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1 Introduction
A data stream is a continuous flow of data from the source to the destination. Digital Signal processing, Time series analysis in Economics and Finance, processing data obtained from sensor networks are some examples of data streams. In a typical data stream, the volume of data is potentially unbounded. Data stream applications are in many aspects different from traditional database applications. The most important characteristic of data stream is that the underlying data are changing while the user applications are static and continuously repeated. Even though traditional databases can manage large volumes of data set, they are unable to effectively process the intensive sequences of updates. This is due to the high arrival frequencies and irregular intervals of data streams. In applications where real time responses are of prime importance, e.g. in stock market applications, speed of processing is a vital issue. In applications where interpretation of data is

Even though a stream is defined as an infinite sequence of data items, the computations are always performed on a finite subset of the stream. A concept of a window is the most popular data structure in data streams processing. It captures a finite subset of an infinite stream that is relevant to the present time slot. A timestamp associated with each tuple in a stream allows us to broadly categorize windows as time windows and data windows.

Finite subsets of the data stream are processed at certain time interval. In order to achieve real-time responses to the queries on changing data, approximate computations are performed. A typical approach is to store synopses (Gibbons, Matias 1998) such as histograms, or summary results (or sketches), such as average of values, number of distinct values or values that satisfy certain condition. Using these synopses, queries are evaluated with approximate answers. Determining nature of ideal synopsis has always been a research issue. We propose that mining
queries on data stream applications can be best evaluated on the basis of sliding window model.

2. Related work

Comparative study of issues in databases and data streams is presented by (Babcock et al 2003). Data stream queries can be reasonably complex and persistent as well transient. Resources such as memory are limited. So the focus of research is on efficient query processing. Researchers (Guha, Kudelka 2001) (Qiao et al., 2003) have worked on developing single pass algorithms or incremental algorithms to evaluate aggregate queries. A study of space requirements for single-pass algorithms and the algorithms, which make a few passes over the input data, is presented by (Rauch et al. 1998). Some applications require joining of two streams or joining a stream with a table. Since all of the stream data need not be archived, it is necessary to develop an efficient join strategy. Das at al (Das et al. 2002) and L. Golab and M. Tamer (Golab, Tamer 2000) have developed a new strategy to join two data streams. Datar et al (Datar et al. 2003) have presented efficient algorithms to compute stream statistics over sliding windows of bits. The concept of basic windows has been presented by (Zhu, Shasha 2002). The evaluation of an aggregate using basic window is partially incremental as the results are refreshed only after the stream fills the basic window. An algorithm to find MAX-MIN based on sorting of the window elements presented by (Qiao et al. 2003).

(Arasu et al. 2001) have presented a class of queries over multiple data streams, which can be computed using bounded memory. In presence of efficient algorithms to evaluate queries, next challenge is to build efficient query plans. Niagara CQ (Chen et al. 2002) group and (Avni, Hellerstein 2000) have worked on optimization of query processing. Research groups such as STREAM (Stream 2003), Aurora (Zdonik et al. 2003), Telegraph CQ (Krishnamurthy et al. 2003) have worked on developing DSMS in order to process streams. Comparative study of these systems is presented by (Koudas, Sivakumar 2003). A survey of recent work on data stream management systems is presented by (Golab et al. 2003).
incremental computations. It is important to know before hand, if an algorithm evaluating the given operator is incremental or not. If it is not incremental then it can be made to work faster by storing certain values.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Summary of related work is given in section 2. We have highlighted our contributions in section 3. Section 4 contains definitions and new notation. Low-level operators and their symbolic representation are included in Section 5. Two classes of algorithms are introduced in Section 6. Section 7 includes formal definition of the aggregate operator and its matrix

5. Symbolic representation

5.1 Low-level operators

These are the operators that operate on vectors and output another vector. Each of these operators will modify one of above mentioned attributes of the input vector. We can represent the stream operators as a sequence of low-level operators. In this section we introduce graph abstraction of different types of operators. The nodes of the graph will represent operators and arrows will indicate the flow of data and the sequence of operator. This is based on the abstraction presented by (Chen, Kotz 2002).

