University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Informatics - Papers (Archive)

Faculty of Engineering and Information Sciences

1971

Integer matrices obeying generalized incidence equations

Jennifer Seberry
University of Wollongong, jennifer_seberry@uow.edu.au

Publication Details

Jennifer Seberry Wallis, Integer matrices obeying generalized incidence equations, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 4, (1971), 51-56.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Integer matrices obeying generalized incidence equations

Abstract
We consider integer matrices obeying certain generalizations of the incidence equations for (v, k, lambda)-configurations and show that given certain other constraints, a constant multiple of the incidence matrix of a (v, k, lambda)-configuration may be identified as the solution of the equation.

Disciplines
Physical Sciences and Mathematics

Publication Details
Jennifer Seberry Wallis, Integer matrices obeying generalized incidence equations, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 4, (1971), 51-56.

This journal article is available at Research Online: http://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers/937

BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC. MOS 05B20, 15A36
VOL. 4 (1971), 51-56. (15A24)

Integer matrices obeying generalized incidence equations

Jennifer Wallis

We consider integer matrices obeying certain generalizations of the incidence equations for (v, k, λ)-configurations and show that given certain other constraints, a constant multiple of the incidence matrix of a (v, k, λ)-configuration may be identified as the solution of the equation.

We define (v, k, λ)-configurations as usual (see [3]). If B is the $(0, 1)$ incidence matrix of a (v, k, λ)-configuration and if $A = bB$ where b is a positive integer, then

$$(1) \qquad \begin{cases} AA^T = b^2(k-\lambda)I + b^2\lambda J \\ AJ = bkJ \\ \lambda(v-1) = k(k-1) \ , \end{cases}$$

with J as usual the matrix with every element $+1$, and I the identity matrix. Ryser [2] proved a partial converse:

LEMMA 1. *If A is a $v \times v$ integer matrix satisfying equations* (1) *with $b = 1$, then A is the incidence matrix of a (v, k, λ)-configuration (and consequently has every entry* 0 *or* 1*).*

One might conjecture, in view of the powerful theorems of Ryser [2] and Bridges and Ryser [1], that an integer matrix satisfying (1) would necessarily be b times the incidence matrix of a (v, k, λ)-configuration. But the matrix

Received 24 August 1970.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies (1) with $b = 2$, $v = 7$, $k = 3$ and $\lambda = 1$. So we need other conditions on the matrix A before we can ensure that every element is 0 or b . We shall prove:

THEOREM 2. *If A is a $v \times v$ matrix of non-negative integers which satisfies* (1), *and if every entry of A is less than or equal to b , then A is b times the incidence matrix of a (v, k, λ)-configuration.*

The corresponding result for non-positive A and negative b also holds.

By similar methods we shall obtain a result about more general equations:

THEOREM 3. *Let B be an integer matrix of order v which satisfies*

$$BB^T = (p-q)I + qJ$$
$$BJ = dJ$$

where p, q and d are constants and $d > 0$. Write w and z for the greatest and least elements of B respectively, and $\omega = |w|$.

If

$$z \le \frac{d}{v} = \delta \quad \text{and} \quad z \le \frac{\omega d+p}{d+\omega v} ,$$

then δ is an integer, $p = d\delta = v\delta^2$, and $B = \delta J$.

1. Proof of Theorem 2

LEMMA 4. *Let $B = (b_{ij})$ of order v be a matrix of non-negative integers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{v} b_{ij}^2 = p$, p a constant, for every i , and let*

$BJ = dJ$, d a non-zero constant. If $b_{ij} \le \frac{p}{d}$ for every b_{ij} , or if $b_{ij} \ge \frac{p}{d}$ for every non-zero b_{ij} , then every entry of B is 0 or $\frac{p}{d}$.

Proof. $\sum_{j=1}^{v} b_{ij}^2 = p$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{v} b_{ij} = d$, so

$$d \sum_j b_{ij}^2 - p \sum_j b_{ij} = dp - dp = 0 ;$$

that is

$$\sum_j b_{ij}(db_{ij}-p) = 0 .$$

From the data every term in this summation has the same sign, so every term is zero. So $b_{ij} = 0$ or $\frac{p}{d}$.

