentoxide (V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) nanomaterials were investigated. Meanwhile, several flexible electrode materials for supercapacitors were also studied: manganese dioxide (MnO<sub>2</sub>) nanowire-carbon nanotube composite, MnO<sub>2</sub> nanowires on stainless steel mesh (SSM), porous vanadium oxide ( $VO_x$ ) on SSM, and cobalt hydroxide ( $Co(OH)_2$ ) nanoflakes on SSM.

Anode materials for Lithium-ion battery

The SnO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes were synthesized by anodic electrochemical deposition under ambient conditions without using any additional template. Controlled self-bubbling O<sub>2</sub> acted as both the template and the oxidizing agent for obtaining SnO<sub>2</sub> tube structures at the interface of the gas (O<sub>2</sub>) and the liquid (electrolyte). The length of the tube could be controlled by adjusting the electrochemical deposition time. Electrochemical results show that the nanotubes have higher discharge capacity and better high-rate capability than microtubes. The morphology of the hollow nanotube structures composed of ultra-fine nanoparticles could be responsible for the enhanced high-rate performance and improved cycling stability as an electrode material. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements showed that the nanotubes had a much higher electrochemically active surface area than microtubes. From the Arrhenius plots, the apparent activation energies were calculated to be 61.9 and 85.7 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the nanotubes and microtubes, respectively, indicating the enhanced kinetics. Carbon-coated SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were prepared by a novel facile route using commercial SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles treated with concentrated sulfuric acid in the presence of sucrose at room temperature and ambient pressure. The key features of this method are the simple procedure, low energy consumption, and inexpensive and non-toxic source materials. The electrochemical measurements showed that the carbon-coated SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with 10 % carbon and using carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) as a binder displayed the best electrochemical performance, with the highest specific capacity of 502 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 50 cycles at a current density of 100 mA g<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, owing to the water solubility of CMC, the

usage of CMC as binder makes the whole electrode fabrication process cheaper and more environmentally friendly.

Hollow-structured α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/carbon (HIOC) composite with high surface area around 260 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> was synthesized by one-step, in-situ, and industrially-oriented spray pyrolysis method using iron (II) lactate solution and sucrose as the precursor. The electrochemical tests show that the HIOC composite with 14.7% carbon using CMC as binder, without pressing of the electrode, shows the best electrochemical performance, in terms of the high capacity (1000 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> at 0.1 C), good rate capability (700 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> at 2 C), and good cycling stability (720 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> at 2 C up to 220 cycles). The high surface area, hollow structure, selected binder, and carbon content account for the high performance with respect to lithium storage properties.

#### Cathode materials for Lithium-ion battery

Highly flexible, paper-like, free-standing polypyrrole (PPy) and polypyrrole-LiFePO<sub>4</sub> composite films were prepared using the electropolymerization method. The films are soft, lightweight, mechanically robust, and highly electrically conductive. The electrochemical performance of the free-standing pure PPy electrode was improved by incorporating the most promising cathode material, LiFePO<sub>4</sub>, into the PPy films. The cell with PPy-LiFePO<sub>4</sub> composite film had a higher discharge capacity beyond 50 cycles (80 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>) than that of the cell with pure PPy (60mAh g<sup>-1</sup>). The free-standing films can be used as electrode materials to satisfy the new market demand for flexible and bendable batteries that are suitable for the various types of design and power needs of soft portable electronic equipment.

 $V_2O_5$  nanomaterials, including nanoribbons, nanowires, and microflakes, have been synthesized by an ultrasonic assisted hydrothermal method combined with a post annealing process. A room temperature ionic liquid (RTIL) was used as the electrolyte in rechargeable lithium metal batteries along with  $V_2O_5$  nanomaterials as cathode materials. The electrochemical tests show near-theoretical specific capacity, improved cycling stability, good high-rate capability, and enhanced kinetics. The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) results show that the RTIL can prevent the dissolution of  $V_2O_5$  during charge and discharge. The rechargeable lithium battery using  $V_2O_5$  nanoribbons as cathode material and RTIL as the electrolyte could be the next generation lithium battery with high capacity, excellent safety, and long cycle life.

