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Improved performance of porphyrin-based dye sensitised solar cells by phosphinic acid surface treatment

Alessandra Allegrucci, Naomi A. Lewcenko, Atila J. Mozer, Lynn Dennany, Pawel Wagner, David L. Officer, Kenji Sunahara, Shogo Mori and Leone Spiccia

Chemical surface treatment of porphyrin-sensitised titania films using bis-(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphinic acid after dye adsorption, results in large improvements in DSSC efficiencies which originate primarily from higher short circuit currents. The result was attributed to a positive shift in the TiO2 quasi-Fermi level with simultaneous retardation of charge recombination. High device performances have been achieved even using simplified electrolyte matrices devoid of the common additives, LiI and t-butylpyridine.

Introduction
As international concerns about energy and climate change increase, there is an intense global research effort to reduce the cost and improve the performance of solar powered devices. Dye sensitised solar cells (DSSCs) are one such technology that has been intensively investigated since reported by O'Regan and Grätzel in 1991. In a DSSC, the dye, following excitation by light (D → D*), injects an electron into the TiO2 conduction band (D* → D + CB) which then moves through the external circuit converting I3 into I2 (typical redox couple) at the platinised counter electrode. Iodide then reduces D* to D, which is then able to absorb another photon and repeat the cycle. As international concerns about energy and climate change increase, there is an intense global research effort to reduce the cost and improve the performance of solar powered devices. Dye sensitised solar cells (DSSCs) are one such technology that has been intensively investigated since reported by O'Regan and Grätzel in 1991. In a DSSC, the dye, following excitation by light (D → D*), injects an electron into the TiO2 conduction band (D* → D + CB) which then moves through the external circuit converting I3 into I2 (typical redox couple) at the platinised counter electrode. Iodide then reduces D* to D, which is then able to absorb another photon and repeat the cycle.

Recombination losses are one major factor that can limit the efficiencies of dye sensitised solar cells (DSSCs). Zinc-porphyrins, for example, are promising alternatives to Ru-sensitisers which exhibit recombination losses. Various strategies are being employed to overcome this intrinsic limitation, many of them aiming to protect exposed sites on the titania surface. Two simple approaches involve protection of the unfunctionalised titania surface by either molecular engineering of the sensitising dye molecule to contain, e.g., long alkyl chains or blocking vacant titania sites by reaction with an organic acid. Co-adsorption of decylphosphonic acid or chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) with the dye leads to increased Voc and Jsc, while CDCA also has a beneficial effect when added to the electrolyte. Phosphinic acids also form strong interactions with titania but, in contrast to carboxylic or phosphonic acids, they have two organic substituents that can potentially better insulate the titania surface on attachment.
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Recombination losses are one major factor that can limit the efficiencies of dye sensitised solar cells (DSSCs). Zinc-porphyrins, for example, are promising alternatives to Ru-sensitisers which exhibit recombination losses. Various strategies are being employed to overcome this intrinsic limitation, many of them aiming to protect exposed sites on the titania surface. Two simple approaches involve protection of the unfunctionalised titania surface by either molecular engineering of the sensitising dye molecule to contain, e.g., long alkyl chains or blocking vacant titania sites by reaction with an organic acid. Co-adsorption of decylphosphonic acid or chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) with the dye leads to increased Voc and Jsc, while CDCA also has a beneficial effect when added to the electrolyte. Phosphinic acids also form strong interactions with titania but, in contrast to carboxylic or phosphonic acids, they have two organic substituents that can potentially better insulate the titania surface on attachment. Herein, we show that bis-(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphinic acid (BMPPA), which contains two bulky aryl substituents (Fig. 1), is a good candidate as a chemical surface treatment agent. To date, literature reports have predominantly focused on co-adsorption techniques, where the treatment agent is added to the dye matrix and has been shown to alter the conduction band potential of the semiconductor causing changes in the open circuit voltage (Voc) and/or the short circuit current (Jsc) leading to changes in the overall device efficiency (η) \( \eta = \frac{V_{oc} \times J_{sc} \times FF}{P_{in}} \), where \( P_{in} \) is the power input and FF is the fill factor. While \( V_{oc} \) is dictated by the difference between the quasi-Fermi level of the TiO2 and the potential of the redox couple, \( J_{sc} \) arises from the efficiency of injection from the dye into the TiO2 and the efficiency of charge collection. Therefore, both values are limited by the recombination processes that occur when the photoinjected electron recombines with the oxidised dye molecule (R1) or reacts with the oxidised form of the redox couple in the electrolyte (R2). Process R2 has been well studied and has been deemed to have a greater impact on device performance than R1.

