



UNIVERSITY
OF WOLLONGONG
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong
Research Online

University of Wollongong Thesis Collection
1954-2016

University of Wollongong Thesis Collections

1995

Traumatic stress reactions in police

Jeannie Higgins
University of Wollongong

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author.

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Recommended Citation

Higgins, Jeannie, Traumatic stress reactions in police, Doctor of Philosophy thesis, Department of Psychology, University of Wollongong, 1995. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/1699>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

University of Wollongong

Candidate Certificate

I certify that the thesis entitled "Traumatic Stress Reactions in Police" and submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy is the result of my own research and that this thesis (or any part of the same) has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other university or institution.

Signed

Witness:

Date: 30/12/95

Traumatic Stress Reactions in Police

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree

Doctor of Philosophy

from

University of Wollongong

by

Jeannie Higgins, B.A. (Hons)

Department of Psychology

1995

Acknowledgments

I would like to say a very special thank you to my partner Neville Higgins for being a wonderful friend and for all your practical assistance. To Neville, our children Nikki and Joshua Higgins, and Ruth Christie, thank you for your love and enduring belief in me. Thank you to all the trauma survivors who shared some of their lives, some of their pain, and some of their wonderful humour. To Terry O'Connell and John Blackler, thank you both very much for all the many ways in which you have helped and supported me. A special thank you also to Associate Professor Linda Viney who, as my academic supervisor, gave her precious time, insisted on my best, provided invaluable wisdom, and editorial expertise.

Thank you to the members of the Police Association of New South Wales for your support and encouragement in the previous four years. Thank you to Ross Cunningham and Christine Donnelly for guiding me through the statistical analyses. Thank you to Malcolm Mearns from Datacol for assisting with the data entry and Zac Steel for helping. Thank you to Bronwyn Seaborn for agreeing to listen to some the tapes of the diagnostic interviews for the purposes of independent verification. Thank you to Peter Connor from the Police Academy of New South Wales for so capably co-ordinating the administration of questionnaires, the conducting of interviews, and for being such a great person. Thanks also to Peter Wanczura and Jane Moses for our conversations and your encouragement and support. To the members of the Police Federation of Australia and New Zealand thank you for putting the recommendations arising from this research programme on the national policing agenda. I look forward to further collaboration with your organisation. Thank you very much to the members of the Personal Construct Psychology Research Group of the Psychology

Department, of the University of Wollongong for listening so patiently to my various early attempts to formulate a model of traumatic stress reactions.

Thanks very much to the staff of the libraries of the University of Wollongong and the Australian Institute of Criminology, and Margaret Hyland (ACT Dept of Education) for your invaluable library assistance. Thanks to John Blackler, Terry O'Connell, Vicki Sokias, Peter Remfrey, Beverly Raphael, David Winter, Mark Creamer, Rue Cromwell, Dorothy Rowe, David Savage, David Alexander, Valerie Cox, Bruce Swanton, Ken Sewell, Barry Evans, Barry Gilbert, Lenore Meldrum, Chris Lidgard, Ric Marshall, Christine Nixon, Margaret Green, Peter O'Brien, Luis Botella, Wendy Moyle, Cary Cooper, Richard Bell, Seymour Rosenberg, Bill Horman, Michael Tunnecliffe, Carlene Wilson, Malcolm Cross, and anyone else I have inadvertently forgotten for sending me your papers and other useful information. Thank you to Tracy Pittard for proof-reading the final document.

Thank you to the Police Service of New South Wales for permission to conduct the studies. Thank you to the staff of Campbelltown Police station for their invaluable exposure to some of the realities of operational policing. Finally, thank you to all the trauma survivors whose insights and comments also inspired and informed the design and development of this research programme.

Abstract

A personal construct model of traumatic stress reactions has been developed to predict the relationships between personal, trauma, and recovery factors. This model was evaluated in two studies with police. This research establishes some normative baseline epidemiological data on the levels of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in novice recruits and inexperienced police. The major implications of a personal construct model of traumatisation for police and policing organisations are discussed.