#### Materials for Supercapacitor

MnO<sub>2</sub> nanowires were electrodeposited onto carbon nanotube (CNT) paper by a cyclic voltammetric (CV) technique. The as-prepared MnO<sub>2</sub> nanowire/CNT composite paper (MNCCP) can be used as a flexible electrode for electrochemical supercapacitors. Electrochemical measurements showed that the MNCCP electrode displayed specific capacitance as high as 167.5 F g<sup>-1</sup> at a current density of 77 mA g<sup>-1</sup>. After 3000 cycles, the composite paper can retain more than 88% of initial capacitance, showing good cyclability. The CNT paper in the composite acts as a good conductive and active substrate for flexible electrodes in supercapacitors, and the nanowire structure of the MnO<sub>2</sub> could facilitate the contact of the electrolyte with the active materials, and thus increase the capacitance.

Flexible porous Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanoflake, porous vanadium oxide, and MnO<sub>2</sub> nanowire films were synthesized by an electrochemical deposition method using stainless steel mesh as the substrate. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) results show that the MnO<sub>2</sub> nanowire film has a specific capacitance of 195, 170, 158, and 91 F g<sup>-1</sup> at scan rates of 5, 10, 20, and 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The porous VO<sub>x</sub> film displays specific capacitance of 152, 124, 99, and 76 F g<sup>-1</sup> at scan rates of 5, 10, 20, and 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The capacitance losses for the MnO<sub>2</sub> nanowire film and the porous VO<sub>x</sub> film are only 5 % and 10 % for 1000 cycles, respectively. The porous Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanoflake film shows the highest capacitance of 609.4 F g<sup>-1</sup>, The electrochemically active specific surface area of the annealed porous Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanoflake film remained virtually unchanged after 3000 cycles, showing the stability of the microstructure.

#### **NOMENCLATURE**

List of Abbreviations		
Abbreviation	Full name	
LiNTf <sub>2</sub>	Lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imidate	
	N-methyl-N-propyl pyrrolidinium	
$[C_3mpyr][NTf_2]$	bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide	
1D	One dimentional	
2D	Two dimentional	
3D	Three dimensional	
a.u.	Arbitrary unit	
AC	Activated carbon	
ACF	Activated carbon fiber	
BET	Brunauer Emmett Teller	
BSE	Back-scattered electrons	
СВ	Carbon black	
CCD	Charge-coupled detector	
cm	Centimeter	
CMC	Sodium carboxymethyl cellulose	
CNT	Carbon nanotube	
CS-1	Carbon-coated SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles sample 1	
CS-2	Carbon-coated SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles sample 2	
CV	Cyclic voltammetry	
DMC	Dimethyl carbonate	
DWCNT	Double-walled carbon nanotube	
EC	Ethylene carbonate	
ECs	Electrochemical capacitors	
EDL	Electrical double layer	
EDX	Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy	
EIS	Electrochemical impendance spectroscopy	
EV	Electric vehicle	
FE-SEM	Field-emission scanning electron microscopy	
FT-IR	Fourier transform inferior red Spectroscopy	

Abbreviation	Full name
FWHM	Full width at half maximum
HEV	Hybrid electric vehicle
HIO	Hollow-structured α-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
HIOC	Hollow-structured α-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /carbon
HRTEM	High-resolution transmission electron microscopy
IHP	Inner Helmholtz plane
IPRI	Intelligent Polymer Research Institute
ISEM	Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials
JCPDS	Joint committee on powder diffraction standards
MNCCP	MnO <sub>2</sub> nanowire/CNT composite paper
MWCNT	Multi-walled carbon nanotube
nm	Nanometer
NMP	1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone
OCP	Open circuit potential
OHP	Outer Helmholtz plane
PC	Propylene carbonate
PPy	Polypyrrole
PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
PVDF	Polyvinylidene fluoride
Py	Pyrrole
RBM	Radial breathing mode
RTIL	Room temperature ionic liquid
RTIL	Room temperature ionic electrolyte
SAED	Selected area electron diffraction
SCE	Saturated calomel electrode
SEI	Solid electrolyte interphase
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SHE	Standard hydrogen electrode
SSM	Stainless steel mesh
SWCNT	Single-walled carbon nanotube
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TGA	Thermogravimetric analysis

