

1993

## Highly nonlinear 0-1 balanced boolean functions satisfying strict avalanche criterion

Jennifer Seberry

*University of Wollongong*, [jennie@uow.edu.au](mailto:jennie@uow.edu.au)

Xian-Mo Zhang

*University of Wollongong*, [xianmo@uow.edu.au](mailto:xianmo@uow.edu.au)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers>



Part of the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Seberry, Jennifer and Zhang, Xian-Mo: Highly nonlinear 0-1 balanced boolean functions satisfying strict avalanche criterion 1993.

<https://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers/1082>

---

## Highly nonlinear 0-1 balanced boolean functions satisfying strict avalanche criterion

### Abstract

Nonlinearity, 0-1 balancedness and strict avalanche criterion (SAC) are important criteria for cryptographic functions. Bent functions have maximum nonlinearity and satisfy SAC however they are not 0-1 balanced and hence cannot be directly used in many cryptosystems where 0-1 balancedness is needed. In this paper we construct

- (i) 0-1 balanced boolean functions on  $V_{2k+1}$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) having nonlinearity  $2^{2k} - 2^k$  and satisfying SAC,
- (ii) 0-1 balanced boolean functions on  $V_{2k}$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) having nonlinearity  $2^{2k-1} - 2^k$  and satisfying SAC.

We demonstrate that the above nonlinearities are very high not only for the 0-1 balanced functions satisfying SAC but also for all 0-1 balanced functions.

### Disciplines

Physical Sciences and Mathematics

### Publication Details

Jennifer Seberry and Xian-Mo Zhang, Highly nonlinear 0-1 balanced boolean functions satisfying strict avalanche criterion, (Jennifer Seberry and Yuliang Zheng, (Eds.)), *Advances in Cryptography - Auscrypt'92*, Conference held at the Gold Coast, Australia, December 1992, 718, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (1993), 145-155.

# Highly Nonlinear 0-1 Balanced Boolean Functions Satisfying Strict Avalanche Criterion (Extended Abstract)

Jennifer Seberry \* and Xian-Mo Zhang \*\*

Department of Computer Science  
The University of Wollongong  
Wollongong, NSW 2522, AUSTRALIA

**Abstract.** Nonlinearity, 0-1 balancedness and strict avalanche criterion (SAC) are important criteria for cryptographic functions. Bent functions have maximum nonlinearity and satisfy SAC however they are not 0-1 balanced and hence cannot be directly used in many cryptosystems where 0-1 balancedness is needed. In this paper we construct

- (i) 0-1 balanced boolean functions on  $V_{2^{k+1}}$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) having nonlinearity  $2^{2^k} - 2^k$  and satisfying SAC,
- (ii) 0-1 balanced boolean functions on  $V_{2^k}$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) having nonlinearity  $2^{2^{k-1}} - 2^k$  and satisfying SAC.

We demonstrate that the above nonlinearities are very high not only for the 0-1 balanced functions satisfying SAC but also for all 0-1 balanced functions.

## 1 Basic Definitions

Let  $V_n$  be the vector space of  $n$  tuples of elements from  $GF(2)$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in V_n$ . Write  $\alpha = (a_1 \cdots a_n)$ ,  $\beta = (b_1 \cdots b_n)$ , where  $a_i, b_i \in GF(2)$ . Write  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j$  for the scalar product of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . We write  $\alpha = (a_1 \cdots a_n) < \beta = (b_1 \cdots b_n)$  if there exists  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , such that  $a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_{k-1} = b_{k-1}$  and  $a_k = 0, b_k = 1$ . Hence we can order all vectors in  $V_n$  by the relation  $<$

$$\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_{2^n-1},$$

where

$$\alpha_0 = (0 \cdots 00), \dots, \alpha_{2^{n-1}-1} = (01 \cdots 1),$$

$$\alpha_{2^{n-1}} = (10 \cdots 0), \dots, \alpha_{2^n-1} = (11 \cdots 1).$$

\* Supported in part by the Australian Research Council under the reference numbers A49130102, A9030136, A49131885 and A49232172.

\*\* Supported in part by the Australian Research Council under the reference number A49130102.

**Definition 1.** Let  $f(x)$  be a function from  $V_n$  to  $GF(2)$  (simply, a function on  $V_n$ ). We call the  $(1, -1)$ -sequence  $\eta_f = ((-1)^{f(\alpha_0)} \dots (-1)^{f(\alpha_{2^n-1})})$  the *sequence of  $f(x)$* .  $f(x)$  is called the *function* of  $\eta_f$ . The  $(0, 1)$ -sequence  $(f(\alpha_0) f(\alpha_1) \dots f(\alpha_{2^n-1}))$  is called the *truth table* of  $f(x)$ . In particular, if the truth table of  $f(x)$  has  $2^{n-1}$  zeros (ones)  $f(x)$  is called *0-1 balanced*.

