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Abstract

Objective
To measure the impact of a Kellogg/Northcott Society multimedia folate education campaign, run nationally from July 1998 to June 1999, with and without the use of health claims.

Method
Three national telephone surveys of over 500 Australian women aged 18-44 in July and November 1998 and May 1999.

Results
Awareness of the role of folate in the prevention of birth defects rose by 8% in the first 6 months of the campaign (without health claims) and by 15% in the second half (when health claims were incorporated). Awareness of the recommendation to take folate before pregnancy rose from 21% at baseline to 29% in November 1998 and 44% in May 1999. At the end of the campaign, the foods most commonly cited as sources of folate were leafy green vegetables (72%), breakfast cereals (70%), fruit (41%) and bread (40%).

Conclusion
Inclusion of a specific health claim explaining the role of folate in preventing birth defects appeared to increase the impact of the folate education campaign.
Implications

1. Changing food regulations to permit health claims may increase the impact of health promotion campaigns involving industry partnerships.

2. Future folate programs should target young women (aged 18-24), those in rural areas and those on lower incomes.
Introduction

In 1995, the National Health and Medical Research Council (NH&MRC) published an expert report on the role of folate in the prevention of neural tube defects such as spina bifida. One of the recommendations in the report was that food manufacturers should be allowed to voluntarily fortify foods with folate. Subsequently Standard A9 was amended to permit the addition of folate to a range of foods. Kellogg (Aust) responded by announcing a decision to add folate to all its fortified breakfast cereals and in 1996 added folic acid at a level of 25% or 50% of the recommended dietary intake (RDI) - ie, 50 or 100 µg folate per serve - to 25 of their products.

At that time, Standard A1(19) of the Food Standards Code prevented food manufacturers making any claims about the therapeutic or prophylactic action of products or referring to any disease or physiological condition on any packaging or in any advertisements for food. However, health claims were allowed in a number of other countries, including the USA. In 1996 the then National Food Authority (NFA) released a concept paper to reconsider this issue. In 1997, the Australia New Zealand Food Authority (ANZFA - formerly the NFA) released a proposal (P153) suggesting a regulatory framework for managing health claims.

As part of the review of this proposal, ANZFA set up a pilot study to test the proposed framework. The health claim selected for the pilot was the relationship between folate and neural tube defects. Criteria were developed to assess foods that were suitable for inclusion in the trial and manufacturers had to submit an application for foods to be approved to participate. An important element of the pilot was that manufacturers were allowed some flexibility in the wording and means of communication of the health claim. A Code of Practice
was released which gave information on the elements of the claim that had to be included and
provided some suggested wording and guidance on the context of the communications that
were to be allowed, but claims did not need pre-approval. A voluntary logo developed by
ANZFA could also be used by manufacturers as part of their communications, but this was not
compulsory. The pilot began in December 1998 and was initially to run for 12 months, but was
later extended to January 2001.

This paper reports details of Kellogg's participation in the folate health claim pilot and the
results from evaluation of the impact of the Kellogg program on awareness of the food sources
and health benefits of folate among women of child-bearing years. The research aimed to
assess:
a) The memorability and relevance of the campaign messages to the target audiences
b) The impact of the mass media advertising campaign on levels of consumer knowledge of
   folate and its influence on health
c) Comparison of the effect of advertising using a health claim and advertising not using a
   health claim on consumer knowledge of folate
d) The effect of the campaign on sales of products that carried the folate logo or specific
   folate messages.
Description of the Kellogg Folate Education Program

From July 1998 to June 1999, Kellogg conducted a range of consumer education activities about folate, targeting women aged 18-44 years. The program consisted of two distinct parts: an education campaign using general nutrition messages, from July to December 1998, and a second program using the approved health claim to communicate more directly about the role of folate in the prevention of neural tube defects, from January to June 1999. The program used a social marketing approach based on the Health Belief Model of behavioural change and addressed the following four elements of the model:

1. Perceived susceptibility/severity – using print advertisements and public relations activities
2. Perceived benefits – using television and print advertisements
3. Cues to action – using new packaging designs including a distinctive logo
4. Perceived barriers – using consumer brochures and on pack messages that included menu suggestions.

