1. Introduction

Welding fumes generated during gas metal arc welding (GMAW) process are potentially hazardous to the welder’s health. Welding aerosols consist of metal oxide particles that can remain suspended in the air and thus, inhaled by welders.1–3) The chemical composition, particle size and the amount of the fume particulates are important parameters in determining the toxicity of welding aerosols.3,4)

The amount and composition of the fume from GMAW depends on the welding parameters, the filler and base materials and the shielding gas.5–7) This paper will address the influence of the shielding gas on the chemical composition and particle size morphology of welding aerosols generated by robotic GMAW.

Due to the high temperatures involved with the welding arc, metal vapours are thought to predominately originate from the molten top of the welding electrode, though the molten weld pool is also a significant source.1,6) Metal vapours are readily oxidised, rapidly condensing into nanoparticles. These particles can then grow though time is limited due to rapid cooling resulting from the plasma jet and shielding gas flow sweeping the particles away from the hot arc. Zimmer9) suggests that particle growth due to coagulation, the collision of liquid or solid particles, will compete with condensation, particle growth due to additional metal vapours.

The influence of the proportion of reactive components in the shielding gas, CO₂ and O₂, on particle size distribution is not widely understood. The ability of particles to be inhaled and the resultant biological effects is dependant, to a large degree, on particle size. There is no agreement on how much inhaled fume remains in the lungs. The Australian standards reports that 100% of air borne particles at 1 μm or less will penetrate to the unciliated airways. Welding fume is predominately less than 1 μm.6,11) Jenkins and Eagar11) indicates that particles between 0.1 and 1 μm can be exhaled, resulting in approximately 30% of particles in this size range depositing in the lungs. Particles less than 0.1 μm are deposited into the lungs. Voitkevich3) suggests approximately 80% of particles less than 0.1 μm will be exhaled. Friedlander12) reported that the deposition of spherical particles of a given density in the lung is a function of particle size and varies from individual to individual. Particles between 0.1 to 1 μm have the lowest fraction deposited in to the lungs (30–40%) and for particles <0.1 μm, the fraction deposited increased exponentially. Pires13,14) claims that it is not the particle size but the size of particle agglomerations that is the defining parameter. Clearly, there are discrepancies in the literature regarding the exact interaction of particles of a particular size with the respiratory system, but it is evident that particle size is a critical factor.

Particle size distributions of welding aerosols are often determined using advanced analytical techniques. Sowards15) used an electrical low pressure cascade impactor (ELPI) to determine particle size distribution, where the lower limit of the impactor was 30 nm. Cascade impactors utilise the principle of particle inertia and aerodynamic diameter to separate particles. The cut-off diameter for each stage of the cascade impactor, in the experiments by Sowards,15) was...
reported to have 50% efficiency. Work by Zimmer et al.\cite{9,16,17} used an electrostatic classifier, scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS), with a condensation particle counter (CPC) that was configured to measure particles down to 4.53 nm. When collecting a representative sample using sampling tubes, potential problems include; preferential withdrawal of particles with respect to size and deposition of particles inside the tube.\cite{12} Electrostatic classifiers establish a charge on entering particles, which are then separated according to their electrical mobility. Zimmer and Biswas\cite{17} found that particle counting efficiency decreased with decreasing particle size. This was attributed to smaller particles being scavenged by larger particles.

In this study welding fume particle size distributions were determined using TEM and an image analysis technique. This technique is capable of distinguishing agglomerated particles and accurately counting fume particles down to 3–4 nm in size.

### 2. Experimental Procedures

GMAW was carried out with a Cigweld Trans Robot WS-0550 linked to a Fronius Trans Synergic 4000 power supply and wire feed system. The welding parameters, nominal chemical composition of the base plate, welding wire and shielding gases are listed in Table 1. Welding was generally carried out in spray transfer mode although this changed to globular mode when using 100% CO₂ gas. For 100% CO₂, three voltages were used, 32 V, 34 V and 36 V, to investigate the effect of increasing arc voltage on particle size.

