In vivo dosimetry and seed localization in prostate brachytherapy with permanent implants
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Abstract—This paper reports on the development of an interactive, intraoperative dose planning system for seed implant brachytherapy in cancer treatment. This system involves in-vivo dosimetry and the ability to determine implanted seed positions. The first stage of this project is the development of a urethral alarm probe to measure the dose along the urethra during a prostate brachytherapy treatment procedure. Ultimately the system will be used to advise the physicians upon reaching a preset dose rate or dose after total seed decay in urethra during the seed placement. The second stage is the development of a method and instrumentation for in-vivo measurements of the location of implanted seeds in the same frame as for dose planning, and using these in intraoperative treatment planning. We have developed a silicon mini-detector and preamplifier/amplifier system to satisfy the spectroscopic requirements of the urethral probe. This technique will avoid complications related to overdosing the urethra and the rectum.

I. INTRODUCTION

EAL-TIME transrectal ultrasound guided transperineal placement of permanent interstitial $^{125}$I and $^{103}$Pd sources is one of the treatment modalities available for early stage prostate cancer. There has been a rapid expansion of the use of this procedure, which is set to become the most common treatment modality for early stage prostate cancer. Equivalent biochemical control rates for low risk prostate cancer with permanent seed implantation in comparison to radical prostatectomy or external beam radiotherapy have been confirmed [1,2]. These biochemical outcomes have been favourable, but there has been little emphasis on the evaluation and comparison of side effects and complications. Interstitial brachytherapy exhibits a different side effect profile than radical prostatectomy or external beam radiotherapy. Specifically, acute urinary side effects predominate in interstitial prostate brachytherapy [3,4,5]. Urinary symptoms, resulting in an increase of the International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS), are well-recognized side effects of interstitial prostate brachytherapy, however more detailed evaluation of these symptoms has been lacking. Wallner et al. [6] observed an increase in grade-3 late urethral toxicity when the urethral dose exceeded 400Gy. Recent recommendations by the American Brachytherapy Society (ABS) for reporting morbidity after prostate brachytherapy, request urethral doses be recorded for correlation with urethral toxicity [7]. The ABS recommends that doses be obtained at the centre of the urethra, in half-centimeter intervals, from the base to the apex of the prostate, reporting the maximum and mean obtained doses [7].

Medical complications associated with interstitial prostate brachytherapy can result from errors in seed placement during insertion. There are several factors that may lead to the misplacement of seeds. The guiding needles may diverge during insertion as different layers of tissue are penetrated [8], resulting in the incorrect deposition of seeds, the seeds may drift along the path of the needles, blood flow may alter the seed positions, oedema may alter the size and shape of the prostate, and gland motion may occur [9]. There is a need for seed locations to be monitored in real-time during insertion (intraoperatively). If a seed is misplaced, the required locations of other seeds may be recalculated in compensation. Intraoperative localization of inserted seeds will also provide a method of online dosimetry. Many difficulties have been encountered in the development of an interactive planning system in the operating theatre in prostate brachytherapy [10]. Current commercial systems use ultrasound visualization of individual seeds or needles to predict the dose within the prostate during treatment [11]. These systems are expensive, have problems with artifacts as on 2D ultrasound seed imaging and are unable to precisely determine individual seed placement during a treatment procedure. The Memorial Sloan-
Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) has developed an intraoperative conformal optimization and planning system (I-3D) for ultrasound-based transperineal prostate implants [12]. Optimal operation of this system requires accurate and reproducible real-time position co-ordinates of each successively implanted seed. The MSKCC has also recently developed an intraoperative dosimetry system for prostate brachytherapy based on the combination of two imaging techniques [13]. Ultrasound images are obtained of the prostate and lead markers. Fluoroscopic images of the implanted seeds and lead markers are also obtained. The seed locations obtained from the fluoroscopic images are superimposed onto the prostate images using computer reconstruction. The entire process takes approximately 10 minutes [13].

