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Abstract
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Summary

In 1997 one of Australia’s largest food companies undertook a program of salt reduction in 12 breakfast cereals. The National Heart Foundation’s *Pick the Tick* program criterion (<400mg sodium/100g) was used as a target value where possible. Twelve products were reformulated, with reductions ranging from 85-469mg sodium per 100g and an average reduction of 40% (12-88%). As a result, 235 tonnes of salt were removed annually from the Australian food supply and five more products were able to carry the Tick logo. The impact of the *Pick the Tick* program in changing the food supply extends beyond those products that are part of the food approval program.
Introduction

A recent evaluation of the effect of the National Heart Foundation (NHF) Pick the Tick food information program on the salt content of food in New Zealand estimated that the program influenced manufacturers to exclude approximately 33 tonnes of salt from food sold there over a one year period to June 1999 (Young and Swinbourne, 2001). Impressive as this finding is, it may significantly underestimate the total impact of the program. The authors restricted their analysis only to those foods that were formally accredited as part of the Pick the Tick program. However the experience of one major manufacturer of breakfast cereals suggests that there could have been flow on effects to other products as well.

In Australia, a comprehensive government report recommending lower levels of sodium intake for the general population was published in 1982 (National Health and Medical Research Council, 1982). It also recommended that food manufacturers be requested to reduce the sodium added to foods during manufacture and processing. Advice to moderate sodium intake was included in the first national dietary guidelines for Australians when they were released in 1979 (Commonwealth Department of Health, 1981) and has been maintained in later revisions since then (National Health and Medical Research Council, 1992; National Health and Medical Research Council, 1999). In response there have been significant changes to the Australian food supply. There are many more salt free and salt reduced products now on the market and it has been calculated that the average sodium content of processed foods in Australia reduced by 10% in the period 1980-1995 (Wills and Duvernet, 1996). However, it was still estimated that in 1995 only 6% of men and 36% of women in Australia were meeting the national sodium target of 100mmol/d (Beard et al., 1997).

In 1997 an article on the nutritional content of ready-to-eat breakfast cereals (RTEC) was published in Choice magazine, a publication of the Australian Consumers Association (ACA) (Australian Consumers Association, 1997). That article was critical of the salt content of a number of popular breakfast cereals including Kellogg’s All-Bran, which
then had a sodium content of 849mg/100g, above the maximum level of 800mg/100g that ACA recommended.

Kellogg (Aust) Pty Ltd employed four qualified dietitian-nutritionists in 1997 whose roles included developing overall nutrition policies for the company, identifying opportunities for product development (including reformulation), and managing nutrition communications about products to consumers and health professionals. The nutritionists’ input was an integral part of the company’s annual planning process and they were asked to develop a response to the ACA article.

At that time, 10 out of the total range of 26 RTEC products produced by Kellogg were low in salt as defined by the Australian Food Standards Code - ie, they contained ≤120mg sodium/100g (Australia New Zealand Food Authority, 1999). Furthermore there had already been changes to reduce the sodium content of some key brands; for example, there had been a 11% reduction in the salt content of Kellogg’s Corn Flakes one year earlier. However, in order to continue to improve consumer confidence in the nutrition credentials of all the Kellogg cereals and to support public health priorities, the company nutritionists recommended a strategy of product reformulation across a wide range of RTEC products to reduce salt levels as low as possible, consistent with maintenance of consumer taste appeal. This strategy was accepted by the company for implementation over the six months to the end of December 1997.

In this paper, we report our experiences as dietitians working within a large food company on the influence the Pick the Tick program had on decisions about sodium levels in food formulations, and provide estimates of the changed total salt content of products that resulted.
Methods

Descriptions of some of the background issues influencing the decisions in reformulating sodium levels are based on information available to us as employees at the time, and have been approved by Kellogg (Aust) Pty Ltd. Chemical analyses of the nutritional content of Kellogg products in Australia are carried out bi-annually to monitor quality assurance processes and to verify nutrient content claims on labels. Records of these analyses and the approved values that were included in the nutrient information panels on product labels were used to compare the sodium content of products before and after the reformulations made throughout 1997. Sales volume data for these products for the year 1997 were obtained from Kellogg. The reduction in sodium content was multiplied by the volume of product sold in the 12 month period to estimate the impact over one year. Sodium was converted into salt using the conversion factor 1g sodium(Na) = 2.5 g salt (NaCl).
Results

