

2004

# The effect of climate change on Antarctic terrestrial flora

Jane Wasley  
*University of Wollongong*

---

## Recommended Citation

Wasley, Jane, The effect of climate change on Antarctic terrestrial flora, PhD thesis, School of Biological Sciences, University of Wollongong, 2004. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/275>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: [research-pubs@uow.edu.au](mailto:research-pubs@uow.edu.au)

## **NOTE**

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

## **UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG**

### **COPYRIGHT WARNING**

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

# **The Effect of Climate Change on Antarctic Terrestrial Flora**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree

**Doctor of Philosophy**

from

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

by

Jane Wasley, B.Sc. (Hons)

School of Biological Sciences

2004

## **Certification**

I, Jane Wasley, declare that this thesis, submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Biological Sciences, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

Jane Wasley.

22<sup>rd</sup> July 2004

## Acknowledgements

I would firstly like to thank Sharon Robinson and Cath Lovelock, who have been exceptionally supportive and inspirational supervisors. Sharon and Cath have been far more than just supervisors to me, providing a wealth of support and guidance beyond the realms of this thesis - thanks to both of you, your lessons have been priceless.

Eternal thanks goes also to the other “Moss Girl”, Johanna Turnbull, whose dedication to this work over three Antarctic summers and, importantly, also her friendship has made this thesis possible – I don’t think I will ever be able to thank you enough Wan. Thanks too to Jodie Dunn, who also assisted with field and lab work in Antarctica, and to other ANARE members who helped with various aspects of this work. I am also very grateful to those who assisted with other analyses: Prof. Marianne Popp, Dr. Andreas Richter, Dr. Wolfgang Wanek and Sabine Maringer assisted with IRMS and GC analyses; Dr Lixia Lui performed lipid analyses; Joanne George assisted with phosphorous analyses; and Assoc. Prof. Ken Russel and Prof. David Griffith provided statistical advice. Feedback on earlier drafts, and on the manuscript that forms the basis of chapter 1, was provided by Rob Whelan, Jodie Dunn, Sue Fyfe and Margie Law. Andrew Netherwood produced Figs. 1.1 and 1.2 (and many delicious meals).

Finally a very special thank you to all my friends and family who have shown me endless love and support and who have kept me in touch with the things that really matter in life. You know who you all are... I can only list a few here.... The girls from the Gong (especially Susie Brooks and Ali Findlay), Andy Cianchi (yes, I have finally “pulled my finger out” and got this thing finished!), Rachel Cianchi (for the many life-enriching phone calls), Letitia Bright (for helping get the final stages of the “fashion parade” underway) and Margie Law (for surviving the endless “school nights”). And of course thank you Mum and Dad, for giving me the confidence, encouragement and support to “be anything I want to be”.

Funding and logistic support was provided by the Australian Antarctic Division and an Australian Postgraduate Award.

## Brief Table of Contents

Certification	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Brief Table of Contents	iv
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xiii
List of Appendices	xv
List of Special Names or Abbreviations	xvi
Abstract	xvii
<b>CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO PLANTS AND GLOBAL CHANGE IN ANTARCTICA</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Elevated CO <sub>2</sub>	6
1.3. Temperature	7
1.4. Precipitation and water relations	19
1.5. Interactions between water, temperature and nutrients	31
1.6. Conclusions	36
1.7. Objectives	36
<b>CHAPTER 2: GENERAL METHODOLOGIES</b>	<b>38</b>
2.1. Study area and species	38
2.2. Methods	45
<b>CHAPTER 3: FINE-SCALE PATTERNS IN BRYOPHYTE COMMUNITY STRUCTURE AND RELATIONSHIP TO RESOURCE GRADIENTS</b>	<b>52</b>
3.1. Introduction	52

