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A facile route to synthesize transition metal oxide/reduced graphene oxide composites and their lithium storage performance†

Chongjun Zhao,†a Shu-Lei Chou,*a Yunxiao Wang,a Cuifeng Zhou,c Hua-Kun Liuad and Shi-Xue Dou*a

Transition metal oxide (MnO4, Fe2O3, Co3O4, and ZnO) and reduced graphene oxide (RGO) composites were successfully synthesized via a hydrothermal method using the direct reaction between the corresponding metal powder and graphene oxide (GO). In this process, the GO can be reduced by transition metal powder in water, and the nanosized metal oxide can be obtained, and homogeneously mixed with and wrapped by RGO to form a metal oxide/RGO composite at the same time. X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and scanning and transmission electron microscopy were used to characterize the as-prepared materials. The different experimental parameters, including reactants, solvents, atmosphere inside the autoclave, temperature, and reaction time, were investigated for their effects on the phase of the products. Furthermore, as an example, the lithium storage properties of Fe2O3/RGO and Co3O4/RGO composites were also investigated. The electrochemical performance shows both good cycling stability (~852 mA h g⁻¹ up to 200 cycles) and high rate capability (425 mA h g⁻¹ at the 4 C rate). This can be attributed to the novel RGO-wrapped composite structure, which can buffer the volume changes and maintain good electrical conductivity.

Introduction

Composites of transition metal oxide (MO) and graphene are of great research interest, since they combine the properties of graphene and of the transition-metal oxide. Recently, they have found a broad range of applications in fields such as energy storage in supercapacitors¹ and Li ion batteries,² energy conversion,³ gas sensing,⁴ and photocatalysis.⁵ Therefore, the preparation and application of MO/graphene composite materials has become a highly topical area. Until now, however, MO/graphene composite has been routinely prepared using the metal salt or compound, sometimes with the involvement of additional reducing agents, including both toxic and hazardous materials (hydrazine⁶–¹⁰ or NaBH₄¹¹) and some eco-friendly chemical agents (glucose¹² or ascorbic acid¹³). Recently, Fe, Al, and Zn in the form of active metals were chosen to reduce graphene oxide (GO) to reduced GO (RGO) under different pH values in acid or basic conditions.¹⁴–¹⁸ As a result, high conductivity RGO was obtained in both cases. Because of the presence of large amounts of HCl or H₂SO₄, the product is only RGO. Therefore, this method is not suitable to produce metal oxide/graphene composites. There is still no report on the preparation of MO/RGO composite by direct reaction of metal and graphene oxide.

Here, we report the preparation of MO/RGO composites by directly reacting metal powder with GO by hydrothermal treatment in water, without using any additional reducing agent or solvent. The major merits of this method include: (1) it is a simple and green method that only involves mixing metal powder and GO suspension in water under hydrothermal conditions; (2) there are no side products and no impurities from the reducing agent; (3) this method is easy to scale up.

Experimental section

Preparation of graphene oxide (GO)

Graphite oxide was first synthesized using a modified Hummers’ method according to Xu et al.¹⁹ Briefly, natural
graphite powder (3 g) was put into an 80 °C solution of concentrated H₂SO₄ (12 mL), K₂S₂O₈ (2.5 g), and P₂O₅ (2.5 g), and then kept for 4.5 h to pre-oxidize the graphite powder. After cooling to room temperature, 0.5 L de-ionized (DI) water was added into the mixture, which was left overnight. Subsequently, the dried pre-oxidized graphite collected from the mixture was oxidized by Hummer’s method: Firstly, the pre-treated graphite powder was put into concentrated H₂SO₄ (120 mL, 0 °C), followed by gradually adding KMnO₄ under stirring at a controlled temperature below 20 °C. Successively, the mixture was stirred at 35 °C for 2 h, and then diluted with DI water (250 mL). After stirring for 2 h, an additional 0.7 L DI water was added, and slowly, 20 mL 30% H₂O₂ was added. Lastly, the mixture was filtered and washed with 1 : 10 HCl aqueous solution (1.5 L) to remove metal ions, followed by a washing with DI water. Dialysis was carried out to further remove the remaining metal species.