Two target levels for sodium reduction were established: $\leq 120\text{mg}/100\text{g}$ (for a low salt claim) and $< 400\text{mg}/100\text{g}$, the level required to meet the nutrient criteria of the NHF’s *Pick the Tick* program (National Heart Foundation, 1999), although it was recognised that not all products would be able to achieve these goals and continue to maintain acceptable consumer taste appeal. Seven major products were identified for the first stage of the reformulation program carried out in 1997, which affected the sodium content of 12 products overall (see Table 1), accounting for approximately two thirds of the total Kellogg RTEC sales volume. For example, once Kellogg’s Rice Bubbles was reformulated, the lower sodium level also reduced the sodium level in Kellogg’s Coco Pops, which has Rice Bubbles as one of the ingredients. Only three products with sodium levels above the NHF target were not included in the reformulation program. One of these was imported and the formulation could not be altered. The other two were extruded products which required more complex reformulation, and they were not included in the first stage of the program.

Commercial imperatives required that the changes should not lead to any significant reduction in consumer taste appeal and two different manufacturing strategies were identified to effect the changes: simple reduction of the amount of added salt, or changes in the proportion of salt added to the cooked grain or to the added flavour ingredients. No other changes were made to the product formulations and no attempt was made to use salt substitutes. All reformulated products were evaluated for acceptability at various levels of salt reduction by consumer sensory testing with regular RTEC eaters. This involved around 100 consumers being recruited to consume the products in-home for a period of five days and rate the food on overall liking, purchase intent and various relevant product attributes on a series of line scales. In order for the reformulated food to be acceptable for launch into market, it had to perform at parity to existing food for overall liking, purchase intent and key product attributes scores.
In relatively plain products, such as Corn Flakes and Rice Bubbles, where there are no flavours aside from the base grain and a small amount of added sugar and malt, the salt added to the cooking grain is a major element of the flavour experience and it was difficult to reduce the salt levels further without a significant decline in consumer satisfaction. In other products, such as bran-based cereals, where there are other stronger flavour characteristics, it was possible to reduce salt levels to a greater extent without affecting consumer preference. In one major brand – Kellogg’s Just Right (a light fruit-added muesli type cereal) – a simple change in how the salt was added during production enabled a substantial reduction in total salt content (83%; 235mg/100g) without affecting consumer acceptance.

Table 2 shows the changes achieved in the sodium content of the breakfast cereals. Reformulation of the 12 products resulted in a mean sodium reduction of just over 40%, varying from 12-88% (85-469mg/100g) in different products. The largest percentage reductions were in products with lower initial levels of added sodium. In total an estimated 235 tonnes of salt per year were removed from the Australian food supply as a result of these changes, or an average of 85mg sodium per serve. On a total population basis (18.4 million in 1997), this amounts to a reduction of 13g salt per person per year. However, only about 40% of Australians (7.05 million in 1997) were regular consumers of Kellogg cereals and assuming all of the change in salt levels benefited those regular eaters, the reduction in salt consumption among this group would be closer to 33g per year, or 90mg salt per day.

Only five of the 12 products were eligible to carry the Pick the Tick logo after the reformulation program and these products accounted for 53% of the total salt reduction. An almost equal contribution to the total salt reduction came from the other seven products that did not carry the logo (see Table 2).
**Discussion**

In 1999-2000, almost three million adult Australians had high blood pressure, placing a burden of over $800 million on health care expenditure (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2001). The last decades have produced an international consensus that a modest dietary sodium reduction for people with normal and raised blood pressure has a large enough effect on blood pressure (and therefore health benefit) to justify a guideline advising restraint for the entire population (WHO Expert Committee, 1986; Kuller, 1997; Morgan, 1999; Kaplan, 2000). This has now been strongly supported by large and well-conducted randomized controlled trials (Law *et al*., 1991; Cutler *et al*., 1997).

The large changes in salt utilisation described in this paper are still modest at a population level but could have a useful impact on individual sodium intake levels. It has been estimated that a reduction in dietary salt by an average of 50 mmol sodium (2.9g salt) per day in a whole Western population would reduce age-specific stroke mortality by about 22% and ischemic heart disease mortality by about 16% (Law *et al*., 1991; Law, 1997), although even smaller reductions are also likely to have positive health benefits (Cutler *et al*., 1997). The reduction described here among regular cereal consumers (90mg salt per day) amounts to only 3% of that required to have a significant impact on cardiovascular health. The Australian Guide to Healthy Eating recommends 7-12 serves per day of cereal-based foods (including also breads, grains and pasta) for an adult male (Smith *et al*., 1998), so if a similar level of reduction were achieved across all cereal-based foods, the total reduction could produce a reduction of up to a third of that needed for substantial public health benefits. However, salt is a commonly used food additive and in Western countries it is estimated that 75-85% of dietary sodium comes from processed foods (James *et al*., 1987; Sanchez-Castillo *et al*., 1987). Thus it is clear that the sodium content of other non-cereal foods also needs to be addressed to have a substantial impact on the total national sodium intake.