A WITT KM 30-4 gas mixer was used to generate the shielding gas mixtures listed in Table 1. A Platon flowmeter was placed between the gas mixer and welding machine to ensure a constant flow-rate. The flow meter was calibrated with air using a water displacement test, where the flow rate was calculated by measuring the time taken for a given volume of water to be displaced by the gas. The flow meter reading was then adjusted for the selected gas composition using gas density corrections and Eq. (1).

\[
F_{\text{gas}} = \frac{F_{\text{air}}}{k}, \quad k = \sqrt{r} \quad \text{………………..(1)}
\]

Where \( F_{\text{gas}} \) is the flow rate of the selected gas, \( F_{\text{air}} \) is the flow rate for air and \( k \) is a constant based on the relative gas density to air, \( r \), at constant temperature and pressure. The values used for, \( r \), were: Ar 1.380, CO₂ 1.520, He 0.138 and O₂ 1.105 and ideal gas-mixing was assumed to calculate the density for each gas mixture.

A 255 mm long bead was welded onto the plain carbon steel plate in a fume box and fume for TEM analysis was collected on an Aluminium SEM stub. The stub was in a fixed position 30 mm from the centre line of the arc in the welding direction and 50 mm above the plate, as depicted in Fig. 1. This position, determined from previous work,\cite{18} was chosen to provide sufficient fume collection for TEM analysis. Chemical analysis was performed with TEM-EDS, using a Jeol, JEM 2011 at 200 kV, equipped with a Si(Li) detector and using a double tilt beryllium holder. Fume particles were washed off the stub by ultrasonic agitation into a bath of ethanol, where the ethanol was pre-filtered through 0.22 µm micro-pore filter to remove contaminants. This mixture was then deposited onto holey carbon-coated TEM copper grids. Any micro-spatter that washed off the stub sunk to the bottom of the ethanol bath and as a result was excluded from analysis. TEM images of particulate fume were taken at 200 Kx magnification and were measured using Scion Image software to generate particle size distribution curves. For each experiment approximately 1,500 particles were counted.

A GBC Scientific Equipment, MMA X-ray diffractometer was used to identify bulk phases in the fume. Fume was transferred onto a low-background quartz slide, where a thin layer of petroleum jelly was used to adhere the fume to the slide. Scans were conducted from 15° to 75° 2θ at a rate of 1° min⁻¹, step size 0.02 and with the X-ray source running at 1.0 kW (35 kV and 28.8 mA).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. XRD

XRD analysis of the (bulk) fume identified the Fe₃O₄-spinel type phase (Magnetite-index card 011-0614 ICDD data base). There was no evidence that shielding gas com-

---

**Table 1.** Welding parameters and shielding gas mixtures used for robotic GMAW.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weld process</th>
<th>GMAW, spray transfer</th>
<th>Shielding gas mixtures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material and composition</td>
<td>10mm thick mild steel plate (0.22%C, 1.6%Mn, 0.55%Si)</td>
<td>Ar + 5%O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate condition</td>
<td>Ground and cleaned with ethanol</td>
<td>Ar + 10%CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire</td>
<td>AISI A5.18 (ER70S-6) uncoated, 1.2 mm diameter</td>
<td>Ar + 18%CO₂, Ar + 5%CO₂ + 2%O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire composition</td>
<td>0.08%C, 1.16%Mn, 0.7%Si</td>
<td>Ar + 12%CO₂ + 2%O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas flow rate</td>
<td>20 L min⁻¹</td>
<td>Ar + 18%CO₂ + 2%O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire feed rate</td>
<td>8 m min⁻¹</td>
<td>Ar + 5%CO₂ + 5%O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>32 volts</td>
<td>Ar + 12%CO₂ + 4%O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTVD</td>
<td>20 mm</td>
<td>Ar + 20%He + 12%CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarity</td>
<td>DC+</td>
<td>Ar + 30%He + 6%CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld travel speed</td>
<td>300 mm min⁻¹</td>
<td>Ar + 30%He + 10%CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100% CO₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 1.** Set-up of the Al SEM stub on the welding torch nozzle for fume collection.
position affected the composition of the bulk fume. There was a slight peak shift that indicated that small levels of Mn, as detected by TEM-EDS, substituted for Fe in the Fe$_3$O$_4$ phase. This is consistent with other studies reported in the literature.\(^{15,19}\)

### 3.2. TEM-EDS

The average compositions determined by TEM-EDS for a number of particles for each shielding gas mixture are in Table 2. The data for the variation of fume composition with particle size was taken from the Ar–CO$_2$ and Ar–CO$_2$–O$_2$ groups only; results are shown in Table 3 and are plotted in Fig. 2.