<b>3.2. Methods</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>3.3. Results</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>3.4. Discussion</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>3.5. Conclusions</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4: INFLUENCE OF INCREASED WATER AND NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY IN AN ANTARCTIC TERRESTRIAL COMMUNITY</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>4.1. Introduction</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>4.2. Methods</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>4.3. Results</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>4.4. Discussion</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>4.5. Conclusions</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>CHAPTER 5: PREDICTING RESPONSE TO CLIMATE CHANGE FOR THREE CONTINENTAL ANTARCTIC MOSS SPECIES, BASED ON BIOLOGICAL PROFILES OF DESICCATION TOLERANCE</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>5.1. Introduction</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>5.2. Methods</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>5.3. Results</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>5.4. Discussion</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>5.5. Conclusions</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>CHAPTER 6: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>6.1. Study limitations and future research directions</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>6.2. Conclusions</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>171</b>

## Table of Contents

<b>Certification</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Brief Table of Contents</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Appendices</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>List of Special Names or Abbreviations</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO PLANTS AND GLOBAL CHANGE IN ANTARCTICA</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1.1. Antarctica and the detection of climate change	1
1.1.2. Vegetation and phytogeographic zones	2
1.1.3. Adaptations to severe conditions	3
1.1.4. Distribution & community structure	4
1.1.5. Antarctic research history and aims of this review	5
<b>1.2. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.3. Temperature</b>	<b>7</b>
1.3.1. Evidence	7
1.3.2. Ecological impacts	9
1.3.4. Photosynthetic physiology	16
1.3.5. Impact of increased freeze-thaw	18
<b>1.4. Precipitation and water relations</b>	<b>19</b>
1.4.1. Evidence	19
1.4.2. Ecological impacts	21
1.4.3. Physiological Impacts	28
1.4.4. Changes to snow regime	31
<b>1.5. Interactions between water, temperature and nutrients</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>1.6. Conclusions</b>	<b>36</b>



<b>1.7. Objectives</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2: GENERAL METHODOLOGIES</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>2.1. Study area and species</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>2.2. Methods</b>	<b>45</b>
2.2.1. Background and rationale	45
2.2.2. Determination of chlorophyll a fluorescence	47
2.2.3. Determination of turf water content (TWC)	47
2.2.4. Determination of chlorophyll pigments	48
2.2.5. Determination of plant nitrogen and carbon	48
2.2.6. Determination of plant phosphorous	50
2.2.7. Determination of plant soluble carbohydrates	50
2.2.8. Determination of plant fatty acids	51
2.2.9. Determination of soil nitrogen and carbon	51
<b>CHAPTER 3: FINE-SCALE PATTERNS IN BRYOPHYTE COMMUNITY STRUCTURE AND RELATIONSHIP TO RESOURCE GRADIENTS</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>3.1. Introduction</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>3.2. Methods</b>	<b>55</b>
3.2.1. Physical environment	56
3.2.2. Resource patterns	56
3.2.3. Fine-scale community patterns	57
3.2.4. Statistical Analyses	58
<b>3.3. Results</b>	<b>60</b>
3.3.1. Physical environment	60
3.3.2. Growth environment	63
3.3.3. Community patterns across environmental gradients	71
<b>3.4. Discussion</b>	<b>75</b>
3.4.1. Site characteristics	76
3.4.2. Resource gradients	79
3.4.3. Effect of environmental resources on plant diversity in a simple ecosystem	80
3.4.4. Effect of environmental resources on plant physiology in a simple ecosystem	81
3.4.5. Future vegetation dynamics	82
<b>3.5. Conclusions</b>	<b>83</b>