Various strategies are being employed to overcome this intrinsic limitation, many of them aiming to protect exposed sites on the titania surface. Two simple approaches involve protection of the unfunctionalised titania surface by either molecular engineering of the sensitising dye molecule to contain, e.g., long alkyl chains or blocking vacant titania sites by reaction with an organic acid. Co-adsorption of decylphosphonic acid or chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) with the dye leads to increased Voc and Jsc, while CDCA also has a beneficial effect when added to the electrolyte. Phosphinic acids also form strong interactions with titania but, in contrast to carboxylic or phosphonic acids, they have two organic substituents that can potentially better insulate the titania surface on attachment. Herein, we show that bis-(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphinic acid (BMPPA), which contains two bulky aryl substituents (Fig. 1), is a good candidate as a chemical surface treatment agent. To date, literature reports have predominantly focused on co-adsorption techniques, where the treatment agent is added to the dye matrix.
solution. Herein we present a new approach involving the controlled exposure of the titania surface to the surface treatment agent for various times after dye-adsorption. The dye is a zinc-porphyrin (GD2, Fig. 1) previously used for high efficiency solar cells. Despite the great potential of zinc-porphyrins as alternatives to Ru-dyes in DSSCs, these compounds exhibit considerable recombination losses, as reported recently by several groups. Thus, this class of dyes is ideal for studying improvements in DSSC efficiency by surface treatment. For comparison, we have also undertaken measurements with the commonly used ruthenium dye, N719.

Results and discussion

Four electrolyte matrices were used during the course of this investigation (see Materials and methods for composition). Electrolyte A is the standard electrolyte for high-performance porphyrin-based DSSCs and contains an iodide source, iodine, LiI and TBP in an acetonitrile : valeronitrile (75 : 25) solvent (AN : VN). Electrolyte B is essentially identical to Electrolyte A without LiI. Electrolyte C contains only the iodide source and iodine in AN : VN, while Electrolyte D is the same as Electrolyte C but uses the less volatile 3-methoxypropionitrile (MPN) as the solvent. These electrolytes were chosen to gain insight into the device performance in the presence and absence of the various additives commonly used in the construction of DSSCs.

Interestingly, the data for GD2 (Table 1) clearly shows that for all electrolyte systems, regardless of the presence or absence of performance enhancing additives, the films treated with BMPPA resulted in higher device efficiencies due to improved current densities. That is, the efficiency of devices constructed after film treatment, mirrors the improvement in current.

Cells constructed using Electrolyte A (both LiI and TBP) showed the best performance after 60 min of BMPPA treatment. Current increases of 15% (8.6 mA cm\(^{-2}\) to 9.9 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) were accompanied by a 20% efficiency increase (4.1% to 4.9%).

DSSCs constructed using electrolyte B (no LiI), showed the poorest overall performances. These devices exhibited similar open circuit voltages to those constructed using Electrolyte A but with poorer currents and efficiencies due to the inclusion of the TBP without the ameliorative effects of Li cations. Although device performance with this electrolyte was unsatisfactory, the currents improved by over 2.5 times from 1.9 to 4.9 mA cm\(^{-2}\) with increasing BMPPA treatment times while the efficiency increased from 1.0% (untreated) to 2.7% (60 min BMPPA treatment).

For Electrolyte C, which contained neither LiI nor TBP, striking improvements in performance were found for cells constructed with the treated films. The efficiency of devices made with films treated with BMPPA for 60 min was almost as high as those obtained using the standard Electrolyte (A): \(J_{sc}\) increased 1.6 fold and efficiencies improved from 2.9% (untreated) to 4.4% (treated) making these cells as efficient as those assembled using the untreated films and the high performing electrolyte. The IV profiles for Electrolyte C and different treatment times clearly demonstrate the link between treatment time and cell current, viz., the improvement in current densities with increasing treatment times shown in Fig. 2.