Let  $\xi = (a_1 \dots a_{2^n})$  and  $\eta = (b_1 \dots b_{2^n})$  be  $(1, -1)$ -sequences of length  $2^n$ . The operation  $*$  between  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ , denoted by  $\xi * \eta$ , is the sequence  $(a_1 b_1 \dots a_{2^n} b_{2^n})$ . Obviously if  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are the sequences of functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  on  $V_n$  respectively then  $\xi * \eta$  is the sequence of  $f(x) + g(x)$ .

**Definition 2.** We call the function  $h(x) = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n + c$ ,  $a_j, c \in GF(2)$ , an *affine function*, in particular,  $h(x)$  will be called a *linear function* if the constant  $c = 0$ . The sequence of an affine function (a linear function) will be called an *affine sequence* (a *linear sequence*).

**Definition 3.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions on  $V_n$ .  $d(f, g) = \sum_{f(x) \neq g(x)} 1$  is called the *Hamming distance* between  $f$  and  $g$ . Let  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{2^n}, \varphi_{2^n+1}, \dots, \varphi_{2^{n+1}}$  be all affine functions on  $V_n$ .  $N_f = \min_{i=1, \dots, 2^{n+1}} d(f, \varphi_i)$  is called the *nonlinearity* of  $f(x)$ .

The nonlinearity is a crucial criterion for a good cryptographic design. It prevents the cryptosystems from being attacked by a set of linear equations. The concept of nonlinearity was introduced by Pieprzyk and Finkelstein [16].

**Definition 4.** Let  $f(x)$  be a function on  $V_n$ . If  $f(x) + f(x + \alpha)$  is 0-1 balanced for every  $\alpha \in V_n$ ,  $W(\alpha) = 1$ , where  $W(\alpha)$  denotes the number of nonzero coordinates of  $\alpha$  (*Hamming weight*) of  $\alpha$ , we say that  $f(x)$  satisfies the *strict avalanche criterion (SAC)*.

We can give an equivalent description of SAC: let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$ . If if we change any single input the probability that the output changes is  $\frac{1}{2}$  (see [2]). The strict avalanche criterion was originally defined in [20], [21], later it has been generalized in many ways [2], [3], [6], [10], [13], [18]. The SAC is relevant to the completeness and the avalanche effect. The 0-1 balancedness, the nonlinearity and the avalanche criterion are important criteria for cryptographic functions [1], [3], [4], [13].

**Definition 5.** A  $(1, -1)$ -matrix  $H$  of order  $h$  will be called an *Hadamard matrix* if  $HH^T = hI_h$ .

If  $h$  is the order of an Hadamard matrix then  $h$  is 1, 2 or divisible by 4 [19]. A special kind of Hadamard matrix, defined as follows will be relevant:

**Definition 6.** The *Sylvester-Hadamard matrix* (or *Walsh-Hadamard matrix*) of order  $2^n$ , denoted by  $H_n$ , is generated by the recursive relation

$$H_n = \begin{bmatrix} H_{n-1} & H_{n-1} \\ H_{n-1} & -H_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad H_0 = 1.$$

**Definition 7.** Let  $f(x)$  be a function from  $V_n$  to  $GF(2)$ . If

$$2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{x \in V_n} (-1)^{f(x) + (\beta, x)} = \pm 1,$$

for every  $\beta \in V_n$ . We call  $f(x)$  a *bent function* on  $V_n$ .

From Definition 7, bent functions on  $V_n$  only exist for even  $n$ . Bent functions were first introduced and studied by Rothaus [17]. Further properties, constructions and equivalence bounds for bent functions can be found in [1], [7], [9], [15], [22]. Kumar, Scholtz and Welch [8] defined and studied the bent functions from  $Z_q^n$  to  $Z_q$ . Bent functions are useful for digital communications, coding theory and cryptography [2], [4], [9], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]. Bent functions on  $V_n$  ( $n$  is even) not only attain the upper bound of nonlinearity,  $2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ , but also satisfy SAC. However 0-1 balancedness is often required in cryptosystems and bent functions are not 0-1 balanced since the Hamming weight of bent functions on  $V_n$  is  $2^{n-1} \pm 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$  [17]. In this paper we construct 0-1 balanced functions with high nonlinearity satisfying high-order SAC from bent functions.

**Notation 8.** Let  $X$  be an indeterminate. We give  $X$  a binary subscript that is  $X_{i_1 \dots i_p}$  where  $i_1, \dots, i_p \in GF(2)$ . For any sequence of constants  $i_1, \dots, i_p$  from  $GF(2)$  define a function  $D_{i_1 \dots i_p}$  from  $V_p$  to  $GF(2)$  by

$$D_{i_1 \dots i_p}(y_1, \dots, y_p) = (y_1 + \bar{i}_1) \cdots (y_p + \bar{i}_p)$$

where  $\bar{i} = 1 + i$  is the complement of  $i$  modulo 2.