For consumers of breakfast cereals, nutrition is one of the key drivers impacting purchase decisions. While the use of the *Pick the Tick* logo is recognised by consumers as a useful tool to highlight nutritional strengths (Noakes and Crawford, 1991), the program’s
nutrient criteria are also uniquely valuable to companies seeking to set appropriate target levels for product formulation. Although the initial concern was that All-Bran had a sodium content greater than 800mg/100g, it was decided to adopt the more stringent target level of the Tick program for sodium reduction, because of the credibility of the Pick the Tick program with consumers and the perceived marketing value of the logo. However it is also clear that many useful changes can occur without achieving the levels needed for inclusion in the Tick program. Our experience in this case is that the immediate stimulus to seek the Tick for one product led to a wide-ranging program of sodium reduction that had a broad impact on many other products. The total salt reduction was twice that which was achieved just in the products reformulated sufficiently to achieve Tick approval.

In Australia, Kellogg has a history of innovation to provide products in line with emerging nutritional recommendations: two examples are the development and testing of a psyllium-based cereal which can reduce cholesterol absorption (Roberts et al., 1994) and fortification of all RTEC products with folate in response to new evidence of its role in prevention of neural tube defects (Truswell, 1996). In the project described here it was possible to find ways to reformulate products that did not affect consumer food appeal while making nutrient changes that supported public health objectives. However, the results were only achieved within the constraints of local taste acceptance and would not necessarily be applicable in other countries.

The fact that there was a major program to reduce sodium levels was not directly communicated to consumers, although it was described to health professionals at meetings organised by the NHF and through Salt Skip News, a national newsletter especially for people seeking information on lower sodium products. Other food companies similarly have made progressive but unannounced changes to reduce the sodium levels in their products, thereby enabling local palates to adjust over time. This is consistent with public health recommendations to food processors to gradually reduce the amount of added sodium, in the knowledge that the preference for sodium is quickly reduced when less sodium is ingested (Kaplan, 2000). For example, since the program
described here, the sodium content of Corn Flakes, Crunchy Nut Corn Flakes and Frosties has been even further reduced.

Dietitians working in the food industry generally see one of their roles as encouraging food supply changes to make healthier choices easier (Tapsell and De Groot, 1999). They are ideally placed to promote partnerships between food companies and professional organisations (Tobin et al., 1992) and to highlight the value of independent, authoritative nutrition benchmarks, such as those in the Pick the Tick food approval program, when establishing policies within food companies.

In conclusion, Pick the Tick was an effective catalyst for a substantial reduction in the salt content of a major food category, with an impact nearly twice that seen in the foods reformulated to meet the requirements of the Tick program itself.
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Table 1 Kellogg’s breakfast cereals reformulated to reduce sodium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reformulated core cereals</th>
<th>Cereals based on core cereal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn Flakes</td>
<td>Crunchy Nut Corn Flakes, Frosties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice Bubbles</td>
<td>Coco Pops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-Bran</td>
<td>All-Bran Fruit ‘n Oats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bran Flakes</td>
<td>Sultana Bran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special K</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just Right</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honey Smacks</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Effect of reformulation of breakfast cereals on sodium content in 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Sodium content before reformulation (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Sodium content after reformulation (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Sodium difference (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Sodium difference (%)</th>
<th>Volume of product sold (kg)</th>
<th>Sodium not added to food (kg)</th>
<th>Salt not added to food (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All-Bran *</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3 499</td>
<td>16 412</td>
<td>41 031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-Bran Fruit 'n Oats *</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>217 860</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>1 095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bran Flakes *</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>596 889</td>
<td>2 859</td>
<td>7 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn Flakes</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11 407 845</td>
<td>13 689</td>
<td>34 224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coco Pops</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5 774 150</td>
<td>10 798</td>
<td>26 994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crunchy Nut Corn Flakes</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 947 735</td>
<td>1 714</td>
<td>4 285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frosties</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>250 766</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honey Smacks</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>184 799</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just Right *</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>4 646 130</td>
<td>10 918</td>
<td>27 296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice Bubbles</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 570 868</td>
<td>11 141</td>
<td>27 853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special K</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3 924 257</td>
<td>6 397</td>
<td>15 991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sultana Bran *</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5 845 428</td>
<td>19 173</td>
<td>47 933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total overall</strong></td>
<td><strong>41 866 176</strong></td>
<td><strong>93 991</strong></td>
<td><strong>234 978</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Product included in *Pick the Tick* program after reformulation
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