

2008

# Zinc Violet, case studies in the use of advanced teaching tools in widely different settings

I. A. Kreis

*University of Wollongong, ikreis2563@gmail.com*

G. S. Leonardi

*University of Wollongong*

G. Zielhuis

*University of Wollongong*

L. Heijke

*University of Wollongong*

Ray Stace

*University of Wollongong, rstace@uow.edu.au*

---

## Publication Details

This conference paper was originally published as Kreis, I, Leonardi, GS, Zielhuis, G, Heijke, L & Stace, RJ, Zinc Violet, case studies in the use of advanced teaching tools in widely different settings, in Paget, DZ, Sakelarides, C & Keskimäki, I (eds), *European Journal of Public Health*, 18(S1), 2008, 63. Proceedings of the 16th EUPHA conference. [Powerpoint presentation]

---

# Zinc Violet, case studies in the use of advanced teaching tools in widely different settings

## **Abstract**

The development of advanced teaching tools using simulation is costly and often of limited value to the institution developing it. The investment can only be regained if the teaching tool can be used in other places and/or a wide range of applications. Thus the objective is to assess the usefulness of an advanced teaching tool in a range of settings and cultures. Zinc Violet is a simulation of a problem using real data and data analysis software, characters, reports, literature, role-play and financial or time limitations. The students are placed in a problem that they have to solve where their choices have consequences and the simulations aims to engage them. The programme has a long history of development in two countries and is based on real investigations. All uses of the teaching tool have been formally evaluated in the context of use. Zinc Violet has been used to teach applied epidemiology in three different Masters degrees at three different universities in two different countries. It has also been used in a professional development course in another country. Applications used are applied epidemiology, environmental epidemiology, risk assessment and risk communication. Participants have come from Australia, China, France, India, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Nigeria, Pakistan, Taiwan, UK and other countries. Professional backgrounds have included physicians, nurses, environmental scientists, toxicologists and dieticians. The evaluations have been that the simulations facilitated very good engagement. All research applications were highly successful succeeding in engaging people from all disciplines and cultures. The risk communication application showed only the first half of the simulation to be useful but for that part they were engaged. Continuous technical updating is essential as bugs were found to be irritating. Substantial investments in highly developed teaching tools can pay off in a wide variety of settings. The tool does need to be very rich and engaging and the lecturer needs to ensure different applications are used with clear direction to ensure students do not get drawn into parts that are less relevant. A mix of media such as computer and role-play with close to live characters encourages engagement.

## **Disciplines**

Arts and Humanities | Social and Behavioral Sciences

## **Publication Details**

This conference paper was originally published as Kreis, I, Leonardi, GS, Zielhuis, G, Heijke, L & Stace, RJ, Zinc Violet, case studies in the use of advanced teaching tools in widely different settings, in Paget, DZ, Sakelarides, C & Keskimäki, I (eds), *European Journal of Public Health*, 18(S1), 2008, 63. Proceedings of the 16th EUPHA conference. [Powerpoint presentation]

# Zinc Violet, case studies in the use of an advanced teaching tools in widely different settings

Kreis IA, Leonardi GS, Zielhuis G,  
Heijke L, Stace R

07/11/08

EUPHA

1

## Basic questions

- Why do we need cost-efficient teaching?
- Why need to use materials (of any kind) in different settings?
- Why use advanced teaching tools?

07/11/08

EUPHA

2

## Why advanced teaching tools?

- More fun?
- Better / advanced learning?
- Ultimately cheaper (fewer projects, better prepared for projects)?

07/11/08

EUPHA

3

## How does it work?

- The student is placed within a complicated, simulated 'world'
- Students construct their own approach to the problem
- Possible to take various routes through the material
- The class reflects on all the possible routes

07/11/08

EUPHA

4

## The theory on 'constructivist' teaching

- Students construct strategies to tackling problems
- Students get to internalise their skills and knowledge
- The teacher helps students to reflect and learn

07/11/08

EUPHA

5

## The theory

- 'learners ... are able to invent their own personal assumptions and its law'
- 'they can shape the reality, modify it and build alternatives'
- 'by exploring ... they learn to transfer habits of exploration from their personal lives to the formal domain of scientific theory construction' [Papert, 1980]

07/11/08

EUPHA

6

## So, why is there a problem doing this?

- The development of advanced teaching tools using simulation is costly
- It is often of limited value to the institution developing it
- Thus the investment can only be regained if the teaching tool can be used
  - in other places and/or
  - a wide range of applications.

