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Abstract
Exposure to vapours of volatile chemicals is a major occupational and environmental health concern. Toxicity testing of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) has always faced significant technological problems due to their high volatility and/or low solubility. The aim of this study was to develop a practical and reproducible in vitro exposure technique for toxicity testing of VOCs. Standard test atmospheres of xylene and toluene were generated in glass chambers using a static method. Human cells including: A549-lung derived cell lines, HepG2-liver derived cell lines and skin fibroblasts, were grown in porous membranes and exposed to various airborne concentrations of selected VOCs directly at the air/liquid interface for 1 h at 37 °C. Cytotoxicity of test chemicals was investigated using the MTS (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium) and NRU (neutral red uptake) assays following 24 h incubation. Airborne IC$_{50}$ (50% inhibitory concentration) values were determined using dose response curves for xylene (IC$_{50}$ = 5350 ± 328 ppm, NRU; IC$_{50}$ = 5750 ± 433 ppm, MTS in skin fibroblast) and toluene (IC$_{50}$ = 10500 ± 527 ppm, NRU; IC$_{50}$ = 11200 ± 1044 ppm, MTS in skin fibroblast). Our findings suggest that static direct exposure at the air/liquid interface is a practical and reproducible technique for toxicity testing of VOCs. Further, this technique can be used for inhalational and dermal toxicity studies of volatile chemicals in vitro as the exposure pattern in vivo is closely simulated by this method.
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Exposure to vapours of volatile chemicals is a major occupational and environmental health concern. Toxicity testing of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) has always faced significant technological problems due to their high volatility and/or low solubility. The aim of this study was to develop a practical and reproducible *in vitro* exposure technique for toxicity testing of VOCs. Standard test atmospheres of xylene and toluene were generated in glass chambers using a static method. Human cells including: A549-lung derived cell lines, HepG2-liver derived cell lines and skin fibroblasts, were grown in porous membranes and exposed to various airborne concentrations of selected VOCs directly at the air/liquid interface for 1 h at 37 °C. Cytotoxicity of test chemicals was investigated using the MTS (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium) and NRU (neutral red uptake) assays following 24 h incubation. Airborne IC50 (50% inhibitory concentration) values were determined using dose response curves for xylene (IC50 = 5350 ± 328 ppm, NRU; IC50 = 5750 ± 433 ppm, MTS in skin fibroblast) and toluene (IC50 = 10 500 ± 527 ppm, NRU; IC50 = 11 200 ± 1044 ppm, MTS in skin fibroblast). Our findings suggest that static direct exposure at the air/liquid interface is a practical and reproducible technique for toxicity testing of VOCs. Further, this technique can be used for inhalational and dermal toxicity studies of volatile chemicals *in vitro* as the exposure pattern *in vivo* is closely simulated by this method.

1. Introduction

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs), such as organic solvents, are chemical compounds with widespread applications in: metal cleaning, surface coating, dry cleaning, adhesives, chemical intermediates, motor fuels, pharmaceutical and consumer products.1 Occupational and environmental exposures to VOCs are a significant contributor to human health problems.1,2 Inhalational and dermal exposure to these chemicals can cause both local and systemic toxic effects. The lungs, skin, central nervous system (CNS), liver and the kidneys are the main body systems affected by these chemicals.3 Despite the importance of VOCs, *in vitro* toxicity testing of these compounds has encountered significant technical challenges due to their physicochemical properties.3–6

Low water solubility and high vapour pressure are two major physico-chemical characteristics of many VOCs that may create technical challenges during the course of *in vitro* experimentation. Conventionally, insoluble test chemicals are solubilised in culture media using a range of organic solvents such as dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or alcohols.7 However, the use of a solvent can potentially increase experimental errors, influence the observed toxicity and interfere with end-point measurements. Sonication can also be used to improve the solubility of immiscible organic compounds such as xylene and malathion.8 Nevertheless, the resulting chemical mixture can still separate during the incubation period.

High volatilisation of the test chemical from the exposure medium is another experimental problem that occurs while conducting *in vitro* experiments with volatile compounds. This may result in a significant loss of the test chemical and cross
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contamination of test concentrations which can lead to interpretational errors. Different approaches have been pursued to overcome this problem. The evaporation of the more volatile test chemicals has been limited by overlaying the cells with a layer of mineral oil. An enclosed chamber was designed into which vapour of a specific concentration can be forced in order to inhibit the evaporation of test chemical from the culture medium.