The cross-sectional study included 750 recruits and inexperienced police. The repeated measures study re-assessed 193 recruits after one year of policing. Data were obtained on history, personal theories, potentially traumatising events and their subjective impact, perceived availability of social support, and trauma symptoms. The existence of PTSD was assessed by structured interview with 20% of the participants.

A negative personal theory, a family history of psychological assistance, a reported emotional abuse or neglect history, and Catholicism were significant explanatory variables. The type of trauma, a perception of life threat, total trauma exposure weighted by subjective impact, and personal identification with a traumatic event were significant predictors of trauma symptoms. In the cross-sectional study, police with one year of experience had a current PTSD prevalence rate of 6.05% compared to recruits at 3.36%. In the repeated measures study, a current prevalence rate of PTSD for experienced police officers was 8.29% compared to themselves, as recruits, at 3.36%. Trauma symptoms were associated with being a police officer with one year of operational experience. Trauma symptoms were also associated with the perceived availability of different types of social support in diverse ways. Baseline trauma symptoms did not predict trauma symptoms after a year of operational policing.

A personal construct model of traumatisation found considerable empirical support from these police studies. Psychological proximity to potentially traumatising life events, negative personal theories, and aspects of the recovery environment were related to trauma symptoms. The limitations of the findings are discussed. Fundamental systemic changes in policing environments are suggested which may help prevent traumatic stress reactions in police and facilitate their recovery from traumatic experiences.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	ii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	xvii
List of Figures	xviii

CHAPTER ONE

TRAUMATIC STRESS REACTIONS IN POLICE: AN INTRODUCTION... 1

CHAPTER TWO

STRESS IN POLICE.....	6
Historical and Political Context of Stress in Policing.....	8
Sociological Perspectives.....	9
Law Enforcement versus Peace-Keeping	9
Conflicting Roles.....	10
Structural Inequities in Wealth and Power	11
The Dirty Harry Problem.....	11
Reproducing the Order of the Status Quo	12
Psychological Perspectives.....	13
The Nature Hypotheses	14
Personality theories	14

Psychodynamic explanations	14
The Nurture Hypotheses	15
The working personality	15
Cynicism	16
The John Wayne syndrome.....	16
The traditional police stress hypothesis.....	17
Interactional Hypotheses	17
Proximity-control / Stress-diathesis.....	17
The person-environment fit.....	18
A phase model of burnout.....	18
The Strengths and Limitations of these Approaches.....	19
Methodological Characteristics of Empirical Research into Police Stress...27	27
Favourable and Unfavourable Environments.....29	29
Stressors in Policing.....30	30
Police-Community Relations	31
The Criminal Justice System.....	32
Organisational Climate.....	33
Selection procedures.....	33
Education and training	35
Managerial styles.....	37
Job design.....	39
Accountability	39
Gender issues.....	41
Police culture	42
Sequelae of Stress in Police.....44	44

Physical Health Problems	44
Suicide.....	45
Depression and Anxiety	45
Substance Use.....	46
Police Families	47
Occupational Indicators.....	48
Work performance	48
Job dissatisfaction and organisational commitment.....	48
Absenteeism	49
Summary and Conclusions	49

CHAPTER THREE

POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER: AN INTRODUCTION	52
Why Trauma?.....	53
Historical and Political Context of the Study of Traumatism	54
The Diagnosis of PTSD.....	56
Some Unresolved Issues in the Study of Traumatism	57
The Persistence of PTSD	59
Co-morbid Conditions	60
The Prevalence of PTSD.....	60
General Population Studies on PTSD	62
War and Civil Violence.....	63
Disaster Studies	69
Individualised Traumatic Violence or Injury.....	69
Emergency Workers	72

Previous Contributions to our Understanding of Traumatism in Police.....	73
Anecdotal Descriptions of Police Trauma	74
Uncontrolled Studies of Police Trauma	74
More Systematic Investigations of Police Trauma	80
Current Intervention Programmes with Traumatized Police	86
The Influence of Personal, Trauma, and Recovery Variables	88
Summary and Conclusions	89