TEM-EDS identified fume particles as composed mainly of Fe–oxide (Fe$_3$O$_4$) with small amounts of Mn and trace amounts of Si. EDS results showed that small peaks of Si and O were present in the background when the electron beam was focused on the carbon film. It is likely that the trace amounts of Si and O, about 0.2–0.5 wt%, were at least in part from O-ring grease contamination from TEM sample holders. The presence of Si in fume particles is widely known but with the uncertainty of background contamination it is difficult to accurately determine the amount of Si in the fume particles. Results suggest that Si levels in the fume were similar to the wire composition; however, significant Mn enrichment of the fume was observed.

### 3.3. Fume Morphology

Typical bright-field TEM images taken at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Figure 3 shows a mixture of spherical and faceted particles, including the tendency of the particles to agglomerate in groups and chain-like structures. In Fig. 4, lattice fringes are clearly visible indicating the crystalline structure of the fume particle. TEM observations yielded no evidence of metal core–oxide shelled particles in any condition, which have previously been reported in the literature.\(^{20}\)

### 3.4. Fume Particle Size Distribution

The repeatability of the particle analysis technique, for

---

**Table 2.** TEM-EDS composition analysis of fume particles for each shielding gas mixture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shielding gas</th>
<th>O(wt%)</th>
<th>Si(wt%)</th>
<th>Mn(wt%)</th>
<th>Fe(wt%)</th>
<th>Mn/Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ar–5%O$_2$</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–5%CO$_2$</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–10%CO$_2$</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–18%CO$_2$</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–5%CO$_2$–2%O$_2$</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–12%CO$_2$–2%O$_2$</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–18%CO$_2$–2%O$_2$</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–5%CO$_2$–5%O$_2$</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–12%CO$_2$–4%O$_2$</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–20%He–12%CO$_2$</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–30%He–6%CO$_2$</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–30%He–10%CO$_2$</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% CO$_2$</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The 100% CO$_2$ data was not included in the average as they were from fume collected while welding in globular mode, not spray transfer.

---

**Table 3.** Particle composition as a function of particle size range for the Ar–CO$_2$ and Ar–CO$_2$–O$_2$ shielding gas groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size range</th>
<th>O(wt%)</th>
<th>Si(wt%)</th>
<th>Mn(wt%)</th>
<th>Fe(wt%)</th>
<th>Mn/Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20 nm</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–40 nm</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–60 nm</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–80 nm</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;81 nm</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 2.** Variation of particle composition with particle size range for the Ar–CO$_2$ and Ar–CO$_2$–O$_2$ groups.

**Fig. 3.** Typical bright field TEM image taken at 200 Kx showing a mixture of particle sizes with spherical and faceted morphology, often in chain-like structures (100 nm).

**Fig. 4.** Typical bright field high magnification TEM image of fume particles showing lattice fringes and a single crystal structure (10 nm).
the Ar–5%CO₂ shielding gas, is displayed in Fig. 5. The three repeats closely followed the same trend and the greatest discrepancy was for the particle range of 0–20 nm. The percentage error for the average particle size, total percentage of fume /H₁₁₀₂₁ 40 nm and /H₁₁₀₂₁ 60 nm were 4.3%, 3.5% and 0.6%, respectively. Fume particle size distributions are plotted as normalised frequency versus particle diameter and graphs for the Ar–CO₂–O₂ group, Ar–CO₂ group, Ar–He–CO₂ group and for pure CO₂ are shown in Figs. 6 to 9, respectively.

In order to characterise the effects of shielding gas on particle size the total number of fume /H₁₁₀₂₁ 40 nm and /H₁₁₀₂₁ 60 nm (covering the bulk of the particle distribution) was used, as shown in Fig. 10. Table 4 summarises the average particle size, the total /H₁₁₀₂₁ 40 nm and the total /H₁₁₀₂₁ 60 nm for each shielding gas mixture.