Abbreviation	Full name
XRD	X-ray diffraction

List of Symbols		
Symbol	Name	Unit
2θ	Peak position of XRD	0
$C_{dl}$	Electrical double layer capacitance	F
$\Delta Q$	Charged or discharged capacity	C
$C_{dm}$	Specific capacitance of the electrochemical double layer	F g <sup>-1</sup>
$C_p$	Pseudo-capacitance	F
C-rate	Charged or discharged rate	mA
$C_S$	Specific capacitance	F g <sup>-1</sup>
E	Energy stored in a supercapacitor	Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>
$E_a$	Apparent activation energies	kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>
ED	Energy density	Wh L <sup>-1</sup>
EDLC	Electrical double layer capacitance	F
$E_O$	Oxidation peak potential	V
$E_R$	Reduction peak potential	V
f	Frequency in the EIS test	Hz
F	Faradic constant = 96485	C
I	Current density,	A cm <sup>-2</sup>
$i_0$	Exchange currents	A
$I_O$	Current density of oxidation peak	$mAg^{-1}$
$I_R$	Current density of reduction peak	$mA g^{-1}$
L	Crystal size	nm
m	Active material weight	g
N	Avogadro's number = $6.022 \times 10^{-23}$	mol <sup>-1</sup>
P	Equilibrium pressure	P
$P_0$	Saturation pressure	P
PD	Power density	$W L^{-1}$
Q	Specific capacity	mAh kg <sup>-1</sup>

Symbol	Name	Unit
$Q_c$	Specific charge capacity	mAh g <sup>-1</sup>
$Q_d$	Specific discharge capacity	mAh g <sup>-1</sup>
$Q_{TSC}$	Theoretical specific capacity	mAh g <sup>-1</sup>
R	Gas constant $= 8.319$	J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>
$R_{ct}$	Charge transfer resistant	$\Omega$
$S_{BET}$	Specific BET surface area	$m^2 g^{-1}$
SE	Specific energy	Wh kg <sup>-1</sup>
$S_E$	Electrochemically active surface area	$m^2 g^{-1}$
SP	Specific power	$ m W~kg^{-1}$
t	Time	h or s
T	Temperature	K or °C
$W_{PVdF+C}$	Percentage of PVDF and carbon	%
$W_{TL}$	Total-weight loss	%
$W_{VL}$	Weight loss of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	%
Zimg	Imaginary part of the impedance	$\Omega$
$Z_{real}$	Real part of the impedance	$\Omega$
η	Coulombic efficiency	%
λ	X-ray wavelength	Å

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Specific power against specific energy, also called a	10
	Ragone plot, for various electrical energy storage	
	devices compared with combustion engines.	
Figure 2.2	Energy storage capabilities of common rechargeable	11
	battery systems.	
Figure 2.3	Diagram showing the mechanism of the lithium-ion	13
	battery.	
Figure 2.4	Potential and capacity plot of different cathode and	15
	anode materials.	
Figure 2.5	Typical EIS curve of lithium-ion battery system.	19
Figure 2.6	Schematic diagram of an individual carbon layer in the	21
	honeycomb graphite lattice called a graphene layer,	
	and how it can be rolled to form a carbon nanotube.	
Figure 2.7	Polyhedral representation of the structure of LiFePO <sub>4</sub>	28
	(space group <i>Pnma</i> ) viewed along the b-axis (a) and c-	
	axis (b). The iron octahedrals, phosphate tetrahedral,	
	lithium ions are shown in blue, yellow, and green,	
	respectively.	
Figure 2.8	The [M <sub>2</sub> ]O <sub>4</sub> framework of an Li[M <sub>2</sub> ]O <sub>4</sub> spinel.	29
Figure 2.9	Crystal structure of $\alpha$ -NaFeO <sub>2</sub> ( $R$ -3 $m$ ).	32
Figure 2.10	Models of the electrical double layer at a positively	36
	charged surface: (a) the Helmholtz model, (b) the	
	Gouy-Chapman model, and (c) the Stern model,	
	showing the inner Helmholtz plane (IHP) and the outer	
	Helmholtz plane (OHP). The IHP refers to the distance	
	of closest approach of specifically adsorbed ions	
	(generally anions), and the OHP refers to that of the	
	non-specifically adsorbed ions. The OHP is also the	
	plane where the diffuse layer begins. d is the double	
	layer distance described by the Helmholtz model. $\psi_0$	
	and $\psi$ are the potentials at the electrode surface and the	