## 2 The Properties of Balancedness and Nonlinearity

**Lemma 9.** Let  $\xi_{i_1 \dots i_p}$  be the sequence of a function  $f_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x_1, \dots, x_q)$  from  $V_q$  to  $GF(2)$ . Write  $\xi = (\xi_{0 \dots 00} \xi_{0 \dots 01} \cdots \xi_{1 \dots 11})$  for the concatenation of  $\xi_{0 \dots 00}$ ,  $\xi_{0 \dots 01}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\xi_{1 \dots 11}$ . Then  $\xi$  is the sequence of the function from  $V_{q+p}$  to  $GF(2)$  given by

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_p, x_1, \dots, x_q) = \sum_{(i_1 \dots i_p) \in V_p} D_{i_1 \dots i_p}(y_1, \dots, y_p) f_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x_1, \dots, x_q).$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that:

$$D_{i_1 \dots i_p}(y_1, \dots, y_p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (y_1 \cdots y_p) = (i_1 \cdots i_p), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, by exhaustive choice,

$$f(i_1, \dots, i_p, x_1, \dots, x_q) = D_{i_1 \dots i_p}(i_1, \dots, i_p) f_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x_1, \dots, x_q) = f_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x_1, \dots, x_q).$$

By the definition of sequence of functions (Definition 1) the lemma is true.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** Write  $H_n = \begin{bmatrix} l_0 \\ l_1 \\ \vdots \\ l_{2^n-1} \end{bmatrix}$  where  $l_i$  is a row of  $H_n$ . Then  $l_i$  is the sequence of  $h_i(x) = \langle \alpha_i, x \rangle$  where  $\alpha_i$  is defined before Definition 1.

*Proof.* By induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 1$ . Since  $H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ + & - \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $l_0 = (+ +)$ , the sequence of  $\langle 0, x \rangle$  and  $l_1 = (+ -)$ , the sequence of  $\langle 1, x \rangle$  where  $x \in V_1$ ,  $+$  and  $-$  stand for 1 and  $-1$  respectively. Suppose the lemma is true for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ .

Since  $H_k = H_1 \times H_{k-1}$ , where  $\times$  is the Kronecker product, each row of  $H_n$  can be expressed as  $\delta \times l$  where  $\delta = (+ +)$  or  $(+ -)$ , and  $l$  is a row of  $H_{n-1}$ . By the assumption  $l$  is the sequence of a function, say  $h(x) = \langle \alpha, x \rangle$ , where  $\alpha, x \in V_{k-1}$ . Thus  $\delta \times l$  is the sequence of  $\langle \beta, y \rangle$  where  $y \in V_k$ ,  $\beta = (0 \alpha)$  or  $(1 \alpha)$  according as  $l = (+ +)$  or  $(+ -)$ . Thus the lemma is true for  $n = k$ .  $\square$

From Lemma 10 all the rows of  $H_n$  comprise all the sequences of linear functions on  $V_n$  and hence all the rows of  $\pm H_n$  comprise all the sequences of affine functions on  $V_n$ .

**Lemma 11.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions on  $V_n$  whose sequences are  $\eta_f$  and  $\eta_g$  respectively. Then  $d(f, g) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \eta_f, \eta_g \rangle$ .

*Proof.*  $\langle \eta_f, \eta_g \rangle = \sum_{f(x)=g(x)} 1 - \sum_{f(x) \neq g(x)} 1 = 2^n - 2 \sum_{f(x) \neq g(x)} 1 = 2^n - 2d(f, g)$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

Let  $H_n = (h_{ij})$  and  $L_i = (h_{i1} \cdots h_{i2^n})$  i.e. the  $i$ -th row of  $H_n$ . Write  $L_{i+2^n} = -L_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$ . Since  $L_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$ , is a linear sequence  $L_1, \dots, L_{2^n}$ ,  $L_{2^n+1}, \dots, L_{2^{n+1}}$  comprise all affine sequences. Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$  whose sequence is  $\eta_f$  and  $\varphi_i$  be the function of  $L_i$ .

Write  $\eta_f = (a_1 \cdots a_{2^n})$ . Since  $\langle \eta_f, L_i \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} a_j h_{ij}$

$$\langle \eta_f, L_i \rangle^2 = 2^n + 2 \sum_{j < t} a_j a_t h_{ij} h_{it}. \quad (1)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \langle \eta_f, L_i \rangle^2 = 2^{2n} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \sum_{j < t} a_j a_t h_{ij} h_{it} = 2^{2n} + 2 \sum_{j < t} a_j a_t \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} h_{ij} h_{it}.$$

Since  $H_n$  is an Hadamard matrix  $\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} h_{ij} h_{it} = 0$  for  $j \neq t$  and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \langle \eta_f, L_i \rangle^2 = 2^{2n}. \quad (2)$$

Thus there exists an integer, say  $i_0$ , such that  $\langle \eta_f, L_{i_0} \rangle^2 = \langle \eta_f, L_{i_0+2^n} \rangle^2 \geq 2^n$  and hence  $\langle \eta_f, L_{i_0} \rangle \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  or  $\langle \eta_f, L_{i_0+2^n} \rangle \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . Without any loss of generality suppose  $\langle \eta_f, L_{i_0} \rangle \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . By Lemma 11  $d(f, \varphi_{i_0}) \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ . This proves

**Lemma 12.**  $N_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$  for any function on  $V_n$ .

**Lemma 13.** If both  $(1, -1)$ -sequences  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  of length  $2t$  consist of an even number of ones and an even number of minus ones then  $d(\alpha, \beta)$  is even.