Nutrition Education Campaign

Before the commencement of the health claim pilot in December 1998, Kellogg had already commenced a significant communication campaign about folate in association with the Northcott Society (formerly the NSW Society for Crippled Children). This campaign consisted of on-pack messages and advertising about the general nutrition benefits of a diet containing folate-rich foods, with the objective of increasing awareness of the need for folate in women of child-bearing age. Kellogg also developed a distinctive round folate logo (Figure 1). This logo was used on the front of 12 Kellogg's breakfast cereals that contained 50% RDI per serve.
Because of Standard A1(19), the communications from Kellogg could not directly link folate and neural tube defects. The messages were limited to approved nutrition messages about the normal role and need for folate (eg, *Folate is a B-group vitamin that is needed for the normal growth and development of cells. A balanced diet rich in folate is important for everybody particularly women in child bearing years*). They also included the recommendation that women in child bearing years should be including 400µg folate in their diet each day and provided advice on how to achieve this. This target was approximately twice the usual intake according to the 1995 National Nutrition Survey.10

To support direct branded advertisements from Kellogg, there were also a number of public relations activities and a strong series of communications with the Northcott Society as part of the Kellogg "Facts for Life" program. This corporate nutrition education initiative had started in March 1998, with the release of the Kellogg's Fact for Life Index report and a summit meeting of health professionals in Sydney to discuss the issue of increasing consumer confusion about nutrition and dietary recommendations.11,12 The first theme of the Facts for Life program was about heart disease (in conjunction with the National Heart Foundation). The second focus on folate was launched at a media breakfast with the support of the Commonwealth Minister for Health and Family Services. An awareness-raising cartoon postcard and an information brochure with a folate diet checklist and sample meal plan were developed to distribute at PR events and in response to consumer enquiries.

Because the Fact for Life communications with the Northcott Society were not advertisements for food, they were able to incorporate messages about folate and neural tube defects directly, without contravening standard A1(19). The elements of this first stage of the folate education program are set out in Table 1. Two television advertisements were developed by the J Walter
Thompson advertising agency which were central to the folate communication program. "Trolley" featured a mother shopping with a pram and filling it with Kellogg cereals with the folate logo along with other folate-rich foods like spinach and oranges. "Ultrasound" showed an ultrasound image of a foetus blowing a kiss to thank its Mum for taking plenty of folate before she became pregnant. The estimated value of this first stage of the program was $600,000.

Folate Health Claim

In December 1998, when the pilot health claim commenced, Kellogg took the opportunity to change a number of the existing folate communications and strengthen their impact by directly explaining the role of folate in the prevention of birth defects. The two TV advertisements were changed to incorporate the approved health claim, new on-pack messages were designed, and a new magazine advertisement was developed for Special K - a cereal that was particularly consumed by the target audience (women in child-bearing years). Table 2 sets out the details of this second stage of the program, which had estimated value of $550,000.

Evaluation methods

Television advertisements

Both of the television advertisements were consumer tested to assess their memorability, involvement, motivation and ability to communicate the desired information. Millward Brown Australia was commissioned to undertake the evaluation using their TV LINK methodology. Each advertisement was tested separately in a central location with 60 females aged 25-45
years, who were planning to have children, ensuring a representative mix of socio-economic groups.

**Consumer awareness surveys**

The impact of the folate education program was evaluated by means of three consumer surveys that were conducted in Australia at baseline – July 1998 (survey 1), after the nutrition education campaign – November 1998 (survey 2), and after incorporation of the folate health claim – May 1999 (survey 3).