<b>CHAPTER 4: INFLUENCE OF INCREASED WATER AND NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY IN AN ANTARCTIC TERRESTRIAL COMMUNITY</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>4.1. Introduction</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>4.2. Methods</b>	<b>87</b>
4.2.1. Experimental design	87
4.2.2. Field measurement of chlorophyll fluorescence	90
4.2.3. Laboratory analysis of chlorophyll, nutrients, stable isotopes and soluble carbohydrates	91
4.2.4. Statistical Analysis	91
<b>4.3. Results</b>	<b>94</b>
4.3.1. Photosynthetic efficiency	94
4.3.2. Turf chlorophyll and water content	96
4.3.3. Nutrients	98
4.3.4. Stable Isotopes	100
4.3.5. Soluble Carbohydrates	101
<b>4.4. Discussion</b>	<b>107</b>
4.4.1. Environmental gradients	107
4.4.2. Community response to water and nutrient additions	111
4.4.3. Limitations to primary production	113
4.4.4. Study limitations	115
<b>4.5. Conclusions</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>CHAPTER 5: PREDICTING RESPONSE TO CLIMATE CHANGE FOR THREE CONTINENTAL ANTARCTIC MOSS SPECIES, BASED ON BIOLOGICAL PROFILES OF DESICCATION TOLERANCE</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>5.1. Introduction</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>5.2. Methods</b>	<b>121</b>
5.2.1. Study site and plant material	121
5.2.2. Morphology	122
5.2.3. Physiological and Biochemical determinations	123
5.2.4. Statistical Analyses	126
<b>5.3. Results</b>	<b>127</b>
5.3.1. Morphology	127
5.3.2. Physiology and Biochemistry	129
5.3.3. Plasticity	140
<b>5.4. Discussion</b>	<b>143</b>
5.4.1. Biological profiles underpinning tolerance of desiccation	143
5.4.2. Species variation in growth conditions	150

<b>5.5. Conclusions</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>CHAPTER 6: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>6.1. Study limitations and future research directions</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>6.2. Conclusions</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>171</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Map of Antarctica.	5
Figure 1.2	Schematic model of the impact of climate change on water availability in Antarctic ecosystems.	20
Figure 2.1	Photograph showing moribund bryophyte turf encrusted with lichen species.	41
Figure 2.2	Map of the northern Windmill Islands.	42
Figure 2.3	Map of Antarctic Specially Protected Area 135, showing location of ASPA Meltpuddle and ASPA Meltlake study sites.	43
Figure 2.4	Map of Robinson Ridge, showing location of Robinson Ridge study site.	43
Figure 2.5	Photographs of the three study sites: ASPA Meltpuddle, ASPA Meltlake and Robinson Ridge.	44
Figure 3.1	Soil nitrogen and carbon, C/N ratio and stable isotopic compositions for the two transect sites.	61
Figure 3.2	Transect profiles.	62
Figure 3.3	Transect aspects.	63
Figure 3.4	Turf water content for the three transect communities and two sites.	64
Figure 3.5	Plant nitrogen and carbon contents, C/N ratios and stable isotopic compositions for the three transect communities and two sites.	66
Figure 3.6	Concentration of select soluble carbohydrates for the three transect communities and two sites.	68
Figure 3.7	Concentration of sugar alcohols for the three transect communities and two sites.	69
Figure 3.8	Percentage abundance of three broad vegetation categories for the three transect communities and two sites.	72

Figure 3.9	Percentage abundance of the four bryophyte species for the three transect communities and two sites.	74
Figure 4.1	Position of the four communities receiving water and nutrient additions within the ASPA Meltlake site.	89
Figure 4.2	Schematic representation of sample collection for the water and nutrient addition manipulative field experiment.	92
Figure 4.3	Influence of water and nutrient additions on photosynthetic electron transport rate across four experimentally manipulated communities.	95
Figure 4.4	Influence of water and nutrient additions on total chlorophyll concentration, chlorophyll a/b ratio and turf water content, for plant material from four experimentally manipulated communities.	97
Figure 4.5	Influence of water and nutrient additions on nutrient content of plant material from four experimentally manipulated communities.	99
Figure 4.6	Influence of water and nutrient additions on stable isotopic values for plant material collected from four experimentally manipulated communities.	101
Figure 4.7	Influence of water and nutrient additions on the concentration of soluble carbohydrates for plant material collected from four experimentally manipulated communities.	103
Figure 4.8	Influence of water and nutrient additions on the concentration of sugar alcohols for plant material collected from four experimentally manipulated communities.	104
Figure 4.9	Influence of water and nutrient additions on the concentration of unidentified soluble carbohydrates for plant material collected from four experimentally manipulated communities.	105