The operator Filter splits the given vector into sub-vectors according to some given condition. The operator Merge appends elements of the second vector to the first vector. The operator Transform is a vector \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \) of functions, such that each \( f_i \) operates on \( x_i \). The output of this operator is another vector of same dimension, but having different values. The operator Accumulator operates on a single vector of dimension \( n \) and the outputs a vector of dimension 1. The output vector is a result of some mathematical processing, such as addition. The operator Aggregator operates on a vector of dimension \( n \) and outputs a sub-vector of dimension \( k \). The output sub-vector is the vector of elements satisfying certain condition. The evaluation of this Aggregator operator involves evaluation of certain predicate for each element. The operator Permutation operates on a vector of dimension \( n \) and outputs another vector of same dimension by changing the positions of the elements within the window. Source and Write operators are streaming operators, which are used for reading and writing. They do not produce any output but transfer data from the source to the destination. The operator Write writes the input vector to working area, intermediate storage area or permanent storage. We
formed on the basis of number of tuples. If the rate of arrival is constant, then the window will slide at regular interval.

4.2 Modelling sliding window as a vector

Let the stream consist of a single attribute $x$. Each tuple will have additional attribute timestamp $t$. Let $R_1, R_2, R_3, \ldots$ be the tuples from the original stream where $R_i = (x_i, t_i)$ and $t_i < t_j$ if $i < j$. We define the sliding window at any instance as a vector $X = <x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, \ldots, x_{t+n}>$ where $t=0,1,2,\ldots$.

The vector is completely described by the following three attributes:
- Dimension of the vector (Number of elements in the window)
- Order of the elements within the window
- Values of the elements within the window

We make this distinction in the view of the architecture proposed by (Babu, Widom 2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Co-domain</th>
<th>Changed attribute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2 \ldots \times \mathbb{R}_m$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_n$</td>
<td>Dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregator</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_n$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_1$</td>
<td>Dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulator</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_n$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_1$</td>
<td>Dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permutation</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_n$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_n$</td>
<td>Position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transform</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_n$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_n$</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_m$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_1$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_m$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}_1$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Lower-level operators on a Window Vector

SETIT2005

Any operator on a sliding window can be represented as a sequence of low-level operators.

Example: Operator $\text{Avg}$ can be represented as $T(\text{Ac}(T(<x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, \ldots, x_{t+n}>)))$.

Operator $\text{Max}$ can be represented as $\text{A}(<x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, x_{t+3}, \ldots, x_{t+n}>)$.

5.2 Graph abstraction

Any operator, which performs some mathematical operation on the elements before accumulating the values, can be modelled by Figure (1). Any aggregate operator, which searches for a value or a set of values satisfying the given condition, can be modelled by Figure (2).

Elements of the window vector are written to the temporary storage area. Whenever new elements arrive, the window vector is refreshed. The operator is evaluated repeatedly over the elements of the window vector. Since the window is sliding, any two successive window vectors have some elements common. According to the flow of evaluation indicated above, these common elements are re-processed every time. At some instances only one element may arrive and one element may leave the window. Even though $n-1$ elements have not changed, they are re-processed.

It is important to know, if the operator can get the

6. Categories of algorithms

We introduce two categories of the algorithm as follows:

- Searching algorithm – the algorithm, which finds or searches for a value satisfying the given condition. Example algorithm to find max or algorithm to find first even number within the window.
- Processing algorithm – the algorithm, which processes all elements within the window and produces a result through some mathematical operations. Example Finding $L_\infty$ norm or finding
It is important to know, if the operator can get the next result from the elements, which are moving. For the incremental evaluation of the operator the following steps are required:

- Undo the effect of elements, which are moving out of the window.
- Evaluate the operator on the elements, which are entering the window.
- Combine the results of the above two steps with the result of the previous evaluation.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1. Processing on a Sliding Window**

7. Aggregate operator

7.1 Definition

Simple searching operation can be implemented via a select query with appropriate where condition. If the predicate included in the where clause contains constants, then the evaluation of searching algorithm is simple and non-blocking. Our aim is to study those aggregate operators, which can be evaluated only after processing all the elements of the window. Let \( W = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \).