The three telephone surveys were conducted by Newspoll Market Research nationally among females aged between 18-44 years. The number of subjects interviewed were 588 in survey 1, 605 in survey 2 and 549 in survey 3. Respondents were selected by means of a stratified random sample process. This included a quota set for capital cities and non-capital city areas, quotas set for each telephone area code, random selection of household telephone numbers within each area code, and random selection of an individual in each household by a last birthday screening question. To ensure the sample included those people who tend to spend a lot of time away from home, a system of call backs and appointments was incorporated. Interviewers were fully trained and briefed on the requirements of the study. The results of the surveys were weighted by a combination of age, age left school, and area to ensure that they were nationally representative.

The following five survey questions were asked:

1) Thinking now about health and in particular the vitamin called folate. Have you heard of folate before today?
For those who answered Yes to question one

2) As far as you are aware, what foods do you think contain folate? (What other foods?)

3) Can I just check with you which, if any, of the following types of food do you believe contain folate? (Bread, breakfast cereal, cheese, fish, fruit, leafy green vegetables, red meat).

4) As far as you are aware, what are the health conditions that folate can reduce the risk of, if taken as recommended? What others?

5) Before today, had you heard that it is recommended that folate be taken 6 weeks before becoming pregnant in order to reduce the risk of spina bifida?

For the purposes of analysis, subjects were divided into the following demographic categories:

- **Age**: 18-24, 25-34, 35-44 years
- **Children**: whether there were children under the age of 18 in the household
- **Marital status**: married (including de facto) or not married (including divorced, separated, widowed)
- **Work status**: full time, part-time, or not employed
- **Area**: respondents were grouped by State and also by whether they lived in a capital city or not. The Capital City area comprises Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane, Adelaide and Perth. Other areas (X-city) include ACT and Tasmania.
- **Socio-economic status (SES)**: respondents were grouped based on the occupation of the main income earner of the household, using the Australian Bureau of Statistics ASCO statistical classification. This was subdivided to:
  - **White collar** – professional, paraprofessional, manager, administrator, clerk, salesperson or other white collar worker, or
• *Blue collar* – tradesperson, plant and machine operator, labourer, retired with previous occupation unknown, other blue collar worker, student, home duties, unemployed.

*Age left school*: 16 years or less, or 17 years and older.

*Household income*: less than $30,000, $30,000 to $49,999, $50,000 and above.

*Given birth*: whether respondents had ever given birth.

Differences between groups were examined by the chi-squared test and the level of significance for comparisons set at $p < 0.05$.

---

**Sales data**

The impact of the folate campaign on product sales was monitored in relation to the 12 products that carried the folate logo or specific folate health messages (All Bran™, Bran Flakes, Corn Flakes, Just Right®, Just Right® Just Grains, Komplete™, Mini Wheats™ [4 varieties], Special K®, and Sultana Bran™). Two sets of data were used:

1) the volume (kg) of sales of products was compared in the fiscal years ending July 1998 and 1999, and

2) the percentage of households buying the products with the folate branding (household penetration) was tracked using national supermarket scanning data. The 26 week periods ending December 1998 and July 1999 were compared.
Results

Television advertisement evaluations

Both television advertisements were found to be effective in communicating the folate health messages. Table 1 sets out the results from the consumer testing, compared to normal values for Australian advertisements found by Millward Brown in their previous testing. When asked “What was the main thing you will remember particularly when you see the ad repeatedly on TV”, unprompted 32% of “Trolley” viewers and 33% of “Ultrasound” viewers nominated taking folate prior to and during early pregnancy. In summary, the evaluation found “both of these executions provide excellent examples of immediate challenging advertising, delivering a relevant, rational, ‘new news’ claim in an involving and credible manner” (personal communication, Millward Brown Australia).

Consumer surveys

The overall percentage of women who had heard of the vitamin folate rose significantly from July 1998 to November 1998 and again in May 1999 (Table 4). The increase in awareness was broadly based across demographic groups (including age, location, household income, and households with and without children). In the first stage (July - Nov 1998) the increase was not significant for younger women (18-24 years) nor for those in households without children, or living X-city.