Apart from the above-mentioned technical problems, it is essential to develop in vitro models that closely mimic the exposure patterns in vivo such as those occurring during inhalational exposures. Vapour inhalation is considered the most important means by which humans are exposed to volatile compounds, especially in the workplace environment. Therefore, the development of in vitro techniques that are comparable to in vivo environments during inhalation exposures needs to be encouraged. Some studies have attempted to simulate the exposure conditions similar to in vivo situations but, with considerable limitations, as in such test systems, cells are always covered by a less or more intervening layer of culture medium during the exposure time.

Recently, technology has become available that allows cells to be cultured on permeable porous membranes in transwell or snapwell inserts (suppliers: Costar, Falcon and Nunc). Once cells are established on the membrane, the upper layer of culture media can be removed, and the cells directly exposed to air contaminants. In a direct exposure technique the air/liquid interface target cells can be exposed to airborne contaminants continuously during the exposure time on their apical side, while being nourished from their basolateral side. Direct exposure of cells to airborne contaminants was initially achieved by growing cells on collagen-coated membranes located on special constructions, and more recently porous membranes in transwell inserts.

In this study we developed a practical and reproducible in vitro technique for toxicity testing of volatile organic compounds. Xylene and toluene were selected as two important volatile organic compounds (VOCs) with widespread industrial applications. Inhalational and dermal exposures to vapours of these chemicals commonly occur in several workplace settings such as in printing, painting, rubber, leather and petrochemical industries. In addition, published in vivo toxicity data available for these chemicals, makes them ideal test candidates for in vitro method development and comparative purposes.

A static direct exposure technique for in vitro toxicity testing of selected volatile compounds was developed using cultured human cells on porous membranes in snapwell inserts. This new exposure technique not only avoids conventional technical problems in toxicity testing of volatile chemicals but may simulate more closely exposure patterns in vivo particularly during inhalation of vapours of volatile compounds. Considering the physico-chemical properties of selected volatile organic compounds (Table 1), standard test atmospheres of these chemicals were generated using a static method. In comparison to a dynamic method, static generation of test atmospheres of volatile compounds requires relatively simple equipment and procedures, making this method ideal for screening purposes. In a static direct exposure technique the physical stress on cells due to the dynamic flow of air was also omitted.

Toxic effects of generated airborne concentrations of test chemicals were studied in human target cells; A549-lung derived cell lines, HepG2-liver derived cell lines and skin fibroblasts using the MTS (Tetrazolium salt; Promega) and NRU (Neutral red uptake; Sigma) in vitro cell viability assays. Apart from establishment of airborne IC50 values for candidate volatile chemicals, development of this exposure technique may play a significant role in toxicity testing of volatile chemicals and inhalational toxicity studies in vitro.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemical compounds

Toluene (C6H5CH3), CAS# 108-88-3, was purchased from APS Finechem, Australia, Analytical reagent. Xylene (C6H4(CH3)2), CAS# 1330-20-7, was purchased from Chem-Supply, Australia, Laboratory reagent. In vitro assay reagents were purchased from Promega (USA) and Sigma (USA).

2.2. Cell types and culture conditions

Three different human cells including: epithelial lung carcinoma cell lines (A549, ATCC No. CCL-185); hepatocarcinoma cell lines (HepG2, ATCC No. HB-8065) and skin fibroblasts isolated from skin biopsies of healthy individuals (Cytogenetics Department, Westmead Hospital, Sydney, Australia) were selected to represent different human organs of toxicological significance.

All cells were cultured in sterile, vented 75 cm² cell culture flasks with DMEM/F12 (Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium: Ham’s F-12 nutrient mixture; Gibco, USA) culture media supplemented with 5% (v/v) fetal calf serum (FCS; JS Bioscience, Australia) and 1% (v/v) antibiotic (200 mIU/ml) streptomycin, 10,000 U Penicillin and 10 mg Streptomycin per ml; Sigma, USA). Cultured cells were kept at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator.