Figure 5.1	Schematic representation of desiccation and recovery analysis methods.	126
Figure 5.2	Morphological characteristics of three moss study species.	127
Figure 5.3	Desiccation response at three intervals across a summer season: relationship between critical recovery time and critical water content for three moss study species.	130
Figure 5.4	Nitrogen and carbon compositions of three moss study species.	132
Figure 5.5	Change in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ with depth for long gametophytes of <i>Grimmia antarctici</i> .	133
Figure 5.6	Relationship between soluble carbohydrate concentrations and critical water content at three intervals across a summer season, for three moss study species.	135
Figure 5.7	Relationship between soluble carbohydrate concentrations and critical recovery time at three intervals across a summer season, for three moss study species.	136
Figure 5.8	Fatty acid composition for three moss study species.	138
Figure 5.9	Coefficient of variation index for biological variables measured at three intervals across a summer season, for three moss study species.	141
Figure 5.10	Coefficient of variation index for biological variables measured at a single interval during a summer season, for three moss study species.	142
Figure 6.1	Schematic model of the Windmill Islands bryophyte and crustose lichen community dynamics: present and future predictions.	155

## List of Tables

Table 1.1	Estimated contribution of plant groups to terrestrial plant biodiversity of Antarctica.	3
Table 1.2	Summary of effects of temperature on Antarctic vascular plants, bryophytes and terrestrial algae.	10
Table 1.3	Summary of effects of precipitation and water relations on Antarctic vascular plants, bryophytes and terrestrial algae.	22
Table 1.4	Summary of effects of nutrients on Antarctic vascular plants, bryophytes and terrestrial algae.	32
Table 3.1	Transect relative abundance categories, description and score.	58
Table 3.2	Results of 2-way ANOVA, testing for differences in turf water content and plant nitrogen and carbon variables, for plant material collected from transects.	65
Table 3.3	Results of ANOVA, for selected soluble carbohydrates and sugar alcohols, for plant material collected from transects.	70
Table 3.4	Results of 2-way ANOVA for percent abundance of broad vegetation components and bryophyte species, for plant material collected from transects.	73
Table 3.5	Summary of the hypotheses tested	75
Table 4.1	Distinguishing characteristics of the four community types to which water and nutrient treatments were applied.	88
Table 4.2	Nutrient composition of fertiliser used in field nutrient enrichment experiment.	90
Table 4.3	Summary of 2-way ANOVA results for ETR, chlorophyll (total concentration & a/b ratio) and turf water content, for samples collected from field water and nutrient enrichment experiment.	95

Table 4.4	Summary of 2-way ANOVA results for nutrient variables, for samples collected from field water and nutrient enrichment experiment.	100
Table 4.5	Summary of 2-way ANOVA results for soluble carbohydrates, for samples collected from field water and nutrient enrichment experiment.	106
Table 4.6	Summary of 1-way ANOVA results for soluble carbohydrates, for samples collected from field water and nutrient enrichment experiment.	106
Table 5.1	Summary of 1-way ANOVA results for gametophyte width and N and C measurements, testing for difference between the three moss study species, and changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ along long <i>G. antarctici</i> gametophytes.	128
Table 5.2	Summary of 2-way ANOVA results for gametophyte density and tolerance of desiccation variables for three moss study species.	128
Table 5.3	Summary of ANOVA results testing for differences in concentration of soluble carbohydrates and fatty acids, testing for differences across three experimental intervals and three moss species.	137
Table 5.4	Fatty acid composition of three moss study species.	139
Table 6.1	Summary of main results.	154