In both stages of the campaign there was a significant increase in the proportion of women who were aware of folate and who spontaneously mentioned either breakfast cereals, vegetables, green leafy vegetables, bread or fruit as a food source (Table 5). Meat, fish and
dairy foods are generally poorer dietary sources of folate, contributing less than 18% of the
total daily intake in adult women in the 1995 national nutrition survey, and the proportion of
women nominating these foods as folate sources did not change greatly over the evaluation
period. Vegetables and breakfast cereals were the best known sources. Fruit was not as well
recognised, but prompted awareness rose to 41% by May 1999.

Age, work status, age of school leaving, and SES were not related to knowledge of food
sources. However by May 1999, when prompted, women living in capital cities were
significantly more likely than those living elsewhere to mention breakfast cereals (78% vs
55%), leafy green vegetables (77% vs 65%) and bread (46% vs 28%) as folate sources. They
also had significantly greater increases of knowledge over the period of the campaign. For
example, capital city residents citing leafy green vegetables rose from 51% in July 1998 to
77% in May 1999, versus 53% to 65% amongst those living X-city. Those on higher incomes
and those who had given birth also had better knowledge of food sources of folate.

Table 6 shows the results from question 4 in the survey. Some respondents identified “Spina
bifida” specifically, whereas other mentioned “birth defects” generally as being related to
folate. Data on both responses are presented in Table 6. Unprompted awareness of the role of
folate in reducing risk of birth defects increased progressively throughout the surveys across all
demographics, whereas there was no change in beliefs related to heart disease or cancer. There
were no significant differences related to work status or age of leaving school, but in general
those who lived in capital cities, had higher incomes or in were in white collar SES groups,
and women who had children were more aware of the link between folate and birth defects.
Women in the 25-34 age group (those most likely to become pregnant) had the greatest
increase in awareness over the three surveys.
Prompted awareness of the recommendation to have a higher intake of folate before conception was higher than unprompted awareness (Tables 6 and 7), and was also higher and increased more amongst women in capital cities, those who had given birth, and those on higher incomes (Table 7). Notably there was some variation between the States. Women in Western Australia, where there had been major government education programs since 1992, had the highest level of awareness at the start and the end of the program. Tasmania, where the Kellogg television advertisements were not shown, started with the lowest absolute levels of awareness and had the lowest increases over the 12 months, along with Western Australia.

Figure 2 compares some of the key changes in awareness in the first and second stages of the education program. There were greater changes in all aspects in the second stage, when health claims were used, with almost double the increase in the percentage of women aware that folate is linked to birth defects (15% vs 8%), and an even greater improvement in awareness that it is recommended to take folate before becoming pregnant (22% vs 8%).

Sales data

The total volume of sales of the 12 folate branded products declined by 4.6% from the year 1997/98 to 1998/99. At the same time, the total volume of all Kellogg cereal sales increased by 1.9%. The household penetration of the folate products declined from 54.3% in Jul-Dec 1998 to 50.4% in Jan-Jun 1999. It appears, therefore that the significant investment in folate education campaign did not result in any significant improvement in sales of those products carrying the specific folate messages and claims. However it is possible that the campaign had
a positive effect overall in maintaining continued growth of Kellogg’s market share (at a time
when some competitors sales were declining).

Discussion

Throughout the period of the Kellogg/Northcott communications, there were also other
education programs promoting the importance of periconceptional folate. The Victorian Folate
campaign was launched in early 1999 and other food companies participating in the pilot
health claim trial used on-pack messages as well. Because of this it is not possible to attribute
the changes in public awareness solely to this campaign. However, this was the only national
multi-layered campaign with a significant media presence in TV and magazines over the
period of the evaluation. It is notable that areas where Kellogg TV advertising was not shown
(Tasmania and country areas) all reported smaller increments in awareness than elsewhere.
That may suggest that effective TV advertising is a powerful vehicle for increasing public
awareness of health issues. The pre-testing of the advertisements used in this campaign
showed that the target audience responded well because they were being given new news in an
appealing and memorable format.