*Proof.* Write  $\xi = (a_1 \cdots a_{2t})$  and  $\eta = (b_1 \cdots b_{2t})$ . Let  $n_1$  denote the number of pairs  $(a_i, b_i)$  such that  $a_i = +1, b_i = +1$ ; let  $n_2$  denote the number of pairs  $(a_i, b_i)$  such that  $a_i = +1, b_i = -1$ ; let  $n_3$  denote the number of pairs  $(a_i, b_i)$  such that  $a_i = -1, b_i = +1$ ; and let  $n_4$  denote the number of pairs  $(a_i, b_i)$  such that  $a_i = -1, b_i = -1$ . Hence  $n_1 + n_2, n_3 + n_4, n_1 + n_3$  and  $n_2 + n_4$  are all even and hence  $2n_1 + n_2 + n_3$  is even. Thus  $n_2 + n_3 = d(\alpha, \beta)$  is even.  $\square$

The following result can be found in [5]

**Lemma 14.** Let  $f(x)$  be a function from  $V_n$  to  $GF(2)$ .  $f(x)$  and  $\xi$  be the sequence of  $f(x)$ . Then the following four statements are equivalent

- (i)  $f(x)$  is bent,
- (ii) for any affine sequence of length  $2^n$ , denoted by  $l$ ,  $\langle \xi, l \rangle = \pm 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ ,
- (iii)  $f(x) + f(x + \alpha)$  is 0-1 balanced for every nonzero  $\alpha \in V_n$ ,
- (iv)  $f(x) + \langle \alpha, x \rangle$  contains  $2^{n-1} \pm 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$  zeros for every  $\alpha \in V_n$ .

Let  $L_j$  and  $\varphi, j = 1, \dots, 2^{n+1}$ , be the same as in the proof of Lemma 12. If  $f$  is a bent function then  $\langle \eta_f, L_i \rangle^2 = 2^n$  and hence  $\langle \eta_f, L_i \rangle = 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  or  $\langle \eta_f, L_{i+2^n} \rangle = 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  for each fixed  $i, 1 \leq i \leq 2^n$ . By Lemma 11  $d(f, \varphi_i) = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$  or  $d(f, \varphi_{i+2^n}) = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$  for each fixed  $i, 1 \leq i \leq 2^n$ . Thus  $N_f = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ . In other words, bent functions attain the upper bound for nonlinearities given in Lemma 12. Conversely, if a function  $f$  on  $V_n$  attains the upper bound for nonlinearities,  $2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ , then  $\langle \eta_f, L_i \rangle^2 = 2^n$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2^{n+1}$  i.e.  $f$  is bent, otherwise  $\langle \eta_f, L_i \rangle^2 = 2^n$  does not hold for some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n+1}$ . Note that  $L_{i+2^n} = -L_i$ . From (2) there exist  $i_1$  and  $i_2, 1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq 2^n$ , such that  $\langle \eta_f, L_{i_1} \rangle^2 > 2^n$  and  $\langle \eta_f, L_{i_2} \rangle^2 < 2^n$ . Thus  $\langle \eta_f, L_{i_1} \rangle > 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$  or  $\langle \eta_f, L_{i_1+2^n} \rangle > 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . Without any loss generality, suppose  $\langle \eta_f, L_{i_1} \rangle > 2^{\frac{1}{2}n}$ . By using Lemma 11  $d(f, \varphi_{i_1}) < 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$  and hence  $N_f < 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption that  $f$  attains the maximum nonlinearity  $2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ . Hence we have proved

**Corollary 15.** A function on  $V_n$  attains the upper bound for nonlinearities,  $2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ , if and only if it is bent.

From (1) we have

**Corollary 16.** Let  $f$  be a function on  $V_n$  whose sequence is  $\eta_f = (a_1 \cdots a_{2^n})$ . Then  $f$  is bent if and only if  $\sum_{j < i} a_j a_i h_{ij} h_{it} = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$  where  $(h_{ij}) = H_n$ .

From Corollary 15 0-1 balanced functions cannot attain the upper bound for nonlinearities  $2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ . However we can construct a class of 0-1 balanced functions with high nonlinearity by using bent functions.