The graphite oxide dispersion (5 mg mL⁻¹) was sonicated under ambient conditions for 1.5 h. The resultant homogeneous brown GO dispersion was obtained, which is stable for several months. In order to confirm the number of layers of the GO, atomic force microscope (AFM) measurements (Fig. S1 in the ESI†) were performed after ultrasonication. Fig. S1, ESI† shows AFM images of the single layer graphene oxide (GO). The thickness of single-layer GO is 1.1 ± 0.2 nm, as previously reported, which is in good agreement with our results.

Preparation of MO/RGO

Synthesis of MO/RGO was achieved through the reaction between GO and the metal powder in a hydrothermal (solvothermal) environment. Zn powder (Sigma), Fe powder (Alfa Aesar), Mn powder (Aldrich), and Co powder (Alfa Aesar) were used here, respectively. Typically, 6 mL GO solution (5 mg mL⁻¹) was diluted to 50 ml first, and then 1 mmol metal powder was added into the diluted GO solution under stirring. After 1–2 min, the transparent GO solution became turbid. Then, the mixture was further stirred for 5 min and transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave, where it was heated at an appropriate temperature (150 °C for Mn, Fe, and Zn, 200 °C for Co) for 24 h. The products were collected, filtered, washed with DI water and ethanol several times, and then dried.

Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained by using a GBC MMA X-ray diffractometer with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.54059 Å). Raman spectroscopy (Horiba Jobin Yvon/Lab RAM HR) was performed using a 632.81 nm He-Ne laser beam. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was performed using an Asylum Research MFP-3D AFM system in tapping mode in air at room temperature. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, PHI/PHOIBOS 100 hemispherical analyzer, SPECS GmbH) was conducted with a pass energy of 26.00 eV, 45° take-off angle, and a beam size of 100 μm. The morphology and structure of samples were examined by scanning electron microscope (SEM; JSM 7500F, JEOL) and transmission electron microscope (TEM; JEM 2010, JEOL). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)/differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed in air using a Mettler-Toledo TGA/DSC 1 Share System from 60–900 °C at 5 °C min⁻¹. Specific surface area was measured by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020.

Electrochemical performance of MO/RGO

To test the electrochemical performance, Fe₃O₄/RGO and Co₃O₄ composites were mixed with acetylene black (AB) and a binder (polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF, Aldrich)) in a weight ratio of 80 : 10 : 10 in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP). The slurry was uniformly pasted onto pieces of Cu foil with an area of 1 cm². Such prepared electrode sheets were dried at 120 °C in a vacuum oven for 12 h. The electrochemical cells (CR 2032 coin-type cell) contained the composite on Cu foil as the working electrode, Li foil as the counter electrode and reference electrode, a porous polypropylene film as separator, and 1 M LiPF₆ (battery grade 99.99%, Aldrich) in a 1 : 2 (v/v) mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC, anhydrous 99%, Sigma-Aldrich) and diethyl carbonate (DEC, anhydrous 99+%, Sigma-Aldrich) as the electrolyte. The cells were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box. The cells were cycled at a current density of 200 mA g⁻¹ or cycled at different current densities between 0.01 and 3.0 V using a computer-controlled charger system manufactured by Land Battery Testers. The specific capacity is based on the weight of the composites. The active materials loading rate is around 5 mg cm⁻².

Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows SEM images of as-prepared pure phase MO/RGO composites. Two types of morphologies, including nanoparticle from the MO and wrinkled sheet-like structures from the RGO, can be observed. The metal oxide nanoparticles are mixed very well with and wrapped by the sheet-like RGO. The particle size distribution of the MO is fairly narrow, with average particle size of 50.9 (Mn₃O₄/RGO), 59.5 (Fe₂O₃/RGO), 69.8 (Co₃O₄/RGO), and 108.3 (ZnO/RGO) nm. The metal oxide
nanoparticles are much smaller than those of the metal powder precursor (see ESI, Fig. S2 and S3). The RGO will also prevent the further growth of the MO particles.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to confirm the phase of the MO in the as-prepared composites. Fig. 2 shows the XRD patterns of pure RGO and of different MO and RGO composites. The XRD pattern of RGO shows a broad peak at 24.5° (002) and a less intense peak at 43.3° (101). Both of these two peaks indicate the layered structure of RGO. The broad diffraction band indicates an interlayer spacing of graphene of 0.36 nm, which is slightly larger than the interlayer separation in graphite of 0.34 nm. This also suggests the incomplete restoration of the graphite crystal structure.