The results of this evaluation are generally consistent with those of other studies of consumer
knowledge of folate. Surveys of women aged 18-44 years in metropolitan Perth found the
percent of women who were aware of the association between folate and spina bifida rose from
40% in 1995 to 44% in 1997 and in the Tasmania Eat Well survey of 1997 and 1998, the
figures were 17% and 25%. Comparable results were also reported in the ANZFA outcome
evaluation report of the folate health claim trial which combined data from four national
surveys carried out in 1998 and 1999\textsuperscript{17}. In those surveys in 1999 the overall awareness of
folate among women of childbearing years was 89\% (compared to 84\% in this survey) and the
proportion aware of the role of folate in preventing birth defects was 46\% (compared to 44\%
in this survey). In Western Australia, where there had been earlier concerted population-based
health promotion campaigns, reported knowledge of the folate-spina bifida association in 1995
was estimated to be much higher at 67.5\%\textsuperscript{18}. In the three Newspoll surveys reported here, the
results from WA were higher than other States (28.6\% in July 1998, 36.4\% in November 1998,
42\% in May1999), but the values were lower than those reported in 1995\textsuperscript{18}, indicating that
there is a need for constant reinforcement of these messages to keep awareness levels high.

The baseline values on awareness of the folate-birth defects link reported here are generally
lower than those in the ANZFA survey reports, but similar to results from the CSIRO National
Nutrition Survey (which reported the same proportion of women aged 18-45 who were aware
of the link to birth defects in November 1998 – 29\%). The evaluation of an education
campaign in six isolated Victorian communities in late 1997 found 18\% women aged 15-44
knew of the association between folate and spina bifida\textsuperscript{19}, which is also similar to the value of
21\% found in this survey in July 1998. The wording of question 5 in the Newspoll surveys
may have underestimated the proportion of women who were aware of the recommendations
on the need for folate before conception, because current advice is to take folate at least 4
weeks before conception, not 6 weeks as suggested in the survey question. Nonetheless there
was a clear increase in awareness of this advice over the period of the three surveys.

However, it is also clear from the results reported here that there are some sectors of the
community with a much lower awareness of the folate message. Women aged 18-24, those in
rural areas and those on lower incomes, were less knowledgeable about folate. Ideally these
groups should be the targets for future education programs.

The most notable finding was that while knowledge of food sources of folate increased equally
in both stages of the campaign, much greater awareness of the link to birth defects and the
need for increased folate before pregnancy was achieved in the second stage. This is not
surprising since these messages were not able to be given in Kellogg paid advertising or on
food packs before the health claim pilot began. The unpaid community service announcements
from the Northcott Society in Stage One did not achieve sufficient exposure to have had any
great impact. This finding supports the view that changing food legislation to allow health
claims more generally may encourage more effective health promotion activities with industry
partnerships. Such intersectoral programs are a key focus in the new national public health
nutrition strategy.

Some commentators have expressed concern that health claims on foods may present an
unbalanced message about dietary recommendations to the public, favouring processed and
manufactured foods. While there was significant branding of both Kellogg and the
Northcott Society on the advertising, the messages focussed on a whole of diet approach and
awareness of non-cereal folate sources such as leafy green vegetables and fruit increased
throughout the campaign, albeit not to the same extent as cereals. Messages on cereal packs
would no doubt focus consumers’ attention on those foods as good folate sources. However,
this may not be inappropriate, since the free folic acid used in fortified food is more
bioavailable than naturally occurring forms of folate and recent studies have suggested that
fortified cereals may be one of the most effective means of increasing folate status in
women.
Changes in dietary behaviour are usually slow. They do not automatically follow from changes in knowledge or awareness of nutritional issues, because many other factors such as taste preferences, culture, economic situation and health beliefs all impact food choice. The increases in awareness and knowledge about folate that have occurred over the past few years are a necessary first step to the desired outcomes of increased dietary folate intake, improved blood folate status and decreased incidence of neural tube defects. However, as the sales data for cereals with the folate health claim show, it may be unrealistic to expect measurable changes of food purchase patterns in the relatively short time frame of this study. This study did not attempt to measure whether women reported eating more folate-rich foods. At the same time it appears there have been increases in intake of fruit and vegetables in recent years, which may in part have been supported by the increased awareness of these foods as a source of folate.