**Corollary 17.** *Let  $f$  be a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ). Then  $N_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} - 2$  if  $n$  is even number and  $N_f \leq \lfloor 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} \rfloor$  if  $n$  is odd where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the maximum even number less than or equal to  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $f$  and each  $\varphi_i$ , where  $\varphi_i$  is the same as in Definition 3, have an even number of ones and an even number of zeros. By Lemma 13  $d(f, \varphi_i)$  is even. By corollary 15  $d(f, g_i) < 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$ . This proves the corollary.  $\square$

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $f_j(x_1, \dots, x_{2k})$  be a bent function on  $V_{2k-2}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Set*

$$g = (u, x_1, \dots, x_{2k}) = (1+u)f_1(x) + uf_2(x).$$

*Then  $N_g \geq 2^{2k} - 2^k$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $\xi_j$  for the sequence of  $f_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . By Lemma 9  $\gamma = (\xi_1 \xi_2)$  is the sequence of  $g$ , of length  $2^{2k+1}$ . Let  $L$  be the sequence of an affine function, say  $\varphi$ . By Lemma 10  $L$  is a row of  $\pm H_{2k+1}$ . Since  $H_{2k+1} = H_1 \times H_{2k}$  and  $H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\times$  is the Kronecker product,  $L$  can be expressed as  $L = (l' \ l')$  or  $L = (l' \ -l')$ , by Lemma 10, where  $l'$  is a row of  $\pm H_{2k}$ . Since both  $f$  and  $f+h$  are bent, by (ii) of Lemma 14,  $\langle \xi_j, l' \rangle = \pm 2^k$ .  $\langle \gamma, L \rangle = \langle \xi_1, l' \rangle \pm \langle \xi_2, l' \rangle$ . Thus  $|\langle \gamma, L \rangle| \leq 2^{k+1}$ . By Lemma 11  $d(g, \varphi) \geq 2^{2k} - 2^k$ . Since  $\varphi$  is arbitrary  $N_g \geq 2^{2k} - 2^k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 19.** *Let  $f_j(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2})$  be a bent function on  $V_{2k-2}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Set*

$$g(u, v, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}) = (1+u)(1+v)f_1(x) + (1+u)v f_2(x) + u(1+v)f_3(x) + uv f_4(x).$$

*Then  $N_g \geq 2^{2k-1} - 2^k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi_j$  be the sequence of  $f_j(x)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $\eta = (\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_4)$  be the sequence of  $g$ . Let  $L$  be an affine sequence of length  $2^{2k}$  whose function is  $h(z)$ , an affine function. By Lemma 10  $L$  is a row of  $\pm H_{2k}$ . Since  $H_{2k} = H_2 \times H_{2k-2}$  and  $L$  can be expressed as  $L = l_2 \times l_{k-2}$  where  $l_2$  is a row of  $\pm H_2$  and  $l_{k-2}$  is a row of  $\pm H_{2k-2}$ . Since each  $\xi_i$  is bent, by (ii) of Lemma 14,  $\langle \xi_i, l \rangle = \pm 2^{k-1}$ . Note that  $|\langle \eta, L \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 |\langle \xi_i, l \rangle|$  and hence  $|\langle \eta, L \rangle| \leq 4 \cdot 2^{k-1}$ . By Lemma 11  $d(g, h) \geq 2^{2k-1} - 2^k$ . Since  $h$  is an arbitrary affine function  $N_g \geq 2^{2k-1} - 2^k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 20.**  *$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \psi(u_1, \dots, u_t)$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{n+t}$  if  $f$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_n$  or  $\psi$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_t$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n, u_1, \dots, u_t) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \psi(u_1, \dots, u_t)$ . Without any loss of generality, suppose  $f$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_n$ . Note that for every fixed  $(u_1^0 \dots u_t^0) \in V_t$ ,  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n, u_1^0, \dots, u_t^0) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \psi(u_1^0, \dots, u_t^0)$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_n$  thus  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n, u_1, \dots, u_t)$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{n+t}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Construction

#### 3.1 On $V_{2k+1}$

Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{2k})$  be a bent function on  $V_{2k}$ . Write  $x = (x_1 \dots x_{2k})$ . Let  $h(x)$  be a non-constant affine function on  $V_{2k}$ . Note that  $f(x) + h(x)$  is also bent (see Property 2, p95, [8]) and hence  $f + h$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-1} \pm 2^{k-1}$  times and assumes the value one  $2^{2k-1} \mp 2^{k-1}$  times.