## **List of Appendices**

Appendix 1	Concentration of select soluble carbohydrates for transect plant material, collected from two sites and three communities and results of 2-way ANOVA.	161
Appendix 2	Summary tables of Student's t pair-wise comparison results, testing for differences between water and nutrient addition experiment treatment and community pairs.	163
Appendix 3	Results of Student's t pairwise tests conducted for data presented in Chapter 5.	165
Appendix 4	Relationship between soluble carbohydrate concentrations and critical water content for three experimental intervals and three study species.	167
Appendix 5	Relationship between soluble carbohydrate concentrations and critical recovery time for three experimental intervals and three study species.	168
Appendix 6	Summary of ANOVA results testing for differences in concentration of soluble carbohydrates, for the three experimental intervals and three study species.	169
Appendix 7	Results for soluble carbohydrate versus CWC and CRT linear regression analysis for the three study species.	170

## List of Special Names or Abbreviations

A	Algae
ASPA	Antarctic Specially Protected Area
B	Bryophytes
C	Cyanobacteria
CA	Continental Antarctic
CV	Coefficient of Variation
CRT	Critical Recovery Time
CWC	Critical Water Content
D	Day
DR	Dark Respiration
dwt	Dry weight
ETR	Electron Transport Rate
F	Fungi
FB	Field Based
FC/L	Field Collected / Laboratory Analyses
$F_v/F_m$	Ratio of variable to maximum fluorescence
L	Lichen
LB	Laboratory Based
L(P)	Lichen Phycobiont
M	Moss
MA	Maritime Antarctic
M-FD	Model, based on field data
M-LD	Model, based on laboratory data
MUFA	Monounsaturated fatty acid
$P_n$	Net Photosynthesis
PS	Photosynthesis
PUFA	Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid
R	Review
RGR	Relative Growth Rate
S	Soil
SFA	Saturated Fatty Acid
Sn	Snow
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest
temp	Temperature
TWC	Turf Water Content
V	Vascular plants
veg	Vegetation
WC	Water Content
X2, X3, X4	Unidentified soluble carbohydrate compounds
$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	Abundance of $^{15}\text{N}$ stable isotope (relative to $^{14}\text{N}$ )
$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	Abundance of $^{13}\text{C}$ stable isotope (relative to $^{12}\text{C}$ )
$\Phi\text{PSII}$	Quantum yield of PSII
↑	Increasing
↓	Decreasing
‰	Parts per thousand

## Abstract

Climate change is expected to affect the high latitudes first and most severely, rendering Antarctica one of the most significant baseline environments for the study of global climate change. The indirect effects of climate warming, including changes to the availability of key environmental resources, such as water and nutrients, are likely to have a greater impact upon Antarctic terrestrial ecosystems than the effects of fluctuations in temperature alone. Water availability is the focus in this thesis for two main reasons; firstly, there is a wealth of evidence to suggest water is currently limiting to Antarctic plant distributions and productivity, and secondly, availability of this key resource is predicted to change with the onset of climate change. Nutrient availability is a second variable considered in this work, as there is evidence to suggest that nutrients also play a role in determining plant species distributions, and changes to nutrient balance and turnover rates are also expected in response to climate change.

This work was conducted in the floristically important Windmill Islands region of East Antarctica, with the three Windmill Islands moss species *Bryum pseudotriquetrum*, *Ceratodon purpureus* and *Grimmia antarctici* forming its focus. A combination of field ecology, ecophysiology and laboratory studies were used to determine fine-scale patterns of present species distributions and their relationship to naturally occurring water and nutrient resource gradients, the impact of increased water and nutrients on a range of cryptogamic communities, and tolerance of desiccation biological profiles.