In the debate over health claims, one of the challenges confronting food regulators has been the lack of empirical evidence for their effectiveness, and there have been calls for more studies to evaluate this. Health claims by themselves will not necessarily change behaviour. In Ireland, McDonnell and others found that although awareness of folate was high, few women were taking supplements periconceptionally. It seems that there needs to be ongoing education to alert women to the options available to improve food selection for obtaining 400µg folate. This evaluation of one large multi-media campaign, comparing the impact without and with the use of health claims, appears to supports the view that when the specific preventative health benefit can be communicated clearly to consumers, messages become more compelling and impactful.
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Table 1. Elements of Stage One of the Folate Education Program (without health claim)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Who From</th>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>Estimated Reach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Relations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Media Launch</td>
<td>Kellogg • Northcott • Minister for Health</td>
<td>National TV news, radio. <em>Daily Telegraph, The Age</em> and regional newspapers</td>
<td>27/7/98</td>
<td>&gt;1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Magazines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. One advertorial on the Northcott Society</td>
<td>Northcott</td>
<td><em>Time</em> (3 issues) <em>Who Weekly</em> (3 issues)</td>
<td>Aug-Sept 98</td>
<td>18% 18-39 year old females, 4 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Television</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. “Trolley” 30 second advertisement</td>
<td>Kellogg • Northcott</td>
<td>Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane, Adelaide, Perth (2399 TARPs)*</td>
<td>Aug-Sept 98</td>
<td>40% 18-44 year old females, 3 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. “Ultrasound” 30 second public service announcement</td>
<td>Northcott</td>
<td>Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane, Adelaide, Perth (141 TARPs)</td>
<td>Aug-Oct 98</td>
<td>20% of 18-44 year old females, 1 time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Publications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Kaz Cook postcard</td>
<td>Kellogg • Northcott</td>
<td>PR events</td>
<td>Aug-Dec 98</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. “Don’t be late with folate” brochure</td>
<td>Kellogg • Northcott</td>
<td>Health professionals; Consumer enquiries</td>
<td>Aug-Dec 98</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On-pack</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Whole back of pack – folate menu plan</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td>Special K</td>
<td>Jul-Dec 98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. One third back of pack-message from Kellogg nutritionists</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td>Bran Flakes, Just Right, Just Right Just Grains, Komplete, Mini Wheats (4 varieties), Sultana Bran</td>
<td>Jul-Dec 98</td>
<td>18% of total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Front of pack - Folate Logo</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td>12 cereals containing 100µg folate per serve</td>
<td>Jul – Dec 98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* TARPs (Target Audience Rating Points) is a measure of audience reach used when purchasing media time. It is a summation of the percentage of target audience in a viewing area watching the specific TV channel at the time the advertisement was shown times the reach, in the area of the media buy. It does not estimate national reach.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Who From</th>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>Estimated Reach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Magazines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Special K advertisement: “Spoonfeeding”</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td><em>Woman’s Weekly, She, Woman’s Day, Family Circle, Marie Claire</em></td>
<td>Mar-Jun 99</td>
<td>57% 25-39 year old females, 2 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Television</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. “Ultrasound” 30 second advertisement</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td>Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane, Adelaide, Perth, Canberra (3750 TARPs)</td>
<td>Apr-May 99</td>
<td>65% 18-44 year old females, 4 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. “Trolley” 30 second advertisement for Special K</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td>Brisbane and Qld regional (1819 TARPs)</td>