	electrode/electrolyte interface, respectively.	
Figure 3.1	The overall procedure of the experiment.	50
Figure 3.2	Schematic diagram of the electrochemical deposition	52
	experiment set-up.	
Figure 3.3	Schematic diagram of the spray pyrolysis system.	53
Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram of the coin-type cell.	63
Figure 3.5	Typical EIS curve for supercapacitors.	66
Figure 4.1	SEM images of SnO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes prepared by anodic	70
	electrochemical deposition: top view (a) and bottom-up	
	view (b).	
Figure 4.2	XRD patterns of SnO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes on (a) and off (b)	70
	substrate.	
Figure 4.3	EDX spectrum of SnO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes on the substrate.	71
Figure 4.4	TEM images (a, b), SAED pattern (c) and HRTEM (d)	72
	image of SnO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes.	
Figure 4.5	Schematic diagram of (a-e) the proposed mechanism	74
	for the formation of SnO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes and corresponding	
	SEM images of different morphologies of SnO <sub>2</sub> via	
	anodic electrochemical deposition at 25 °C.	
Figure 4.6	Typical cyclabilities of SnO <sub>2</sub> nanotube (squares) and	75
	microtube (stars) electrode on substrate between 0.01	
	V and 3.0 V (vs. Li/Li <sup>+</sup> ) at a current density of 2 C (C	
	$= 790 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$ ).	
Figure 4.7	Nyquist plots of SnO <sub>2</sub> nanotube (a) and microtube (b)	78
	electrode after 5 cycles at a discharge potential of 0.67	
	V (vs Li/Li <sup>+</sup> ) at different temperatures from 100 kHz to	
	10 mHz with enlargement in the low-frequency range.	
Figure 4.8	Arrhenius plots of log i <sub>0</sub> versus 1/T for the electrodes	79
	of SnO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes (squares) and microtubes (triangles)	
	at a discharge potential of 0.67 V.	
Figure 4.9	SEM image (a), EDX mapping of carbon (b) and Sn	81
	(c), EDX spectrum (d), TEM (e) and HRTEM (f)	
	images of carbon-coated SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles (CS-1).	

Figure 4.10	XRD patterns (a), FT-IR transmission spectra (b), and	82
	TGA curves (c) of commercial SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles,	
	pure carbon, and carbon-coated SnO2 nanoparticle	
	samples CS-1 and CS-2.	
Figure 4.11	Typical discharge-charge curves of the pristine SnO <sub>2</sub>	84
	nanoparticle (a, c) and CS-1 (b, d) electrode using	
	PVDF (a, b) and CMC (c, d) as binder.	
Figure 4.12	Differential charge-discharge capacity versus potential	85
	from the first to the $50^{th}$ cycle between $0.01\ V$ and $1.50$	
	V for pristine SnO <sub>2</sub> (a, b) and CS-1 (c, d) samples	
	using PVDF (a, c) and CMC (b, d) as binders.	
Figure 4.13	The cycle life (a), coulombic efficiency (b), and	88
	capacity retention (c) of as-prepared carbon-coated	
	SnO <sub>2</sub> (CS-1 and CS-2) and pristine SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles,	
	using CMC and PVDF as binder.	
Figure 5.1	Diagrams of the basic sequence of events and reactions	93
	to produce HIOC.	
Figure 5.2	XRD patterns a), Raman spectra b), and TGA results c)	96
	of as-prepared HIO and HIOC composite via spray	
	pyrolysis. The inset of c) is a photograph of HIOC and	
	HIO in water by simply shaking.	
Figure 5.3	Typical SEM images of HIOC composite (a, b) and	97
	HIO(c, d).	
Figure 5.4	Typical TEM images (a, d), SAED patterns (b, e) and	99
	HRTEM images (c, f) of HIOC composite (a-c) and	
	HIO (d-f).	
Figure 5.5	The surface areas and crystal sizes as the function of	100
	the concentration of iron (II) lactate, the carbon	
	percentage, and the pyrolysis temperature.	