07/11/08

EUPHA

7

## So,

Assuming we do not have access to a wide market, we may need to optimise the use of the expensive teaching tool. So,

The objective is to assess the usefulness of an advanced teaching tool in a range of setting and cultures

07/11/08

EUPHA

8

## An example



a case study in epidemiology

07/11/08

EUPHA

9

## Essential characteristics

- Extremely rich data set
- Real life simulation
- Students must make choices, due to time / money budgets
- Complete simulation of professional role
  - Defend choices in public meetings with role play
  - Report using professional formats

07/11/08

EUPHA

10

## Moving through the 'world'

- Some structuring for ease of navigation
- Four stages
  - Exploration
  - Initial investigations
  - Study design
  - Study analyses
- Some assistance is available in tutorials

07/11/08

EUPHA

11

## What does it look like?

07/11/08

EUPHA

12





**Health Data and Analysis** Options 1 to 4 from a total of 6

**Eersel**

ca. 12,000 inhabitants

Cultural centre of the Kempen townships with an old heritage protected village centre and the Kempen museum. Habitation of the site has dated back to the 6th or 7th century. The town was recognised as a cultural and economic centre in 1325 by the Duke of Brabant, also the site for the sculpture 'Man from the Kempenland, a satisfied man', indicating the basic attitude of the

**Picture 1**

**CLOSE**

**OPTION**

**FILE**

20 DAYS 7 HRS

Fl. 24660

**Demographic Information** Cost 50  
 Collect files for: East Kempen  
 Years:  80  81  82  83  84  
 Total for all five years:  Totals  
 Female  
 Male  
 Specific Kidney **Collect**

**20 DAYS 7 HRS** **OPTION**  
**Fl. 24660** **FILE**

**Estimate The Risk** Estimates 1 to 5 from a total of 5

Route	Consumption per time	Consumption Frequency	Cadmium content	Intake per day	Absorption factor	Uptake
Potato products	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Leafy vegetables	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Drinks	0 cc	0 p/wk	.000 ug/l	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Cereals	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Dairy	0 cc	0 p/wk	.000 ug/l	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Oils and fats	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Beans	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Sugar	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Tomatoes/cucumber	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Fish	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Meat and eggs	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Fruits	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Carrots and roots	0 g	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Surface water	0 cc	0 p/wk	.000 ug/l	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Sludge	0 mg	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Soil	0 mg	0 p/wk	.000 ug/kg	.000 ug	5% 20%	.000 ug
Outdoor air	0 m3	0 p/wk	.000 ug/m3	.000 ug	50 %	.000 ug
Smoking	0 cig	0 cig/wk	.000 ug/cig	.000 ug	50 %	.000 ug
<b>Totals</b>				.000 ug		.000 ug

**Save set** **Load set** **Reset**

**20 DAYS 7 HRS** **OPTION**  
**Fl. 24660** **FILE**

**Page 3 of 4**

**Population**

On which disease do you want to base your selection? Please select one of the following:

- History of kidney disease (incl. kidney stones; non-exposed prevalence about 91/1000 for the middle aged averaged for males and females)
- History of cardiovascular disease (incl. hypertension; non-exposed prevalence about 261/1000 for the middle aged averaged for males and females)



From which combination of towns do you want to select these people? Please select one of the following:


- An exposed town only
- Both an exposed and a reference town

If you want to select a reference population (ie. people without the disease) within the town(s) that you selected, please use the options below to indicate how this selection should be conducted:


- No controls
- Age/sex matched controls (1 p/p)
- Age/sex matched controls (4 p/p)

Note:  
1 p/p means 1 reference person, 4 p/p means 4 reference people per person with the disease




20 DAYS 7 HRS



FL. 24660

OPTION


FILE



**Sources 16 to 19 from a total of 19**

**Gather Further Information**

## PUBLIC HEALTH UNIT






You propose at this stage of your investigation to do a survey into the effects of soil contamination on the health of the population in the region!