<td>Jan-Mar 99</td>
<td>30% 30-49 year old females, 3 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Publications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Kaz Cook postcard</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td>PR events</td>
<td>Jan-Jun 99</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. “Don’t be late with folate” brochure</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td>Health professionals; Consumer enquiries</td>
<td>Jan-Jun 99</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On-pack</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Whole back of pack - “Help you baby’s start to life”</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td>Special K</td>
<td>Jan–Jun 99</td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Side panel of pack – health claim message from Kellogg nutritionists</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td>Bran Flakes, Just Right, Just Right Just Grains, Komplete, Mini Wheats (4 varieties), Sultana Bran</td>
<td>Jan–Jun 99</td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Front of pack – Folate Logo</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td>12 cereals containing 100µg folate per serve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Trolley” (n=60)</td>
<td>“Ultrasound” (n=60)</td>
<td>Australian Norm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to understand</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provided new information</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Believable</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interesting</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 588</td>
<td>n = 605</td>
<td>n = 549</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>63 (57-66)</td>
<td>72* (67-77)</td>
<td>84† (80-88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24y</td>
<td>55 (40-70)</td>
<td>63a (50-76)</td>
<td>85‡ (74-96)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34y</td>
<td>66 (57-75)</td>
<td>76* (58-84)</td>
<td>87‡ (80-94)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44y</td>
<td>64 (56-72)</td>
<td>73* (66-80)</td>
<td>82‡ (76-88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital city</td>
<td>61 (54-68)</td>
<td>77* b (71-83)</td>
<td>90‡ b (85-95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-city</td>
<td>66 (58-74)</td>
<td>63 (54-72)</td>
<td>75‡ (67-83)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$30,000</td>
<td>53c (42-64)</td>
<td>74* (64-84)</td>
<td>76 c (57-85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$50,000</td>
<td>75 (66-84)</td>
<td>76 (68-84)</td>
<td>91‡ (85-97)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in house</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>66 (59-73)</td>
<td>76* d (70-82)</td>
<td>87‡ (82-92)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>58 (48-68)</td>
<td>64 (55-73)</td>
<td>81‡ (73-89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
* Confidence intervals
* significantly different from July 1998 (p < 0.05)
‡ significantly different from November 1998 (p < 0.05)
a significantly different from age >24 (p < 0.05)
b significantly different from X-city (p < 0.05)
c significantly different from > $50,000 (p < 0.05)
d significantly different from women with no children aged 17 or under in house (p < 0.05)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 588</td>
<td>n = 605</td>
<td>n = 549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leafy green vegetables U</td>
<td>29 (24-34)</td>
<td>33 (28-38)</td>
<td>41† (35-47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>52 (46-58)</td>
<td>61* (56-66)</td>
<td>72† (67-77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast cereals U</td>
<td>17 (13-21)</td>
<td>26* (21-31)</td>
<td>37† (31-43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>43 (37-49)</td>
<td>55* (51-61)</td>
<td>70† (65-75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit U</td>
<td>7 (4-10)</td>
<td>12* (8-16)</td>
<td>11 (7-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>31 (26-36)</td>
<td>40* (36-44)</td>
<td>41 (35-47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread U</td>
<td>5 (3-7)</td>
<td>6 (3-9)</td>
<td>10† (6-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>24 (19-29)</td>
<td>32* (27-37)</td>
<td>40† (34-46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat U</td>
<td>9 (6-12)</td>
<td>8 (5-11)</td>
<td>9 (6-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>20 (15-25)</td>
<td>19 (15-23)</td>
<td>24 (19-29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish U</td>
<td>1 (0-2)</td>
<td>3 (1-5)</td>
<td>2 (0-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>19 (15-23)</td>
<td>27* (22-32)</td>
<td>32 (26-38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese U</td>