- Figure 5.6 Typical charge-discharge curves (a-c) and cyclic 102 voltammograms (d-f) of HIOC electrode using CMC (a,d) and PVDF (b,e) as binder, and HIO electrode (c, f) using CMC as binder. The scan rate of CV test is 0.1 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The current densities of charge- discharge are 0.05 C for the first five cycles and 0.1 C for the following cycles. The insets of d), e), and f) is enlargements of the indicated ranges.
- Figure 5.7 Cycle life of HIOC using CMC (stars) and PVDF 103 (squares) as binder, and hollow α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> structures using CMC as binder (triangles) at current density of 0.05 C (C=1000 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>) for the first 5 cycles and 0.1 C for the rest of cycles. Open symbols are for charge capacities and solid symbols are for discharge capacities.
- Figure 5.8 Nyquist plots of HIOC composite electrode using 104 CMC (a) and PVDF (b) as binder in a frequency range between 100 kHz and 10 mHz. The data was collected after 5 cycles and 50 cycles at a discharge potential of 0.9 V (vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li).
- Figure 5.9 Photographs of HIOC electrodes using PVDF and 105 CMC as binders after 50 cycles.
- a) Rate capabilities of HIO with carbon percentage of 106 0% (stars), and HIOC composites with carbon percentages of 5.3% (circles), 14.7% (squares), and 31.2% (triangles). The solid and hollow symbols indicate the discharge and charge capacity, respectively. b) Rate capability plots for HIOC composite electrodes with 5.4% (circles), 14.7% (squares) and 31.2% (triangles) carbon contents. c) Charge and discharge curves of HIOC with carbon percentage of 31.2 % at different current density.

Figure 5.11	a) Cycling stability of HIOC composite (14.7%	108
	carbon) electrode at a current density of 0.05 C for the	
	first 5 cycles and 2 C for the rest of the cycles. b) and	
	c) SEM images of HIOC nanocomposite electrode	
	before (b) and after (c) cycling taken from the cross-	
	section of the electrode.	
Figure 6.1	SEM images of free-standing PPy films deposited	115
	using different current densities and deposition times:	
	(a) 0.5 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 0.5 h, (b) 0.5 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1.0 h, (c) 0.5	
	mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 2.0 h (d) 1.0 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> , 1.0 h, (e) 2.0 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	
	<sup>2</sup> , 0.5 h.	
Figure 6.2	Cross-section of free-standing PPy film with	116
	photograph of rolled-up film (inset).	
Figure 6.3	Discharge capacities vs. cycle number for the free-	118
	standing PPy electrodes with different thicknesses.	
Figure 6.4	a) Raman spectra of the free-standing PPy and PPy-	119
	LiFePO <sub>4</sub> composite films; b) XRD results of comercial	
	LiFePO <sub>4</sub> and PPy-LiFePO <sub>4</sub> composite.	
Figure 6.5	TGA curves of PPy film, LiFePO <sub>4</sub> , and the PPy-	120
	LiFePO <sub>4</sub> composite film.	
Figure 6.6	SEM images of (a) free-standing PPy film and (b)	121
	cross-section of free-standing PPy film with	
	photograph of wound film (inset). SEM images	
	obtained from the PPy-LiFePO <sub>4</sub> composite film (c)	
	with corresponding EDX mapping for N (d) and Fe (e).	
Figure 6.7	Cyclic voltammograms from cells: (a) free-standing	123
	pure PPy film, (b) free-standing PPy-LiFePO <sub>4</sub>	
	composite film.	
Figure 6.8	Discharge capacity vs. cycle number for the pure free-	124
	standing PPy and PPy-LiFePO <sub>4</sub> composite films.	
Figure 6.9	Charge and discharge curves for the pure free-standing	125
	PPy and PPy-LiFePO <sub>4</sub> composite films.	