**Shame! Major failure!**


Such an ill-advised proposal cannot be agreed to. Your probationary job at the Public Health Unit has been terminated!

Start New Game




20 DAYS 7 HRS




FL. 24660

OPTION

FILE





## Where does it get used?

- Usually as **epidemiology**, once **risk communication**
- Mostly Master of Public Health, often last subject
  - Wollongong (Oz), mostly international (post-medical) students
  - Nijmegen (NI), mostly Dutch (health sciences, occ health, toxicology) (now also international)
  - Amsterdam (NI, NSPOH), mostly acute care
  - London (UK, LSHTM), mixed origin students
  - Health Protection Agency (Chilton, UK) internal course for toxicology / env.scientists

## Who have been the students?

- Australia, China, France, India, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Nigeria, Pakistan, PNG, Taiwan, UK and other countries.
- Physicians, nurses, environmental scientists, toxicologists and dieticians.

07/11/08

EUPHA

21

## What were the course labels?

- Applied epidemiology
- Environmental epidemiology
- Public health investigation
- Risk assessment & risk communication

07/11/08

EUPHA

22

## What works well?

- Students commit to their own solution
- Coming to terms with other solutions challenging
- Students combine stats and epi books info
- Discussions / role-play are very animated
- Students learn to make decisions
- Students learn to report professionally
- Nightmares have been reported!

07/11/08

EUPHA

23

## What works 'awkwardly'?-1

- Many, have limited gaming experience so many navigation clues missed
  - Requires active guidance in laboratory
- Feeling dumped in the deep and objecting to too little guidance
  - Usually get the aim in the end
  - Requires active attendance of professional help in the lab
- Short CPD courses: too complex to get it finished

07/11/08

EUPHA

24

## What works 'awkwardly'?-2

- Technical aspects
  - Old DOS-base (+floppies) vs new Web-image
- Navigating aspects
  - Renewed look confuses (no clear arrows)
- Presentation aspects
  - Lengthy discussion possible about the role of 'errors' (but not typos)

07/11/08

EUPHA

25

## What are the limitations?

- Such tools need extremely extensive data and a complex situation
- Expensive to create + maintain (+ copyright issues)
- Hard on teacher: refrain from lecturing / instructing or intervening / guiding
- Students need to have basic knowledge to be able to cope
- Needs to be used in intended context (?)

07/11/08

EUPHA

26

## Conclusion -1

- Many evaluations
- Simulations facilitated very good engagement
- Research applications were highly successful
- Continuous technical updating is essential as 'bugs' were found to be irritating and IT moves so fast
- Risk communication application
  - The first half of the simulation worked
  - Clear explanation needed but for that part they were engaged

07/11/08

EUPHA

27

## Conclusion -2

- As intended so as env. epi:  
YES
- As risk communication case-study:  
LESS WELL
- Within the 'right' context 'non-local location' and 'old-age':  
NOT AN ISSUE

07/11/08

EUPHA

28



## Where to now?

- More tools need to be developed
- Teachers need to collaborate
  - due to cost/ time development required
  - large data sets are rare
- Invest in few rich, well-designed ‘worlds’ rather than many simple simulations
  - tools do not need to be ‘localised’

07/11/08

EUPHA

29

## Thus,

- If assured of consistent supply of students
- For specific (high) level courses
  
- Good teaching tools could pay off

07/11/08

EUPHA

30

## Acknowledgement / team

- Team: researcher, programmer, designer, educational consultant, local organisers
- Research (started 1984, PhD 1992)
- First version: University of Nijmegen
- Data: RIVM, CBS, SIG, SOOZ, Eurocat
- \$\$: Gov. Australia, Uni. Wollongong
- Many others