For cytotoxicity experiments, newly confluent cell layers were enzymatically removed, using Trypsin/EDTA (Gibco, USA), and resuspended in culture medium. Cell viability was assessed by vital staining with trypan blue (0.4% (w/v); Sigma, USA), and cell number was determined using a light microscope (Leitz Wetzlar, Germany). For culturing cells on membranes, appropriate cell numbers were determined in preliminary studies for each cell type and in vitro assays, based on the linearity range of cell concentration versus absorbance data.

Table 1 Physico-chemical properties of test chemicals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physico-chemical properties</th>
<th>Xylene (CAS No. 1330-20-7)</th>
<th>Toluene (CAS No. 108-88-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synonyms</td>
<td>Dimethyl benzene</td>
<td>Methylbenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>Colourless liquid</td>
<td>Colourless liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical formula</td>
<td>C6H4(CH3)2</td>
<td>C6H5CH3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight</td>
<td>106.17</td>
<td>92.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity at 20 °C</td>
<td>0.86 g mL⁻¹</td>
<td>0.866 g mL⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapour pressure at 25 °C</td>
<td>8 mm Hg</td>
<td>28.4 mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water solubility</td>
<td>Insoluble</td>
<td>Very slightly soluble</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Human cells were grown on porous membranes (0.4 μm) in snapwell inserts. The snapwell insert is a modified transwell culture insert with a 12 mm diameter providing a growth area of 1.12 cm² (clear polyster Snapwell™ insert, 3801, Corning), supported by a detachable ring that was placed in a six well culture plate. Culture media and 1% (v/v) HEPES buffer was added to both sides (bottom, 2 ml; top, 0.5 ml) of the membranes. The snapwell inserts in six well plates were incubated at 37 °C for one hour as an initial equilibrium time to improve cell attachment. Culture media was then removed from the top and replaced with fresh culture media (0.5 ml) to improve cell attachment. Culture media was then removed and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Cell attachment was observed under the light microscope (Leitz Wetzlar, Germany), medium was removed from both sides of the snapwell inserts and membranes washed with Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS; Gibco, USA) from both sides (top, 0.5 ml; bottom, 2.0 ml). Cells on the membranes were exposed to airborne concentrations of test chemicals on their apical side while being nourished from their basolateral side, using the static exposure technique.

2.3. Static exposure protocol

A static technique for preparation of standard test atmospheres using a glass bottle has previously been reported. A specific quantity of a volatile liquid was introduced into the bottle onto a filter paper to assist evaporation. Glass was the preferred material as adsorption losses were very low and there was no diffusion of material through the vessel. In this study, standard test atmospheres of xylene and toluene were generated in glass chambers (322 ± 1.22 ml) using a static method.

After washing with HBSS, human cells grown on snapwell inserts were detached from their holders and placed into sterile individual glass wells. Each glass well contained 1.2 ml of serum free culture media supplemented with 1% HEPES buffer (Fig. 1). Two of these individual glass wells were placed in a single sterile chamber for subsequent analysis by two in vitro assays. Aliquots of test chemicals (ranging from 0, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0, or 30.0 μl) were introduced to the glass chambers onto the filter paper. Glass chambers were immediately closed, sealed with parafilm and placed on an orbital mixer incubator (50 RPM; Ratek Instruments, Australia) at 37 °C. Each aliquot of volatile liquid was introduced into a single chamber. Human cells were exposed to various airborne concentrations of volatile test chemicals directly at the air/liquid interface for 1 h. At the end of the exposure time, snapwell inserts were removed and replaced in their holders within six well plates. Culture media supplemented with 1% HEPES buffer was added to both sides (top, 0.5 ml; bottom, 2 ml) of the membranes. Cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C in a humidified incubator. At the end of the incubation time, cell viability was investigated using the MTS (tetrazolium salt) and NRU (neutral red uptake) assays.

2.4. Cytotoxicity endpoints

To assess the intrinsic cytotoxic effects of chemical substances, several in vitro tests have been developed by measuring different biological endpoints such as cell viability, cell metabolism and membrane leakage. In this study, two in vitro cytotoxicity assays measuring different endpoints were used.