CHAPTER FOUR

CONCEPTUAL MODELS OF TRAUMATIC STRESS REACTIONS: A REVIEW	92
Criteria for a Model of Traumatic Stress Reactions	93
Evaluation of Major Theories of Traumatic Stress Reactions.....	93
Psychodynamic.....	94
Evaluation against the specified criteria.....	96
Cognitive/Behavioural and Information Processing Theories	97
Conditioning theories of PTSD.....	97
Evaluation against the specified criteria.....	98
Information overload	99
Evaluation against the specified criteria.....	100
Computer analogy information processing theories.....	101
Evaluation against the specified criteria.....	103
Biological	105
The opioid hypothesis.....	105

Changes in neuronal pathways	105
Evaluation against the specified criteria.....	106
Socio-Cultural	108
Combined theoretical models	108
Evaluation against the specified criteria.....	109
Summary and Conclusions	109

CHAPTER FIVE

A PERSONAL CONSTRUCT MODEL OF TRAUMATIC STRESS REACTIONS.....	112
Assumptions of a Personal Construct Model of Traumatic Stress Reactions.....	113
Proactive Cognition.....	114
Morphogenic Nuclear Structure	114
Self-Organising Development	115
Circumspection-Preemption-Control (CPC).....	115
The creativity cycle.....	115
The experience cycle	116
An Holistic View of Human Functioning	116
Relationships Between Personal Factors, Characteristics of Traumatic Events, Recovery Environments and Traumatisation.....	117
Personal Factors.....	118
Aggressive elaboration of the personal construct system.....	121
Hostile efforts at confirmation of predictions without personal change	122
Characteristics of Traumatic Events.....	125
Recovery Environment	127

Explanation for the Intrusive, Avoidant and Numbing, and Physiological Symptoms of PTSD	130
Intrusive or Re-experiencing Symptoms	131
Re-enactment of the traumatic event	132
Persistent Avoidance of the Trauma or Numbing	133
Delayed onset of PTSD.....	136
Heightened Physiological Arousal	137
The Differences Between a Personal Construct and Other Models of Traumatic Stress Reactions.....	138
Evaluation of a Personal Construct Model of Traumatic Stress Reactions.....	143
 CHAPTER SIX	
THE RESEARCH PROGRAMME FOR TRAUMATIC STRESS REACTIONS IN POLICE.....	
	144
Goals.....	145
Overview of the Methodological Options.....	146
A Rationale for the Chosen Methodology	147
Current Problems in Investigating Trauma in the Workplace.....	147
Methodological Strengths of the Research Programme.....	148
The Links Between Theory and Research Design.....	149
Predictions Arising from a Personal Construct Model of Traumatic Stress Reactions.....	150
Personal Factors.....	151
Characteristics of Traumatic Events.....	151
The Recovery Environment.....	152

Sampling Strategy.....	154
Specific Measures used to Evaluate Aspects of a Personal Construct	
Model of Traumatic Stress Reactions.....	155
Personal Factors.....	155
Demographic details	155
Background factors and reported relevant past history	156
Personal theories	156
Characteristics of the Traumatic Event.....	158
Exposure to traumatic events	158
The nature of the traumatic events.....	159
The Recovery Environment.....	159
Perceived availability of support when needed	159
The frequency of trauma symptoms	160
PTSD	161
Significance and Limitations of the Methodology	162
The Research Question.....	164
Hypotheses	165
The Cross-Sectional and Repeated Measures Studies of Traumatic Stress Reactions in Police.....	165
The Repeated Measures Study of Traumatic Stress Reactions in Police.....	166
Summary and Conclusions	167
 CHAPTER SEVEN	
THE CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY OF TRAUMATIC STRESS REACTIONS IN POLICE.....	
	168
The Research Participants	169