We define an aggregate operator \( A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \) as a sequence of iterations:

\[
V_1 = A(x_1, V_0) \\
V_2 = A(x_2, V_1) \\
V_n = A(x_n, V_{n-1})
\]

\( V_n \) is the required output which is the value of \( A(W) \).
\( V_0 \) is the given vector of initial values and each of the \( V_i \) is a vector that stores the intermediate results computed at the \( i \)-th step. An operation \( A' \) takes on input an element from the window and the vector of values obtained from previous operation. For example,

\[ \rightarrow \text{Discarded} \quad \rightarrow : \text{Repeated operations} \]

if vector \( V_i \) contains the largest \( k \) elements in a sub-window \( \langle x_i, \ldots, x_n \rangle \) then operation \( A'(x_{i-1}, V_i) \) finds the largest \( k \) elements in a sub-window \( \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k} \rangle \).

7.2 Matrix representation

Let \( W_t = \langle x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, \ldots, x_{t+n} \rangle \) be the window vector observed at instance \( t \). Let \( \langle x_{t-n+1}, \ldots, x_{t+n+k} \rangle \) be the tuples that enter the stream at the next instance.

We can denote this as the vector \( W_{new} = \langle x_{t+n+1}, \ldots, x_{t+n+k} \rangle \). The sub-vector \( W_{old} = \langle x_{t+1}, \ldots, x_{t+k} \rangle \) will indicate the tuples removed from the window. New window \( W_{\text{new}} \) is represented as \( W_t, MW_{\text{old}} F W_{\text{new}} \) the previous result. Intuitively, this is equivalent to computing \( A^{-1}(W_{\text{old}}) \). In many cases, this is not possible to define \( A^{-1} \). \( A(W_{\text{new}}) \) can be evaluated before merging \( W_{\text{new}} \) with \( W_t, F W_{\text{old}} \).

We can formulate this evaluation as follows:

Let \( A(W_t) = V_0 \).

If \( A(W_{\text{new}}), A(W_t) = A(W_{\text{new}}) \) then

\[
A(W_{\text{old}}) = A(W_t, F W_{\text{old}}) \\
A(W_{\text{new}}) = A^{-1}(W_{\text{old}}) MA(W_{\text{new}})
\]

else

If \( V_k \subseteq W_{\text{old}} \) then

\[
A(W_{\text{old}}) = (W_t, F W_{\text{old}}) \\
A(W_{\text{new}}) = A^{-1}(W_{\text{old}}) MA(W_{\text{new}})
\]

else

\[
A(W_{\text{old}}) = A(W_t) \\
Replace W_t by W_{\text{old}}
\]

It follows from this formulation that the
represented as $W_1 M W_{old} F W_{new}$

Observations:
- $\dim(W_{old}) = \dim(W_{new})$ in case of data window only.
- $W_1 F W_{old} M W_{new} = W_1 M W_{new} F W_{old}$
- $W_1 F W_{old}$ contains elements, which are not expired from the window.

We construct a triangular matrix $\Delta W$ to represent the contents of the window $W_1$, while it moves by one element at each instance. The aggregate function $A$ operates on the diagonals of $\Delta W$. Let $D_{i,i}$ be the diagonal that contains the elements $x_{k+i}$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. $D_{i,i}$ includes elements $x_{k+i}$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

In general, $D_{i,i} = W_1 F \langle x_{k+1}, ..., x_{k+i} \rangle$.

Similar representation is given by (Dwilde, Alle-Jan van der Veen, 1993).

When new element arrives, it is appended as a new column on the right and the left-most column is removed from the matrix. Hence the matrix can be considered as a right-open matrix.

\[
\Delta W = \begin{bmatrix}
  x_{i+1} & x_{i+2} & \ldots & x_{i+n} \\
  x_{i+2} & \ldots & x_{i+n} \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
  x_{i+n} & \ldots & \ldots & x_{i+n}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Each diagonal represents $W_1 F W_{old}$.