The Promega CellTiter 96® AQuesous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay was used to measure the toxicity of test chemicals by determining the number of viable cells in culture. This MTS (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium) assay is based on the ability of viable cells to convert a soluble tetrazolium salt to a formazan product. This assay has been used in our laboratory for toxicity testing of chemicals and airborne contaminants. Detection reagent is composed of solutions of MTS (Tetrazolium salt; Promega, USA) and PMS (an electron coupling reagent; Phenazine methosulfate; Sigma, USA). Both substances were initially dissolved in DPBS (Dulbecco’s Phosphate Buffered Saline; Gibco, USA) at ratios of 2 : 1 (w/v; MTS: DPBS) and 0.92 : 1 (w/v; PMS: DPBS), filter stabilized (0.22 μm) and stored separately in light protected containers at −20 °C.

After 24 h post incubation of cells, culture media was removed and replaced with fresh culture media from the bottom (2 ml) and top (0.4 ml) of the membranes. The MTS and PMS reagents were thawed and mixed in a ratio of 20 : 1 (MTS : PMS) immediately before being added to the cells. The MTS/PMS reagent (100 μl), was added to the cells on the top of the membrane and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. After the incubation period, aliquots of 40 μl from the top of the membranes were transferred to the 384 well plates in 6-8 replicates and absorbance was recorded at 492 nm using a multplate reader (Multiskan Ascent, Thermo Labsystems, Finland) against controls.

The neutral red (3-amino-7-dimethyl-aminoo-2-methylpene-nazine hydrochloride) uptake (NRU; Sigma) assay is a cell survival/viability technique based on the ability of viable cells to incorporate and bind supravital neutral red dye. This assay used to measure the cytotoxicity of test chemicals. After 24 h post incubation of cells, culture media was removed and membranes washed with HBSS. Culture media was added on the bottom of the membranes (2 ml). Neutral red medium (80 μg ml⁻¹ media) prepared from the previous day and kept

Fig. 1 Static exposure of human cells to airborne concentrations of VOCs.
at 37 °C, was centrifuged for 5 min at 1500 × g and the supernatant filter sterilized (0.22 µl). The NRU solution (0.5 ml) was added into the top part of the membranes, and cells were incubated for 3 h at 37 °C. After the incubation period, the medium was removed and cells fixed with fixative solution from the top (0.5 ml) of the membranes for no longer than 30 s. Membranes were rinsed with HBSS from the top (0.5 ml) and bottom (2 ml), and assay solubilization solution (0.5 ml) was added to the membranes (top). The plate was shaken for 10 min using an orbital mixer (Ratek Instruments, Australia) and aliquots of 100 µl were transferred into 96 well plates in 3-4 replicates. The absorbance was recorded at 540 nm with a microtiter plate reader (Multiskan MS, Labsystems, Finland) against controls.

2.5. Controls

For each in vitro experiment, two controls were set up under identical conditions including: ICₐ₀ (0% inhibitory concentration; cells only) and; IC₁₀₀ (100% inhibitory concentration; media only) exposed to air only during exposure time.

For both in vitro assays percentage of cell viability at each test concentration was calculated from eqn (1):

\[
\% \text{ cell viability} = \left(\frac{\text{mean absorbance of exposed cells}}{\text{mean absorbance of unexposed control cells}}\right) \times 100
\]

(1)

2.6. Calculation of airborne test concentrations

In a static system, the concentration of the generated test atmospheres can be calculated. Moreover, the test atmosphere can be sampled for gas chromatographic analysis if required using a gas-tight syringe. In this study, the airborne concentrations of volatile organic solvents, produced by evaporation of a known amount of volatile liquid, was calculated as per eqn (2).

\[
\text{ppm} = \left(\frac{10^6 w/MW}{V/V_m}\right)
\]

(2)

where \( w \) = weight of volatile test liquid introduced, in g; \( MW \) = molecular weight of test liquid, in g; \( V_m \) = gram molecular volume, in l, of the mixture under ambient conditions; and \( V \) = total volume of mixture, in l.

The molar gas volume was calculated for the ambient temperature and pressure using eqn (3).

\[
V_m = 24.45 \left(\frac{760}{P}\right) \left(\frac{t + 273.15}{298.15}\right)
\]

(3)

where 24.45 = gram molecular volume, in l, under standard conditions of 760 mm Hg, 25 °C; \( P \) = ambient pressure, in mm Hg; and \( t \) = ambient temperature, in °C.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel 2002 and SPSS (version 12.0) Software. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the mean IC₅₀ values of test chemicals in three cell types, followed by multiple comparisons (Post Hoc Tests, Tukey HSD) to identify which cell type was statistically significantly different. Differences were considered as statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

3. Results and discussion

The concentration–effect curves of test chemicals on different human cells are presented for the MTS (Fig. 2) and NRU (Fig. 3) in vitro cytotoxicity assays. Each experimental curve represents the average of a series of three different experiments (\( n = 24 \)). Airborne concentration related effects of xylene and toluene were observed in all human cells tested.