Figure 7.1	XRD patterns of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbons (a), nanowires (b),	129
	microflakes (c), and commercials powder (d).	
Figure 7.2	SEM images of a) nanoribbons, b) nanowires, and c)	132
	microflakes; TEM and HRTEM images of (d, e)	
	nanoribbons and (f, g) nanowires.	
Figure 7.3	Typical charge-discharge curves for V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbon	134
	in a) RTIL electrolyte and b) conventional electrolyte	
	at current density of 0.1 C ( $C = 437 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$ ) at 25 °C.	
Figure 7.4	XRD patterns of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbons before a) and after	134
	50 cycles in RTIL b) and conventional electrolyte c).	
Figure 7.5	Pictures of separators which were taken out of cells	135
	after 50 cycles in RTIL a) and conventional electrolyte	
	b).	
Figure 7.6	The EDX spectrum taken from the separators shown in	136
	Figure 7.5b).	
Figure 7.7	SEM images of $V_2O_5$ nanoribbons in RTIL (a) and	136
	conventional electrolyte (b) after 50 cycles.	
Figure 7.8	TGA curves of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbon electrodes in RTIL	138
	and conventional electrolyte (CE) after initial cycle and	
	50 cycles in fully charged state, respectively.	
Figure 7.9	Cyclic voltammograms of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbon (a),	140
	nanowire (b), microflake (c), and commercials powder	
	(d) electrode at scan rate of 0.1 mVs <sup>-1</sup> using RTIL as	
	electrolyte at 25 °C.	
Figure 7.10	Cycle life of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbon (solid squares),	142
	nanowire (solid circles), microflake (solid triangles),	
	and commercial-powder (solid stars) electrode using	
	RTIL as electrolyte; and $V_2O_5$ nanoribbon electrode	
	using conventional electrolyte (hollow square) at	
	25 °C. Current densities are all 0.1 C (C = 437 mAh	
	$g^{-1}$ ).	

Figure 7.11	a) Specific capacities of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbon (solid	142
	squares), nanowire (solid circles), microflake (solid	
	triangles), and commercial-powder (solid stars)	
	electrodes at different current densities using RTIL as	
	electrolyte at 25 °C; b) Cycle life of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbon	
	electrode using RTIL as electrolyte at changing current	
	densities.	
Figure 7.12	Nyquist plots of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbon (a), nanowire (b),	143
	microflake (c) and commercial powder (d) electrodes	
	at a discharge potential of 3.0 V (vs Li/Li <sup>+</sup> ) at different	
	temperatures from 100 kHz to 10 mHz.	
Figure 7.13	The equivalent circuit used for fitting the	144
	electrochemical impendence spectroscopy.	
Figure 7.14	Arrhenius plots of log $i_0$ versus 1/T for the electrodes	145
	of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbon (black), nanowire (red),	
	microflake (green) and commercial powder (blue)	
	electrodes at a discharge potential of 3.0 V (vs Li/Li <sup>+</sup> ).	
	The lines are the linear fitting results.	
Figure 8.1	Typical SEM images of MnO <sub>2</sub> nanowires (a-c) and	150
	porous VO <sub>x</sub> (d-e) on stainless steel mesh after	
	annealing at 200 °C for 3 h. The insets in (a) and (d)	
	show the corresponding photographs of MnO <sub>2</sub>	
	nanowire and porous VO <sub>x</sub> electrodes.	
Figure 8.2	XRD patterns (a) and Raman spectra (b) of the porous	151
	VO <sub>x</sub> and MnO <sub>2</sub> nanowires on stainless steel mesh	
	(SSM).	
Figure 8.3	Cyclic voltammograms of MnO <sub>2</sub> nanowires (a) and	152
	porous VO <sub>x</sub> (b) on stainless steel mesh in 0.1 M	
	$Na_2SO_4$ .	
Figure 8.4	Cycle life of porous VO <sub>x</sub> (circles) and MnO <sub>2</sub>	153

 $Na_{2}SO_{4}. \\$ 

nanowires (squares) on stainless steel mesh in 0.1 M

- Figure 8.5 A) XRD patterns of Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> off the stainless steel 154 mesh (a), off the mesh and annealed at 150 °C (b), and on the stainless steel mesh (c); B) TGA curves of Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> off the stainless steel mesh from 30 °C to 800 °C in air.
- Figure 8.6 SEM images with low magnifications (a, b) and high 155 magnification (c) of porous Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanostructures on stainless steel mesh that were galvanostatically electrodeposited at a current density of 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for 10 min in 0.025 M Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C, and then annealed at 150 °C for 3 h in air. The inset in a) is a photograph of Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> loaded stainless steel mesh between two fingers.
- Figure 8.7 Cyclic voltammograms of electrodeposited porous 156 Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanoflake films, which were annealed at 150 °C for 2 h in air, in 1 M KOH solution at scan rates of 5, 10, 20, 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The mass loading of the Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> was 0.39 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>.
- Figure 8.8 a) Charge-discharge curves of as-annealed porous 157 Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes on stainless steel mesh with mass loading of 0.39 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> in 1M KOH electrolyte at current densities of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, and 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>; b) specific capacitance vs. current density with Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> mass loading of 0.14, 0.39, and 0.62 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>.
- a) Cycle life of the nanostructured Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> films at a 158 current density of 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in 1 M KOH electrolyte with a Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> mass loading of 0.39 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>; b) Cyclic voltammograms of porous Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanoflake films after 50 cycles and after 3000 cycles at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.