Despite the poorer overall performances demonstrated by Electrolyte D, containing the less volatile 3-methoxypropionitrile (MPN), similar improvements in efficiency were observed which again originated from increases in \(J_{sc}\).

The correlation between device efficiency and short circuit current is most evident when plotting these two parameters as a function of treatment time on the same graph, as is shown in...
Fig. 3. Here, the most striking improvements, of up to 300%, were observed following surface treatment for the electrolytes with no LiI (B and C).

Interestingly, surface treatment with BMPPA did not improve the performance of DSSCs constructed with N719 in conjunction with the standard electrolyte (which contains GuNCS instead of LiI). This data is summarised under N719 in Table 1. This result indicates that the BMPPA is not beneficial for DSSCs constructed with this commonly applied ruthenium dye.

To further investigate the origin of the improved cell current, IPCE (incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency) measurements were made on the cells prepared using Electrolyte A (Fig. 4). The treated films clearly provide improved IPCE profiles. The IV and IPCE data highlight the enhanced performance of devices constructed using titania films that had been treated with BMPPA for 0, 5 and 30 min after dye adsorption and Electrolyte A. A plot of the electron diffusion coefficient as a function of short circuit current (Fig. 5a) suggests that longer treatment times result in smaller diffusion coefficients, however, the plot of the electron lifetime vs. short circuit current (Fig. 5b) clearly shows an improvement in electron lifetime after surface treatment.

Fig. 5d suggests that there is a positive shift of up to 50 mV in the conduction band potential with increased treatment time. These results are consistent with the observed increase in $J_{sc}$ and slight decrease (10–20 mV) in $V_{oc}$ after surface treatment. Interestingly, the increase of IPCE was prominent in the long wavelength region, suggesting that the excited electrons are injected without internal relaxation as is observed with Ru complex dyes.

At open circuit, the 50 mV conduction band shift is mostly compensated by an increased electron density due to better injection and reduced charge...
recombination which results in overall changes to the $V_{oc}$ of less than that predicted.

The positive conduction band shift after the surface treatment is a major difference compared to the effect of CDCA which indicates that the origin of the improvement in cell performance must also be different. In the case of CDCA, $J_{sc}$ is increased due to the suppression of aggregation of dye molecules on the surface of the titania. As such, it is only effective with dyes that suffer reduced performance as a result of surface aggregation. For porphyrin dyes, the addition of CDCA leads to only slightly increased $J_{sc}$ values, indicating that for these porphyrin systems, aggregation is not a major problem. With respect to charge recombination, CDCA seems to retard this process, but large effects are only seen when a sizeable portion of adsorbed dye is replaced by CDCA. Thus, in order to have a significant effect, a decrease in $J_{sc}$ may be inevitable. For the case of BMPPA, the increase of $J_{sc}$ is partly due to the positive shift of the quasi-Fermi level and, thus, the effect is expected for all dyes having relatively low LUMO levels. The drawback of the positive shift is the decreased $V_{oc}$ arising from a decreased difference between the conduction band edge and $E_{1/2}$ redox potential. However, BMPPA also retards charge recombination as evidenced by the increased electron lifetimes for treated devices which partially compensates this drawback. The mechanism of retardation is also likely to differ between CDCA and BMPPA. It has been pointed out that some dyes increase the local concentration of electron acceptor species.

Therefore, replacement of surface-attached dye molecules with CDCA may simply reduce the degree of attraction to the acceptors. On the other hand, BMPPA can occupy vacant titania sites between the adsorbed dye molecules, preventing the approach of the acceptor species to the TiO$_2$ surface. Although the mode of action of BMPPP requires further study, this concept provides the potential to apply surface treatment to manipulate both conduction band potentials and recombination rates simultaneously, which is an exciting development.

To confirm chemical attachment and/or adsorption of BMPPA to the titania surface, ATR FTIR spectra were recorded for 6 µm films that had been treated with 0.2 mM BMPPA in acetonitrile for 5–60 min. Bands attributable to $C=\text{C}$ stretches in the 1500–1600 cm$^{-1}$ region and $O=\text{P}–O$ stretches in the 1000–1200 cm$^{-1}$ region clearly signified the presence of attached/adsorbed phosphinate on the titania surface (see Fig. 6).