The airborne IC₅₀ values of test chemicals in three different human cells with two in vitro assays are reported in Table 2.
Cell viability was significantly reduced in a dose-dependent manner after exposure of human cells to airborne concentrations of selected volatile organic solvents tested in both \textit{in vitro} assays. Rather than single airborne concentration studies, airborne concentration–effect curves of test chemicals were achieved using a static direct exposure method and airborne IC$_{50}$ values were derived for selected test chemicals (Table 2). Xylene appeared to be more toxic than toluene in all cell types tested with both MTS and NRU assays.

The lowest airborne IC$_{50}$ values were measured for skin fibroblasts for both test chemicals with both assays. Nevertheless, after testing with one way ANOVA, no statistically significant difference was observed between sensitivity of cell types except for toxicity of xylene with the MTS assay ($p < 0.01$). In this case, multiple comparisons revealed that the sensitivity of skin fibroblasts was significantly different from A549 cell lines ($p < 0.05$). While both \textit{in vitro} cell viability assays indicated good sensitivity, the NRU assay appeared to be more sensitive than the MTS assay for toxicity testing of volatile test chemicals, in all human cells tested which may relate to alteration of lysosomal membrane by test chemicals, particularly toluene.

No published \textit{in vitro} airborne toxicity data could be sourced for xylene and toluene. However, inhalational \textit{in vivo} toxicity data for both test chemicals have been reported in rat by the NIOSH Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances (RTECS). The LC$_{50}$ (50$\%$ Lethal Concentration) values of xylene (5000 ppm) and toluene (13,000 ppm) have been reported in rats following 4 h exposure. Based on our \textit{in vitro} results, IC$_{50}$ values for xylene (5350–8200 ppm) and toluene (10 500–16 600 ppm) were determined after 1 h exposure (Table 2). An \textit{in vitro}/\textit{in vivo} comparison indicates that the \textit{in vitro} toxicity findings in the present study are in good correlation with inhalational \textit{in vivo} published data for both volatile organic solvents. Considering the exposure time differences, our results obtained using \textit{in vitro} test methods may also appear to be more sensitive. This high correlation of results confirms that the static direct exposure technique has the potential to be used for \textit{in vitro} toxicity assessment of volatile organic compounds.

The development of this \textit{in vitro} exposure technique offers a practical and reproducible method for toxicity testing of selected VOCs. Our findings suggest that the static direct exposure technique may be used for toxicity screening, ranking and quantitative toxicity testing of volatile organic compounds. This method can potentially be applied for inhalational and dermal toxicity testing of volatile compounds where exposure patterns \textit{in vivo} are more closely simulated by this technique.

![Fig. 3](image-url) The concentration–effect curves of test chemicals on human cells using the NRU assay. (a) Skin fibroblasts; (b) A549; (c) HepG2.

### Table 2 Airborne IC$_{50}$ values of test chemicals in three human cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human cell types</th>
<th>Xylene (ppm; $m \pm SD$)</th>
<th>Toluene (ppm; $m \pm SD$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>NRU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroblasts</td>
<td>5750 ± 433.0</td>
<td>5350 ± 327.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A549</td>
<td>8200 ± 953.9</td>
<td>7400 ± 1389.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>7200 ± 888.8</td>
<td>7000 ± 1113.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abbreviations

A549, human epithelial lung carcinoma cell lines; ANOVA, analysis of variance; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; CAS, Chemical Abstracts Service; DMEM/F12, Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium; Ham’s F-12 nutrient mixture; DPBS, Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline; EDTA, ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid; FCS, fetal calf serum; HBSS, Hank’s balanced salt solution; HEPES, N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-piperazine-N’-2-ethanesulfonic acid; HepG2, hepatocarcinoma cell lines IC50, 50% inhibitory concentration; LC50, 50% lethal concentration; MTS, (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium salt); NIOSH, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; NRU, neutral red uptake; PMS, phenazine methosul fate; RTECS, The Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances; VOC, volatile organic compounds.
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