Sampling	169
Age.....	170
Gender.....	170
Marital Status	171
Children.....	172
Educational Level	172
Primary Ethnic Origin	173
Religious Affiliation	173
Father's Occupation.....	174
Occupation Prior to Policing.....	174
Geographical Location in the Previous Year.....	175
Physical Health.....	175
The Representativeness of the Sample.....	175
The Research Procedure	177
The Collection of Questionnaire Information.....	177
The Collection of Interview Information	178
Analysis of the Data.....	179
The Prevalence of PTSD in Police.....	179
The Process of Statistical Analysis.....	180
Exploratory Data Analysis	180
Model Formulation.....	180
Model Fitting	181
Stage one: Model specification for the presence / absence of trauma symptoms	181
Stage two: Model specification for severity of trauma symptoms, given its presence .	182
Model Checking.....	182

Results	183
The Presence of Trauma Symptoms in Police	183
Probationary Constables Compared to Novice Recruits Alone	183
Other Explanatory Variables	184
The Severity of Trauma Symptoms in Police	189
Probationary Constables Compared to Novice Recruits Alone.....	189
Other Explanatory Variables	190
Summary and Conclusions	196

CHAPTER EIGHT

THE REPEATED MEASURES STUDY OF TRAUMATIC STRESS

REACTIONS IN POLICE	198
The Research Participants	199
Selection.....	199
Methods	200
The Prevalence of PTSD in Police	200
The Process of Statistical Analysis	201
Stage one: Model specification for the presence / absence of trauma symptoms	202
Stage two: Model specification for the Severity of Trauma symptoms, given their presence	202
Model checking.....	203
Results	203
The Presence of Trauma Symptoms in Police	203
The Severity of Trauma Symptoms in Police.....	206
Relationships Over Time Between Neuroticism Scale Scores and Trauma Symptoms in Police.....	207

The relationship between Neuroticism Scale scores and trauma symptoms.....	208
Changes in Neuroticism Scale scores and trauma symptoms after one year.....	209
Trauma symptoms over time.....	210
Summary and Conclusions	212

CHAPTER NINE

DISCUSSION OF THE RESEARCH FINDINGS.....213

Implications of the Research Findings with Police for a Personal Construct Model of Traumatic Stress Reactions.....	214
General Statement.....	214
Personal Factors.....	216
The Neuroticism Scale.....	216
Reported family history of emotional difficulties.....	218
Reported history of childhood emotional abuse or neglect.....	218
Previous traumatisation.....	219
Catholic religious faith.....	221
Other Demographic Variables.....	221
Characteristics of Traumatic Events.....	222
The type of trauma exposure.....	223
Total exposure weighted by subjective impact.....	224
Perception of life threat.....	224
Personal identification with the traumatic event	225
The Recovery Environment.....	225
Trauma Levels in Police.....	227
The number and combinations of symptoms for a diagnosis of PTSD.....	228

The need for an identifying registration number.....	229
Attrition.....	230
Occupational risk and confidentiality.	230
The Perceived Availability of Social Support.....	232
Critical Evaluation of the Research Programme	234
General Comments.....	234
Relevance to Traumatized Novice Police Recruits	236
Demand Characteristics.....	236
Concurrent Psychological Difficulties	236
Self-Report Measures.....	237
Ethical Considerations.....	238
The Assessment of PTSD.....	239
The Nature of the Relationships Between Variables	239
Future Research	240
Summary and Conclusions	242

CHAPTER TEN

IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE TRAUMA RECOVERY ENVIRONMENT IN POLICING ORGANISATIONS

Recommendations for Prevention and Intervention in Traumatic Stress Reactions in Police.....	247
Duty of Care Responsibilities.....	247
Costs of Stress Reactions in Police	248
The Relationships between Police and the Community.....	249
Selection Procedures.....	251

Education and Training	252
Promotions.....	255
Managerial Styles	255
Job Designs.....	257
Accountability	258
Gender and Ethnic Equity.....	258
Police Culture.....	259
Treatment Strategies	260
Future Police Research	262
Summary and Conclusions	262
REFERENCES.....	264