**Conclusion**

Surface treatment of porphyrin-sensitised titania films with bis-(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphinic acid improved the efficiency of DSSC devices. These effects were witnessed with all electrolyte systems, although the most striking improvements were found for an electrolyte with no additional additives, i.e., no LiI and/or TBP. This discovery opens up new possibilities for designing simplified electrolyte systems using a variety of phosphinic acid treatment agents. Furthermore, such a post-treatment could be applicable in not only porphyrin-sensitised solar cells, but also in non-ruthenium dyes where recombination reactions are currently limiting. Controlled surface functionalisation as a tool for DSSC behaviour modification is an exciting concept worthy of further investigation.

**Materials and methods**

Solvents and reagents were sourced from commercial suppliers and used as received. GD2 was prepared by a literature procedure. Titania paste (18 nm) was provided by JGC Catalysts and Chemicals Ltd. (Kitakyushu-Shi, Japan). FTO glass was purchased from Nippon Sheet Glass (13 Ω·cm$^{-2}$) and Surlon (DuPont) from Solaronix (Aubonne, Switzerland).

General cell construction protocols were adapted from literature procedures. The deposition of the titania working electrodes involved the application of a dense layer of titania to clean FTO glass, by spray pyrolysis of titanium diisopropoxide bis(acetylacetonate) 75%/in isopropanol, followed by screen printing of one 6 µm layer of 18 nm titania nanoparticles. The working electrodes were sintered and stored in dust-free conditions. Prior to device construction, they were re-sintered at 450 °C for 30 min, cooled to 60 °C before being dipped in 0.2 mM ethanol solutions of GD2 in the dark for 2 h. The dyed films were removed in low light, washed with ethanol and dried before some were treated with 0.2 mM BMPPA in acetonitrile in the dark for 5, 30 or 60 min. After treatment, films were washed with acetonitrile, dried and used to construct DSSC devices as follows. Pre-drilled and cleaned counter electrodes were plated using 10 mM hexachloroplatatinic acid in isopropanol and sintered at 400 °C for 15 min. The working and counter electrodes were sandwiched with 25 µm Surlon and sealed using a pneumatic finger and resistive heater (150 °C) for 20 s. The electrolyte was introduced into the cavity through pre-drilled holes in the counter electrode by vacuum back-filling. The filling port was sealed using 25 µm Surlon and a microscope coverslip. Electrode contact was achieved using Cerasol CS186 solder at 220 °C with an oscillation frequency of 60 kHz prior to attaching copper wires using standard 60–40 tin–lead solder.

For GD2, four electrolytes were used: (1) Electolyte A, standard electrolyte for porphyrin solar cells; (2) N-propyl-$N$-methyl-imidazolium iodide (PMII), 0.03 M I$_2$, 0.1 M LiI and 0.5 M tert-butylpyridine (TBP) in 75 : 25 acetonitrile:valeronitrile (AN : VN); (2) Electolyte B, as Electrolyte A but with no LiI; (3) Electolyte C, as Electrolyte A but with no LiI or TBP; and (4) Electolyte D, the same as Electrolyte C but using the less volatile 3-methoxypropionitriple (MPN) as solvent. For N719, the electrolyte composition was 0.6 M PMII, 0.03 M I$_2$, 0.5 M TBP and 0.1 M GuNCS in 85 : 15 AN to VN (Electolyte E).

DSC devices were tested using simulated sunlight (AM1.5 1000 W m$^{-2}$) provided by an Oriel solar simulator with an AM1.5 filter. Current–voltage characteristics were measured using a Keithley...
densities (ED, cm$^{-1}$) of charge extracted. Short circuit current and open circuit voltage decays were determined by illuminating devices with a 635 nm diode laser, reducing the intensity and measuring the voltage or current response with a fast multimeter. For the IPCE measurements, cells constructed with dyed titania films that had been treated with BMPPA for various times (0, 5, 30, 60 min) were held under short circuit conditions and illuminated with monochromatic light in 10 nm steps using a Newport lamp. Electron densities (ED, cm$^{-1}$) at the same illumination intensities were determined by a charge extraction method where the applied light bias on the device was removed, accompanied by a simultaneous switch from open circuit to short circuit. The resulting current was integrated and the electron density was calculated from the amount of charge extracted.
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