The XRD pattern of Mn_{3}O_{4}/RGO can be indexed to a tetrahedral phase with space group I4_{1}/amd and lattice constants \(a = 5.7453 \text{ Å}\) and \(c = 9.4354 \text{ Å}\) (JCPDS no. 24-0734). The Fe_{2}O_{3}/RGO composite contains a rhombohedral phase of \(\alpha\)-Fe_{2}O_{3} with space group \(R\overline{3}c\) and lattice constants \(a = 5.0166 \text{ Å}\) and \(c = 13.7197 \text{ Å}\) (JCPDS no. 33-0664). The XRD pattern of Co_{3}O_{4}/RGO can be matched very well with a cubic phase of Co_{3}O_{4} with space group \(Fd-3m\) and lattice constant \(a = 8.0561 \text{ Å}\) (JCPDS no. 65-3103). The ZnO/RGO composite is composed of a hexagonal ZnO phase with space group P63mc and lattice constants \(a = 3.2428 \text{ Å}\) and \(c = 5.1924 \text{ Å}\) (JCPDS no. 36-1451). No peaks of any other phases were detected, indicating the high purity of the metal oxide structures. Due to the high XRD intensity of the transition metal oxides and the broad peak of RGO, the peak from RGO is very hard to distinguish.

Raman spectroscopy was used to further confirm the presence of RGO and metal oxide in the composites. The RGO shows two main bands at 1326.4 and 1602.3 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to the D and G bands, respectively.\(^{21,22}\) The D and G bands were also observed in the MO/RGO composites, indicating the presence of RGO. The intensity ratio \((I_D/I_G)\) of the D band to the G band of RGO is about 1.34, in good agreement with previous reports.\(^{23–25}\) The \(I_D/I_G\) ratios of the composites are 1.58 (Mn_{3}O_{4}), 2.29 (Fe_{2}O_{3}), 1.63 (Co_{3}O_{4}), and 1.89 (ZnO). The composites show higher \(I_D/I_G\) ratios due to the presence of unrepaired defects that remained after the removal of large amounts of oxygen-containing functional groups. The highest one is from Fe_{2}O_{3}/RGO composite because Fe_{2}O_{3} has a Raman active band at 1320 cm\(^{-1}\). In addition, Fe_{2}O_{3}/RGO composite shows seven peaks in the Raman spectrum, namely, two \(A_{1g}\) modes (225 and 498 cm\(^{-1}\)) and five \(E_{g}\) modes (247, 293, 299, 412, and 613 cm\(^{-1}\)), in good agreement with ref. 26. In Fig. 3, the presence of Mn_{3}O_{4} is also confirmed by Raman spectroscopy, with a strong peak at 658 cm\(^{-1}\), and three small peaks at 287, 316, and 327 cm\(^{-1}\), which are the typical Raman peaks of Mn_{3}O_{4} reported in the literature.\(^{27}\) The Raman spectrum of Co_{3}O_{4}/RGO composite contains five Raman active peaks at 194 (\(F_{2g}\)), 482 (\(A_{1g}\)), 521 (\(F_{2g}\)), 618 (\(F_{2g}\)), and 691 (\(E_{g}\)) cm\(^{-1}\), confirming the structure of Co_{3}O_{4}.\(^{28}\) In the Raman spectrum of ZnO/RGO composite, the one dominant sharp peak at 432.7 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to the \(E_{2}\) mode of ZnO nanoparticles. This is also characteristic of hexagonal phase ZnO.\(^{29}\)

The composites were further examined using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), as shown in Fig. 4. CasaXPS processing software was used to fit the spectra. The fitted C 1s spectra of the composites are similar, showing three typical peaks centred at 284.5, 285.6, and 288.4 eV, corresponding to the C–C/C–C, C–O/C–O, and O–C=O functionalities, respectively.\(^{15}\) The low intensity of the peaks at 285.6 and 288.4 eV
indicates the removal of oxygen functional groups. The presence and chemical valence of the transition metal oxide in the composites were also confirmed by the XPS measurements (Fig. 4(b)). The 2p\(_{3/2}\) binding energy and the separation between 2p\(_{3/2}\) and 2p\(_{1/2}\) (in brackets) are 641.6 (11.6), 711.0 (13.6), 783.45 (17.0), and 1023.4 (22.7) eV for Mn, Fe, Co, and Zn in the composites, respectively. These numbers all matched very well with the values reported for Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\), Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), Co\(_3\)O\(_4\), and ZnO in a standard XPS handbook.\(^3\)

High resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) images are shown in Fig. 5(a–d). Lattice fringes with sizes of 0.31, 0.27, 0.24, and 0.25 nm can be indexed to the (112) plane in Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\), the (104) plane in Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), the (311) plane in Co\(_3\)O\(_4\), and the (101) plane in ZnO, respectively. The crystal size is in the order ZnO/RGO (~80 nm) > Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/RGO (~50 nm) > Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\)/RGO (~10 nm) > Co\(_3\)O\(_4\)/RGO (~5 nm). The observation of RGO in HRTEM is fairly difficult, due to the fact that the RGO can change its morphology or decompose under high energy electrons. Therefore, we only can observe some typical porous carbon-like structure after finishing the HRTEM focus adjustment.

**Reaction mechanism**

The reaction mechanism was investigated further by changing the different experimental parameters, including reactants, solvents, atmosphere inside of the autoclave, temperature, and reaction time. A schematic drawing of the hydrothermal process to prepare metal oxide/RGO composites is shown in Fig. 6.

The experimental details and XRD results are summarized in Table 1. The XRD patterns are shown in the ESI, Fig. S4–S6. Without adding GO (Samples #22–24), the products are mixtures of the metal and the metal oxide. The metal powder could partially be oxidized by O\(_2\), which was both dissolved in the water and present in the top empty part of the autoclave vessels. If we use N\(_2\) to eliminate the O\(_2\) (Samples #12 and #20), the product is also a mixture of metal, metal oxide, and RGO. For Sample #12, the products of the reaction between Fe and GO are a mixture of Fe, Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), and RGO, while Sample #20 is a mixture of Mn, Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\), and RGO for the reaction between Mn and GO after bubbling N\(_2\) for 2 h. Therefore, both the GO and the O\(_2\) in the top empty space and in the solution are acting as oxidation agents to react with the metal powder. Different solvents, including water, ethanol (Samples #5, #9, and #17), and toluene (Sample #13) were also used to investigate the solvent effect. When water was used, single phase Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and RGO composite (Sample #6) can be prepared after hydrothermal treatment at 150 °C for 24 h. If we change the solvent from water to ethanol (Sample #9) under the same hydrothermal conditions, only a mixture of metal and metal oxide phase can be obtained. Using toluene as solvent (Sample #13), the only detectable phase is metal. Therefore, water also plays a very important role in the reaction. One of the possible reasons is that H\(_2\)O acts as the medium for the reaction between GO and metal powder.

Different solvents, including water, ethanol (Samples #5, #9, and #17), and toluene (Sample #13) were also used to investigate the solvent effect. When water was used, single phase Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and RGO composite (Sample #6) can be prepared after hydrothermal treatment at 150 °C for 24 h. If we change the solvent from water to ethanol (Sample #9) under the same hydrothermal conditions, only a mixture of metal and metal oxide phase can be obtained. Using toluene as solvent (Sample #13), the only detectable phase is metal. Therefore, water also plays a very important role in the reaction. One of the possible reasons is that H\(_2\)O acts as the medium for the reaction between GO and metal powder. In addition, GO is much easier to disperse in water. This will increase the probability of contact inside the autoclave between the GO and the metal particles. Temperature is also a crucial factor for the hydrothermal reaction. Pure phase metal oxide can only be
obtained with temperatures higher than 150 °C. A longer reaction time (24 h) is also favourable to the formation of the pure phase metal oxide, but the particle size is also larger.