<td>5 (3-7)</td>
<td>4 (2-6)</td>
<td>6 (3-9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>7 (4-10)</td>
<td>7 (4-10)</td>
<td>10 (6-14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- U = unprompted; T = total prompted and unprompted
- * significantly different from July 1998 (p < 0.05)
- † significantly different from November 1998 (p < 0.05)
Table 6. Percentage of women aged 18-44 believing folate reduces disease risk
Unprompted Mean (95% CI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 588</td>
<td>n = 605</td>
<td>n = 549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spina bifida</td>
<td>13 (9-17)</td>
<td>15 (11-19)</td>
<td>20† (15-25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth defects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (inc Spina bifida)</td>
<td>21 (16-26)</td>
<td>29* (24-34)</td>
<td>44† (38-50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24y</td>
<td>14 (4-24)</td>
<td>21 a (10-32)</td>
<td>36† (22-50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34y</td>
<td>25 (17-33)</td>
<td>34* (25-43)</td>
<td>52† (42-62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44y</td>
<td>21 (14-28)</td>
<td>29* (19-33)</td>
<td>40† (32-48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital city</td>
<td>20 (14-26)</td>
<td>31* (24-38)</td>
<td>49† b (41-57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-city</td>
<td>22 (15-29)</td>
<td>25 (17-33)</td>
<td>34† (25-43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$30,000</td>
<td>14 c (6-22)</td>
<td>25* c (15-35)</td>
<td>35 c (24-46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$50,000</td>
<td>28 (19-27)</td>
<td>39* (30-48)</td>
<td>50† (40-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in house</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23 (17-29)</td>
<td>33* d (26-40)</td>
<td>48‡ d (41-55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>17 (10-24)</td>
<td>23 (15-31)</td>
<td>38‡ (29-47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white collar</td>
<td>23 (17-29)</td>
<td>32* e (25-39)</td>
<td>48‡ e (40-56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blue collar</td>
<td>18 (11-25)</td>
<td>24 (16-32)</td>
<td>38‡ (29-47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Confidence intervals
- * significantly different from July 1998 (p < 0.05)
- † significantly different from November 1998 (p < 0.05)
- a significantly different from women >24 years (p < 0.05)
- b significantly different from X-city (p < 0.05)
- c significantly different from > $50,000 (p < 0.05)
- d significantly different from women with no children aged 17 or under in house (p < 0.05)
- e significantly different from women from blue collar SES group (p < 0.05)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 588</td>
<td>n = 605</td>
<td>n = 549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All</strong></td>
<td>37 (31-43)</td>
<td>45* (39-51)</td>
<td>67† (61-73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital city</td>
<td>37 (30-44)</td>
<td>49* a (42-56)</td>
<td>75† a (68-82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-city</td>
<td>36 (27-45)</td>
<td>38 (29-47)</td>
<td>52† (43-61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>37 (27-47)</td>
<td>46 (36-56)</td>
<td>68† (58-78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vic</td>
<td>33 (22-44)</td>
<td>43 (32-54)</td>
<td>73† (63-83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qld</td>
<td>28 (16-40)</td>
<td>37 (24-50)</td>
<td>53† (38-68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>46 (30-62)</td>
<td>47 (30-64)</td>
<td>71† (55-87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>54 (38-70)</td>
<td>58 (42-74)</td>
<td>73 (59-87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tas</td>
<td>24 (1-47)</td>
<td>34 (7-61)</td>
<td>44 (14-74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$30,000</td>
<td>27 b (17-37)</td>
<td>38* b (27-49)</td>
<td>59† b (48-70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$50,000</td>
<td>51 (41-61)</td>
<td>56 (46-66)</td>
<td>72† (63-81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Given birth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>40 c (33-47)</td>
<td>50* c (27-49)</td>
<td>70† (63-77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>31 (22-40)</td>
<td>37 (28-46)</td>
<td>63† (53-73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

* Confidence intervals
* significantly different from July 1998 (p < 0.05)
† significantly different from November 1998 (p < 0.05)
a significantly different from X-city (p < 0.05)
b significantly different from > $50,000 (p < 0.05)
c significantly different from niliparous women (p < 0.05)
Figure 1. Folate logo used on cereal packs
Figure 2. Summary of key changes in awareness
Mean and 95%CI
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