Here we propose the development of an alternative intraoperative dosimetry system based on miniature solid-state detectors used in spectroscopy mode. Brachytherapy seeds contain radioactive sources, usually $^{125}\text{I}$ or $^{103}\text{Pd}$. These radioactive sources emit low energy photons with a distinct energy spectrum, at very low dose rates that are hard to measure with conventional detectors in confined spaces. The ability of solid-state detectors to resolve these energies is improving as new detector technologies are being developed. At these low energies, approximately 27 keV for $^{125}\text{I}$, most energy loss occurs through the photoelectric effect. The Compton scattering cross-section is significant, but energy loss due to the Compton effect is minimal. The attenuation coefficient for low energy photons in tissue decreases with an increase in energy. Spectral peaks of different energies will be attenuated by different amounts as the emitted photons penetrate the tissue. Using this principle, the ratio of peak areas under the peaks in an obtained spectrum will allow online dosimetry of the treatment.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Monte Carlo simulations and experimental measurements were performed on an OncoSeed number 6711 from Amersham Health [14]. This seed consists of radioactive $^{125}\text{I}$, absorbed onto a silver rod, encapsulated in a hollow titanium cylinder with welded ends. The geometry of the seed was obtained from Williamson [15]. The silver rod is 3mm in length and 0.5mm in diameter. A 1-micrometer thick coating of silver halide is present on the rod. The radioactive iodine was assumed to be at the midpoint of the silver halide coating. The titanium shell was 4.5mm in length, 0.8mm in diameter, was 0.06mm thick along the sides and 0.5mm thick at the end welds. $^{125}\text{I}$ decays via electron capture, emitting photons of several distinct energies through gamma and X-ray emission. Internal conversion and Auger electrons are also emitted, but were neglected, as they would not penetrate the titanium shell. A 3.6keV photon was also neglected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (keV)</th>
<th>Mean number/disintegration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35.492</td>
<td>0.0666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.877</td>
<td>0.0438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.980</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.472</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.202</td>
<td>0.405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to the presence of silver in the seed, silver fluorescent X-rays of energies 22.1keV and 25.2keV are also present [17].

Monte Carlo simulations were performed using EGSnrcV2 [18]. The FLURZnrc user code was used to simulate the 6711 seed in a liquid water phantom. The energy spectrum was obtained at various locations for distances up to 5cm from the seed centre at various angles around the seed. The area under each photon peak was measured for each obtained spectrum. The ratios of peak areas were calculated for the 27keV peak, the 31keV peak and the 35keV peak. These obtained peak ratios were plotted vs. distance from the seed and angle about the seed axis. An algorithm relating peak ratio to seed location was then constructed.

Given that we have a method for determining the distance from a seed to a detector, if we have four or more non-coplanar detectors, we can then determine the location of the seed. For example, if we have 'n' detectors, each at a known location $r_i$, and the distance between a seed and each detector determined from analysis of the spectrum is $s_i$, then we may determine the seed location from minimization of the following function

$$ n \left\{ (r - r_i) \cdot (r - r_i) - s_i^2 \right\} $$

The location $r$, which minimizes this, is then the estimate of the seed location.

III. RESULTS

From Fig. 2 it can be seen that the photon peaks in the energy spectrum of the 6711 seed are very sharp, with very little scattering, even after the photons have traversed 5cm of liquid water. This allows the use of spectroscopy for analyzing the radiation throughout the prostate during interstitial brachytherapy. The areas under the 27keV, 31keV and 35keV peaks were measured for each obtained spectra and plots of ratio vs. distance along the transverse axis of the seed were obtained.
Since scattering is minimal and the majority of energy loss occurs through the photoelectric effect the area under each peak as a function of distance may be given by

\[ A = A_0 e^{-\mu r} / r^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( A \) is the area under a single peak, \( A_0 \) is the area at zero distance, \( \mu \) is an effective attenuation coefficient of the medium for photons of the peak energy and \( r \) is the distance from the seed to the point of measurement. The ratio of the area under two peaks, \( a \) and \( b \), may be given by

\[ R_{a/b}^v = R_{a/b}^0 e^{-\Delta \mu r} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( R_{a/b}^v \) is the ratio of the area under peak \( a \) to the area under peak \( b \), \( R_{a/b}^0 \) is the peak ratio at zero distance and \( \Delta \mu \) is the difference in attenuation coefficients (\( \mu_a - \mu_b \)). Using (2) and the data obtained from the FLURZnrc simulations of the 6711 seed in water, the following equations were devised.

\[ R_{27/35}^{27/35} = 14.41e^{-0.093r} \pm 3\% \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[ R_{31/35}^{31/35} = 3.39e^{-0.036r} \pm 4\% \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The distance to a 6711 seed within liquid water may be calculated by measuring the ratio of the area underneath two peaks in the spectrum and using (3) or (4). This assumes the point of measurement is along the transverse axis of the seed.

The previous calculations were repeated for spectra obtained at different angles around a 6711 seed in a water phantom. A plot of \( R_{27/35}^v \) vs. distance was obtained in Fig. 5.