COROLLARY 5. *If there is a matrix B satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4, then $d|p$.*

Corresponding results may be obtained for matrices of non-positive integers.

Proof of Theorem 2. The matrix A satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4 with $p = b^2k$ and $d = bk$. So every entry is 0 or b $\left(b = \frac{p}{d}\right)$. Consider $B = b^{-1}A$. B is an integer matrix satisfying Lemma 1, so it is the incidence matrix of a (v, k, λ)-configuration, and we have the result.

2. Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of Theorem 3. Clearly $p = \sum_i b_{ij}^2$ implies $p \ge 0$; and $d > 0$ implies $p > 0$. Consider the class of matrices

$$C_\alpha = B + \alpha J$$

where α is an integer and $\alpha \ge \omega$. Every element of every member of this class is non-negative and

$$C_\alpha C_\alpha^T = (p-q)I + (\alpha^2 v+2\alpha d+q)J$$
$$C_\alpha J = (d+\alpha v)J \ .$$

Then using Lemma 4, if every non-zero element of C_α is less than or equal to β ,

$$\beta = \alpha + \frac{\alpha d+p}{d+\alpha v} \ ,$$

then every element is 0 or β .

We show that the conditions on z imply that every element is $\le \beta$. For

$$z \le \frac{\omega d+p}{d+\omega v}$$

implies

$$z(d+\omega v) \le \omega d + p \ ;$$

since $z \le \frac{d}{v}$ we have

$$zd + z\omega v + \gamma z v \le \omega d + p + \gamma z v \le \omega d + p + \gamma d$$

for any integer $\gamma \ge 0$, so

$$z \le \frac{(\omega+\gamma)d+p}{d+(\omega+\gamma)v} \ .$$

This means (putting $\alpha = \omega + \gamma$) that for any admissable α ,

$$z + \alpha \le \alpha + \frac{\alpha d+p}{d+\alpha v} \ ;$$

but $z + \alpha$ is the greatest element of C_α . Therefore, any element of C_α is 0 or $\alpha + \frac{\alpha d+p}{d+\alpha v}$, so any element of B is $-\alpha$ or $\frac{\alpha d+p}{d+\alpha v}$.

Corollary 5 tells us that

$$A(\gamma) = \frac{(\omega+\gamma)d+p}{d+(\omega+\gamma)v} = \frac{d+p(\omega+\gamma)^{-1}}{d(\omega+\gamma)^{-1}+v}$$

is integral for all integers $\gamma \ge 0$. Therefore $\lim_{\gamma\to\infty} A(\gamma)$ must be an integer, so $v|d$. Write $d = v\delta$:

$$A(\gamma) = \frac{(\omega+\gamma)v\delta+p}{v\delta+(\omega+\gamma)v}$$

so $v|p$. Write $p = \varepsilon v$:

$$A(\gamma) = \frac{(\omega+\gamma)\delta+\varepsilon}{\delta+(\omega+\gamma)} .$$

Choose n any integer greater than $\delta + \omega$. Then

$$A(n-\delta-\omega) = \frac{(n-\delta)\delta+\varepsilon}{n}$$

so $n|(\varepsilon-\delta^2)$. But this is true for every large enough n ; hence $\varepsilon = \delta^2$. That is

$$d = v\delta$$
$$p = v\delta^2$$

so

$$p = d\delta = v\delta^2 .$$

Then we have

$$\frac{\alpha d+p}{d+\alpha v} = \frac{v\delta(\alpha+\delta)}{v(\delta+\alpha)} = \delta$$

for any γ , so every element of B is $-\alpha$ or δ . Now the row sum of B is $d = v\delta$ and the sum of the squares of the elements is $p = v\delta^2$; together these imply

$$B = \delta J$$

where $\delta = \frac{d}{v}$.

References

[1] W.G. Bridges and H.J. Ryser, "Combinatorial designs and related systems", *J. Algebra* 13 (1969), 432-446.

[2] H.J. Ryser, "Matrices with integer elements in combinatorial investigations", *Amer. J. Math.* 74 (1952), 769-773.

[3] Herbert John Ryser, *Combinatorial mathematics* (The Carus Mathematical Monographs, No. 14. Math. Assoc. Amer., Buffalo, New York; John Wiley, New York, 1963).

University of Newcastle,
New South Wales.