7.3 Incremental evaluation

We redefine the concept of incremental evaluation to suit the sliding window applications as follows:

Evaluation of an algorithm is incremental over the sliding window, if it is not re-processing the elements from the window, which have not expired from the window. We present here a formal model of evaluation of any aggregate operator over sliding window.

Let $A(W)$ be the result of evaluation of aggregate operation over the window at instance $t$ and $A(W_{t-1}) = A(W_1 F W_{old} M W_{new})$. The evaluation of $A$ is incremental if we can undo the effect of $A(W_{old})$ from the window.

It follows from this formulation that the incremental evaluation is not uniform but depends on the values of the elements, which are moving.

Lemma: The evaluation of the operator $A$ is incremental from instance $t$ to $t+\lambda$ if $A(D_t) = V_{t+\lambda}$ where $\lambda \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

7.4 Introducing checkpoints

The algorithm can be made incremental by supporting this evaluation with some other results, such as, finding $A(W_1 F W_k)$ in advance and using it as $A^{\mu}$. Here $W_k$ is the sub-vector $\langle x_{i+1}, ..., x_{i+k} \rangle$ where $A(W_i) = V_k$.

We call $A(W_1 F W_k)$ as the checkpoint to which the effect of $A(W_{old})$ can be rolled back. It is possible to repeat this process by filtering out sub-vector containing the previous result.

We introduce checkpoints for any aggregate operator in order to achieve incremental computation. These checkpoints will provide the successive results when the window moves beyond the incremental scope. The checkpoints are computed and stored at the initial stage and updated later on as the window moves.

For any application, where data are random, the number of checkpoints is much smaller than the window size.

Algorithm to find the list of checkpoints

Consider the elements $V_{11}, V_{21}, ..., V_{p1}$. Observe that $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_p$ and

$V_{11} = A(W F \langle x_{i}, x_{i+k} \rangle) = A^{\mu} \langle x_{i} \rangle$ $V_{12} = A^{\mu} \langle x_{i+1} \rangle$

$...$ $V_{1p} = A^{\mu} \langle x_{i+p-1} \rangle$

When the new elements arrive, aggregate is evaluated over these elements in order to update the list of checkpoints.

$x_{i+p} = A(x_{i+p-1} \langle x_{i+p} \rangle)$, $x_{i+p+1} = A(x_{i+p+1}, x_{i+p})$, ...

Depending on the definition of the operator $A$ and the outcome of the evaluation, some of the checkpoints will be cleared or the new element will be added to the checkpoint list. The algorithm is given below:

Algorithm to evaluate aggregate operator over sliding window, using checkpoints

Let $W = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \rangle$

$\mu = 1, \mu = 0$
8. Some aggregate operators

8.1 Aggregate Max (or Min)

Let us assume that aggregate operator indicates finding maximum of the window elements at every sliding movement. We can express this as \( A(\max)(W) \). This operator will return the maximum value and its highest position within the window. Let

\[
W = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \rangle
\]

Then

\[
\text{Max}(x_{\lambda_{\alpha}}) = x_1.
\]

\[
\text{Max}(x_{\lambda_{\beta}}) = x_2.
\]

.. 

\[
\text{Max}(x_{\lambda_{\gamma}}) = x_n.
\]

Note that each \( V_k \) consists of a single value and \( V_k = V_{\lambda_{k-1}} \).

From the formal algorithm given in section 7.4, we get

\[
A(W_{\max}) = \text{Max}(W_{\text{old}}).
\]

Let us assume that the window slides after reading a single element every time. That is \( \text{dim}(W_{\text{old}}) = \text{dim}(W_{\text{new}}) = 1 \). The matrix representation in (I) can reveal the status of the window for next n instances. We use this information to compute the results for next n instances and make the computation incremental. The best case is when \( k = n \) and the worst case is when \( k = 1 \).