Figure 8.10	(a) Nyquist impedance spectra for annealed porous	160
	Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflake electrode, with the inset showing	
	an enlargement of the high frequency region; (b)	
	admittance plots of the nanoflake electrode; and (c)	
	frequency dependence of the phase angle. The bias	
	potential in (a), (b) and (c) is varied from 0.06 to 0.4 V	
	(vs. SCE). d) Nyquist impedance spectra for porous	
	Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflake electrode before and after 3000	
	cycles at -0.2 V (vs. SCE). The frequency range is	
	between 10 kHz and 10 mHz. The electrolyte for the	
	electrochemical analyses is 1.0 M KOH.	
Figure 9.1	SEM images (a, b) and corresponding EDX patterns (c,	166
	d) of MNCCP in a cross-sectional view (a, c) and a top	
	view (b, d). The inset of (a) is a typical photograph of	
	the MNCCP electrode held between two fingers.	
Figure 9.2	a) XRD patterns of pristine CNT paper and MNCCP;	167
	b) TGA curves of pure MnO <sub>2</sub> nanowires and MNCCP	
	from 40 °C to 700 °C.	
Figure 9.3	Cyclic voltammograms of the pure CNT paper (inner	168
	curve) and the MNCCP (three outside curves) at the	
	scan rates of 5, 10, and 20 mV $s^{-1}$ .	
Figure 9.4	a) Charge and discharge curves of MNCCP electrode	169
	at different current densities; b) specific capacitances	
	of MNCCP and calculated $MnO_2$ nanowires at different	
	current densities.	
Figure 9.5	Cycle life of the pristine CNT paper and MNCCP	170
	electrode between 0.1 V and 0.8 V at a current density	
	of 770 mA g <sup>-1</sup> . The electrolyte is 0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	
	solution.	

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Chemicals and materials used in this thesis.	47
Table 4.1	Electrochemical active surface areas of nanotubes and	76
	microtubes calculated from EIS at 3.3 V vs. Li/Li <sup>+</sup> .	
Table 4.2	$R_{ct}$ and $i_o$ of nanotube electrode and microtube electrode	78
	at different temperature obtained from EIS test.	
Table 4.3	Comparison of the specific capacities for different SnO <sub>2</sub>	86
	samples.	
Table 5.1	Experiment parameters including composition of the	92
	precursor solution and pyrolysis temperature for different	
	samples.	
Table 5.2	The TGA, BET, and XRD results of different HIOC	99
	samples.	
Table 6.1	Deposition conditions and physical properties of free-	112
	standing PPy films.	
Table 7.1	The specific surface areas, lattice parameters and the	130
	crystal sizes of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> nanoribbons, nanowires,	
	microflakes and commercial powder.	
Table 7.2	TGA results of the $V_2O_5$ nanoribbon electrodes in RTIL	138
	and conventional electrolyte (CE) after initial cycle and	
	50 cycles in fully charged state, respectively.	
Table 7.3	Reduction potential $E_{R1}$ , $E_{R2}$ , $E_{R3}$ , and $E_{R4}$ , oxidation	140
	potential $E_{OI}$ , and current densities $I_{RI}$ , $I_{R2}$ , $I_{R3}$ , $I_{R4}$ and $I_{OI}$	
	for the $V_2O_5$ nanoribbon (NR), nanowire (NW),	
	microflake (MF), and commerical-powder (CP)	
	electrodes at scan rate of 0.1 mV s <sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C.	
Table 7.4	Charge transfer resistance ( $Rct$ ), exchange current ( $i_0$ ),	145
	and apparent activation energies $(E_a)$ of $V_2O_5$	
	nanoribbons (NR), nanowires (NW), microflakes (MF),	
	and commercial powder (CP) measured at different	
	temperatures in the discharge state of 3.0 V (vs. Li/Li <sup>+</sup> ).	