It can be seen that the peak ratios vary with changes in source angle. This is due to the variation in thickness of the titanium shell around the seed. Photons at lower angles traverse a greater thickness of titanium, increasing the attenuation, lowering the peak ratios. Simply finding the ratio of any two peaks in a measured spectrum is not enough to determine the distance to the seed. The peak ratios are a function of both distance and angle. Assuming the distance from the source is the order of centimeters the peak ratios may be represented as separable functions of both distance and angle,

\[ R = R_0 f(r) g(\theta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( f(r) \) is the distance dependent function of the peak ratio and \( g(\theta) \) is the angular dependent function of the peak ratio.
\( f(r) \) was previously found to be \( e^{-\Delta \mu r} \). To determine the angular dependent function of the peak ratios, \( g(\theta) \), the peak ratios vs. angle were plotted for a fixed distance of 1 cm, and normalized.

![Normalized plot of \( R_{27/35} \) vs. angle to determine the function \( g(\theta)_{27/35} \). Ratios were calculated 1 cm from the seed centre.](image1)

![Normalized plot of \( R_{31/35} \) vs. angle to determine the function \( g(\theta)_{31/35} \). Ratios were calculated 1 cm from the seed centre.](image2)

After taking into account the angular variance in peak ratios, (3) and (4) become

\[
R_{27/35} = 14.41 e^{-0.093 \theta} g(\theta) \pm 5\%
\]

(6)

\[
R_{31/35} = 3.39 e^{-0.36 \theta} g(\theta) \pm 6\%.
\]

(7)

By calculating the area under the 27 keV, 31 keV and 35 keV peaks for an obtained spectrum, performing ratios of the obtained areas and solving (6) and (7) simultaneously, the distance to the seed as well as the angle of the seed to the point of measurement may be determined.

In order to determine the practicality of this approach for determining seed positions we have conducted simulations where seeds are placed at random in a specified cubical volume. Four detectors are placed in a tetrahedral configuration. The distances between each detector and a given seed are determined. These distances are then varied randomly to simulate the effects of noise and uncertainties due to seed anisotropy, etc. These uncertain distances are then used, along with the known detector positions, in the equation (8) above. The position \( r \), which minimizes this, is determined by the downhill simplex method [19], and compared to the seed location. The accuracy of this method for locating seeds is a function of treatment volume, tetrahedron size, and the assumed magnitude of uncertainties in seed-detector distances. Table II gives results for trials of one million seed positions in cubes of various edge lengths. These have been chosen to span the range of treatment volumes, even though the shape differs from a typical prostate.

The results of Table II show that the proportion of times that a large seed location error occurs is sensitive to the precision with which the seed-detector distances can be determined. An increase in this by a factor of 2.5 results in an increase by the same factor in the average error, but the proportion of times an error of greater than 2.5 mm occurs increases by a much greater factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cube edge length (mm)</th>
<th>Tetrahedron edge length (mm)</th>
<th>Average error (mm)</th>
<th>Percentage greater than 2.5 mm</th>
<th>Error (%) in seed-detector distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stage 1 in the development of an in vivo dosimetry and seed localization system is the construction of the urethra alarm probe. This uses spectroscopy to estimate the dose to the urethra during interstitial brachytherapy treatment. The probe consists of a silicon mini-detector of dimensions 0.8 mm x 0.8 mm x 3 mm. This is connected to a preamplifier/amplifier system by a thin 40 cm cable, allowing the placement of the detector within the prostate via a urinary catheter. The probe was used to measure the spectrum of a 6711 seed in air at 25°C, while connected to the amplifier system via a 40 cm cable that would be used in practice.
The 6711 seed spectrum in Fig. 8 shows that the current detector system does not accurately resolve the peaks necessary for use in seed localization. It does, however, show that the current system may be used for dosimetry purposes. The 27keV peak is well resolved. Since scattering is minimal and most energy loss is due to the photoelectric effect, the area under the 27keV peak may be used to estimate the dose received by the detector. The probe may be placed inside the prostate during seed insertion to allow the physicians to ensure the dose received by the urethra is within tolerable limits. The photon spectrum of the 6711 seed was also measured with the photons incident on the rear of the detector. There was no apparent change in the measured spectrum, indicating that the detector was fully depleted and has an isotropic response to photon irradiation.

IV. CONCLUSION

The development of an intraoperative treatment planning system for low dose rate brachytherapy of the prostate, based on semiconductor detectors operating in spectroscopy mode, seems to be feasible. Such a system will allow updating of the treatment plan to correct for seed misplacement, and online dosimetry. A method exists for locating seeds, based on distances between four detectors and a seed, with each of these distances being determined by observation of the ratio of counts under different energy peaks. The determination of the distance from a seed to a detector is possible theoretically but has yet to be proven experimentally.
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