Without any loss of generality we suppose  $f(x)$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-1} + 2^{k-1}$  times (if  $f(x)$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-1} - 2^{k-1}$  times, the bent function  $f(x) + 1$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-1} + 2^{k-1}$  times and hence we can replace  $f(x)$  by  $f(x) + 1$ ). Also we suppose  $f(x) + h(x)$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-1} - 2^{k-1}$  times (if  $f(x) + h(x)$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-1} + 2^{k-1}$  times, the bent function  $f(x) + h(x) + 1$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-1} - 2^{k-1}$  times so we can replace  $f(x) + h(x)$  by  $f(x) + h(x) + 1$ ). Set

$$g(u, x_1, \dots, x_{2k}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{2k}) + uh(x_1, \dots, x_{2k}). \quad (3)$$

**Lemma 21.**  $g(u, x_1, \dots, x_{2k})$  defined by (3) is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{2k+1}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $g(0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{2k})$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-1} + 2^{k-1}$  times and  $g(1, x_1, \dots, x_{2k}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{2k}) + h(x_1, \dots, x_{2k})$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-1} - 2^{k-1}$  times. Thus  $g(u, x_1, \dots, x_{2k})$  assumes the value zero  $2^k$  times (one  $2^k$  times).  $\square$

**Lemma 22.**  $N_g \geq 2^{2k} - 2^k$  where  $g$  is defined by (3).

*Proof.*  $g = f + uh = (1 + u)f + u(f + h)$ . Note that both  $f$  and  $f + h$  are bent functions on  $V_{2k}$ . By Lemma 18  $N_g \geq 2^{2k} - 2^k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 23.**  $g(u, x_1, \dots, x_{2k})$  defined by (3) satisfies the strict avalanche criterion.

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma = (b \ a_1 \dots a_{2k})$  with  $W(\gamma) = 1$ . Write  $\alpha = (a_1 \dots a_{2k})$ ,  $z = (u \ x_1 \dots x_{2k})$  and  $x = (x_1 \dots x_{2k})$ .  $g(z + \gamma) = f(x + \alpha) + (u + b)h(x + \alpha)$  and hence  $g(z) + g(z + \gamma) = f(x) + f(x + \alpha) + u(h(x) + h(x + \alpha)) + bh(x + \alpha)$ .

Case 1:  $b = 0$  and hence  $W(\alpha) = 1$ .  $g(z) + g(z + \gamma) = f(x) + f(x + \alpha) + u(h(x) + h(x + \alpha))$ . Since  $h$  is a non-constant affine function  $h(x) + h(x + \alpha) = c$  where  $c$  is a constant. Thus  $g(z) + g(z + \gamma) = f(x) + f(x + \alpha) + cu$ .

By (iii) of Lemma 14  $f(x) + f(x + \alpha)$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{2k}$  and hence by Lemma 20  $g(z) + g(z + \gamma)$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{2k+1}$ .

Case 2:  $b = 1$  and hence  $W(\alpha) = 0$  i.e.  $\alpha = 0$ .  $g(z) + g(z + \gamma) = h(x)$ . Since  $h(x)$  is a non-constant affine function on  $V_{2k}$   $h(x)$  is a 0-1 balanced and hence by Lemma 20  $g(z) + g(z + \alpha)$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{2k+1}$ .  $\square$

Summarizing Lemmas 21, 22, 23 we have

**Theorem 24.** For  $k \geq 1$ ,  $g(u, x_1, \dots, x_{2k})$  defined by (3) is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{2k+1}$  having  $N_g \geq 2^{2k} - 2^k$  and satisfying the strict avalanche criterion.

### 3.2 On $V_{2k}$

Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2})$  be bent function on  $V_{2k-2}$ . Write  $x = (x_1 \cdots x_{2k-2})$ . Let  $h_j(x)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , be three non-constant affine functions on  $V_{2k-2}$  such that  $h_i(x) + h_j(x)$  is non-constant for any  $i \neq j$ . Such  $h_1(x)$ ,  $h_2(x)$ ,  $h_3(x)$  exist for  $k \geq 2$ . Note that each  $f(x) + h_j(x)$  is also bent (see Property 2, p95, [8]) and hence  $f + h_j$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-3} \pm 2^{k-2}$  times and assumes the value one  $2^{2k-3} \mp 2^{k-2}$  times.

Without any loss of generality we suppose both  $f(x)$  and  $f(x) + h_1(x)$  assume the value zero  $2^{2k-3} + 2^{k-2}$  times and both  $f(x) + h_2(x)$  and  $f(x) + h_3(x)$  assume the value zero  $2^{2k-3} - 2^{k-2}$  times. This assumption is reasonable because  $f(x) + h_j(x)$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-3} - 2^{k-2}$  times if and only if  $f(x) + h_j(x) + 1$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-3} + 2^{k-2}$  times and  $h_j(x) + 1$  is also a non-constant affine function thus we can choose one of  $f(x) + h_j(x)$  and  $f(x) + h_j(x) + 1$  so that the assumption is satisfied. Set

$$g(u, v, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}) = f(x) + vh_1(x) + uh_2(x) + uv(h_1(x) + h_2(x) + h_3(x)). \quad (4)$$

**Lemma 25.**  $g(u, v, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2})$  defined by (4) is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{2k}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $g(0, 0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}) = f(x)$ ,  $g(0, 1, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}) = f(x) + h_1(x)$ ,  $g(1, 0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}) = f(x) + h_2(x)$ ,  $g(1, 1, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}) = f(x) + h_1(x) + h_2(x) + (h_1(x) + h_2(x) + h_3(x)) = f(x) + h_3(x)$ . By the assumption the first two functions assume the value zero  $2^{2k-2} + 2^{k-1}$  times in total and the second two functions assume the value zero  $2^{2k-2} - 2^{k-1}$  times in total. Hence  $g(u, v, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2})$  assumes the value zero  $2^{2k-1}$  times in total and thus it is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{2k}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 26.**  $N_g \geq 2^{2k-1} - 2^k$  where  $g$  is defined by (4).