A survey-based approach was used to determine species level patterns in bryophyte species distributions, and identify correlations with resource availability. Ten replicate transects, along community gradients, from pure bryophyte stands, through transitional moribund bryophyte zones, to lichen-dominated communities, were surveyed at two sites. The physical environment at each site was characterised by measurement of site soil properties, along with individual transect aspects and slopes. To determine the relationship between resource gradients and community patterns water and nutrient availability, along with a range of plant biochemistry measures indicative of the growth environment, were measured using a series of quadrats along each transect. Percent

abundance for each species and/or vegetation category was determined for each quadrat by microscopic examination of field samples.

The community gradient, covering the entire ecological range of past and present bryophyte occupation, was found to be accompanied by resource gradients that operated in opposing directions. Pure bryophyte communities existed under conditions of high water availability and low nutrient availability. Crustose lichen-dominated communities persisted under opposing environmental conditions, of low water availability and high nutrient availability. *Grimmia antarctici* dominated the wettest habitats but its distribution extended into the dry moribund zones, albeit in low levels of abundance. *Bryum pseudotriquetrum* occurred in consistent levels of abundance across the entire gradient, whilst *C. purpureus* was restricted to the driest habitats. Live bryophyte material was found to occur in moribund turf, supporting the potential for bryophyte regeneration under a future wetter climate. Regenerating turf showed potential to support high species diversity, as all four bryophytes survive in this zone.

To investigate the likely impacts of a wetter climate on Antarctic terrestrial communities, four cryptogamic communities, pure bryophyte, moribund bryophyte, crustose and fruticose lichen-dominated communities were subject to a multi-season manipulative field experiment. Within each community type, eight replicate quadrats received increased water and/or nutrient availability over two consecutive summer seasons. A range of physiological and biochemical measurements were conducted in order to quantify the community response to the treatments and determine the extent of any nutrient and water limitation. Few multi-season manipulative field experiments have been conducted in continental Antarctica. Whilst an overall increase in productivity in response to water and nutrient additions was supported, productivity appeared to respond more strongly to nutrient additions than to water additions. Pure bryophyte and fruticose lichen communities also showed stronger positive responses to additions, identifying some communities that may be better able to adapt and prosper under the ameliorating conditions associated with a warmer, wetter future climate.

Using a range of morphological, biochemical and physiological techniques, biological profiles related to desiccation tolerance were developed for the three bryophyte study species, providing measures of relative abilities to avoid, tolerate, recover from and survive desiccation. *Ceratodon purpureus* showed good desiccation avoidance characteristics, its photosynthetic efficiency remained high at low water contents and it was lipid rich, suggesting that this species is well adapted to survive a drying climate. *Bryum pseudotriquetrum* is also likely to survive drier conditions, as this species showed good desiccation avoidance, had a plastic response to desiccation, and contained stachyose, which is likely to assist in its survival of desiccation events. Conversely, *G. antarctici* showed poor desiccation avoidance, as photosynthetic efficiency required highest water contents and it contained few protective substances, this species is therefore least likely to survive a drying environment.

This study provides a baseline from which future changes to the Windmill Islands cryptogamic communities can be monitored. A baseline incorporating fine-scale bryophyte species patterns is particularly useful, as this component of the cryptogamic community is likely to be highly sensitive to even small shifts in water availability and detection of change is likely to be more sensitive at fine- rather than broad-scales. Both water and nutrient resource availability was found to underlie regional bryophyte species distributional patterns. The dynamics of the Windmill Islands flora is therefore likely to shift in response to climate change as the availability of these key resources is altered. Under a wetter future climate, productivity is overall likely to increase but only certain cryptogamic communities are likely to thrive. Regeneration of moribund bryophytes appears likely only if the future moisture regime creates consistently moist conditions. *Bryum pseudotriquetrum* is most likely to survive both a drying climate and also a fluctuating climate, which is a highly likely scenario for the region. Under a drier climate, the Antarctic endemic, *G. antarctici*, is likely to be most adversely affected, as it dominates only the wettest communities and generally shows poor tolerance of desiccation. Conservation issues are therefore raised for this species, if the current drying trend continues, creating overall biodiversity concerns.