The possible reaction mechanism is proposed in Fig. 6. Firstly, Fe could react with water under hydrothermal conditions to possibly yield hydrogen radicals (H*) to reach an equilibrium state.

$$\text{Fe} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{H}^* + 2\text{OH}^- \quad (1)$$

If there is GO and O₂ inside the vessel, the hydrogen radicals can react with both GO and O₂ to yield RGO and H₂O

$$\text{GO} + \text{H}^* \rightarrow \text{RGO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^* \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3)$$

Therefore, the equilibrium reaction of eqn (1) will move from left to right. Then, the Fe(n) can be further oxidized by O₂ and reacts with OH⁻ to form Fe₂O₃.

$$6\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (4)$$

The simplified total reaction will be:

$$\text{Fe} + \text{GO} + \text{O}_2 = \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{RGO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (5)$$

**Electrochemical performance**

Lithium storage in Fe₂O₃/RGO composite was investigated. Fig. 7(a) shows the charge-discharge curves of Fe₂O₃/RGO composite electrodes in coin test cells between 0.01 and 3.0 V (vs. Li⁺/Li) at a current density of 200 mA g⁻¹. The charge-discharge curves are similar to those of α-Fe₂O₃/carbon composite. The initial discharge capacity is more than 1420 mA h g⁻¹, which is higher than the theoretical capacity of Fe₂O₃ (1007 mA h g⁻¹, corresponding to a maximum lithium uptake of 6 Li per Fe₂O₃). The extra capacity has been explained as due to the decomposition of electrolyte to form a solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer at 0.75 V vs. Li⁺/Li or further lithium storage via interfacial reaction due to the charge separation at the metal/Li₂O phase boundary. The reversible capacity is approximately 800 mA h g⁻¹ with a platform around 1.0 V versus Li⁺/Li. The dQ/dV curves are shown in Fig. 7(b). The position of the cathodic peak at 1.0 V shifted gradually to the left by about 0.1 V, and the intensity of the peak was also decreased from the 2nd cycle to the 200th cycle. This is related to the capacity loss of the displacement reaction and the decomposition of electrolyte. The specific surface area of Fe₂O₃/RGO composite is 32.1 m² g⁻¹, as measured by the 15-point Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) N₂ adsorption method. The RGO content in the Fe₂O₃/RGO was determined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), as shown in Fig. S7, ESI. The weight loss is 31.7% from 300 °C to 550 °C in air under an exothermic process. This is due to the decomposition of RGO. Therefore, the RGO content in the composite is approximately 32 wt%. The cycling stability is shown in Fig. 8(a). The average coulombic efficiency is around 99%. The capacity stabilized at about 700 mA h g⁻¹ after 10 cycles, and then the capacity started to increase from the 50th cycle. The capacity reached 852 mA h g⁻¹ after 200 cycles, showing excellent capacity retention. The capacity increase is possibly related to the
decomposition of electrolyte. The rate capability was also investigated using a changing rate charge–discharge measurement, as shown in Fig. 8(b). Here, 1C is equal to 1000 mA h g⁻¹. The capacity is 425 mA h g⁻¹ at the 4C rate, which is about 50% of the reversible capacity, and the electrode therefore shows good rate capability. Both the good cycling stability and the good rate capability can be attributed to the novel RGO-wrapped composite structure, which can buffer the volume changes and maintain good electrical conductivity.

Lithium storage in Co₃O₄/RGO composite was also investigated and shown in Fig. 9. The charge-discharge curves are similar to those of Co₃O₄/graphene composite.² The reversible capacity is approximately 800 mA h g⁻¹ up to 100 cycles. The binder used here is polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF), which normally shows much worse cycling stability than sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) binder.²¹ Therefore, the good cycling stability can be attributed to the novel RGO-wrapped composite structure, which can buffer the volume changes.

Conclusions

Transition metal oxide (Mn₃O₄, Fe₂O₃, Co₃O₄, and ZnO) and reduced graphene oxide (RGO) composites were successfully
synthesized via a hydrothermal method using the direct reaction between the corresponding metal powder and graphene oxide (GO) in water. The metal oxide nanoparticles are wrapped by sheet-like RGO and have a relatively narrow particle size distribution. Good cycling stability can be observed when electrochemically storing lithium in the Fe2O3/RGO (852 mA h g\(^{-1}\)) and CoO2/RGO composites (800 mA h g\(^{-1}\) up to 100 cycles). This is attributed to the novel RGO-wrapped composite structure, which can buffer the volume changes and maintain good electrical conductivity. This simple and green method could be easy to scale up for synthesis of metal oxide and graphene composites.
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