*Proof.* Note that  $g = f(x) + vh_1(x) + uh_2(x) + uv(h_1(x) + h_2(x) + h_3(x)) = (1+u)(1+v)f(x) + (1+u)v(f(x) + h_1(x)) + u(1+v)(f(x) + h_2(x)) + uv(f(x) + h_3(x))$ . By Lemma 19  $N_g \geq 2^{2k-1} - 2^k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 27.**  $g(u, v, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2})$  defined by (4) satisfies the strict avalanche criterion.

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma = (b \ c \ a_1 \cdots a_{2k-2})$  with  $W(\gamma) = 1$ . Write  $\alpha = (a_1 \cdots a_{2k-2})$ ,  $z = (u \ v \ x_1 \cdots x_{2k-2})$  and  $x = (x_1 \cdots x_{2k-2})$ .

Note that  $g(z + \gamma) = f(x + \alpha) + (v + c)h_1(x + \alpha) + (u + b)h_2(x + \alpha) + (u + b)(v + c)(h_1(x + \alpha) + h_2(x + \alpha) + h_3(x + \alpha))$ .

Case 1:  $b = 1$  and hence  $c = 0$ ,  $W(\alpha) = 0$  i.e.  $\alpha = 0$ .  $g(z) + g(z + \gamma) = h_2(x) + v(h_1(x) + h_2(x) + h_3(x))$  will be  $h_2(x)$  when  $v = 0$  and  $h_1(x) + h_3(x)$  when  $v = 1$ . Both  $h_2(x)$  and  $h_1(x) + h_3(x)$  are non-constant affine functions on  $V_{2k-2}$  and hence  $g(z) + g(z + \gamma)$  is 0-1 balanced on  $V_{2k}$ .

Case 2:  $c = 1$  and hence  $b = 0$ ,  $W(\alpha) = 0$  i.e.  $\alpha = 0$ . The proof is similar to Case 1.

Case 3:  $W(\alpha) \neq 0$  and hence  $b = c = 0$ . Since  $h_j$  is an affine function we can write  $h_j(x) + h_j(x + \alpha) = a_j$  where  $a_j$  is a constant. Hence  $g(z) + g(z + \gamma) = f(x) + f(x + \alpha) + va_1 + ua_2 + uv(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)$ . By (iii) of Lemma 14  $f(x) + f(x + \alpha)$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{2k-2}$  and hence by Lemma 20  $g(z) + g(z + \gamma)$  is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{2k}$ . This proves that  $g(u, v, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2})$  satisfies the strict avalanche criterion.  $\square$

Summarizing Lemmas 25, 26, 27 we have

**Theorem 28.** For  $k \geq 2$ ,  $g(u, v, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2})$  defined by (4) is a 0-1 balanced function on  $V_{2k}$  having  $N_g \geq 2^{2k-2} - 2^k$  and satisfying the strict avalanche criterion.

## 4 Remarks

We note that the nonlinearities of 0-1 balanced functions satisfying SAC in Theorems 24 and 28 are the same as those for ordinary 0-1 balanced functions (see [13]). Next we give two examples of the theorems.

*Example 1.* In Theorem 24 let  $k = 2$ . Consider  $V_5$ . As we know,  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1x_2 + x_3x_4$  is a bent function in  $V_4$ . Choose the non-constant affine function  $h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$ . Note  $f$  assumes the value zero  $2^{4-1} + 2^{2-1} = 10$  times and  $f + h$  assumes the value zero  $2^{4-1} - 2^{2-1} = 6$  times. Hence we set  $g(u, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) + uh(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + u(1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)$ . By Theorem 24  $g(u, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  is a 0-1 balanced function with  $N_g \geq 2^4 - 2^2 = 12$ , satisfying the strict avalanche criterion. On the other hand, by Corollary 17 the bound for nonlinearly 0-1 balanced functions on  $V_5$  is  $\lfloor \lfloor 2^4 - 2^{2-\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor 13.1818 \dots \rfloor \rfloor = 12$  where  $\lfloor \lfloor x \rfloor \rfloor$  denotes the maximum even number no larger than  $x$ . This means that  $N_g = 12$  attains the upper bound for nonlinearly 0-1 balanced functions on  $V_5$ .