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Theoretical and Methodological Review of Police Stress Literature - Sociological Theories	21
Table 2.2: Major Finding of Sociological Studies.....	22
Table 2.3: Theoretical and Methodological Review of Police Stress Literature - Psychological Theories	23
Table 2.4: Major Findings of Psychological Theories of Police Stress.....	26
Table 3.1: The Prevalence of PTSD in the General Population.....	62
Table 3.2: Traumatization associated with World War II.....	65
Table 3.3: Traumatization in Vietnam Veterans.....	66
Table 3.4: Traumatization associated with Other Wars and Civil Violence.....	67
Table 3.5: The Prevalence of Trauma Reactions in Police	82

Table 4.1:	Major Theories of Traumatic Stress Reactions.....	111
Table 7.1:	Comparisons of Research Participants with General Population of Police Recruits.....	176
Table 7.2:	The Probability of Trauma Symptoms and Being a Probationary Constable.....	184
Table 7.3:	The Probability of Trauma Symptoms.....	186
Table 7.4:	The Severity of Trauma Symptoms in Novice Police Recruits and Probationary Constables.....	190
Table 7.5:	The Severity of Trauma Symptoms.....	192
Table 8.1:	The Probability of Trauma Symptoms.....	204
Table 8.2:	The Severity of Trauma Symptoms.....	206
Table 8.3:	Baseline Neuroticism Scale Scores and Trauma Symptoms at One Year....	208
Table 8.4:	Changes in Neuroticism Scale Scores as a Function of Trauma Symptoms.....	210
Table 8.5:	Trauma Symptoms Over Time.....	211

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 7.1:	Gender Differences Between the Groups.....	171
Figure 7.2:	Marital Status.....	171
Figure 7.3:	Number Of Children.....	172
Figure 7.4:	Educational Level.....	172
Figure 7.5:	Primary Ethnic Origin.....	173
Figure 7.6:	Religious Affiliation.....	174
Figure 7.7:	Father's Occupation.....	174
Figure 7.8:	The Probability of Trauma Symptoms and Being a Probationary Constable Alone.....	184

Figure 7.9: The Probability of Trauma Symptoms and Being a Probationary Constable.....	187
Figure 7.10: The Probability of Trauma Symptoms and a Reported Family History of Psychological Assistance For Emotional Difficulties	187
Figure 7.11: The Probability of Trauma Symptoms and Type of Trauma	188
Figure 7.12: The Probability of Trauma Symptoms and Neuroticism Scale Scores.....	188
Figure 7.13: The Probability of Trauma Symptoms and Perceived Availability of Information and Advice.....	189
Figure 7.14: The Severity of Trauma Symptoms in Probationary Constables and Novice Police Recruits.....	190
Figure 7.15: The Severity of Trauma Symptoms and Total Trauma Exposure Weighted by Subjective Impact.....	193
Figure 7.16: The Severity of Trauma Symptoms and Reported Childhood Emotional Abuse/Neglect	193
Figure 7.17: The Severity of Trauma Symptoms and Identification with the Traumatic Event.....	194
Figure 7.18: The Severity of Trauma Symptoms and Perception of Life Threat.....	194
Figure 7.19: The Severity of Trauma Symptoms and Perceived Availability of Emotional / Social Support.....	195
Figure 7.20: The Severity of Trauma Symptoms and Religion	195
Figure 7.21: The Severity of Trauma Symptoms and Neuroticism Scale Scores	205
Figure 8.1: The Probability of Trauma Symptoms and Perceived Availability of Practical Assistance	205
Figure 8.2: The Probability of Trauma Symptoms and Perceived Availability of Information and Advice.....	205
Figure 8.3: The Probability of Trauma Symptoms and Neuroticism Scale Scores.....	206
Figure 8.4: The Severity of Trauma Symptoms and Neuroticism Scale Scores	207
Figure 8.5: Baseline Neuroticism Scale Scores and Trauma Symptoms at One year	209

Figure 8.6: Changes in Neuroticism Scale Scores as a Function of
Trauma Symptoms210

Figure 8.7: Trauma Symptoms Over Time.....211