4. Discussion

4.1. Particle Size Distributions

A clear trend of increasing average particle size with increasing CO₂, as shown in Figs. 7 and 10. The entire Ar–CO₂ group showed similar particle distribution curves (Fig. 7) but there was a shift towards coarser particles at the expense of finer particles as %CO₂ increased. It should be noted that in Fig. 10 that the average particle size decreases as the percentage of particles value increases. The Ar–5%CO₂ and the Ar–5%O₂ results showed similar average particle size and percentage of total fume <40 nm, but Fig.
6 revealed that Ar–5%O2 had a greater fraction of fume in the 0–20 nm range.

The ternary mixtures of Ar–CO2–O2 are split in to 3 categories, 1) increasing CO2 with 2% O2, 2) 5% CO2 with increasing O2 and 3) 12% CO2 with increasing O2. Category 1 showed a similar trend to the binary Ar–CO2 mixtures, where the particle size increased with increasing CO2 and the addition of 2% oxygen further enhanced the coarsening of particles. Increasing the oxygen addition further (categories 2 and 3), resulted in a slight increase in average particle size at 5% CO2, but a decrease for the 12% CO2 group. Generally, particle size increased as reactive gas components, O2 and CO2, increased, with the notable exception for Ar–12%CO2–4%O2.

The particle size distribution was closely related to the reactive gas content of the shielding mixtures. For both binary and ternary argon mixtures containing CO2 and O2, the particle size shifted to coarser average sizes as the reactive components of the shielding gas composition increased. Particle growth is driven by condensation and coagulation. In welding, both of these mechanisms are limited due to the rapid cooling rate of the vapour, about 107 K s–1. As a result of this, small particles can only be consumed by larger particles due to collisions, as time for competitive growth is restricted. Collisions of liquid–liquid particles due to collisions, as time for competitive growth is restricted. Collisions of liquid–liquid particles due to collisions, as time for competitive growth is restricted. Collisions of liquid–liquid particles due to collisions, as time for competitive growth is restricted. Collisions of liquid–liquid particles due to collisions, as time for competitive growth is restricted. Collisions of liquid–liquid particles due to collisions, as time for competitive growth is restricted. Collisions of liquid–liquid particles due to collisions, as time for competitive growth is restricted. Collisions of liquid–liquid particles due to collisions, as time for competitive growth is restricted. Collisions of liquid–liquid particles due to collisions, as time for competitive growth is restricted. Collisions of liquid–liquid particles due to collisions, as time for competitive growth is restricted.

Table 4. Summary of average particle size, the total percentage of fume <40 nm and <60 nm for each shielding gas mixture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas composition</th>
<th>Average (nm)</th>
<th>Total &lt;40 nm</th>
<th>Total &lt;60 nm</th>
<th>Total*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ar–5%O2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>82.3%</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
<td>1567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–5%CO2</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>98.4%</td>
<td>1503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–10%CO2</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
<td>95.4%</td>
<td>1507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–18%CO2</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>80.6%</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–12%CO2–2%O2</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
<td>1480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–18%CO2–2%O2</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
<td>1415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–5%CO2–5%O2</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>94.7%</td>
<td>1502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–5%CO2–5%O2</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
<td>96.1%</td>
<td>1402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–10%He–12%CO2</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
<td>1407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–30%He–6%CO2</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
<td>1559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar–30%He–5%CO2</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
<td>95.5%</td>
<td>1547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%CO2 (32V)</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>79.9%</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>1509</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total number of fume particles counted per experiment.

The normalised frequency versus particle diameter plot for the 100% CO2 shielding gas is presented in Fig. 9 for 32 V, 34 V and 36 V. The particle size coarsened with increasing voltage and most notably there was a significant drop in the 0–20 nm size group. Raising the arc voltage increases the arc temperature and the length of the arc promoting increased vaporisation, which increases the amount of fume generated. Results also indicate that raising the arc voltage promotes coarser fume particles.

The average size was misleading for the 100% CO2 tests, for example, the average particle size at 32 V was smaller than all the Ar-based shielding gases, but a significantly long tail of coarse particles was recorded in Fig. 9. The exceptionally large proportion of 0–20 nm particles signifi-
Fe,Mn)3O4 with trace Si additions. 

and Si in all particles indicates that fume particles are 

ature of the fume particles (Fig. 3) and the detection of Mn 

would be due solely to 100% CO2 shielding gas providing 

forced for nucleation because of the change of 

welding transfer to globular. A change from spray transfer 

globular would be expected to significantly alter the 

ume generation characteristics.