*Example 2.* In Theorem 28 let  $k = 3$ . Consider  $V_6$ . Choose  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1x_2 + x_3x_4$ , a bent function in  $V_4$ . Also choose non-constant affine functions  $h_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1$ ,  $h_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 1 + x_2$ ,  $h_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 1 + x_3$ . Note both  $f$  and  $f + h_1$  assume the value zero  $2^{4-1} + 2^{2-1} = 10$  times and both  $f + h_3$  and  $f + h_4$  assume the value zero  $2^{4-1} - 2^{2-1} = 6$  times. Hence we set  $g(u, v, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = f + vh_1 + uh_2 + uv(h_1 + h_2 + h_3)$ . By Theorem 28  $g(u, v, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  is a 0-1 balanced function with  $N_g \geq 2^5 - 2^3 = 24$ , satisfying the strict avalanche criterion. On the other hand, by Corollary 17 the upper bound for nonlinearly 0-1 balanced functions on  $V_6$  is  $2^5 - 2^2 - 2 = 26$ . This means that  $N_g = 24$  is very high.

Recently Zheng, Pieprzyk and Seberry [23] constructed a very efficient one way hashing algorithm using boolean functions constructed by the method given in Theorem 24. These functions have further cryptographically useful properties.

## References

1. C. M. Adams and S. E. Tavares. Generating and counting binary bent sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-36 No. 5:1170-1173, 1990.
2. C. M. Adams and S. E. Tavares. The use of bent sequences to achieve higher-order strict avalanche criterion. to appear, 1990.
3. M. H. Dawson and S. E. Tavares. An expanded set of S-box design criteria based on information theory and its relation to differential-like attacks. In *Advances in Cryptology-EUROCRYPT'91*, volume 547, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 352-367. Springer-Verlag, 1991.
4. John Detombe and Stafford Tavares. Constructing large cryptographically strong S-boxes. Presented in AUSCRYPT'92, 1992.
5. J. F. Dillon. A survey of bent functions. *NSA Mathematical Meeting*, pages 191-215, 1972.
6. R. Forre. The strict avalanche criterion: Special properties of boolean functions and extended definition. In *Advances in Cryptology: Crypto'88 Proceedings*, volume 403, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 450-468. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
7. P. V. Kumar and R. A. Scholtz. Bounds on the linear span of bent sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-29 No. 6:854-862, 1983.
8. P. V. Kumar, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch. Generalized bent functions and their properties. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, Ser. A, 40:90-107, 1985.
9. A. Lempel and M. Cohn. Maximal families of bent sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-28 No. 6:865-868, 1982.
10. S Lloyd. Counting functions satisfying a higher order strict avalanche criterion. In *Advances in Cryptology-EUROCRYPT'89*, volume 434, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 64-74. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
11. V. V. Losev. Decoding of sequences of bent functions by means of a fast Hadamard transform. *Radiotekhnika i elektronika*, 7:1479-1492, 1987.
12. F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane. *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. New York: North-Holland, 1977.
13. Willi Meier and Othmar Staffelbach. Nonlinearity criteria for cryptographic functions. In *Advances in Cryptology-EUROCRYPT'89*, volume 434, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 549-562. Springer-Verlag, 1990.
14. Kaisa Nyberg. Perfect nonlinear S-boxes. In *Advances in Cryptology-EUROCRYPT'91*, volume 547, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 378-386. Springer-Verlag, 1991.
15. J. D. Olsen, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch. Bent-function sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-28 No. 6:858-864, 1982.
16. J. Pieprzyk and G. Finkelstein. Towards effective nonlinear cryptosystem design. *IEE Proceedings (Part E)*, 135:325-335, 1988.
17. O. S. Rothaus. On bent functions. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, Ser. A, 20:300-305, 1976.
18. S. E. Tavares, M. Sivabalan, and L. E. Peppard. On the designs of SP networks from an information theoretic point of view. In *Advances in Cryptology: Crypto'92 Proceedings*, 1992.
19. W. D. Wallis, A. Penfold Street, and J. Seberry Wallis. *Combinatorics: Room Squares, sum-free sets, Hadamard Matrices*, volume 292 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin- Heidelberg- New York, 1972.

20. A. F. Webster. *Plaintext/Ciphertext Bit Dependencies in Cryptographic System*. Master's Thesis, Department of Electrical Engineering, Queen's University, 1985.
21. A. F. Webster and S. E. Tavares. On the designs of S-boxes. In *Advances in Cryptology: Crypto'85 Proceedings*, volume 219, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 523–534. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
22. R. Yarlagadda and J. E. Hershey. Analysis and synthesis of bent sequences. *IEE Proceeding (Part E)*, 136:112–123, 1989.
23. Yuliang Zheng, Josef Pieprzyk, and Jennifer Seberry. Haval — one-way hashing algorithm with variable length of output. Presented in AUSCRYPT'92, 1992.