We propose here an algorithm to find maximum of the window elements incrementally. The evaluation of this operator is data dependent. We use this characteristic of the algorithm to make it efficient by probing on the positions of the key values. This avoids unnecessary sorting and minimizes number of comparisons.

Max can be evaluated incrementally by keeping a sorted list in the memory (Qiao et al. 2003). Our process the new elements separately. Moreover, once the checkpoints are established, the old elements are not pre-processed even when the max element expires from the window. This is consistent with the new definition of incremental computation. Hence we claim that this is computation of max over sliding window is incremental.

Algorithm to find Max over a sliding window using checkpoints:

\[
\text{Initialization: } W_0 = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \rangle
\]

Find checkpoints \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_k \) such that

\[
x_{\lambda_1} > x_{\lambda_2}, ..., > x_{\lambda_k}
\]

and all elements between \( x_{\lambda_i} \) and \( x_{\lambda_{i+1}} \)

are smaller than \( x_{\lambda_{i+1}} \).

Return \( x_{\lambda_1} \) as the answer.

Repeat for each i

Let \( W_{\text{new}} = \langle x_{i+1} \rangle \)

Compare \( x_{\lambda_i} \) with \( x_i \) for each \( \lambda = \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k \)

If \( x_{\lambda_i} < x_i \) then reset \( x_i = x_{\lambda_i} \)

Else

Add this element to a temporary buffer

Until \( i < \lambda_1 \)

If \( i = \lambda_1 \) then find maximum of the buffer elements \( x_{\lambda_{i-1}} \)

Return \( x_{\lambda_{i-2}} \) as the answer and add the new check point \( x_{\lambda_{i-1}} \)

Illustration:

| Checkpoints = \langle 12, 10, 8, 6, 5, 10, 4, 2 \rangle |
| Checkpoints = \langle 12, 10, \text{max} = 12 \rangle |
| \( x_{\lambda_{i-1}} = 1 \) add it to the buffer |
| \( x_{\lambda_{i-1}} = 11 \) reset checkpoints, checkpont = \langle 12, 11 \rangle |

8.2 Finding the longest increasing sequence

The algorithm to find and maintain the longest increasing (or decreasing) sequence when the window is sliding can be modeled in a similar manner. Each \( V_k \) will be a vector of increasing values. First checkpoint is set at \( \lambda \) where \( \lambda \) is the last element of the first longest increasing sequence. This procedure is repeated for window formed by removing first \( \lambda \) elements. The last checkpoint is \( x_n \). If \( x_{n-1} < x_n \), then a new sequence is formed in the buffer else, \( x_{n-1} \) will be added to the last sub-sequence. As the elements from the first longest sequence are being removed, its length is compared with second-longest subsequence.
9. Conclusion and future Direction

Aggregate operator and its incremental computation over sliding window are defined formally. Using this definition, it is possible to compute exact answers to an aggregate operator with optimal memory utilization.

Main characteristic of an aggregate operator is to search for an element or set of elements satisfying the given condition. We have developed an efficient incremental algorithm that computes some useful results in advance. The algorithm checks for usefulness of data for future computation and optimizes the memory utilization by storing the positions of the key elements along with their values.

The vector model for the window is robust and can be extended to structures instead of simple values. The checkpoint list technique can be used for algorithms, which require multiple passes over the data set. Since the algorithm implements the checkpoint as a simple list and the list is changed by insert and delete operations only, there are no overhead expenses to update this list. The new concept of incremental computation can be generalized for complex operators formed by finite sequence of low-level operators T, A, and A. We will present modeling and optimization of such operators in future.
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**Appendix:**

Graphical representation of low-level operators on data stream

A-(i)

- $\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x_i \rangle$

A-(ii)

- $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle x_2 \rangle$

A-(iii)

- $\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x_i \rangle$

A-(iv)

- $f_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_i = f$

A-(v)

- $P(x_i)$ is true for all $i$'s for given predicate $P$

A-(vi)

- $x'_i = x_i$ for some $i$ and $j$