The TEM analysis revealed two distinct groups of parti-

cles, a wide range of spherical particles from approximately 

20 to 120 nm and clusters of groups of fine particles all 

below 20 nm, typical of that shown in Fig. 11. TEM analy-

sis indicated that many of these clusters were Iron oxide as 

opposed to (Fe,Mn)3O4. It was first speculated that these 

fine clusters were an artefact, washed-off the spatter parti-

cles during ultrasonic cleaning. To check this, spatter parti-

cles were collected on aluminium SEM stubs, carefully re-

moved and placed in the ultrasonic cleaner in a bath of 

ethanol. The particle contaminated ethanol was then dropped 

onto TEM grids as before. A small number of fine, spheri-

cal fume particles were observed (some fume would have 

deposited on the spatter during collection), but not the fine 

clusters (typical of that shown in Fig. 11). Therefore the 

change in particle distribution, in comparison with the Ar-

based shielding gases, was attributed to the change in metal 

transfer from spray to globular. Fine clusters of particles 

may result when the arc is briefly extinguished, causing a 

sudden drop in temperature and a ‘burst’ in nucleation of 

extremely fine particles.

4.2. Fume Composition

The combination of TEM-EDS with XRD reveals that 

Fe3O4 was the dominant phase. The uniform, crystalline na-

ture of the fume particles (Fig. 3) and the detection of Mn 

and Si in all particles indicates that fume particles are 

(Fe,Mn)3O4 with trace Si additions.

The TEM-EDS results in Table 2 showed a wide range of 

scatter for the analysis of particles for different shielding 

gas compositions; no discernable trend was observed. This 

suggests that shielding gas has no discernable effect on par-

ticle composition. The exception was a noticeable increase 

in the Si level when welding with 100% CO2 shielding gas.

Grouping TEM-EDS results into particle size ranges, 

<20 nm, 21–40 nm, 41–60 nm, 61–80 nm and >81 nm re-

vealed a slight variation of composition with particle size 

range, as shown in Table 3 and Fig. 2. Silicon was the only 
element to show significant change, where Si increased as 

particle size decreased. Due to the relatively low vapour 

pressure of Si it could be expected that Si would nucleate 

first resulting in higher Si compositions for newly nucleated 
particles. It appears that the increase in Si resulted in a cor-

responding decrease in Fe as particle size decreased. Over-

all, the variation of particle composition was minor.

The variation of composition with particle size is an im-

portant parameter in determining fume toxicology because 

particles of different sizes have different characteristics 

when inhaled.19) Manganese is an element of interest and 

results showed that Mn had negligible variation with par-

cle size therefore bulk fume composition would be an ac-

ceptable way to report Mn levels.

The average ratio of Mn to Fe in the reported fume parti-

cles in Table 2 was 0.088. This is higher than that of the 

welding wire (0.012). This enrichment of Mn in the fume is 

likely to be a result of the relatively high partial pressure of 

Mn at welding arc temperatures.5)

5. Conclusions

The influence of shielding gas composition on particle 

size distribution and composition of aerosols generated by 

robotic GMAW on mild steel plates has been investigated. 

TEM analysis revealed that the majority of fume particles 

were spherical, single crystals and below 100 nm in diame-

ter. The average particle size increased as the reactive com-

ponents (O2 and CO2) of the shielding gas increased, with 

the exception of Ar–12%CO2–4%O2. This was explained 

by the increase in O2 and CO2 promoting a higher driving 

force for nucleation. A higher driving force would promote 

nucleation at higher temperature, which would be more 

favourable for the formation of coarser particles. Increasing 

He or CO2 in ternary Ar–He–CO2 mixtures had little im-

pact on the particle size distribution. TEM observations 

showed fume particles were a mixture of spherical and 

crystal faceted morphology.

Shielding gas composition had little influence on par-

ticle composition and fume particles were identified as 

(Fe,Mn)3O4 with trace additions of Si. Fume composition 

showed only slight variation with particle size, where Si in-

creased as particle size decreased.
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